TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

16114 AIRPORT ROAD

SHACCA CALEDON HOLDINGS

PREPARED BY:

C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC. 40 HURON STREET COLLINGWOOD, ONTARIO L9Y 4R3

MARCH 2017 (ORIGINAL) OCTOBER 2019 (UPDATE)

CFCA FILE NO. 110-4331

The material in this report reflects best judgment in light of the information available at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties. C.F. Crozier & Associates Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.



1. Executive Summary

C.F. Crozier & Associates Inc. (Crozier) was retained by Shacca Caledon Holdings to complete a Traffic Impact Study in support of a proposed mixed development located at 16114 Airport Road, in the Town of Caledon, Regional Municipality of Peel. The site is bounded by Airport Road to the east, Walker Road to the south, an existing residential condominium townhouse development to the north, and a treed area to the west. The Site Location Plan and the Development Concept Plan are reflected in Figures 1 and 2.

Comments were received from the Town of Caledon and Region of Peel have been addressed individually in a Comment Response Memo included in **Appendix L**.

The analysis contained within this report included the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road, as well as the proposed site accesses. The analysis of traffic operations at the study intersections indicates the following:

- The study intersections are operating acceptably with a LOS "C" or better under existing conditions.
- The study intersections are expected to continue operating acceptably with a LOS "D" or better under future background conditions.
- A northbound left-turn lane is warranted at Site Access C with a minimum storage of 15 metres.
- Examination of the 2024 and 2029 future total traffic conditions indicate that the Airport Road and Walker Road intersection is anticipated to continue operate at a LOS "E" or better during the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours and the control delay and maximum volume to capacity ratios are expected to experience a maximum increase of 15 seconds and 0.14, respectively, when compared with future background traffic operations;
 - The Region has indicated that the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road has been identified for future improvements, including signalization. Under signalized conditions, the intersection is anticipated to operate at a LOS "B" with a maximum control delay of 14.7 seconds (a.m. peak hour) and a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.69 (SBT/R) (a.m. peak hour).
- The proposed site accesses operate with excellent levels of service under 2029 future total traffic volume conditions, with a LOS "B" or better, and a maximum delay of 13.5 seconds in the p.m. peak hour at Site Access C.
- The available sight distance to the north and south of the Airport Road accesses and to the west of the Walker Road access exceed the minimum stopping and intersection sight distance requirements.
 - The Walker Road access is located approximately 55 metres west of Airport Road. While this is less than the minimum sight distance requirement, vehicles are approaching from a stop or turning movement. Accordingly, they are not expected to attain operating speed before reaching the site access.
- A truck turning analysis was completed, and it is concluded that refuse vehicles can manoeuvre the site without any conflicts, and LSU trucks can access the proposed delivery space.

It is concluded that the traffic generated from the proposed development can be accommodated by the boundary road network, with noted recommendations.

The analysis undertaken herein was prepared using the Development Concept Plan dated June 12, 2019. The trip generation described herein was overstated by one trip, four trips and one trip in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively. As such, the recommendations and conclusions contained within this report remain valid when considering the revised Development Concept Plan dated October 22, 2019. Any minor changes to the Development Concept Plan will

not materially affect the conclusions contained within this report.

The proposed mixed-use development can be supported from a traffic safety, operations and circulation perspective, with the implementation of the noted recommendations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Executive Summaryi						
2.	Introduction						
3.	Existi	ng Conditions1					
	3.1	Development Lands1					
	3.2	Study Area1					
	3.3	Boundary Road Network1					
	3.4	Development Proposal2					
	3.5	Traffic Data2					
	3.6	Intersection Operations2					
4.	Futur	e Background Conditions					
	4.1	Horizon Years					
	4.2	Growth Rate3					
	4.3	Future Roadway Improvements					
	4.4	Future Background Developments					
	4.5	Intersection Operations5					
5.	Site (Generated Traffic					
	5.1	Trip Generation6					
	5.2	Trip Distribution and Assignment8					
6.	Total	Future Conditions					
	6.1	Basis of Assessment9					
	6.2	Auxiliary Turn-Lane Analysis					
	6.3	Intersection Operations11					
7.	Sight	Distance Assessment					
	7.1	Stopping Sight Distance					
	7.2	Intersection Sight Distance					
8.	Trans	it and Cycling					
9.	Truck	c Turning Analysis					
10.	Conclusions						

List of Tables

Table 1: 2016 Existing Level of Service	2
Table 2: Castles of Caledon - Trip Generation	4
Table 3: 2019 Future Background Level of Service	5
Table 4: 2024 Future Background Level of Service	5
Table 5: 2029 Future Background Level of Service	5
Table 6: Trip Generation	8

Table 7: Residential Trip Distribution	9
Table 8: Commercial Trip Distribution	9
Table 9: 2029 Future Total Auxiliary Lane Analysis	10
Table 10: 2024 Future Total Level of Service	11
Table 11: 2029 Future Total Level of Service	12
Table 12: Intersection Sight Distance Requirements	14

List of Appendices

Appendix A:	Correspondence
Appendix B:	Turning Movement Counts
Appendix C:	Level of Service Definitions
Appendix D:	Detailed Capacity Analyses
Appendix E:	AADT Data
Appendix F:	Castles of Caledon TIS Excerpts
Appendix G:	ITE Trip Generation Manual and Handbook Excerpts
Appendix H:	TTS Data
Appendix I:	Auxiliary Left-Turn Lane Warrant
Appendix J:	TAC's Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roadways (Relevant Excerpts)
Appendix K:	Vehicle Manoeuvring Diagrams
Appendix L:	Comment Response Memo

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Development Concept Plan
Figure 2:	Site Location Plan
Figure 3:	2016 Existing Traffic Volumes
Figure 4:	2019 Future Background Traffic Volumes
Figure 5:	2024 Future Background Traffic Volumes
Figure 6:	2029 Future Background Traffic Volumes
Figure 7:	Residential Trip Distribution
Figure 8:	Residential Trip Assignment
Figure 9:	Primary Commercial Trip Distribution
Figure 10:	Primary Commercial Trip Assignment
Figure 11:	Pass-By Trip Distribution
Figure 12:	Pass-By Trip Assignment

Figure 13:2024 Total Traffic VolumesFigure 14:2029 Total Traffic Volumes

2. Introduction

CF Crozier & Associates Inc. (Crozier) was retained by Shacca Caledon Holdings to complete a Traffic Impact Study and parking analysis in support of a proposed mixed development located at 16114 Airport Road (the "site"), in the Town of Caledon, Regional Municipality of Peel.

Comments were received from the Region of Peel and Town of Caledon on May 29, 2018. Responses to these comments are addressed individually in a Comment Response Memo included in **Appendix L**. The analysis contained within this report is based on the Development Concept Plan dated June 12, 2019. The Development Concept Plan has been included as **Figure 1**.

The study has been completed in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Region of Peel's "Traffic Impact Study – Terms of Reference" document and the agreed upon Terms of Reference with the Town of Caledon and the Regional Municipality of Peel. Per correspondence with the Town of Caledon, mid-day counts were undertaken to capture the mid-day peak hour. Correspondence with the Town and County has been included in **Appendix A**.

It is noted that the analysis contained within this report was based on a previous version of the Development Concept Plan that proposed a greater Commercial gross floor area (GFA). The previous concept proposed a GFA of 1,288 square metres (13,864 square feet), whereas the most recent concept proposes a commercial GFA of 1,222.59 square metres (13,160 square feet). As such, the trip generation described herein was overstated by one trip, four trips and one trip in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively. Accordingly, the findings and conclusions contained within this report remain valid when considering the revised Development Concept Plan dated October 22, 2019.

3. Existing Conditions

3.1 Development Lands

The site is approximately 4.09 hectares (10.11 acres) in size and is bounded by Airport Road to the east, Walker Road to the south, an existing residential condominium townhouse development to the north, and a treed area to the west. The site itself currently contains a residential property with one existing access to Airport Road. The site is currently zoned as Rural "A2" per the Town of Caledon Zoning By-law 2006-50, of which "Dwelling, Detached" is a permitted use.

The location of the site is reflected on the development Site Location Plan included as Figure 1.

3.2 Study Area

The study area encompasses the boundary road network surrounding the site and is described in **Section 3.3**.

3.3 Boundary Road Network

With skewed directions, the directional orientation of the roadway system is ambiguous. To provide clarity throughout this report and in the supporting analysis, Airport Road has been assigned a north-south orientation, and Walker Road has been assigned an east-west orientation.

Airport Road is a north-south road under the jurisdiction of the Region of Peel. The roadway consists of a two-lane rural cross-section and is designated rural Main Street per the Region of Peel Road Characterization Study. An approximate 1.5 metre concrete sidewalk exists on the west side of Airport

Road adjacent to the site. The road has a posted speed limit of 50 km/h through the study area. Walker Road is an east-west road under the jurisdiction of the Town of Caledon. The roadway consists of a two-lane rural cross-section and is designated as a local road with a posted speed limit of 40 km/h. An approximate 1.5 metres concrete sidewalk exists on the south side of Walker Road.

The intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road is a four-legged two-way stop-controlled intersection. The east approach (Walker Road East) and west approach (Walker Road West) each consist of a shared left/through/right-turn lane. The north approach (Airport Road) and south approach (Airport Road) consist of a shared right/through/left-turn lane. The intersection is stop-controlled in the eastbound and westbound directions and free flowing in the northbound and southbound directions.

3.4 Development Proposal

The Development Concept Plan consists of 32 condo townhouse units and two commercial buildings with a total GFA of 1,222.59 square metres (13,160 square feet). Access to the development will be provided by one access to Walker Road and two accesses on Airport Road.

The Walker Road access (Site Access A) will permit full moves and provide access to the commercial buildings. The south Airport Road access (Site Access B) will be restricted to right-in/right-out movements only and provide direct access to the commercial buildings. The north Airport Road access (Site Access C) will permit full moves and provide direct access to the residential dwellings. An internal connection between the residential and commercial portions of the site has now been proposed to facilitate improved site circulation.

Refer to **Figure 1** for the most recent Development Concept Plan by Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc. dated October 22, 2019.

3.5 Traffic Data

Turning movement counts for the boundary road intersection was undertaken by Ontario Traffic Inc. staff from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. on August 16, 2016. The traffic count data is summarized in **Appendix B. Figure 3** illustrates the 2016 existing traffic volumes.

3.6 Intersection Operations

The operations of the critical intersection were analyzed based on the traffic volumes illustrated in **Figure 3. Table 1** outlines the 2016 traffic levels of service for the counts taken at the intersections under existing conditions. Level of Service definitions have been included in **Appendix C**, with detailed capacity analysis worksheets included in **Appendix D**.

Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service	Control Delay	Maximum Individual V/C Ratio	95 th %ile Queues > Available Storage
Airport Road and Walker Road		A.M.	В	13.9 s (WB)	0.11 (EB)	None
	Stop	Mid-Day	В	13.0 s (WB)	0.11 (EB)	None
		P.M.	С	16.7 s (EB/WB)	0.18 (EB)	None

Table 1: 2016 Existing Level of Service

Note: The Level of Service of a stop-controlled intersection is based on the delay associated with the critical minor road approach; i.e., Walker Road.

The intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road is currently operating at a LOS "B" with control delays of 13.9 seconds (WB) and 13.0 seconds (WB) and maximum volume to capacity ratios of 0.11 (EB) and 0.11 (EB) in the a.m. and mid-day peak hours, respectively. The intersection operates at a LOS "C" in the p.m. peak hour with a control delay of 16.7 seconds (EB) and a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.18 (EB). The low delay and volume-to-capacity ratios indicate that the intersection is operating efficiently with minor delays and has reserve capacity for increases in traffic volumes.

The traffic metrics listed above indicate that there are no traffic operational issues at the analyzed intersection under existing conditions.

4. Future Background Conditions

4.1 Horizon Years

Per the original 2016 report, the proposed development was anticipated to be fully built out and occupied by 2019. Thus, study horizons of full buildout (2019), as well as five (2024) and ten (2029) years beyond were studied. These horizons have been maintained, however, it is noted that the future total operations are not analyzed for the 2019 horizon year. The future total operations are analyzed in the 2024 and 2029 horizon years only.

4.2 Growth Rate

Traffic growth rates were determined using average annual daily traffic (AADT) data obtained from the Region of Peel. Data was obtained for the segment of Airport Road, one kilometre south and one kilometre north of Walker Road. Historical AADT volumes indicate that there is a growth rate of approximately 1.57 percent in the community, thus an industry standard two percent growth rate was assumed per discussions with the Town. Historical AADT volumes have been included in **Appendix E** for reference.

Figures 4, 5, and 6 illustrate the future background traffic volumes for the 2019, 2024 and 2029 horizon years, respectively.

4.3 Future Roadway Improvements

The Region of Peel is in the process of completing an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Airport Road corridor from 100 metres north of King Street to 300 metres north of Huntsmill Drive. From conversations with Region staff, it is understood that a roundabout is not currently being contemplated at the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road, however improvements in the form of signalization are being considered. Accordingly, the future background and future total operations were analyzed under both two-way stop-controlled and signalized conditions for comparison. The signalized condition was analyzed with left-turn lanes on all approaches, and a northbound left-turn protected phase to reflect the greater volumes.

4.4 Future Background Developments

Per comments received from Town staff, analyses of future background traffic operations should include trips generated by the Castles of Caledon development proposed at the intersection of Mountainview Road and Walker Road West. It is noted that construction of the development has not commenced. As such, the trips generated by the Castles of Caledon are only incorporated in the 2024 and 2029 horizon years.

The trip generation of the Castles of Caledon was adopted from the Revised TIS (March 2014), by Cole Engineering. Relevant excerpts have been included in **Appendix F** for reference. The analysis contained within the Revised TIS was based on the development proposal of 203 single family detached dwellings. The trip generation was undertaken using information contained within the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition.

It is noted that the redlined draft plan dated March 25, 2018 illustrates 200 single family detached dwelling and has also been included in **Appendix F**. The three-unit reduction will have a negligible impact, accordingly, the trip generation and assignment from the Revised TIS was utilized.

The Revised TIS assessed the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The analysis contained within this report was completed for the weekday mid-day peak as well. In Appendix A of the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, hourly information for the trip generation by land use is provided.

The mid-day peak hour of the roadway was recorded from 12:30 p.m. to 1:30 p.m. According to the Trip Generation Manual, the trip generation at 12:45 p.m. represents 6.1 percent of the daily traffic. Accordingly, the daily traffic volumes were forecasted using the fitted curve equation and resulted in a weekday trip generation of 1,995 trips (997 inbound, 998 outbound). Assuming 6.1 percent, the mid-day traffic volumes are forecasted to be 122 vehicles. Directional distribution information is not available for the mid-day, accordingly, a 50 percent split was assumed.

Excerpts from the ITE Trip Generation Manual have been included in **Appendix F** for reference. The Castles of Caledon trip generation is summarized in **Table 2**

Proposed line	Penduray Pendulaur	Trip Type	Number of Trips			
Proposed Use	kodaway reak noor	тар туре	Inbound	Outbound	Total	
Single Family Detached (Land Use 210)	Weekday A.M.	Primary	38	114	152	
	Weekday Mid-Day	Primary	61	61	122	
	Weekday P.M.	Primary	134	79	213	

Table 2: Castles of Caledon - Trip Generation

The trips were distributed to the boundary road network based on the Site Trip Distribution summarized in Table 5.2 of the Revised TIS. At the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road, 79 percent of trips arrive from and depart to the south, and one percent of trips arrive from and depart to the north. The trip assignment at the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road is illustrated in Figure 5-1 of the Revised TIS. These trips, as well as the mid-day trips, were applied to the future background volume forecasts for the 2024 and 2029 horizon years. These volumes are illustrated in **Figures 5 and 6**, respectively.

4.5 Intersection Operations

Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5 summarize the 2019, 2024 and 2029 future background traffic levels of service associated with the boundary road network based on the future background traffic volumes illustrated in **Figures 4 through 6**, with detailed capacity analyses included in **Appendix D**.

Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service	Control Delay	Maximum Individual V/C Ratio	95 th %ile Queues > Available Storage
Airport Road and Walker Road	ad er Stop	A.M.	В	14.7 s (WB)	0.13 (EB)	None
		Mid-Day	В	13.4 s (WB)	0.12 (EB)	None
		P.M.	С	17.9 s (EB)	0.20 (EB)	None

Table 3: 2019 Future Background Level of Service

Note: The Level of Service of a stop-controlled intersection is based on the delay associated with the critical minor road approach; i.e., Walker Road.

Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service	Control Delay	Maximum Individual V/C Ratio	95 th %ile Queues > Available Storage
Airport Dood		A.M.	С	21.3 s (WB)	0.31 (EB)	None
and Walker	Stop	Mid-Day	С	17.5 s (WB)	0.21 (EB)	None
RODO		P.M.	D	27.4 s (WB)	0.45 (EB)	None
Airport Board	t Pood		В	13.6 s	0.61 (SBT/R)	None
and Walker	Signal	Mid-Day	В	10.5 s	0.38 (SBT/R)	None
RODA		P.M.	В	10.9 s	0.61 (NBT/R)	None

Table 4: 2024 Future Background Level of Service

Note: The Level of Service of a stop-controlled intersection is based on the delay associated with the critical minor road approach; i.e., Walker Road.

The Level of Service of a signalized intersection is based on the average control delay per vehicle.

Table 5: 2029 Future Background Level of Service

Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service	Control Delay	Maximum Individual V/C Ratio	95 th %ile Queues > Available Storage
Airport Dood		A.M.	С	24.7 s (WB)	0.34 (EB)	None
and Walker	Stop	Mid-Day	С	20.5 s (WB)	0.25 (EB)	None
RODU		P.M.	D	34.5 s (EB)	0.56 (EB)	None
		A.M.	В	13.8 s	0.63 (SBT/R)	None
and Walker	Signal	Mid-Day	В	10.9 s	0.43 (SBT/R)	None
RUQQ		P.M.	В	12.0 s	0.68 (NBT/R)	None

Note: The Level of Service of a stop-controlled intersection is based on the delay associated with the critical minor road approach; i.e., Walker Road.

The Level of Service of a signalized intersection is based on the average control delay per vehicle.

The intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road is anticipated to operate at a LOS "C" in the weekday a.m., and mid-day peak hours and a LOS "D" in the p.m. peak hour through the 2029 future background conditions under two-way stop-controlled conditions. The intersection is expected to have control delays of 24.7 seconds (WB), 20.5 seconds (WB) and 34.5 (EB) seconds and maximum volume-to-capacity ratios of 0.34 (EB), 0.25 (EB), and 0.56 (EB) in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively. This indicates that the intersection is expected to continue operating efficiently under future background traffic conditions throughout all horizon years, with excess capacity for increases in traffic volumes.

Under signalized conditions, the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road is anticipated to operate at a LOS "B" through all horizon years in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours. The maximum forecasted control delay is 13.8 seconds in the a.m. peak hour, and the maximum volume-to-capacity ratio is forecasted to be 0.68 (NBT/R) in the p.m. peak hour.

The traffic metrics listed above indicate that there are no operational issues expected to occur under the future background traffic conditions, through to the 2029 horizon year under both two-way stop-controlled and signalized control types.

5. Site Generated Traffic

The proposed development will result in additional vehicles on the boundary road network that previously did not exist. The proposed development will also result in additional turning movements at the boundary road intersections.

The following trip generation calculations for the mixed-use development were conducted based on the site statistics summarized on a previous version of the Development Concept Plan dated June 12, 2019. These calculations were based on a unit count of 32 residential units and a commercial GFA of 13,864 square feet.

This resulted in a forecasted trip generation that is overstated by one trip, four trips and one trip in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively. As such, the findings and conclusions contained within this report remain valid when considering the revised Development Concept Plan dated October 22, 2019.

5.1 Trip Generation

The trip generation of the residential townhomes was forecasted using the fitted curve equations found in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, under Land Use Category 220 "Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)". Per the Development Concept Plan the proposed development is comprised of 32 townhomes.

The trip generation of the commercial retail development was forecasted using the average rates provided for Land Use Category 820 "Shopping Centre". The Development Concept Plan proposes a total commercial GFA of 1,288 square metres (13,864 ft²). The average rate was used because the trip generation resulting from the fitted curve equation is too high for such a small commercial retail GFA.

As defined by the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition, primary trips are made for the specific purpose of visiting the generator. Pass-by trips are made as intermediate stops on the way from an origin to a primary destination without a route diversion. Accordingly, these vehicles do not increase the volume of vehicles on the roadway.

The pass-by trip percentage of the commercial retail pass-by trips was forecasted using the rates provided by the ITE Trip Generation Handbook. Land Use Category 820 "Shopping Centre" was used to forecast a pass-by trip percentage of 34 percent for the mid-day and p.m. peak periods. A pass-by percentage was not applied to the a.m. peak periods as this trip generation generally captures employees of the commercial retail uses.

Per the Terms of Reference discussed with the Region of Peel, the analysis is to include the weekday mid-day trips generated from the site. The ITE Trip Generation Manual does not have fitted curve equations or average values for a mid-day peak, therefore these values were forecasted as percentages of the daily trip generation given for each Land Use Category in Appendix A of the Trip Generation Manual.

The mid-day peak hour of the roadway was recorded from 12:30 p.m. to 1:30 p.m. According to the Trip Generation Manual, the Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) trip generation at 12:30 p.m. represents 5.2 percent of the daily traffic. Accordingly, the daily traffic volumes were forecasted using the fitted curve equation and resulted in a weekday trip generation of 234 trips (117 inbound, 117 outbound). Assuming 5.2 percent, the mid-day traffic volumes are forecasted to be 12 vehicles. Directional distribution information is not available for the mid-day, accordingly, a 50 percent split was assumed.

According to the Trip Generation Manual, the Shopping Centre trip generation at 12:30 p.m. represents 9.8 percent of the daily traffic. Accordingly, the daily traffic volumes were forecasted using the average rate and resulted in a weekday trip generation of 523 trips (261 inbound, 262 outbound). Assuming 9.8 percent, the mid-day traffic volumes are forecasted to be 51 vehicles. Directional distribution information is not available for the mid-day, accordingly, a 50 percent split was assumed. Relevant excerpts from the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition and ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition have been included in **Appendix G** for reference. The forecasted trips are tabulated in **Table 6**.

December of Hard	Roadway Peak	Tuin Turn a		Number of Trips			
Proposed Use	Hour	пртуре	Inbound	Outbound	Total		
		Primary	8	5	13		
	weekddy A.M.	Pass-By	0	0	0		
LUC 820: Shopping Centre	Wookdow Mid Day	Primary	17	17	34		
(13,864 square feet)	weekddy Mid-Ddy	Pass-By	9	8	17		
		Primary	17	18	35		
	weekaay P.M.	Pass-By	8	10	18		
	Weekday A.M.	Primary	3	12	15		
Housing (Low-Rise)	Weekday Mid-Day	Primary	6	6	12		
(32 Units)	Weekday P.M.	Primary	11	7	18		
		Primary	11	17	28		
	weekaay A.M.	Pass-By	0	0	0		
		Primary	23	23	46		
Ισται	weekaay mia-Day	Pass-By	9	8	17		
		Primary	28	25	53		
	weeкaay r.m.	Pass-By	8	10	18		

Table 6: Trip Generation

Note¹: The trip generation forecasts presented in this table were based on a previous version of the Development Concept Plan dated June 12, 2019 and represents a forecasted trip generation that is overstated by one trip, four trips and one trip in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively.

5.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The residential trips generated by the proposed development were distributed to the boundary road network based on Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) published data, and the location of employment, retail and residential areas within Caledon. The TTS data was generated for trips to and from Caledon and surrounding areas, as well as trips within the Caledon area. The residential trip distribution was determined for the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours. TTS survey data has been included in **Appendix H**.

The inbound and outbound trip distributions for the residential trips are illustrated in **Figure 7** and summarized in **Table 7** below.

The commercial trips generated by the proposed development were previously distributed to the boundary road network based on a combination of TTS data and observed travel patterns. The addition of the Castles of Caledon development results in more vehicles on the west approach of the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road. Accordingly, the 2024 future background traffic volumes were used to establish the primary and pass-by trip distributions for the commercial portion of the development.

The commercial trip distribution is summarized in **Table 8** below. The primary and pass-by commercial trip distributions are illustrated in **Figures 9 and 11**, respectively.

Peak Hour	Inbound	Outbound
A.M.	 32% from the north via Airport Road 50% from the south via Airport Road 2% from the east via Walker Road 16% from the west via Walker Road 	 8% to the north via Airport Road 53% to the south via Airport Road 2% to the east via Walker Road 37% to the west via Walker Road
Mid-Day	 24% from the north via Airport Road 57% from the south via Airport Road 2% from the east via Walker Road 17% from the west via Walker Road 	 16% to the north via Airport Road 76% to the south via Airport Road 2% to the east via Walker Road 6% to the west via Walker Road
P.M.	 12% from the north via Airport Road 55% from the south via Airport Road 1% from the east via Walker Road 32% from the west via Walker Road 	 31% to the north via Airport Road 60% to the south via Airport Road 1% to the east via Walker Road 8% to the west via Walker Road

Table 7: Residential Trip Distribution

Table 8: Commercial Trip Distribution

Peak Hour	Inbound	Outbound						
A.M.	 53% from the north via Airport Road 27% from the south via Airport Road 18% from the west/south via Walker Road 2% from the east via Walker Road 	 53% to the north via Airport Road 27% to the south via Airport Road 18% to the west/south via Walker Road 2% to the east via Walker Road 						
Mid-Day	 35% from the north via Airport Road 48% from the south via Airport Road 16% from the west/south via Walker Road 1% from the east via Walker Road 	 35% to the north via Airport Road 48% to the south via Airport Road 16% to the west/south via Walker Road 1% to the east via Walker Road 						
P.M.	 22% from the north via Airport Road 66% from the south via Airport Road 12% from the west/south via Walker Road 0% from the east via Walker Road 	 22% to the north via Airport Road 66% to the south via Airport Road 12% to the west/south via Walker Road 0% to the east via Walker Road 						

6. Total Future Conditions

6.1 Basis of Assessment

The traffic impacts arising from the proposed development were assessed based on the site generated traffic illustrated in **Figures 8**, **10 and 12** being superimposed on the 2024 and 2029 future background traffic volumes in **Figures 5 and 6**. The resulting total traffic volumes for the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours are illustrated in **Figures 13 and 14** for the 2024 and 2029 horizon years.

Detailed capacity analyses are included in **Appendix D**.

6.2 Auxiliary Turn-Lane Analysis

Left-turn lane warrants were undertaken for a northbound left-turn lane on Airport Road at the north access and an eastbound left-turn lane on Walker Road at the site access. The warrants were completed using the MTO Design Supplement for TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads. Airport Road has a posted speed limit of 50 km/h adjacent to the site, thus a 60 km/h design speed was selected, reflecting a traffic engineering convention of a 10 km/h increase to the posted speed limit for lower speed roads. Walker Road has a posted speed limit of 40 km/h, thus a 50 km/h design speed was selected. **Table 9** summarizes the results of the northbound and eastbound left-turn lane analyses.

Intersection	Peak Hour	VA	V _A % Left Turns in V _A		Warranted	Minimum Storage (metres)	MTO GDSOH Figure						
Northbound Left-turn Lane													
Aire art Da aid	A.M.	223	1%	533	No	-	Ex 9A-6						
and Site Access C	Mid-Day	324	1%	321 No		-	Ex 9A-6						
	P.M.	716	716 1%		Yes	15 m	Ex 9A-6						
			Eastbound Lef	t-turn Lane									
Walker Deed	A.M.	177	1%	89	No	-	Ex 9A-2						
Walker Road and Site Access A	Mid-Day	Mid-Day 143		141	No	-	Ex 9A-2						
	P.M.	154	5%	225	No	-	Ex 9A-2						

Table 9: 2029 Future Total Auxiliary Lane Analysis

Given the results in **Table 9**, a left turn lane is warranted on Airport Road at Site Access C, with a minimum storage length of 15 metres. Per Schedule K of the Town of Caledon's Official Plan (November 2015), the road right-of-way width is 36 metres on Airport Road adjacent to the site. Additionally, the taper of the left-turn lane at Leamster Trail extends to the north curb extension of the proposed Condo Road (Allison's Grove). Accordingly, this turning lane can be extended south past Site Access C while remaining within the Region's right-of-way.

Auxiliary left-turn lane warrant charts have been included in **Appendix I** for reference.

Southbound right-turn lanes were considered on Airport Road at Site Access B and Site Access C. Per the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (GDGCR), a right-turn lane is required when the volume of right-turns causes a large delay to the through movements. The projected volume of right-turning vehicles at Site Accesses B and C is forecasted to be less than 10 vehicles. Accordingly, the southbound through movement is not expected to experience a material delay, indicating that an auxiliary lane or taper is not warranted for reasons of delay.

6.3 Intersection Operations

Table 10 and Table 11 outline the 2024 and 2029 future total traffic operations associated with the boundary road network, with detailed capacity analyses included in **Appendix D**. Site Accesses A and C were analyzed under full moves condition, and Site Access B was analyzed under right-in/right-out conditions. Additionally, analysis of all horizon years included a 15-metre northbound left-turn lane on Airport Road at Site Access C.

Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Level of Service	Control Delay	Maximum Individual V/C Ratio	95 th %ile Queues > Available Storage	
		A.M.	С	22.2 s (WB)	0.33 (EB)	None	
Airport Road and Walker Road	Stop	Mid-Day	С	18.4 s (WB)	0.26 (EB)	None	
		P.M.	D	31.0 s (EB)	0.53 (EB)	None	
		A.M.	А	9.5 s (SB)	0.01 (SB)	None	
Walker Road and Site Access A	Stop	Mid-Day	А	9.9 s (SB)	0.03 (SB)	None	
		P.M.	В	10.6 s (SB)	0.03 (SB)	None	
		A.M.	В	11.2 s (EB) 0.00 (EB)		None	
Airport Road and Site Access B	Stop	Mid-Day	А	9.8 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None	
		P.M.	А	9.7 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None	
		A.M.	В	11.7 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None	
Airport Road and Site Access C	Stop	Mid-Day	В	10.5 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None	
		P.M.	В	12.7 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None	
		A.M.	В	13.9 s	0.62 (SBT/R)	None	
Airport Road and Walker Road	Signal	Mid-Day	В	10.7 s	0.39 (SBT/R)	None	
		P.M.	В	11.4 s	0.61 (NBT/R)	None	

Table 10: 2024 Future Total Level of Service

Note: The Level of Service of a stop-controlled intersection is based on the delay associated with the critical minor road approach; i.e., Walker Road.

The Level of Service of a signalized intersection is based on the average control delay per vehicle.

Intersection Control		Peak Hour	Peak Level of Hour Service		Maximum Individual V/C Ratio	95 th %ile Queues > Available Storage			
		A.M.	D	25.6 s (WB)	0.36 (EB)	None			
Airport Road and Walker Road	Stop	Mid-Day	С	21.7 s (WB)	0.30 (EB)	None			
		P.M.	E	49.9 s (EB)	0.70 (EB)	None			
		A.M.	А	9.5 s (SB)	0.01 (SB)	None			
Walker Road and	Stop	Mid-Day	В	10.0 s (SB)	0.03 (SB)	None			
		P.M.	В	10.7 s (SB)	0.03 (SB)	None			
		A.M.	В	11.6 s (EB)	0.00 (EB)	None			
Airport Road and Site Access B	Stop	Mid-Day	В	10.0 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None			
		P.M.	А	9.9 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None			
		A.M.	В	12.2 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None			
Airport Road and Site Access C	Stop	Mid-Day	В	10.9 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None			
		P.M.	В	13.5 s (EB)	0.01 (EB)	None			
		A.M.	В	14.7 s	0.69 (SBT/R)	None			
Airport Road and Walker Road	Signal	Mid-Day	В	11.1 s	0.44 (SBT/R)	None			
		P.M.	В	12.3 s	0.68 (NBT/R)	None			

Table 11: 2029 Future Total Level of Service

Note: The Level of Service of a stop-controlled intersection is based on the delay associated with the critical minor road approach; i.e., Walker Road.

The Level of Service of a signalized intersection is based on the average control delay per vehicle.

The metrics listed above indicate that the site accesses are expected to operate efficiently with minimal delays under full moves and right-in/right-out conditions. The intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road is expected to operate at levels of service "D", "C" and "E" in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively, under unsignalized conditions. With the implementation of signals, the levels of service are expected to improve to a "B" under all horizon years in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours. The control day is expected to be 14.7 seconds or less, and the maximum volume-to-capacity ratio is expected to be 0.69 or less.

7. Sight Distance Assessment

A sight distance analysis was conducted to confirm that there is sufficient sight distance for drivers approaching and exiting the site on Airport Road. The measured sight distances were compared to the standards set out in the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometrics Design Guide for Canadian Roads (GDGCR).

7.1 Stopping Sight Distance

As noted previously, Airport Road has a design speed of 60 km/h and Walker Road has a design speed of 50 km/h. Airport Road has a downward slope travelling south towards the site accesses. Using aerial photography, a three percent downgrade was estimated on Airport Road approaching the accesses from the north. Airport Road is relatively level approaching the accesses from the south, similarly, Walker Road is level fronting the access. The minimum stopping sight distance requirements are as

follows:

- Per TAC GDGCR Table 2.5.3, the minimum stopping sight distance for roads with a downgrade of three percent and a design speed of 60 km/h is 87 metres.
- Per TAC GDGCR Table 2.5.2, the minimum stopping sight-distance for level roadways with a design speed of 60 km/h is 85 metres.
- Per TAC GDGCR Table 2.5.2, the minimum stopping sight-distance for level roadways with a design speed of 50 km/h is 65 metres.

The available sight distance to the north and south of the Airport Road accesses is in excess of 200 metres. The Walker Road access is located approximately 55 metres west of the centre of the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road. Clear sight lines are available to Airport Road, where vehicles would be approaching from turning movements, and therefore would not have attained the posted or design speed before reaching the access. To the west of the access, the available sight distance is in excess of 200 metres.

There is sufficient sight distance at the proposed accesses to meet the minimum TAC stopping sight distance criteria.

Relevant TAC GDGCR excerpts are included in **Appendix J**.

7.2 Intersection Sight Distance

Section 9.9 of the TAC GDGCR provides intersection sight distance for different intersection control types. The applicable cases are as follows:

- Case B Intersections with stop control on the minor road
 - Case B1 Left turn from the minor road
 - Case B2 Right turn from the minor road
- Case F Left turns from the major road

Intersection sight distance is calculated using equation 9.9.1 from the GDGCR as outlined below:

$$ISD = 0.278 * V major * t_G$$

Where; ISD = Intersection Sight Distance V_{major} = design speed of roadway (km/h) t_G = assumed time gap for vehicles to turn from stop onto roadway (s)

The calculated and design sight distances are further summarized in TAC GDGCR Tables 9.9.4, 9.9.6 and 9.9.12 for vehicles turning left from stop, turning right from stop, or turning left from the major road, respectively. **Table 12** contains a summary of the intersection sight distance requirements for each of the applicable cases.

Case	Approach	Time Gap	Required Intersection Sight Distance	Available Sight Distance	TAC Reference										
	Airport Road														
Design Speed = 60 km/h															
B1: Vehicles turning	North	8.0 s	135 m	+ 200 m	Table 9.9.3 and Equation 9.9.1										
left from stop	South	8.0 s	135 m	+ 200 m	Table 9.9.3 and Equation 9.9.1										
B2: Vehicles turning right from stop	North	North 6.5 s 11		+ 200 m	Table 9.9.6										
F: Left turns from the major road	North	5.5 s	+ 200 m	Table 9.9.12											
		Walke Design Spee	r Road d = 50 km/h												
B1: Vehicles turning	East	7.5 s	105 m	55 m ¹	Table 9.9.4										
left from stop	West	7.5 s	105 m	+ 200 m	Table 9.9.4										
B2: Vehicles turning right from stop	East	6.5 s	95 m	55 m ¹	Table 9.9.6										
F: Left turns from the major road	East	5.5 s	80 m	55 m 1	Table 9.9.12										

Table 12: Intersection Sight Distance Requirements

Note¹: The intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road is 55 metres east of the site access.

Walker Road forms the minor approach of the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road. Walker Road has a straight and flat profile, and as such there are clear sightlines to the nearby intersection. The access is located approximately 55 metres west of the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road. While this distance is less than the minimum sight distance requirements, vehicles from Airport Road would approach via turning movements, thus, vehicles are not expected to attain operating speed before reaching the site accesses. Therefore, there is sufficient sight distance for vehicles approaching and exiting the proposed Walker Road site access.

The available sight distance on Walker Road to the west, and Airport Road to the north and south exceed the minimum sight distance requirements. Accordingly, the proposed accesses can be supported from a sight distance perspective.

Relevant excerpts from TAC GDGCR have been included in **Appendix J**.

8. Transit and Cycling

There are no transit facilities within a ten-kilometre radius of the site at this time. As such, transit is not considered to be a viable/convenient primary transportation mode choice for accessing the site. The Caledon Trailway, Trans Canada Trail and Greenbelt Cycling Route intersect with Airport Road approximately 500 metres south of the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road, offering east/west cycling connectivity throughout Caledon.

9. Truck Turning Analysis

A truck turning analysis was completed for the site to demonstrate that design vehicles could manoeuvre through the site and access the proposed delivery space without any conflicts. The vehicle manoeuvring diagrams have been included in **Appendix K**. The diagrams demonstrate that the internal road layout allows for continuous curbside pickup, and a refuse vehicle can manoeuvre through the site without any conflicts with curbs or parking spaces. Additionally, Light Single Unit (LSU) trucks can access the proposed delivery space without any conflicts with curbs or parking spaces. Accordingly, the proposed site plan is supportable from a vehicular manoeuvring perspective.

10. Conclusions

The detailed analysis contained within this report has resulted in the following key findings:

- Examination of the existing 2016 traffic conditions indicate that the Airport Road and Walker Road intersection is operating efficiently at a LOS "C" or better during the weekday a.m., midday and p.m. peak hours;
- Examination of the 2019, 2024 and 2029 future background traffic conditions indicate that the Airport Road and Walker Road intersection is anticipated to continue operating efficiently at a LOS "D" or better during the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours;
- Calculation of the trips generated by the proposed residential and commercial development indicate that the proposed development is expected to add 28, 46 and 53 primary trips and 0, 17 and 18 pass-by trips to the boundary road network in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively;
- Completion of an auxiliary turn-lane analysis indicates that the traffic volumes at Site Access A are too low to warrant an eastbound left-turn lane and a northbound left-turn lane with 15 metres of storage is warranted at Site Access C. Accordingly, it is recommended that the leftturn lane at Leamster Trail be extended south past the access. The right-turn volumes are too low at all three accesses to warrant dedicated right-turn lanes;
- Examination of the 2024 and 2029 future total traffic conditions indicate that the Airport Road and Walker Road intersection is anticipated to continue operate at a LOS "E" or better during the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours and the control delay and maximum volume to capacity ratios are expected to experience a maximum increase of 15 seconds and 0.14, respectively, when compared with future background traffic operations;
 - The Region has indicated that the intersection of Airport Road and Walker Road has been identified for future improvements, including signalization. Under signalized conditions, the intersection is anticipated to operate at a LOS "B" with a maximum control delay of 14.7 seconds (a.m. peak hour) and a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.69 (SBT/R) (a.m. peak hour).
- Examination of the 2024 and 2029 future total traffic conditions at Site Access A, B and C indicate that the accesses are anticipated to operate efficiently at a LOS "B" or better during the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, with a maximum control delay and volume-to-capacity ratio of 13.5 seconds and 0.03 (SB) experienced at Site Access C and A, respectively. The proposed site accesses can be supported from a traffic operations perspective;

- Assessment of sight distances at the site accesses indicate that there is sufficient sight distance for vehicles entering and exiting the site. Accordingly, the proposed development is not expected to create a safety hazard due to vehicle ingress or egress at the full moves site accesses on Airport Road and Walker Road. The proposed accesses can be supported from a sight distance perspective; and,
- Assessment of the vehicle manoeuvres indicates that refuse vehicles and LSU trucks can manoeuvre through the site without any conflicts with internal curbs or parking spaces.

It is concluded that the traffic generated from the proposed development can be accommodated by the boundary road network, with noted recommendations.

The analysis undertaken herein was prepared using the Development Concept Plan dated June 12, 2019. The trip generation described herein was overstated by one trip, four trips and one trip in the weekday a.m., mid-day and p.m. peak hours, respectively. As such, the findings and conclusions contained within this report remain valid when considering the revised Development Concept Plan dated October 22, 2019. Any minor changes to the Development Concept Plan will not materially affect the conclusions contained within this report.

The proposed mixed-use development can be supported from a traffic safety, operations and circulation perspective, with the implementation of the noted recommendation for a northbound left-turn lane at the northerly site access to Airport Road.

Prepared by,

C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC.

Alexander J. W. Fleming, MBA, P.Eng. Associate C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC.

Madeleine Ferguson, ElT Engineering Intern, Transportation

/MF

J:\100\110 - Schnarr\4331-16114 Airport Rd\Reports\TIS Update - October 2019\4331_TIS Update (October 2019).docx

APPENDIX A

Correspondence

C.F. Crozier & Associates Inc. Project No. 110-4331

Madeleine Ferguson

Subject:

FW: 16114 Airport Road - TIS ToR

From: Dean McMillan <Dean.McMillan@caledon.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Madeleine Ferguson <mferguson@cfcrozier.ca>
Cc: Michael Linton <mlinton@cfcrozier.ca>; Alex Fleming <afleming@cfcrozier.ca>
Subject: RE: 16114 Airport Road - TIS ToR

Madeleine,

Thank you for the e-mail below, very much appreciated.

My comments include the following:

- Typically, our traffic count data includes collection during the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.
- It would be ideal if you could include any parking analysis that you have conducted or parking information collected pertaining to this development

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at the number below,

Dean

Dean McMillan Acting Manager, Transportation Transportation Finance & Infrastructure Services

Town of Caledon 6311 Old Church Road Caledon, ON L7C 1J6 1.888.225.3366 905.584.2272 x.4093

www.caledon.ca www.visitcaledon.ca

Madeleine Ferguson

From:	Kol, Rani <rani.kol@peelregion.ca></rani.kol@peelregion.ca>
Sent:	Friday, August 12, 2016 10:02 AM
То:	Madeleine Ferguson
Subject:	Traffic Engineering Comments - Terms of Reference - 16114 Airport Road - our file
-	D-00729675W

Madeleine,

This is in response to your request for Regional input to the proposed Terms of Reference for the Traffic Impact Study (TIS) associated with the proposed above noted development. We would like to offer the following comments with respect to analysis of Regional Roads:

Full Description

The study should provide a full description of the proposed development. This will include, but not be limited to the following:

- 1. Municipal address;
- 2. Existing land uses that are permitted and use provisions in an Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law, etc.;
- 3. Proposed land uses;
- 4. Floor space including a summary of each type of use;
- 5. Anticipated date of occupancy;
- 6. Planned phasing of the development;
- 7. We agree with the listed intersections to be included;
- 8. Number of lanes, width and configuration;
 - All design standards must be in accordance with those outlined in the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads and the Ministry of Transportation, Ontario (MTO) Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways.
- 9. Proposed access points and type of access;
 - When determining the location of an access, consideration should be given to how the access will affect the surrounding road network, area residents and area businesses. All proposed site access points on Regional roads should be evaluated for capacity, safety and adequacy of queue storage capacity. Approval of the proposed access will be evaluated using the Region of Peel's current Controlled Access By-law and sound engineering judgement.
 - Please refer to the <u>Region of Peel Public Works Standard Drawing 5-1-4, typical design for right in/right</u> out access.
- 10. Nearby transit facilities/stops;
- 11. Bike paths;
- 12. A combination of maps and other documentation which will identify all relevant information.
- 13. Confirmation from Town of Caledon staff for any on-street parking requirements is needed. Should on-street parking be required, it will be required to be incorporated into a functional design.

Traffic Volume Analysis

Please include the following in the analysis:

- 1. We agree with the proposed horizon years;
- 2. We agree with the AM and PM peak periods;
- 3. Background, Site Generated and Total traffic volumes;
- 4. "Worst case" combination of site-related and background traffic;
- 5. Please contact Eric Chan, Principal Planner, Transportation Planning at extension 4417 to obtain the Growth Rates along Airport Road;

6. Please contact Josh Di Rocco, Traffic Operations at extension 7905 for most recent average annual daily traffic (AADT) and TMCs required for the study.

Functional Design

The Region will consider right-in/right-out access onto Airport Road. A functional design of any right turn lanes will be required.

Appendix

The following is to be included in the appendix:

- 1. Turning movement counts (include date counted) with breakdown of heavy vehicle counts;
- 2. Signal timing plan for signalized intersections; and
- 3. Electronic Synchro files (CD copy or sent concurrently with the TIS via email).

The traffic impact study should consist of a main document, supplemented by technical appendices containing detailed analyses as required.

The Region of Peel will require one (1) copy to be in electronic format and two (2) hard copies complete with the appropriate supporting documentation. This shall be submitted to the Traffic Engineering section of Public Works for our review and comment.

All information submitted to Regional staff in connection with any Traffic Impact Study will be considered to be in the public domain.

Also, for reference, the Region's generic terms of reference can be found at <u>https://www.peelregion.ca/pw/transportation/business/impact-study.htm</u>

Should you have any further questions or concerns regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Rani Kol

Technical Analyst, Traffic Development & Permits Transportation Division, Public Works

Tel: (905) 791-7800 ext. 7858 Fax: (905) 791-1442

Appendix B

Turning Movement Counts

Ontario Traffic Inc.													
Morning Peak Diagram	Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 7:00:00 From: 7:45:00 To: 9:00:00 To: 8:45:00												
Municipality:CaledonSite #:1625000001Intersection:Airport Rd & Walker Rd WTFR File #:1Count date:17-Aug-16	Weather conditions: Person(s) who counted:												
** Non-Signalized Intersection ** Major Road: Airport Rd runs N/S													
North Leg Total: 578 Cyclists 0 0 0 0 North Entering: 409 Trucks 0 45 0 45 North Peds: 0 Cars 20 338 6 36 Peds Cross: Image: Constant Sector Sect	Cyclists0East Leg Total:36Trucks28East Entering:20Cars141East Peds:0Totals169Peds Cross:X												
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals Ai 0 2 41 43	Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 6 0 0 6 1 0 0 1 1 3 0 0 13												
Walker Rd W	E												
Cyclists TrucksCarsTotals0010100044	Walker Rd W												
0 1 51 52 0 1 65 Airport Rd	Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 16 0 0 16												
Peds Cross: Image: Construction of the sector of the s	rs 20 125 6 151 Peds Cross: Image: second												
Comr	nents												
West Entering: 66 Cyclists 0 Cyclis West Leg Total: 109 Totals 448 Totals Comm Comm Comm	ts 0 0 0 0 South Entering: 181 Is 22 153 6 South Leg Total: 629												

Ontario T	raffic Inc.													
Mid-day Peak Diagram	Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 11:00:00 From: 12:30:00 To: 14:00:00 To: 13:30:00													
Municipality:CaledonSite #:1625000001Intersection:Airport Rd & Walker Rd WTFR File #:1Count date:17-Aug-16	Weather conditions: Person(s) who counted:													
** Non-Signalized Intersection ** Major Road: Airport Rd runs N/S														
North Leg Total: 479 Cyclists 0 0 0 North Entering: 234 Trucks 0 37 0 37 North Peds: 0 Cars 9 185 3 15 Peds Cross: ⋈ Totals 9 222 3	Cyclists 0 Trucks 24 Cars 221 Totals 245 East Leg Total: 37 East Entering: 11 East Peds: 0 Peds Cross: X													
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals Ai 0 6 55 61 Walker Rd W	rport Rd $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
W Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals	E Walker Rd W													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 26 0 0 26													
Peds Cross: X Cars 237 Car West Peds: 4 Trucks 40 Truck West Entering: 69 Cyclists 0 Cyclists West Leg Total: 130 Totals 277 Totals	ars4420615265Peds Cross: \bowtie ks624030South Peds:0ats0000South Entering:295als5023015South Leg Total:572													
Comr	nents													
Comr	nents													





	Ontario Traffic Inc. Traffic Count Summary													
Intersection:	Airport F	24 & Wa	lker Rd \	M	Count E		S Muni		ledon					
	Nort	h Appro	ach Tot	als		in Aug I		Sout	h Annro	oach To	tals			
	Include	es Cars, T	rucks, & C	yclists		North/South		Include	es Cars, T	rucks, & C	yclists			
Hour Ending	Left	Thru	Right	Grand Total	Total Peds	Total Approaches	Hour Ending	Left	Thru	Right	Grand Total	Total Peds		
7:00:00 8:00:00 9:00:00 11:00:00 12:00:00 13:00:00 14:00:00 15:00:00 16:00:00 17:00:00 18:00:00	0 2 5 1 4 5 2 0 3 4 7	0 393 349 5 217 215 5 216 219 207	0 23 21 0 10 13 4 0 9 9 11	0 418 375 231 245 221 5 228 232 225	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 569 554 9 478 504 511 18 630 800 780	7:00:00 8:00:00 9:00:00 11:00:00 12:00:00 13:00:00 14:00:00 16:00:00 17:00:00 18:00:00	0 10 18 0 31 44 52 3 8 57 64	0 136 155 2 202 225 10 353 503 476	0 5 6 1 14 13 13 0 11 8 15	0 151 179 3 247 259 290 13 402 568 555	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0		
Totals:	ls: 33 2053 100 2 East Approach Totals			2186 als	86 0	0	4853		317 Wes	2264 t A ppro	86 ach Tot	2667 als	2	
	Include	es Cars, T	rucks, & C	yclists		East/West		Includes Cars, Trucks, & Cyclists						
Hour Ending	Left	Thru	Right	Grand Total	Total Peds	Total Approaches	Hour Ending	Left	Thru	Right	Grand Total	Total Peds		
7:00:00 8:00:00 9:00:00 11:00:00 12:00:00 13:00:00 14:00:00 15:00:00 16:00:00 17:00:00 18:00:00	0 12 8 0 8 4 7 0 6 5 1	0 5 0 2 5 3 2 0 2 2 6	0 2 7 0 6 7 4 0 8 4 3	0 19 15 2 19 14 13 0 16 11	0 0 0 1 4 0 0 0 2 1	0 80 74 3 74 80 72 0 73 64 74	7:00:00 8:00:00 9:00:00 11:00:00 12:00:00 13:00:00 14:00:00 15:00:00 16:00:00 17:00:00 18:00:00	0 17 8 0 9 12 5 0 20 22 27	0 4 1 2 10 9 0 5 7 5	0 40 47 0 44 44 45 0 32 24 32	0 61 59 1 55 66 59 0 57 53 64	0 5 2 0 0 4 7 0 0 0 0 0		
Totals:	51	27	41	119	8	594		120	47	308	475	18		
Hours En Crossing	ding: Values:	8:00 35	9:00 20	12:00 22	13:00 26	or Traffic Cr	0ssing M 14:00 21	16:00 31	פפז 17:00 35	18:00 34				

Count Date: 17-Aug-16 Site #: 1625000001

	Passenger Cars - North Approach						Trucks - North Approach					Cyclists - North Approach					Pedestrians			
Interval	Le	ft	Th	ru	Rig	jht	Le	eft	Th	ru	Rig	lht	Le	əft	Th	Thru		Right		Cross
Time	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr	Cum	Incr
7:00:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
7:15:00	0	0	91	91	4	4	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
7:30:00	0	0	174	83	13	9	0	0	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
7:45:00	1	1	272	98	18	5	0	0	17	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
8:00:00	2	1	364	92	23	5	0	0	29	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
8:15:00	2	0	435	71	26	3	0	0	41	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
8:30:00	6	4	524	89	33	7	0	0	51	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
8:45:00	7	1	610	86	38	5	0	0	62	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
9:00:00	7	0	671	61	42	4	0	0	71	9	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
9:01:14	8	1	675	4	42	0	0	0	71	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
11:00:00	8	0	675	0	42	0	0	0	72	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
11:15:00	8	0	720	45	45	3	0	0	79	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
11:30:00	10	2	765	45	48	3	0	0	89	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
11:45:00	11	1	816	51	51	3	0	0	102	13	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
12:00:00	12	1	858	42	52	1	0	0	106	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
12:15:00	14	2	903	45	54	2	0	0	111	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
12:30:00	15	1	957	54	59	5	0	0	116	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
12:45:00	15	0	1021	64	62	3	0	0	122	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
13:00:00	17	2	1059	38	65	3	0	0	132	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
13:15:00	18	1	1099	40	67	2	0	0	142	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
13:30:00	18	0	1142	43	68	1	0	0	153	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
13:45:00	18	0	1192	50	68	0	0	0	160	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
14:00:00	19	1	1240	48	69	1	0	0	166	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
14:00:39	19	0	1240	0	69	0	0	0	166	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
15:00:00	19	0	1244	4	69	0	0	0	167	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
15:15:00	19	0	1294	50	71	2	0	0	1/6	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
15:30:00	20	1	1335	41	74	3	0	0	188	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	() 0
15:45:00	21	1	1377	42	75	1	0	0	194	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
16:00:00	22	1	1428	51	/8	3	0	0	199	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
16:15:00	23	1	1481	53	80		0	0	205	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
16:45:00	23	0	1000	52	01	۱ د	0	0	211	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
17:00:00	20	<u></u>	1000	25	04	ა ა	0	0	217	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
17:00:00	20	1	1675	50	07	<u>ງ</u>	1	1	223	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
17:15:00	20	0	1706	2C	69	2	1	1	220	3	2	0		0	0	0	0	0		
17:30:00	29	3	1720	10	09	0	1	0	230	4 E	2	0		0	0	0		0		<u>, 0</u>
17:45:00	3Z	3	1016	40	94	C ∠	1	0	230	5	2	0		0	0	0	0	0		<u>, 0</u>
18:00:00	32	0	1010	44	98	4	1	0	237	2	2	0		0	0	0		0		<u>, 0</u>
10.00.14	32	0	0101	0	90	0	l	0	237	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		, 0

Count Date: 17-Aug-16

Site #: 1625000001

Passenger Cars - East Approach Trucks - East Approach Cyclists - East Approach Pedestrians Interval Left Thru Right Left Thru Right Left Thru Right East Cross Time Cum Incr 7:00:00 7:15:00 7:30:00 7:45:00 8:00:00 8:15:00 8:30:00 8:45:00 9:00:00 9:01:14 11:00:00 11:15:00 11:30:00 11:45:00 12:00:00 12:15:00 12:30:00 12:45:00 13:00:00 13:15:00 13:30:00 13:45:00 14:00:00 14:00:39 15:00:00 15:15:00 15:30:00 15:45:00 16:00:00 16:15:00 16:30:00 16:45:00 17:00:00 17:15:00 17:30:00 17:45:00 18:00:00 18:00:14

Count Date: 17-Aug-16

Site #: 1625000001

Passenger Cars - South Approach **Trucks - South Approach Cyclists - South Approach** Pedestrians Interval Left Thru Right Left Thru Right Left Thru Right South Cross Time Cum Incr 7:00:00 7:15:00 7:30:00 7:45:00 8:00:00 8:15:00 8:30:00 8:45:00 9:00:00 9:01:14 11:00:00 11:15:00 11:30:00 11:45:00 12:00:00 12:15:00 12:30:00 12:45:00 13:00:00 13:15:00 13:30:00 13:45:00 14:00:00 14:00:39 15:00:00 15:15:00 15:30:00 15:45:00 16:00:00 16:15:00 16:30:00 16:45:00 17:00:00 17:15:00 17:30:00 17:45:00 18:00:00 18:00:14

Count Date: 17-Aug-16

Site #: 1625000001

Trucks - West Approach Passenger Cars - West Approach Cyclists - West Approach Pedestrians Left Interval Left Thru Right Thru Right Left Thru Right West Cross Time Cum Incr 7:00:00 7:15:00 7:30:00 7:45:00 8:00:00 8:15:00 8:30:00 8:45:00 9:00:00 9:01:14 11:00:00 11:15:00 11:30:00 11:45:00 12:00:00 12:15:00 12:30:00 12:45:00 13:00:00 13:15:00 13:30:00 13:45:00 14:00:00 14:00:39 15:00:00 15:15:00 15:30:00 15:45:00 16:00:00 16:15:00 16:30:00 16:45:00 17:00:00 17:15:00 17:30:00 17:45:00 18:00:00 18:00:14
APPENDIX C

Level of Service Definitions

Level of Service Definitions

Two-Way Stop Controlled Intersections

Level of Service	Control Delay per Vehicle (seconds)	Interpretation
A	≤ 10	EXCELLENT. Large and frequent gaps in traffic on the main roadway. Queuing on the minor street is rare.
В	> 10 and ≤ 15	VERY GOOD. Many gaps exist in traffic on the main roadway. Queuing on the minor street is minimal.
С	> 15 and ≤ 25	GOOD. Fewer gaps exist in traffic on the main roadway. Delay on minor approach becomes more noticeable.
D	> 25 and ≤ 35	FAIR. Infrequent and shorter gaps in traffic on the main roadway. Queue lengths develop on the minor street.
Е	> 35 and ≤ 50	POOR. Very infrequent gaps in traffic on the main roadway. Queue lengths become noticeable.
F	> 50	UNSATISFACTORY. Very few gaps in traffic on the main roadway. Excessive delay with significant queue lengths on the minor street.

Adapted from Highway Capacity Manual 2000, Transportation Research Board

Signalized Intersections

Level of Service	Control Delay per Vehicle (seconds)	Interpretation
A	≤ 10	EXCELLENT. Extremely favourable progression with most vehicles arriving during the green phase. Most vehicles do not stop and short cycle lengths may contribute to low delay.
В	> 10 and ≤ 20	VERY GOOD. Very good progression and/or short cycle lengths with slightly more vehicles stopping than LOS "A" causing slightly higher levels of average delay.
С	> 20 and ≤ 35	GOOD. Fair progression and longer cycle lengths lead to a greater number of vehicles stopping than LOS "B".
D	> 35 and ≤ 55	FAIR. Congestion becomes noticeable with higher average delays resulting from a combination of long cycle lengths, high volume- to-capacity ratios and unfavourable progression.
E	> 55 and ≤ 80	POOR. Lengthy delays values are indicative of poor progression, long cycle lengths and high volume-to- capacity ratios. Individual cycle failures are common with individual movement failures also common.
F	> 80	UNSATISFACTORY. Indicative of oversaturated conditions with vehicular demand greater than the capacity of the intersection.

Adapted from Highway Capacity Manual 2000, Transportation Research Board

Appendix D

Detailed Capacity Analyses

C.F. Crozier & Associates Inc. Project No. 110-4331

2016 Existing AM

-	
st	07/23/2019

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	←	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			÷			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	10	4	52	13	1	6	22	153	6	6	383	20
Future Volume (Veh/h)	10	4	52	13	1	6	22	153	6	6	383	20
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	10	4	52	13	1	6	22	153	6	6	383	20
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	612	608	393	659	615	156	403			159		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	612	608	393	659	615	156	403			159		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	97	99	92	96	100	99	98			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	398	403	660	341	400	890	1167			1379		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	66	20	181	409								
Volume Left	10	13	22	6								
Volume Right	52	6	6	20								
cSH	580	422	1167	1379								
Volume to Capacity	0.11	0.05	0.02	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.9	1.1	0.4	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	12.0	13.9	1.1	0.2								
Lane LOS	В	В	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	12.0	13.9	1.1	0.2								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.0									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		33.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2016 Existing AFT West 07/23/2019

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			÷			÷			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	11	8	50	5	2	4	50	230	15	3	222	9
Future Volume (Veh/h)	11	8	50	5	2	4	50	230	15	3	222	9
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	11	8	50	5	2	4	50	230	15	3	222	9
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	575	578	226	624	574	238	231			245		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	575	578	226	624	574	238	231			245		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.3	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.4	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	97	98	94	99	100	99	96			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	415	413	818	360	415	792	1349			1265		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	69	11	295	234								
Volume Left	11	5	50	3								
Volume Right	50	4	15	9								
cSH	645	463	1349	1265								
Volume to Capacity	0.11	0.02	0.04	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.7	0.6	0.9	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	11.2	13.0	1.6	0.1								
Lane LOS	В	В	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	11.2	13.0	1.6	0.1								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.3									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		42.4%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2016 Existing PM West 07/23/2019

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	←	•	٠	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	28	7	31	2	5	4	65	516	11	7	212	8
Future Volume (Veh/h)	28	7	31	2	5	4	65	516	11	7	212	8
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	28	7	31	2	5	4	65	516	11	7	212	8
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	888	887	216	916	886	522	220			527		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	888	887	216	916	886	522	220			527		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	89	97	96	99	98	99	95			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	247	269	829	230	270	553	1281			1050		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	66	11	592	227								
Volume Left	28	2	65	7								
Volume Right	31	4	11	8								
cSH	374	319	1281	1050								
Volume to Capacity	0.18	0.03	0.05	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.4	0.7	1.1	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	16.7	16.7	1.4	0.3								
Lane LOS	С	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	16.7	16.7	1.4	0.3								
Approach LOS	С	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.4									
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		61.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2019 Future Background AM

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	٠	t	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			÷			÷			÷	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	11	4	55	14	1	6	23	162	6	6	406	21
Future Volume (Veh/h)	11	4	55	14	1	6	23	162	6	6	406	21
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	11	4	55	14	1	6	23	162	6	6	406	21
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	646	642	416	696	650	165	427			168		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	646	642	416	696	650	165	427			168		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	97	99	91	96	100	99	98			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	377	385	641	319	381	879	1143			1368		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	70	21	191	433								
Volume Left	11	14	23	6								
Volume Right	55	6	6	21								
cSH	558	394	1143	1368								
Volume to Capacity	0.13	0.05	0.02	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.2	1.3	0.5	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	12.4	14.7	1.2	0.2								
Lane LOS	В	В	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	12.4	14.7	1.2	0.2								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.0									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		34.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2019 Future Background AFT

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	4	←	•	٩.	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			÷			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	12	8	53	5	2	4	53	244	16	3	236	10
Future Volume (Veh/h)	12	8	53	5	2	4	53	244	16	3	236	10
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	12	8	53	5	2	4	53	244	16	3	236	10
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	610	613	241	662	610	252	246			260		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	610	613	241	662	610	252	246			260		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.3	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.4	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	97	98	93	99	99	99	96			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	393	393	803	336	395	777	1332			1249		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	73	11	313	249								
Volume Left	12	5	53	3								
Volume Right	53	4	16	10								
cSH	624	439	1332	1249								
Volume to Capacity	0.12	0.03	0.04	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.0	0.6	0.9	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	11.5	13.4	1.6	0.1								
Lane LOS	В	В	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	11.5	13.4	1.6	0.1								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summarv												
Average Delay			2.4									
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		44.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2019 Future Background PM

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	30	7	33	2	4	5	69	548	12	7	225	8
Future Volume (Veh/h)	30	7	33	2	4	5	69	548	12	7	225	8
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	30	7	33	2	4	5	69	548	12	7	225	8
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	942	941	229	972	939	554	233			560		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	942	941	229	972	939	554	233			560		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	87	97	96	99	98	99	95			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	227	249	815	210	250	530	1267			1021		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	70	11	629	240								
Volume Left	30	2	69	7								
Volume Right	33	5	12	8								
cSH	348	314	1267	1021								
Volume to Capacity	0.20	0.03	0.05	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.6	0.8	1.3	0.2								
Control Delay (s)	17.9	16.9	1.5	0.3								
Lane LOS	С	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	17.9	16.9	1.5	0.3								
Approach LOS	С	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.6									
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		64.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2024 Future Background AM

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	13	4	151	15	1	7	56	179	7	7	448	23
Future Volume (Veh/h)	13	4	151	15	1	7	56	179	7	7	448	23
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	13	4	151	15	1	7	56	179	7	7	448	23
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	776	772	460	921	780	182	471			186		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	776	772	460	921	780	182	471			186		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	96	99	75	92	100	99	95			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	301	314	606	180	311	860	1101			1347		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	168	23	242	478								
Volume Left	13	15	56	7								
Volume Right	151	7	7	23								
cSH	550	243	1101	1347								
Volume to Capacity	0.31	0.09	0.05	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	9.8	2.4	1.2	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	14.4	21.3	2.3	0.2								
Lane LOS	В	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	14.4	21.3	2.3	0.2								
Approach LOS	В	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			3.9									
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		58.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2024 Future Background AM

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2024 Future Bac1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	el 🗍		7	ef 👘		7	¢Î,		۲	eî 👘	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	4	151	15	1	7	56	179	7	7	448	23
Future Volume (vph)	13	4	151	15	1	7	56	179	7	7	448	23
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.87		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1640		1825	1640		1825	1712		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.71	1.00		0.38	1.00		0.64	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1446	1640		1372	1640		736	1712		1129	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	13	4	151	15	1	7	56	179	7	7	448	23
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	136	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	13	19	0	15	2	0	56	184	0	7	469	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		33.5	33.5		26.0	26.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		33.5	33.5		26.0	26.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10		0.61	0.61		0.47	0.47	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	146	166		139	166		506	1040		532	768	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.01			0.00		0.01	c0.11			c0.29	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.01			0.01			0.06			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.12		0.11	0.01		0.11	0.18		0.01	0.61	
Uniform Delay, d1	22.4	22.5		22.5	22.3		4.8	4.7		7.7	10.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.3		0.3	0.0		0.1	0.4		0.0	3.6	
Delay (s)	22.7	22.8		22.8	22.3		4.9	5.1		7.8	14.4	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	А		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.8			22.6			5.1			14.3	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			13.6	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.50									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			55.1	Si	um of lost	t time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		58.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service	Э		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues2024 Future Background AM1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West07/23/2019

	≯	-	1	-	1	1	1	.↓
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	13	155	15	8	56	186	7	471
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.45	0.08	0.04	0.09	0.17	0.01	0.54
Control Delay	19.7	9.2	20.0	13.0	4.6	6.3	11.3	17.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.7	9.2	20.0	13.0	4.6	6.3	11.3	17.5
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.1	0.3	1.2	0.1	1.5	6.9	0.4	35.4
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.7	12.3	5.0	2.8	5.2	17.0	2.5	#85.8
Internal Link Dist (m)		213.6		244.1		232.3		337.4
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	503	669	477	575	606	1104	602	871
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.23	0.03	0.01	0.09	0.17	0.01	0.54

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

2024 Future Background AFT

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+	•	٠	t	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			÷			÷			÷	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	14	9	107	6	2	4	107	269	18	3	261	12
Future Volume (Veh/h)	14	9	107	6	2	4	107	269	18	3	261	12
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	14	9	107	6	2	4	107	269	18	3	261	12
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	770	774	267	876	771	278	273			287		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	770	774	267	876	771	278	273			287		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.3	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.4	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	95	97	86	97	99	99	92			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	296	304	777	214	305	751	1302			1220		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	130	12	394	276								
Volume Left	14	6	107	3								
Volume Right	107	4	18	12								
cSH	606	301	1302	1220								
Volume to Capacity	0.21	0.04	0.08	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.1	0.9	2.0	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	12.6	17.5	2.8	0.1								
Lane LOS	В	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	12.6	17.5	2.8	0.1								
Approach LOS	В	С										
Intersection Summarv												
Average Delay			3.6									
Intersection Canacity Utilizat	tion		53.7%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2024 Future Background AFT

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2024 Future Back1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	-	•	1	1	1	1	↓	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	ĥ		5	ĥ		ሻ	î,		ሻ	ĥ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	9	107	6	2	4	107	269	18	3	261	12
Future Volume (vph)	14	9	107	6	2	4	107	269	18	3	261	12
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1655		1825	1706		1825	1711		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.50	1.00		0.58	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1448	1655		1397	1706		953	1711		1029	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	14	9	107	6	2	4	107	269	18	3	261	12
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	96	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	20	0	6	2	0	107	284	0	3	271	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	5.5		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.5	5.5		5.5	5.5		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10		0.60	0.60		0.44	0.44	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	149	170		143	175		634	1022		450	713	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.01			0.00		0.01	c0.17			c0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.01			0.00			0.09			0.00		
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.12		0.04	0.01		0.17	0.28		0.01	0.38	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.7	21.7		21.6	21.5		4.8	5.2		8.5	10.1	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.3		0.1	0.0		0.1	0.7		0.0	1.5	
Delay (s)	22.0	22.1		21.7	21.5		4.9	5.9		8.5	11.6	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		Α	Α		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.0			21.6			5.6			11.6	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			10.5	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.34									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			53.4	Si	um of lost	t time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		46.9%	IC	U Level	of Service	e		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2024 Future Backgr	ound AFT
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road Fast/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	≯	-	1	-	•	†	1	.↓	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	116	6	6	107	287	3	273	
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.38	0.03	0.03	0.15	0.26	0.01	0.34	
Control Delay	19.9	9.9	19.3	14.8	4.7	6.7	11.0	13.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	19.9	9.9	19.3	14.8	4.7	6.7	11.0	13.4	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.2	3.0	11.3	0.2	17.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.9	11.2	2.9	2.5	8.3	25.1	1.4	36.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)		213.6		244.1		232.3		337.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	512	654	494	605	731	1098	505	801	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.18	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.26	0.01	0.34	
Intersection Summary									

2024 Future Background PM

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	←	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	34	8	98	2	6	4	181	605	13	8	248	10
Future Volume (Veh/h)	34	8	98	2	6	4	181	605	13	8	248	10
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	34	8	98	2	6	4	181	605	13	8	248	10
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1250	1249	253	1344	1248	612	258			618		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1250	1249	253	1344	1248	612	258			618		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	73	95	88	98	96	99	85			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	127	148	791	96	148	492	1240			972		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	140	12	799	266								
Volume Left	34	2	181	8								
Volume Right	98	4	13	10								
cSH	314	173	1240	972								
Volume to Capacity	0.45	0.07	0.15	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	16.6	1.7	3.9	0.2								
Control Delay (s)	25.3	27.4	3.4	0.3								
Lane LOS	D	D	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	25.3	27.4	3.4	0.3								
Approach LOS	D	D										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			5.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		79.6%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2024 Future Background PM

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2024 Future Bac1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ľ	¢Î		ľ	el el		ľ	ę		ľ	ę	
Traffic Volume (vph)	34	8	98	2	6	4	181	605	13	8	248	10
Future Volume (vph)	34	8	98	2	6	4	181	605	13	8	248	10
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.94		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1655		1825	1792		1825	1714		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.70	1.00		0.50	1.00		0.43	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1443	1655		1348	1792		966	1714		759	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	34	8	98	2	6	4	181	605	13	8	248	10
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	88	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	34	18	0	2	6	0	181	617	0	8	256	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.11		0.11	0.11		0.60	0.60		0.44	0.44	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	153	175		143	190		639	1020		331	710	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.00		0.02	c0.36			0.16	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.02			0.00			0.15			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.11		0.01	0.03		0.28	0.61		0.02	0.36	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.9	21.6		21.4	21.5		5.1	6.9		8.6	10.1	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.7	0.3		0.0	0.1		0.2	2.7		0.1	1.4	
Delay (s)	22.7	21.9		21.5	21.6		5.3	9.5		8.7	11.5	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	А		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.1			21.5			8.6			11.4	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			10.9	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.62									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			53.6	Si	um of lost	t time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		65.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service	Э		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2024 Future Back	round PM
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	٦			-	•	†	1	Ţ
		-	•		,	•		•
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	34	106	2	10	181	618	8	258
v/c Ratio	0.18	0.35	0.01	0.04	0.25	0.57	0.02	0.32
Control Delay	21.6	9.7	18.5	16.2	5.2	10.4	11.4	13.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	21.6	9.7	18.5	16.2	5.2	10.4	11.4	13.4
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.8	0.7	0.2	0.5	5.6	33.8	0.5	16.7
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.8	10.7	1.6	3.6	13.0	69.3	2.6	34.7
Internal Link Dist (m)		213.6		244.1		232.3		337.4
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	508	645	474	633	735	1093	371	798
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.02	0.25	0.57	0.02	0.32
Internation Summary								
intersection Summary								

2029 Future Background AM

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	←	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	14	4	157	17	1	8	59	198	8	8	495	25
Future Volume (Veh/h)	14	4	157	17	1	8	59	198	8	8	495	25
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	14	4	157	17	1	8	59	198	8	8	495	25
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	852	848	508	1002	856	202	520			206		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	852	848	508	1002	856	202	520			206		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	95	99	72	89	100	99	94			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	265	282	569	152	279	839	1056			1325		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	175	26	265	528								
Volume Left	14	17	59	8								
Volume Right	157	8	8	25								
cSH	511	208	1056	1325								
Volume to Capacity	0.34	0.12	0.06	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	11.5	3.2	1.3	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	15.7	24.7	2.3	0.2								
Lane LOS	С	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	15.7	24.7	2.3	0.2								
Approach LOS	С	С										
Intersection Summarv												
Average Delav			4.1									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		62.7%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)	-		15						_			

2029 Future Background AM

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2029 Future Bac1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲.	eî 🗍		1	ef 👘		۲	¢Î,		٦	eî 🕺	
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	4	157	17	1	8	59	198	8	8	459	25
Future Volume (vph)	14	4	157	17	1	8	59	198	8	8	459	25
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.87		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1640		1825	1636		1825	1712		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.70	1.00		0.37	1.00		0.63	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1444	1640		1348	1636		713	1712		1108	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adi, Flow (vph)	14	4	157	17	1	8	59	198	8	8	459	25
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	141	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	3	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	20	0	17	2	0	59	204	0	8	481	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	-		2	_		6	-	
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		33.3	33.3		25.8	25.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		33.3	33.3		25.8	25.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10		0.61	0.61		0.47	0.47	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Gro Cap (vph)	149	169		139	169		492	1036		519	763	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.00		0.01	c0.12		0.0	c0.30	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.01			c0.01			0.07			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.12		0.12	0.01		0.12	0.20		0.02	0.63	
Uniform Delay, d1	22.3	22.4		22.4	22.1		4.9	4.9		7.8	11.0	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.3		0.4	0.0		0.1	0.4		0.1	3.9	
Delay (s)	22.6	22.7		22.8	22.1		5.0	5.3		7.9	14.9	
Level of Service	C	C		С	С		A	A		A	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.7			22.6			5.2			14.8	
Approach LOS		С			С			A			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			13.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.52									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			55.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		61.1%	IC	U Level	of Service	Э		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues2029 Future Background AM1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West07/23/2019

	≯	-	1	-	•	Ť	1	Ļ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	161	17	9	59	206	8	484
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.46	0.10	0.04	0.10	0.19	0.01	0.56
Control Delay	19.7	9.2	20.2	12.6	4.6	6.4	11.4	18.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.7	9.2	20.2	12.6	4.6	6.4	11.4	18.0
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.1	0.3	1.4	0.1	1.6	7.8	0.4	36.8
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.9	12.5	5.5	3.0	5.5	18.7	2.6	#89.3
Internal Link Dist (m)		213.6		244.1		232.3		337.4
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	505	675	471	577	591	1100	589	868
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.24	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.19	0.01	0.56

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

2029 Future Background AFT

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	•	٠	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	15	11	113	8	2	4	113	297	20	3	298	13
Future Volume (Veh/h)	15	11	113	8	2	4	113	297	20	3	298	13
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	15	11	113	8	2	4	113	297	20	3	298	13
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	848	854	304	962	850	307	311			317		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	848	854	304	962	850	307	311			317		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.3	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.4	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	94	96	85	96	99	99	91			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	261	271	740	181	272	724	1261			1189		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	139	14	430	314								
Volume Left	15	8	113	3								
Volume Right	113	4	20	13								
cSH	554	246	1261	1189								
Volume to Capacity	0.25	0.06	0.09	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	7.5	1.4	2.2	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	13.7	20.5	2.8	0.1								
Lane LOS	В	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	13.7	20.5	2.8	0.1								
Approach LOS	В	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			3.8									
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		58.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15						2			

2029 Future Background AFT

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2029 Future Back1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	¢Î		۲.	¢Î,		1	f,		ሻ	4Î	
Traffic Volume (vph)	15	11	113	8	2	4	113	297	20	3	298	13
Future Volume (vph)	15	11	113	8	2	4	113	297	20	3	298	13
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1659		1825	1706		1825	1711		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.71	1.00		0.48	1.00		0.57	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1448	1659		1372	1706		920	1711		1001	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	15	11	113	8	2	4	113	297	20	3	298	13
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	101	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	15	23	0	8	2	0	113	314	0	3	309	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10		0.60	0.60		0.44	0.44	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	151	173		143	178		616	1020		437	712	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.01			0.00		0.01	c0.18			c0.19	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.01			0.01			0.10			0.00		
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.13		0.06	0.01		0.18	0.31		0.01	0.43	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.7	21.7		21.6	21.5		4.9	5.3		8.5	10.4	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.3		0.2	0.0		0.1	0.8		0.0	1.9	
Delay (s)	22.0	22.1		21.7	21.5		5.0	6.1		8.5	12.4	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	А		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.1			21.6			5.8			12.3	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			10.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.39									
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 53.5		53.5	S	um of lost	t time (s)			20.5				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		48.6%	IC	U Level	of Service	e		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2029 Future Backg	round AFT
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	≯	-+	1	←	•	†	1	Ļ
	EDI	ГРТ					CDI	• ODT
Lane Group	EDL	EDI	VVDL	VVDI	INDL	IND I	SDL	201
Lane Group Flow (vph)	15	124	8	6	113	317	3	311
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.39	0.04	0.03	0.16	0.29	0.01	0.39
Control Delay	19.9	10.0	19.4	14.8	4.8	7.0	11.0	14.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.9	10.0	19.4	14.8	4.8	7.0	11.0	14.1
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.2	3.2	12.7	0.2	20.3
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.0	11.7	3.4	2.5	8.9	28.6	1.5	42.8
Internal Link Dist (m)		213.6		244.1		232.3		337.4
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	511	658	484	604	711	1096	491	801
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.19	0.02	0.01	0.16	0.29	0.01	0.39
Intersection Summary								

2029 Future Background PM

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	•	٠	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			÷			\$			÷	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	37	9	102	2	7	4	189	668	14	9	274	11
Future Volume (Veh/h)	37	9	102	2	7	4	189	668	14	9	274	11
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	37	9	102	2	7	4	189	668	14	9	274	11
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1358	1358	280	1457	1356	675	285			682		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1358	1358	280	1457	1356	675	285			682		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	65	93	87	97	94	99	84			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	105	126	764	78	126	452	1211			920		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	148	13	871	294								
Volume Left	37	2	189	9								
Volume Right	102	4	14	11								
cSH	265	144	1211	920								
Volume to Capacity	0.56	0.09	0.16	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.8	2.2	4.2	0.2								
Control Delay (s)	34.5	32.4	3.6	0.4								
Lane LOS	D	D	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	34.5	32.4	3.6	0.4								
Approach LOS	D	D										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			6.6									
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		86.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

2029 Future Background PM

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2029 Future Bac1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	→	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	¢Î,		۲	લૈ		1	el el		7	el el	
Traffic Volume (vph)	37	9	102	2	7	4	189	668	14	9	274	11
Future Volume (vph)	37	9	102	2	7	4	189	668	14	9	274	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1656		1825	1803		1825	1714		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.69	1.00		0.48	1.00		0.41	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1442	1656		1325	1803		929	1714		715	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adi, Flow (vph)	37	9	102	2	7	4	189	668	14	9	274	11
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	91	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	37	20	0	2	7	0	189	681	0	9	283	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.8	5.8		5.8	5.8		31.0	31.0		21.5	21.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.8	5.8		5.8	5.8		31.0	31.0		21.5	21.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.11		0.11	0.11		0.59	0.59		0.41	0.41	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	158	181		145	198		630	1006		291	662	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.00		0.03	c0.40			0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.03			0.00			0.15			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.11		0.01	0.04		0.30	0.68		0.03	0.43	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.5	21.2		21.0	21.0		5.3	7.5		9.4	11.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.8	0.3		0.0	0.1		0.3	3.7		0.2	2.0	
Delay (s)	22.2	21.5		21.0	21.1		5.5	11.1		9.6	13.3	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	В		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		21.6			21.1			9.9			13.1	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			12.0	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.69									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			52.8	Si	um of lost	time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		68.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service	Э		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues2029 Future Background PM1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West07/23/2019

	۶	-	4	+	1	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	37	111	2	11	189	682	9	285	
v/c Ratio	0.19	0.36	0.01	0.04	0.27	0.62	0.03	0.42	
Control Delay	21.8	9.7	18.5	16.5	5.3	12.2	11.7	14.7	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.8	9.7	18.5	16.5	5.3	12.2	11.7	14.7	
Queue Length 50th (m)	3.1	0.7	0.2	0.6	5.9	39.9	0.5	18.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)	9.3	11.0	1.6	3.8	13.7	#89.0	2.9	38.7	
Internal Link Dist (m)		213.6		244.1		232.3		337.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	506	648	466	635	713	1092	300	685	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.17	0.00	0.02	0.27	0.62	0.03	0.42	

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2024 Futu1: Airport Road South/Airport Road North & Walker Road West/Walker Road East

2024 Future Total AM Road East 07/23/2019

	٦	→	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	٩.	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	15	8	155	15	1	7	59	180	7	7	453	24
Future Volume (Veh/h)	15	8	155	15	1	7	59	180	7	7	453	24
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	15	8	155	15	1	7	59	180	7	7	453	24
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	788	784	465	940	792	184	477			187		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	788	784	465	940	792	184	477			187		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	95	97	74	91	100	99	95			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	294	308	602	171	305	859	1096			1346		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	178	23	246	484								
Volume Left	15	15	59	7								
Volume Right	155	7	7	24								
cSH	532	232	1096	1346								
Volume to Capacity	0.33	0.10	0.05	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	11.1	2.5	1.3	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	15.1	22.2	2.4	0.2								
Lane LOS	С	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	15.1	22.2	2.4	0.2								
Approach LOS	С	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			4.2									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		59.5%	IC	CU Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٦	-	+	*	1	1	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	4Î		Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	168	81	3	6	4	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	168	81	3	6	4	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	168	81	3	6	4	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	84				254	82	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	84				254	82	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				99	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	1513				733	977	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	170	84	10				
Volume Left	2	0	6				
Volume Right	0	3	4				
cSH	1513	1700	815				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.05	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.3				
Control Delay (s)	0.1	0.0	9.5				
Lane LOS	А		А				
Approach Delay (s)	0.1	0.0	9.5				
Approach LOS			А				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delav			0.4				
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		20.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service	А
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	۶	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	t	Ŧ	∢		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		1		†	eî.			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	1	0	202	483	4		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	1	0	202	483	4		
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	1	0	202	483	4		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type				None	None			
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	687	485	487					
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	687	485	487					
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1					
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2					
p0 queue free %	100	100	100					
cM capacity (veh/h)	413	582	1076					
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	1	202	487					
Volume Left	0	0	0					
Volume Right	1	0	4					
cSH	582	1700	1700					
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.12	0.29					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Control Delay (s)	11.2	0.0	0.0					
Lane LOS	В							
Approach Delay (s)	11.2	0.0	0.0					
Approach LOS	В							
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.0					
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		35.7%	IC	CU Level c	of Service	А	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	٦	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	٠	t	ţ	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۲		5	†	4Î		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	5	1	201	482	1	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	5	1	201	482	1	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	5	1	201	482	1	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	686	482	483				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	686	482	483				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	100	99	100				
cM capacity (veh/h)	413	584	1080				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	6	1	201	483			
Volume Left	1	1	0	0			
Volume Right	5	0	0	1			
cSH	546	1080	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.12	0.28			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	11.7	8.3	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	В	А					
Approach Delay (s)	11.7	0.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.1				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		35.4%	IC	U Level a	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)	-		15				

2024 Future Total AM 07/23/2019

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2024 Futu1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West

	٦	-	\rightarrow	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	↓	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	ĥ		ሻ	ĥ		ሻ	ĥ		ሻ	ĥ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	15	8	155	15	1	7	59	180	7	7	453	24
Future Volume (vph)	15	8	155	15	1	7	59	180	7	7	453	24
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.87		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1647		1825	1640		1825	1713		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.70	1.00		0.38	1.00		0.64	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1446	1647		1348	1640		724	1713		1127	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	15	8	155	15	1	7	59	180	7	7	453	24
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	139	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	15	24	0	15	2	0	59	185	0	7	475	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		33.3	33.3		25.8	25.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		33.3	33.3		25.8	25.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10		0.61	0.61		0.47	0.47	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	149	170		139	169		498	1037		528	763	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.01			0.00		0.01	c0.11			c0.29	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.01			0.01			0.07			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.14		0.11	0.01		0.12	0.18		0.01	0.62	
Uniform Delay, d1	22.3	22.4		22.3	22.1		4.9	4.8		7.8	10.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.4		0.3	0.0		0.1	0.4		0.0	3.8	
Delay (s)	22.6	22.8		22.7	22.1		5.0	5.2		7.8	14.8	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	Α		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.8			22.5			5.1			14.7	
Approach LOS		С			С			Α			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			13.9	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.51									
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 55.0		Si	um of lost	t time (s)			20.5					
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		59.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service	9		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2024 Future	Total AM
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	≯	-	1	-	1	1	1	. ↓	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	15	163	15	8	59	187	7	477	
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.46	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.01	0.55	
Control Delay	19.7	9.5	19.9	12.9	4.7	6.4	11.4	17.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	19.7	9.5	19.9	12.9	4.7	6.4	11.4	17.9	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.2	0.7	1.2	0.1	1.6	6.9	0.4	35.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.0	12.9	5.0	2.8	5.5	17.3	2.5	#88.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		35.5		244.1		232.3		64.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	504	675	470	577	597	1099	599	866	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.24	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.17	0.01	0.55	
-									

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

2024 Future Total AFT

1: Airport Road South/Airport Road North & Walker Road West/Walker Road East 07/23/2019

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	←	•	٠	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			\$			÷			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	23	9	113	6	2	4	120	268	18	3	268	12
Future Volume (Veh/h)	23	9	113	6	2	4	120	268	18	3	268	12
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	9	113	6	2	4	120	268	18	3	268	12
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	802	806	274	914	803	277	280			286		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	802	806	274	914	803	277	280			286		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.3	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.4	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	92	97	85	97	99	99	91			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	279	288	770	198	289	752	1294			1221		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	145	12	406	283								
Volume Left	23	6	120	3								
Volume Right	113	4	18	12								
cSH	557	282	1294	1221								
Volume to Capacity	0.26	0.04	0.09	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	7.9	1.0	2.3	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	13.7	18.4	3.0	0.1								
Lane LOS	В	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	13.7	18.4	3.0	0.1								
Approach LOS	В	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			4.1									
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		55.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)	-		15						_			

	٦	-	+	•	5	∢		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		र्स	ţ,		- M			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	128	120	14	16	4		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	128	120	14	16	4		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	6	128	120	14	16	4		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type		None	None					
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	134				267	127		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	134				267	127		
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %	100				98	100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	1451				719	923		
Direction Lane #	FR 1	WR 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	13/	13/	20					
	6	ا ت ا	16					
Volume Leit	0	1/	10					
	1/51	1700	750					
Volume to Canacity	0.00	0.08	0.02					
Ouque Longth 95th (m)	0.00	0.00	0.03					
Control Doloy (a)	0.1	0.0	0.0					
	0.4	0.0	9.9					
Lane LOS Approach Doloy (a)	A 0.4	0.0	A 0.0					
Approach LOS	0.4	0.0	9.9					
Approach LOS			A					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.9					
Intersection Capacity Utili	ization		21.6%	IC	U Level c	of Service		
Analysis Period (min)			15					
	≯	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	1	Ļ	∢		
------------------------	--------	--------------------	-------------	------	------	------------	---	--
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		1		1	eî 🗧			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	7	0	295	276	9		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	7	0	295	276	9		
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	7	0	295	276	9		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type				None	None			
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	576	280	285					
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	576	280	285					
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1					
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2					
p0 queue free %	100	99	100					
cM capacity (veh/h)	479	758	1277					
Direction Lane #	FB 1	NR 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	7	295	285					
Volume Left	0	0	0					
Volume Right	7	0	9					
cSH	758	1700	1700					
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0 17	0 17					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.07	0.0	0.0					
Control Delay (s)	9.8	0.0	0.0					
Lane LOS	Δ	0.0	0.0					
Approach Delay (s)	9.8	0.0	0.0					
Approach LOS	Δ	0.0	0.0					
	Λ							
Intersection Summary			0.4					
Average Delay	Tation		U.1			f Convice	٨	
Analysis Deried (min)	zalion		23.1% 15	IC		on Service	А	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	≯	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	t	ţ	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		۲.	†	4Î		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	3	2	293	282	1	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	3	2	293	282	1	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	3	2	293	282	1	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	580	282	283				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	580	282	283				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	100	100	100				
cM capacity (veh/h)	476	756	1279				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	4	2	293	283			
Volume Left	1	2	0	0			
Volume Right	3	0	0	1			
cSH	659	1279	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.17	0.17			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	10.5	7.8	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	В	А					
Approach Delay (s)	10.5	0.1		0.0			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.1				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		25.4%	IC	U Level o	f Service	ŀ
Analysis Period (min)			15				

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2024 Futur1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West

2024 Future Total AFT Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	*	•	Ť	۲	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	4Î		۲	eî 🗧		٢	et 🗧		٦	eî.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	23	9	113	6	2	4	120	268	18	3	268	12
Future Volume (vph)	23	9	113	6	2	4	120	268	18	3	268	12
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1654		1825	1706		1825	1711		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.71	1.00		0.49	1.00		0.58	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1448	1654		1372	1706		947	1711		1030	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	23	9	113	6	2	4	120	268	18	3	268	12
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	101	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	21	0	6	2	0	120	283	0	3	278	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10		0.60	0.60		0.44	0.44	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	151	173		143	178		630	1020		450	712	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.00		0.01	c0.17			c0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.02			0.00			0.10			0.00		
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.12		0.04	0.01		0.19	0.28		0.01	0.39	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.8	21.7		21.5	21.5		4.9	5.2		8.5	10.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.5	0.3		0.1	0.0		0.1	0.7		0.0	1.6	
Delay (s)	22.3	22.0		21.7	21.5		5.0	5.9		8.5	11.8	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	А		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.1			21.6			5.6			11.8	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			10.7	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.35									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			53.5	Si	um of lost	time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		47.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service)		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2024 Future	Total AFT
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	≯		~	+	•	†	1	Ţ	
		•	•		``			•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	122	6	6	120	286	3	280	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.39	0.03	0.03	0.17	0.26	0.01	0.35	
Control Delay	20.6	9.8	19.2	14.8	4.8	6.7	11.0	13.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	20.6	9.8	19.2	14.8	4.8	6.7	11.0	13.6	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.9	0.7	0.5	0.2	3.5	11.4	0.2	18.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.7	11.4	2.9	2.5	9.3	25.4	1.4	38.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		35.5		244.1		232.3		64.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	511	656	484	604	726	1096	504	800	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.05	0.19	0.01	0.01	0.17	0.26	0.01	0.35	
Intersection Summary									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2024 Futu1: Airport Road South/Airport Road North & Walker Road West/Walker Road East

2024 Future Total PM Road East 07/23/2019

	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	•	←	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	43	4	106	2	6	4	199	604	13	8	256	10
Future Volume (Veh/h)	43	4	106	2	6	4	199	604	13	8	256	10
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	43	4	106	2	6	4	199	604	13	8	256	10
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1292	1292	261	1394	1290	610	266			617		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1292	1292	261	1394	1290	610	266			617		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	63	97	86	98	96	99	84			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	117	137	783	89	137	492	1231			973		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	153	12	816	274								
Volume Left	43	2	199	8								
Volume Right	106	4	13	10								
cSH	287	161	1231	973								
Volume to Capacity	0.53	0.07	0.16	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	22.1	1.8	4.4	0.2								
Control Delay (s)	31.0	29.1	3.7	0.3								
Lane LOS	D	D	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	31.0	29.1	3.7	0.3								
Approach LOS	D	D										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			6.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		83.2%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	≯	-	+	•	1	∢		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		ų	ţ,		M			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	7	139	196	19	18	4		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	7	139	196	19	18	4		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	7	139	196	19	18	4		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type		None	None					
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	215				358	206		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	215				358	206		
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %	99				97	100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	1355				637	835		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	146	215	22					
Volume Left	7	0	18					
Volume Right	0	19	4					
cSH	1355	1700	665					
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.13	0.03					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	0.8					
Control Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.6					
Lane LOS	А		В					
Approach Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.6					
Approach LOS			В					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.8					
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		23.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service	F	1
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	≯	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	1	Ļ	∢		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		1		1	eî.			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	8	0	650	266	6		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	8	0	650	266	6		
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	8	0	650	266	6		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type				None	None			
Median storage veh)				,				
Upstream signal (m)								
pX. platoon unblocked								
vC. conflicting volume	919	269	272					
vC1. stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	919	269	272					
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1					
tC. 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2					
p0 queue free %	100	99	100					
cM capacity (veh/h)	301	770	1291					
Direction Lane #	ED 1	ND 1	CD 1					
Volumo Totol	0	650	070					
	0	000	212					
Volume Pight	۵ ۵	0	6					
	0 770	1700	1700					
Volume to Conseitu	0.01	0.20	0.16					
Oucus Longth 05th (m)	0.01	0.30	0.10					
Control Doloy (a)	0.2	0.0	0.0					
	9.7	0.0	0.0					
Lalle LUS	A 0.7	0.0	0.0					
Approach Delay (s)	9.7	0.0	0.0					
Approach LOS	A							
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.1					
Intersection Capacity Util	ization		37.5%	IC	CU Level c	of Service	А	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	٭	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	t	Ļ	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		۲.	†	4Î		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	3	3	647	270	1	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	3	3	647	270	1	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	3	3	647	270	1	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	924	270	271				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	924	270	271				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	99	100	100				
cM capacity (veh/h)	299	768	1292				
Direction. Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	5	3	647	271			
Volume Left	2	3	0	0			
Volume Right	3	0	0	1			
cSH	472	1292	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.38	0.16			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	12.7	7.8	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	В	A					
Approach Delay (s)	12.7	0.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.1				
Intersection Canacity Utiliza	tion		44 1%	IC		f Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2024 Future Total PM oad West 07/23/2019

1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker I	oad East/Walker Road West 07/23

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	4Î		۲	ĥ		ሻ	ţ,		۲	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	43	4	106	2	6	4	199	604	13	8	256	10
Future Volume (vph)	43	4	106	2	6	4	199	604	13	8	256	10
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.94		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1643		1825	1792		1825	1714		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.69	1.00		0.49	1.00		0.43	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1443	1643		1318	1792		946	1714		759	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	4	106	2	6	4	199	604	13	8	256	10
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	94	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	43	16	0	2	6	0	199	616	0	8	264	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		31.0	31.0		21.5	21.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		31.0	31.0		21.5	21.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.11		0.11	0.11		0.58	0.58		0.41	0.41	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	163	186		149	202		636	1002		307	660	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.00		0.03	c0.36			0.16	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.03			0.00			0.15			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.26	0.09		0.01	0.03		0.31	0.61		0.03	0.40	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.5	21.0		20.9	20.9		5.4	7.1		9.5	11.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.9	0.2		0.0	0.1		0.3	2.8		0.2	1.8	
Delay (s)	22.3	21.2		20.9	21.0		5.6	10.0		9.6	13.0	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		Α	Α		Α	В	
Approach Delay (s)		21.6			21.0			8.9			12.9	
Approach LOS		С			С			Α			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			11.4	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.63									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	-		53.0	Si	um of los	t time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		65.8%	IC	U Level	of Service	Э		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2024 Future	Total PM
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	≯	-	<	-	•	†	1	Ļ	
Lana Group	EDI	EDT	• \\//DI		NDI		CDI	• CDT	
	EDL	EDI	VVDL	VVDI	INDL	INDI	JDL	JDI	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	43	110	2	10	199	617	8	266	
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.35	0.01	0.04	0.28	0.57	0.03	0.39	
Control Delay	22.2	9.0	18.5	16.1	5.4	10.6	11.6	14.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	22.2	9.0	18.5	16.1	5.4	10.6	11.6	14.4	
Queue Length 50th (m)	3.6	0.3	0.2	0.5	6.3	34.4	0.5	17.5	
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.4	10.5	1.6	3.6	14.5	69.6	2.6	36.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		35.5		244.1		232.3		64.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	505	644	461	630	720	1089	318	684	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.09	0.17	0.00	0.02	0.28	0.57	0.03	0.39	
Intersection Summary									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2029 Futu1: Airport Road South/Airport Road North & Walker Road West/Walker Road East

2029 Future Total AM Road East 07/23/2019

	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	٩.	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	16	4	161	17	1	8	62	199	8	8	500	26
Future Volume (Veh/h)	16	4	161	17	1	8	62	199	8	8	500	26
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	16	4	161	17	1	8	62	199	8	8	500	26
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	864	860	513	1019	869	203	526			207		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	864	860	513	1019	869	203	526			207		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	94	99	72	88	100	99	94			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	260	277	565	146	273	838	1051			1323		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	181	26	269	534								
Volume Left	16	17	62	8								
Volume Right	161	8	8	26								
cSH	501	201	1051	1323								
Volume to Capacity	0.36	0.13	0.06	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	12.4	3.3	1.4	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	16.2	25.6	2.4	0.2								
Lane LOS	С	D	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	16.2	25.6	2.4	0.2								
Approach LOS	С	D										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			4.3									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		63.6%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	≯	-	+	•	5	<	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ب ا	ef 🗧		Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	175	86	3	6	4	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	175	86	3	6	4	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	175	86	3	6	4	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	89				266	88	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	89				266	88	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				99	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	1506				722	971	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	177	89	10				
Volume Left	2	0	6				
Volume Right	0	3	4				
cSH	1506	1700	804				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.05	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.3				
Control Delay (s)	0.1	0.0	9.5				
Lane LOS	А		А				
Approach Delay (s)	0.1	0.0	9.5				
Approach LOS			А				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.4				
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		20.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	۶	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	t	Ļ	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		1		†	eî		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	1	0	223	533	4	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	1	0	223	533	4	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	1	0	223	533	4	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	758	535	537				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	758	535	537				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	100	100	100				
cM capacity (veh/h)	375	545	1031				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	1	223	537				
Volume Left	0	0	0				
Volume Right	1	0	4				
cSH	545	1700	1700				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.13	0.32				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Control Delay (s)	11.6	0.0	0.0				
Lane LOS	В						
Approach Delay (s)	11.6	0.0	0.0				
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.0				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		38.3%	IC	CU Level o	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	٦	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	t	Ļ	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۲		5	†	4Î		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	5	1	222	532	1	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	5	1	222	532	1	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	5	1	222	532	1	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	756	532	533				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	756	532	533				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	100	99	100				
cM capacity (veh/h)	375	547	1035				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	6	1	222	533			
Volume Left	1	1	0	0			
Volume Right	5	0	0	1			
cSH	508	1035	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.13	0.31			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	12.2	8.5	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	В	А					
Approach Delay (s)	12.2	0.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delav			0.1				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	tion		38.1%	IC	CU Level c	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

2029 Future Total AM 07/23/2019

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2029 Futu1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West

	٦	-	\rightarrow	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲.	eî 👘		7	eî 🕺		1	ef 👘		۲.	el 🗍	
Traffic Volume (vph)	16	4	161	17	1	8	62	199	8	8	500	26
Future Volume (vph)	16	4	161	17	1	8	62	199	8	8	500	26
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.87		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1640		1825	1636		1825	1712		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.70	1.00		0.34	1.00		0.63	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1444	1640		1348	1636		645	1712		1107	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	16	4	161	17	1	8	62	199	8	8	500	26
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	144	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	16	21	0	17	2	0	62	205	0	8	524	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		33.2	33.2		25.7	25.7	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		33.2	33.2		25.7	25.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10		0.60	0.60		0.47	0.47	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	149	170		139	169		454	1035		518	762	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.01			0.00		0.01	c0.12			c0.32	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.01			0.01			0.07			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.11	0.12		0.12	0.01		0.14	0.20		0.02	0.69	
Uniform Delay, d1	22.3	22.3		22.3	22.1		5.2	4.9		7.8	11.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.3		0.4	0.0		0.1	0.4		0.1	5.0	
Delay (s)	22.6	22.7		22.7	22.1		5.3	5.3		7.9	16.5	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		Α	А		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.6			22.5			5.3			16.3	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.7	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	ity ratio		0.56									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			54.9	Si	um of lost	t time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		63.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service	Э		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2029 Future	Total AM
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	≯	-	1	←	1	1	- \	Ļ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	16	165	17	9	62	207	8	526
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.46	0.10	0.04	0.11	0.19	0.01	0.61
Control Delay	19.9	9.2	20.1	12.6	4.7	6.4	11.4	20.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.9	9.2	20.1	12.6	4.7	6.4	11.4	20.0
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.3	0.3	1.4	0.1	1.7	7.8	0.4	41.7
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.3	12.6	5.5	3.0	5.7	18.9	2.6	#100.4
Internal Link Dist (m)		35.5		244.1		232.3		64.6
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	506	679	472	578	551	1098	587	866
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.24	0.04	0.02	0.11	0.19	0.01	0.61

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2029 Future Total AFT

1: Airport Road South/Airport Road North & Walker Road West/Walker Road East 07/23/2019 1 ٭ t ∢ ₹ Ť ۴ ↘ € • ↘ EBR Movement EBL EBT WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 4 **4** 2 4 4 24 Traffic Volume (veh/h) 11 119 8 4 126 296 20 3 305 13 Future Volume (Veh/h) 24 119 8 2 126 296 20 305 11 4 3 13 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free

Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	11	119	8	2	4	126	296	20	3	305	13
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	880	886	312	1000	882	306	318			316		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	880	886	312	1000	882	306	318			316		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.3	4.1			4.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.4	2.2			2.3		
p0 queue free %	90	96	84	95	99	99	90			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	246	256	733	167	258	725	1253			1190		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	154	14	442	321								
Volume Left	24	8	126	3								
Volume Right	119	4	20	13								
cSH	508	229	1253	1190								
Volume to Capacity	0.30	0.06	0.10	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (m)	9.6	1.5	2.5	0.1								
Control Delay (s)	15.1	21.7	3.1	0.1								
Lane LOS	С	С	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	15.1	21.7	3.1	0.1								
Approach LOS	С	С										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			4.3									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		60.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	≯	-	+	*	1	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	ef 👘		Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	137	127	14	16	4	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	137	127	14	16	4	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	6	137	127	14	16	4	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	141				283	134	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	141				283	134	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				98	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	1442				704	915	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	143	141	20				
Volume Left	6	0	16				
Volume Right	0	14	4				
cSH	1442	1700	738				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.08	0.03				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	0.6				
Control Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	10.0				
Lane LOS	А		В				
Approach Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	10.0				
Approach LOS			В				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.8				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		22.1%	IC	U Level o	f Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	≯	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	1	Ļ	∢		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		1		1	eî 🗧			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	7	0	324	314	9		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	7	0	324	314	9		
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	7	0	324	314	9		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type				None	None			
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	642	318	323					
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	642	318	323					
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1					
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2					
p0 queue free %	100	99	100					
cM capacity (veh/h)	438	722	1237					
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	7	324	323					
Volume Left	0	0	0					
Volume Right	7	0	9					
cSH	722	1700	1700					
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.19	0.19					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.2	0.0	0.0					
Control Delay (s)	10.0	0.0	0.0					
Lane LOS	В							
Approach Delay (s)	10.0	0.0	0.0					
Approach LOS	В							
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.1					
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		27.1%	IC	CU Level c	of Service	А	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

	٦	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	1	Ļ	1	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	- M		۲.	1	el 🕴		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	3	2	322	320	1	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	3	2	322	320	1	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	3	2	322	320	1	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	646	320	321				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	646	320	321				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	100	100	100				
cM capacity (veh/h)	435	720	1239				
Direction Lane #	FR 1	NR 1	NR 2	SR 1			
Volume Total		2	322	321			
Volume Loft	4	2	0	JZ 1 0			
Volume Dight	3	2	0	1			
	5 610	1020	1700	1700			
Volumo to Connoity	019	0.00	0.10	0.10			
Ouque Length 05th (m)	0.01	0.00	0.19	0.19			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	10.9	7.9	0.0	0.0			
Lane LUS	40.0	A		0.0			
Approach Delay (s)	10.9	0.0		0.0			
Approach LUS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.1				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		26.9%	IC	CU Level c	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

2029 Future Total AFT

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis2029 Fut1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West

st	07/23/2019

	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	∢	-	*	1	Ť	۲	5	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۳	¢Î		۲	4Î		۲	eî 🗧		۲	eî 🗧	
Traffic Volume (vph)	24	11	119	8	2	4	126	296	20	3	305	13
Future Volume (vph)	24	11	119	8	2	4	126	296	20	3	305	13
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1657		1825	1706		1825	1711		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.70	1.00		0.48	1.00		0.57	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1448	1657		1348	1706		915	1711		1002	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	24	11	119	8	2	4	126	296	20	3	305	13
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	106	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	24	0	8	2	0	126	313	0	3	316	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		31.9	31.9		23.4	23.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.11		0.11	0.11		0.60	0.60		0.44	0.44	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	153	176		143	181		612	1018		437	710	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.00		0.02	c0.18			c0.19	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.02			0.01			0.11			0.00		
v/c Ratio	0.16	0.13		0.06	0.01		0.21	0.31		0.01	0.44	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.8	21.7		21.5	21.4		4.9	5.4		8.5	10.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.5	0.3		0.2	0.0		0.2	0.8		0.0	2.0	
Delay (s)	22.2	22.1		21.7	21.5		5.1	6.2		8.6	12.6	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	А		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		22.1			21.6			5.9			12.5	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			11.1	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.40									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			53.6	Si	um of lost	time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		49.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service	Э		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2029 Future	Total AFT
1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West	07/23/2019

	٦			-	•	†	1	Ţ	
		-	•		'	•		•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	130	8	6	126	316	3	318	
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.40	0.04	0.03	0.18	0.29	0.01	0.40	
Control Delay	20.6	9.9	19.4	14.8	4.9	7.0	11.3	14.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	20.6	9.9	19.4	14.8	4.9	7.0	11.3	14.3	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.0	0.9	0.7	0.2	3.7	13.0	0.2	21.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.9	12.0	3.4	2.5	9.8	28.6	1.5	44.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		35.5		244.1		232.3		64.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	510	661	475	603	706	1094	491	799	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.05	0.20	0.02	0.01	0.18	0.29	0.01	0.40	
Internetion Origination									
Intersection Summary									

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2029 Future Total PM Road East 07/23/2019

1: Airport Road South/Airport Road North & Walker Road West/Walker Road East

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	↓	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4			\$			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	46	9	110	2	7	4	207	667	14	9	282	11
Future Volume (Veh/h)	46	9	110	2	7	4	207	667	14	9	282	11
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	46	9	110	2	7	4	207	667	14	9	282	11
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1401	1400	288	1508	1399	674	293			681		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1401	1400	288	1508	1399	674	293			681		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	52	92	85	97	94	99	83			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	96	116	756	70	116	453	1203			921		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	165	13	888	302								
Volume Left	46	2	207	9								
Volume Right	110	4	14	11								
cSH	235	133	1203	921								
Volume to Capacity	0.70	0.10	0.17	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (m)	35.2	2.4	4.7	0.2								
Control Delay (s)	49.9	35.0	3.9	0.4								
Lane LOS	Е	D	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	49.9	35.0	3.9	0.4								
Approach LOS	E	D										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			9.0									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		89.9%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	٦	-	-	•	1	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	ţ,		¥		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	7	147	206	19	18	4	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	7	147	206	19	18	4	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	7	147	206	19	18	4	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	225				376	216	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	225				376	216	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	99				97	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	1344				622	824	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	154	225	22				
Volume Left	7	0	18				
Volume Right	0	19	4				
cSH	1344	1700	651				
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.13	0.03				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	0.8				
Control Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.7				
Lane LOS	А		В				
Approach Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.7				
Approach LOS			В				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.7				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		23.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Movement EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR Lane Configurations r		≯	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	1	Ļ	<		
Lane Configurations Image: Configurations <	Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Traffic Volume (veh/h) 0 8 0 716 294 6 Future Volume (Veh/h) 0 8 0 716 294 6 Sign Control Stop Free Free Free 6 Grade 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Peak Hour Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Hourly flow rate (vph) 0 8 0 716 294 6 Pedestrians Lane Width (m) Walking Speed (m/s) Percent Blockage 8 7	Lane Configurations		1		1	eî 🗧			
Future Volume (Velvh) 0 8 0 716 294 6 Sign Control Stop Free	Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	8	0	716	294	6		
Sign Control Stop Free Free Grade 0% <td>Future Volume (Veh/h)</td> <td>0</td> <td>8</td> <td>0</td> <td>716</td> <td>294</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	8	0	716	294	6		
Grade 0% 0% 0% Peak Hour Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Hourly flow rate (vph) 0 8 0 716 294 6 Pedestrians Lane Width (m) Walking Speed (m/s) Percent Blockage None None Right turn flare (veh) Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) pX, platon unblocked VC, conflicting volume 1013 297 300 VC1, stage 1 conf vol VC2, stage 2 conf vol VC2, stage (s) T	Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free			
Peak Hour Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Hourly flow rate (vph) 0 8 0 716 294 6 Pedestrians	Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Hourly flow rate (vph) 0 8 0 716 294 6 Pedestrians	Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Pedestrians Lane Width (m) Walking Speed (m/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1013 297 300 vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage (s) tf (s) 3.5 100 99 100 99 100 99 1010 297 20 queue free % 100 90 queue free % 100 101 285 742 1261 Directon, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Left 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 6 cSH<	Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	8	0	716	294	6		
Lane Width (m) Walking Speed (m/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) Px, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1013 297 300 vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 1 conf vol vC3, stage 1 conf vol vC4, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 5 7 4 2 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	Pedestrians								
Walking Speed (m/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1013 297 300 vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC4, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) ff (s) 3.5 0queue free % 100 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 B1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 0.0 Volume Right 8 0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9	Lane Width (m)								
Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type None Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC2, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC4, unblocked vol vC4, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 vC4, stage 1 conf vol VC2, stage 2 conf vol VC2, stage 1 vC2, stage (s)	Walking Speed (m/s)								
Right turn flare (veh) None None None Median type None None None Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) PX. PX. VX, platon unblocked vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC1, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, 2 stage (s) tr tf (s) 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cd cd case (s) case	Percent Blockage								
Median type None None None Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) pX	Right turn flare (veh)								
Median storage veh) Upstream signal (m) pX, platon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC4, unblocked vol vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC4, unblocked vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC4, unblocked vol vC2, stage (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, 2 stage (s) t t tF (s) 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume Left 0 0 0 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach LOS A Approach LOS A	Median type				None	None			
Upstream signal (m) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1013 297 300 vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC1, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 1013 297 300 tC, stage 2 conf vol vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 30 101 tC, stage 2 conf vol vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 100 101 120 100 101 102 100 101 <	Median storage veh)								
pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1013 297 300 vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, stage (s) tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cdt tr tr tr p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cdt tr tr tr tr Volume Total 8 716 300 volume Left 0 0 0 volume Left volume Total tr Volume Total 8 716 300 volume to Capacity volume V volume Total tr Volume Right 8 0 6 csth <	Upstream signal (m)								
vC, conflicting volume 1013 297 300 vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cdd capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 0 0 0 0 Value Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td>pX, platoon unblocked</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	pX, platoon unblocked								
vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, single (s) 100 94 94 tF (s) 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.1 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 Approach LOS A A Approach LOS A Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	vC, conflicting volume	1013	297	300					
vC2, stage 2 conf vol vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, 2 stage (s) tf (s) 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB1 NB1 SB1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 0 Volume Kight 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 Approach LOS A A Approach LOS A Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol 1013 297 300 tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, 2 stage (s) tressection 100 99 100 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cd cd cd p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cd cd cd cd p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cd cd <t< td=""><td>vC2, stage 2 conf vol</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
tC, single (s) 6.4 6.2 4.1 tC, 2 stage (s)	vCu, unblocked vol	1013	297	300					
tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 csc	tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1					
tF (s) 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach LOS A A Approach LOS A A Intersection Summary 0.1 11.0% ICU Level of Service A	tC, 2 stage (s)								
p0 queue free % 100 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 Approach LOS A Approach LOS A	tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2					
cM capacity (veh/h) 265 742 1261 Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Approach LOS A A Approach LOS A Intersection Summary 0.1 ICU Level of Service A	p0 queue free %	100	99	100					
Direction, Lane # EB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 Approach LOS A Approach LOS A Intersection Summary 0.1 ICU Level of Service A	cM capacity (veh/h)	265	742	1261					
Notice in the indication Indication Indication Volume Total 8 716 300 Volume Left 0 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 Approach LOS A A Approach LOS A Intersection Summary 0.1 ICU Level of Service A	Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Left 0 0 0 Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Approach LOS A A Approach LOS A Intersection Summary 0.1 ICU Level of Service A	Volume Total	8	716	300					
Volume Right 8 0 6 cSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 Approach LOS A Approach LOS A Intersection Summary 0.1 ICU Level of Service A	Volume Left	0	0	0					
CSH 742 1700 1700 Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Intersection Summary 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service	Volume Right	8	0	6					
Volume to Capacity 0.01 0.42 0.18 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Approach LOS A Approach LOS A A Intersection Summary 0.1 ICU Level of Service A	cSH	742	1700	1700					
Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Approach LOS A Intersection Summary 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service	Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.42	0.18					
Control Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Approach LOS A Intersection Summary Average Delay 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	Queue Length 95th (m)	0.2	0.0	0.0					
Lane LOS A Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Approach LOS A Intersection Summary Average Delay 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	Control Delay (s)	9.9	0.0	0.0					
Approach Delay (s) 9.9 0.0 0.0 Approach LOS A Intersection Summary Average Delay 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	Lane LOS	0.0 A	0.0	0.0					
Approach LOS A Intersection Summary Average Delay 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	Approach Delay (s)	9,9	0.0	0.0					
Intersection Summary 0.1 Average Delay 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	Approach LOS	A	0.0	0.0					
Average Delay 0.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	Intersection Summers								
Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0% ICU Level of Service A	Average Delev			0.1					
A Sector Capacity Offization 41.0% ICO Level of Service A	Intersection Consoity Litili	zation		0.1	IC		of Sonvice	٨	
Analysis Period (min) 15	Analysis Period (min)	2011011		41.0%	IC			А	

	٦	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	•	t	ŧ	<	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		۲.	1	ef 👘		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	3	3	713	298	1	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	3	3	713	298	1	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	3	3	713	298	1	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1018	298	299				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1018	298	299				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	99	100	100				
cM capacity (veh/h)	262	741	1262				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	5	3	713	299			
Volume Left	2	3	0	0			
Volume Right	3	0	0	1			
cSH	429	1262	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.42	0.18			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	13.5	7.9	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	В	А					
Approach Delay (s)	13.5	0.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.1				Ĩ
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		47.5%	IC	CU Level c	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2029 Future Total PM

							• • • •	
1: Airport Road North/Airport	t Road South	n & Walker	[.] Road	East/Wall	ker Road	d West	07/	23/2019
<u>ه</u>		4	*	•	* *	1	1	7

		-	•		•	\sim		1	1	•	+	*
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	f,		ľ	eî 🗧		ľ	el el		۲	eî 🗧	
Traffic Volume (vph)	46	9	110	2	7	4	207	667	14	9	282	11
Future Volume (vph)	46	9	110	2	7	4	207	667	14	9	282	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1825	1655		1825	1803		1825	1714		1674	1628	
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.68	1.00		0.48	1.00		0.41	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1442	1655		1307	1803		923	1714		716	1628	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	46	9	110	2	7	4	207	667	14	9	282	11
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	98	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	46	21	0	2	7	0	207	680	0	9	291	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	0%	9%	18%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		31.0	31.0		21.5	21.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		31.0	31.0		21.5	21.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.11		0.11	0.11		0.58	0.58		0.41	0.41	
Clearance Time (s)	8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0		4.5	8.0		8.0	8.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	163	187		147	204		624	1002		290	660	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.00		0.03	c0.40			0.18	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.03			0.00			0.16			0.01		
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.11		0.01	0.04		0.33	0.68		0.03	0.44	
Uniform Delay, d1	21.5	21.1		20.9	20.9		5.4	7.6		9.5	11.4	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.0	0.3		0.0	0.1		0.3	3.7		0.2	2.1	
Delay (s)	22.5	21.4		20.9	21.0		5.7	11.3		9.7	13.5	
Level of Service	С	С		С	С		А	В		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		21.7			21.0			10.0			13.4	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			12.3	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.70									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			53.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			20.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		69.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service	;		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2029 Future Total PM 1: Airport Road North/Airport Road South & Walker Road East/Walker Road West 07/23/2019

	≯	-	-	+	•	†	×	Ļ	
			•		•	•		•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	46	119	2	11	207	681	9	293	
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.37	0.01	0.04	0.29	0.63	0.03	0.43	
Control Delay	22.3	9.5	18.5	16.3	5.6	12.4	11.8	15.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	22.3	9.5	18.5	16.3	5.6	12.4	11.8	15.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)	3.8	0.7	0.2	0.6	6.7	40.5	0.5	19.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.9	11.2	1.6	3.8	15.1	#90.8	2.9	40.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		35.5		244.1		232.3		64.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	15.0		15.0		15.0		15.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	503	649	457	632	706	1087	299	682	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.09	0.18	0.00	0.02	0.29	0.63	0.03	0.43	

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Appendix E

AADT Data

C.F. Crozier & Associates Inc. Project No. 110-4331

Year	AADT					Growt	h Rate				
2005	6594										
2006	5370										
2007	5151										
2008	5114										
2009	5272								-1.64%	-1.91%	-3.72%
2010	5188										
2011	4832					2 750/		1 770/			
2012	5133						-2.56%	-1.7770			
2013	4609			1 20%	-1.69%	-2.75%					
2014	5581	10 1 / 10/	-1.05%	-4.20%							
2015	4513	-19.14%									
	Average										
	Growth	-4.04%									

Growth -4 Rate

1.1 KM NW OF WALKERS RD.

NE

Year	AADT					Growt	h Rate				
2005	0										
2006	0										
2007	0								N/A	N/A	N/A
2008	0										
2009	0							N/A			
2010	0					N/A					
2011	0										
2012	3685						N/A				
2013	2102			1 0 2 %	N/A						
2014	3531	1 5 60/	28.59%	-1.95%							
2015	3476	-1.50%									
	Average										
	Growth	8.37%									

Rate

1.1 KM SE OF WALKERS RD.

NE

Year	AADT					Growt	h Rate				
2005	6401										
2006	5791										
2007	5283										
2008	5245							-1.08% -1		-1.92%	-2.71%
2009	5433								-1.03%		
2010	5437					-2.21%	-1.83%				
2011	5003										
2012	5409										
2013	3586			2 /0%	-0.71%						
2014	5458	10.02%	16.44%	-3.49%							
2015	4862	-10.92%									
	Average										
	Growth	-0.95%									

Growth -Rate

1.1 KM NW OF WALKERS RD.

SW

Year	AADT					Growt	h Rate				
2005	0										
2006	0										
2007	0										
2008	0									N/A	N/A
2009	0							N/A	N/A		
2010	0					N/A	N/A				
2011	0										
2012	3868										
2013	3016			2 1 2 0/	N/A						
2014	3586	1 1/10/	9.66%	-2.1270							
2015	3627	1.1470	1.1470								
	Average										
	Growth	2.89%									

Rate

1.1 KM SE OF WALKERS RD.

SW

Year	AADT					Growt	h Rate				
2005	12995										
2006	11161										
2007	10434										
2008	10359								-1.33%	-1.92%	-3.21%
2009	10705							-1.42%			
2010	10625					-2.47%	-2.19%				
2011	9835										
2012	10542										
2013	8195			-2 8/10/	-1.19%						
2014	11039	15.07%	6.96%	-3.0470							
2015	9375	-15.07%									
	Average										
	Growth	-2.57%									
	Rate										

1.1 KM NW OF WALKERS RD. NE+SW

Year	AADT					Growt	h Rate				
2005	0										
2006	0										
2007	0								N/A		N/#
2008	0										
2009	0							N/A		N/A	
2010	0					N/A	N/A				
2011	0				N/A						
2012	7553										
2013	5118			2 0 2 %							
2014	7117	0.20%	17.81%	-2.03%							
2015	7103	-0.20%									
	Average										
	Growth	5.19%									
	Rate										

1.1 KM SE OF WALKERS RD.

NE+SW

STATION_ID	ROAD_NAME	LOCATION 0.8 KM NORTH OF OLDF	Y_2015_NE	Y_2015_SW	Y_2014_NE	Y_2014_SW	Y_2013_NE	Y_2013_SW	Y_2012_NE	Y_2012_SW	Y_2011_NE	Y_2011_SW	Y_2010_NE	Y_2010_SW
00728673	AIRPORT ROAD	BASELINE RD. (RR12)	4513	4862	5581	5458	4609	3586	5133	5409	4832	5003	5188	5437
00730837	AIRPORT ROAD	1.5 KM NORTH OF OLD CHURCH RD.	3476	3627	3531	3586	2102	3016	3685	3868	0	0	0	0
STATION_ID	ROAD_NAME	LOCATION	Y_2009_NE	Y_2009_SW	Y_2008_NE	Y_2008_SW	Y_2007_NE	Y_2007_SW	Y_2006_NE	Y_2006_SW	Y_2005_NE	Y_2005_SW	Y_2004_NE	Y_2004_SW
00728673	AIRPORT ROAD	0.8 KM NORTH OF OLDE BASELINE RD. (RR12)	5272	5433	5114	5245	5151	5283	5370	5791	6594	6401	5799	5875
00730837	AIRPORT ROAD	1.5 KM NORTH OF OLD CHURCH RD.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATION_ID	ROAD_NAME	LOCATION 0.8 KM NORTH OF OLDE	Y_2003_NE	Y_2003_SW	Y_2002_NE	Y_2002_SW	Y_2001_NE	Y_2001_SW	Y_2000_NE	Y_2000_SW	Y_1999_NE	Y_1999_SW	Y_1998_NE	Y_1998_SW
00728673	AIRPORT ROAD	BASELINE RD. (RR12)	6059	6895	5765	5796	4458	6080	4262	6160	5401	5208	5602	5234
00730837	AIRPORT ROAD	RD.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATION_ID	ROAD_NAME	LOCATION 0.8 KM NORTH OF OLDE	Y_1997_NE	Y_1997_SW	Y_1996_NE	Y_1996_SW								
00728673	AIRPORT ROAD	BASELINE RD. (RR12) 1.5 KM NORTH OF OLD CHURCH	5349	5529	4614	4561								
00730837	AIRPORT ROAD	RD.	0	0	0	0								

Appendix F

Castles of Caledon TIS Excerpts

CASTLES OF CALEDON CORPORATION

REVISED TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

Mountainview Road and Walker Road West

Town of Caledon Project No.: TR13-0575





MARCH 2014

COLE ENGINEERING GROUP LTD.

HEAD OFFICE 70 Valleywood Drive Markham, ON CANADA L3R 4T5 **T.** 905.940.6161 | 416.987.6161 **F.** 905.940.2064 | www.ColeEngineering.ca GTA WEST OFFICE

150 Courtneypark Drive West, Unit C100 Mississauga, ON CANADA L5W 1Y6 **T.** 905.364.6161 **F.** 905.364.6162

1.0 Introduction

Cole Engineering Group Ltd. (Cole Engineering) was retained by Castles of Caledon Corporation (the "Owner") to undertake a Traffic Impact Study in support of a draft plan of subdivision application for a residential development on the property municipally known as 89 Walker Road West, in the Town of Caledon (the "Town").

The site is located northeast quadrant of Mountainview Road / Walker Road West and is bounded by undeveloped lands to the north and east, Mountainview Road to the west, and Walker Road West to the south. **Figure 1-1** illustrates the site location.

The overall development site area is approximately 24.92 hectares. Currently, the property lands are vacant and fall under 'Schedule D' of the Town of Caledon Official Plan, where the subject lands are designated as *Policy Area – Residential*. The development plan for the site is a residential development comprised of 203 single family detached dwellings as well as a 0.38 ha parkette and a 0.97 ha storm water management (SWM) pond.

Vehicle access to the development is provided via three (3) full movement municipal intersections. One (1) site access is provided off Walker Road West approximately 54 metres east of the Mountainview Road / Walker Road West intersection. The two (2) remaining site accesses will align with the existing Borland Crescent intersection to create a four (4)-way intersection under 'Stop' control at the minor street approaches. **Figure 1-2** illustrates the draft plan for subdivision.

The purpose of this study is to:

- Identify any traffic operational concerns in the existing and future traffic conditions;
- Document the total traffic impacts associated with the subject site;
- Identify any required mitigative measures; and,
- Review the on-site circulation and geometric design as it relates to the turning movements of delivery / service vehicles.

2.0 Study Approach

Existing traffic counts were obtained from the Region of Peel (the "Region") and undertaken by Accu-Traffic on behalf of Cole Engineering. A five (5) year (Year 2018) and ten (10) year (Year 2023) horizon periods were selected to represent future traffic conditions and full-build out of the proposed development.

To forecast the future (2018 and 2023) background traffic condition in the vicinity of the subject site, an annual growth rate will be applied to the study intersections to reflect and capture general traffic growth. Detailed calculation methodologies and assumptions will be presented in the later chapters.

Based on the site related information received, the study area for this analysis includes the following intersections:

- Mountainview Road / Walker Road West Existing unsignalized;
- Airport Road / Walker Road Existing unsignalized;


5.0 Site Traffic

5.1. **Trip Generation**

As previously noted, the proposed development plan for the site is a residential development comprising of 203 single family detached dwellings as well as a 0.38 ha parkette and a 0.97 ha storm water management (SWM) pond. Trip generation for the singe family detached residential units was undertaken using information contained in the Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition, published by the ITE for Single-Family Detached Housing (Land Use Code 210). The 2006 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data for the zones within the subject site's neighbourhood (3101, 3151, 3189 and 3197) indicate a three percent (3%) non-automotive split. However, for a conservative analysis, a non-auto split reduction was not applied. The trip generation calculation is summarized in Table 5.1.

	11	Devenenter	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
Lanu Ose	Onit	Parameter	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Single-Family	203 units	Gross Rate (trips / unit)	0.19	0.56	0.75	0.66	0.39	1.05
Detached Housing		Gross Trips	38	114	152	134	79	213

Table 5.1 – Site	Trip G	eneration

Based on the foregoing, the proposed development is expected to generate 152 two (2)-way (38 inbound and 114 outbound) trips during the roadway a.m. peak hour and 213 two (2)-way (134 inbound and 79 outbound) trips during the roadway p.m. peak hour.

5.2. **Trip Distribution**

The trip distribution and assignment is based on the traffic patterns extracted from the approved traffic impact study prepared by MMM for the proposed Châteaux of Caledon mixed-use development projects traffic patterns as extracted from the 2006 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) and existing traffic flows. The applied trip distribution is summarized in **Table 5.2**.

Direction	Via	Proportions
North	Airport Road	1%
South	Airport Road	9%
30011	Mountainview Road	3%
Eact	Airport Road	40%
EdSt	Mountainview Road	8%
\M/ost	Airport Road	30%
West	Mountainview Road	9%
т	otal	100%

The site development traffic is assigned to the study area intersections based on the trip distribution presented and the projected site traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 5-1.

6.0 Future Total Traffic Operations

For the purpose of this study, future traffic was assessed in the 2018 and 2023 horizons. The future study area's future road network configuration is illustrated in Figure 6-1.

Town of Caledon

Revised Traffic Impact Study



Figure 1-1 Site Location



Revised Traffic Impact Study



Figure 1-2 Draft Plan of Subdivision



Town of Caledon



Figure 5-1 Site Traffic Volumes







Trip Generation Manual

10th Edition • Volume 2: Data Residential (Land Uses 200–299)

SEPTEMBER 2017

INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS

Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units On a: Weekday

Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	159
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units:	264
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
9.44	4.81 - 19.39	2.10

Data Plot and Equation





Land Use	210 220 se Single-Family Detached Housing Multifamily Housing (Low-				w-Rise)									
Setting		Ge	neral Urb	an/Suburt	ban		General Urban/Suburban			Dense M Url	/lulti-Use ban			
Time Period	Wee	kday	Satu	ırday	Sun	iday	Wee	kday	Saturday Sunday			nday	Weekday	
Trip Type	Veh	nicle	Veł	nicle	Veh	icle	Veh	nicle	Veh	icle	Vel	nicle	Veh	nicle
# Data Sites	(6		2		1	10		1		1			1
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
12:00	0.3	5.5	0.9	7.6	1.0	6.8	0.7	5.4	0.0	8.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	5.0
12:15	0.3	5.6	0.7	8.8	1.0	9.4	0.7	5.3	0.0	10.6	0.0	12.3	0.0	4.6
12:30	0.2	5.8	0.6	9.3	0.5	9.9	0.6	5.2	0.0	11.7	0.0	12.3	0.0	4.2
12:45	0.2	6.1	0.7	8.5	1.0	7.3	0.5	4.9	0.0	9.6	0.0	10.3	0.0	3.8
1:00	0.2	6.0	0.5	8.2	1.0	7.8	0.4	4.6	0.0	7.4	0.0	12.3	0.0	5.0
1:15	0.2	6.1	0.5	7.7	1.0	4.7	0.3	4.9	0.0	3.2	0.0	8.9	0.0	7.3
1:30	0.2	6.2	0.4	6.8	0.5	5.2	0.3	5.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	8.2	0.0	8.0
1:45	0.1	6.2	0.4	8.2	0.0	6.3	0.3	5.6	0.0	3.7	0.0	8.2	0.0	8.8
2:00	0.1	6.6	0.4	8.6	0.0	4.2	0.3	5.7	0.0	5.3	0.0	8.2	0.0	8.0
2:15	0.1	6.8	0.4	9.2	0.0	4.2	0.4	5.7	0.0	5.9	0.0	8.2	0.0	7.6
2:30	0.1	6.7	0.4	9.4	0.0	3.6	0.4	6.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	6.2	0.0	7.6
2:45	0.1	7.1	0.4	9.3	0.0	2.6	0.3	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	6.2	0.0	6.5
3:00	0.2	7.2	0.6	10.0	0.5	5.2	0.4	6.2	0.0	5.9	0.0	3.4	0.4	7.3
3:15	0.2	7.7	0.9	8.2	0.5	7.3	0.3	6.5	0.0	6.9	0.0	5.5	0.4	6.1
3:30	0.3	8.5	0.8	8.6	0.5	8.9	0.4	6.4	0.0	5.9	0.0	6.8	0.4	6.9
3:45	0.5	8.9	0.8	7.2	0.5	11.5	0.6	7.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	6.2	0.4	7.3
4:00	0.6	9.0	0.6	6.2	0.0	9.9	0.6	7.6	0.0	5.9	0.0	6.2	0.4	6.9
4:15	0.7	8.9	0.2	7.0	1.0	9.9	0.7	8.1	0.0	6.4	0.0	2.7	0.4	6.5
4:30	1.0	8.9	0.5	7.3	1.6	9.9	0.8	8.8	0.5	9.0	0.7	4.1	0.4	6.1
4:45	1.0	8.9	0.6	7.7	2.1	10.4	1.0	9.2	1.1	8.5	1.4	6.2	1.1	5.7
5:00	1.2	8.8	0.9	8.0	2.1	11.5	1.3	9.1	1.1	10.1	1.4	7.5	0.8	6.1
5:15	1.6	8.6	1.1	7.4	1.6	10.4	1.6	9.2	1.1	10.1	1.4	8.9	2.3	6.9
5:30	2.0	8.3	0.9	6.5	1.0	9.4	1.9	9.0	0.5	9.6	0.7	8.9	3.1	7.3
5:45	2.9	7.9	0.9	5.9	1.0	6.8	2.4	8.2	0.0	11.2	0.7	6.2	4.6	8.4
6:00	3.8	7.2	0.9	5.4	1.6	7.3	2.9	7.9	1.1	8.5	1.4	4.8	5.0	9.2
6:15	4.5	6.7	1.2	5.6	1.0	6.8	3.8	7.2	2.1	6.4	2.7	4.8	5.0	9.5
6:30	5.4	6.0	1.5	5.3	1.6	7.3	4.9	6.6	2.1	4.8	2.7	3.4	6.9	8.4
6:45	6.2	5.6	1.9	5.9	2.1	8.9	6.3	6.4	2.1	3.7	2.1	3.4	8.0	6.9
7:00	6.7	5.2	1.9	5.6	2.1	6.8	7.4	5.7	2.7	2.7	1.4	3.4	11.1	5.0
7:15	7.3	5.0	2.5	5.8	3.1	6.3	7.7	5.4	1.6	4.3	2.7	4.1	9.9	4.6
7:30	7.1	4.8	3.5	5.8	3.6	5.7	7.7	5.4	1.6	4.8	4.1	2.7	8.8	3.8
7:45	6.6	4.7	3.8	5.4	3.6	4.2	6.9	4.9	2.7	4.3	6.2	2.7	7.3	3.8
8:00	6.2	4.7	4.3	5.0	3.1	5.2	6.3	5.1	1.6	3.7	6.8	2.7	4.6	5.7
8:15	5.7	4.5	4.7	3.6	2.6	4.2	6.0	4.8	2.7	4.8	6.2	0.7	5.0	3.8
8:30	5.1	4.3	4.0	3.2	3.1	2.6	5.6	4.1	4.3	4.3	6.2	1.4	3.8	6.9
8:45	4.9	3.7	4.8	2.8	2.1	1.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	3.2	4.8	1.4	3.1	8.8
9:00	4.3	3.4	5.2	2.1	3.6	0.0	5.3	3.6	6.9	3.7	6.2	0.7	2.7	6.9
9:15	4.1	2.8	5.4	2.2	5.2	0.0	5.1	3.6	9.0	2.7	5.5	2.1	2.7	8.0
9:30	4.4	2.3	6.0	2.1	6.3	0.0	4.6	3.6	10.1	3.2	5.5	1.4	3.4	5.0
9:45	4.4	2.0	7.3	1.5	10.9	0.5	4.1	3.3	12.2	4.3	8.9	2.1	4.2	3.1
10:00	4.8	1.6	7.9	1.3	12.5	0.5	4.0	2.9	9.6	3.7	10.3	2.7	4.6	2.3
10:15	5.0	1.3	8.1	0.9	13.0	0.5	4.3	2.2	7.4	2.1	11.6	1.4	4.2	1.5
10:30	5.0	1.2	7.7	0.9	11.5	0.5	4.7	1.8	6.4	2.7	11.6	1.4	3.1	1.1
10:45	5.2	1.2	6.2	0.8	9.4	0.0	5.2	1.4	5.9	1.6	8.9	0.7	1.9	0.8
11:00	5.2	1.0	6.5	1.4	7.3	0.0	5.3	1.2	10.6	1.6	8.2	0.0	1.9	1.5
11:15	5.3	0.8	6.5	1.4	6.3	0.0	5.3	1.0	11.2	1.6	10.3	0.0	3.1	0.8
11:30	5.4	0.7	7.2	1.5	5.7	1.0	5.2	0.8	11.2	0.0	11.6	0.0	4.2	0.8
11:45	5.4	0.4	7.9	1.3	6.3	1.0	5.4	0.7	10.6	0.0	13.7	0.0	5.0	0.8
			-		-			-				-		-

Appendix G

ITE Trip Generation Manual and Handbook Excerpts





Trip Generation Manual

10th Edition • Volume 2: Data Residential (Land Uses 200–299)

SEPTEMBER 2017

INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS

Land Use: 220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)

Description

Low-rise multifamily housing includes apartments, townhouses, and condominiums located within the same building with at least three other dwelling units and that have one or two levels (floors). Multifamily housing (mid-rise) (Land Use 221), multifamily housing (high-rise) (Land Use 222), and off-campus student apartment (Land Use 225) are related land uses.

Additional Data

In prior editions of *Trip Generation Manual*, the low-rise multifamily housing sites were further divided into rental and condominium categories. An investigation of vehicle trip data found no clear differences in trip making patterns between the rental and condominium sites within the ITE database. As more data are compiled for future editions, this land use classification can be reinvestigated.

For the three sites for which both the number of residents and the number of occupied dwelling units were available, there were an average of 2.72 residents per occupied dwelling unit.

For the two sites for which the numbers of both total dwelling units and occupied dwelling units were available, an average of 96.2 percent of the total dwelling units were occupied.

This land use included data from a wide variety of units with different sizes, price ranges, locations, and ages. Consequently, there was a wide variation in trips generated within this category. Other factors, such as geographic location and type of adjacent and nearby development, may also have had an effect on the site trip generation.

Time-of-day distribution data for this land use are presented in Appendix A. For the 10 general urban/suburban sites with data, the overall highest vehicle volumes during the AM and PM on a weekday were counted between 7:15 and 8:15 a.m. and 4:45 and 5:45 p.m., respectively. For the one site with Saturday data, the overall highest vehicle volume was counted between 9:45 and 10:45 a.m. For the one site with Sunday data, the overall highest vehicle volume was counted between 9:45 and 10:45 a.m. and 12:45 p.m.

For the one dense multi-use urban site with 24-hour count data, the overall highest vehicle volumes during the AM and PM on a weekday were counted between 7:00 and 8:00 a.m. and 6:15 and 7:15 p.m., respectively.

For the three sites for which data were provided for both occupied dwelling units and residents, there was an average of 2.72 residents per occupied dwelling unit.

The average numbers of person trips per vehicle trip at the five general urban/suburban sites at which both person trip and vehicle trip data were collected were as follows:

- 1.13 during Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, one hour between 7 and 9 a.m.
- 1.21 during Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, one hour between 4 and 6 p.m.



The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in British Columbia (CAN), California, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Ontario, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, and Washington.

It is expected that the number of bedrooms and number of residents are likely correlated to the number of trips generated by a residential site. Many of the studies included in this land use did not indicate the total number of bedrooms. To assist in the future analysis of this land use, it is important that this information be collected and included in trip generation data submissions.

Source Numbers

168, 187, 188, 204, 211, 300, 305, 306, 319, 320, 321, 357, 390, 412, 418, 525, 530, 571, 579, 583, 864, 868, 869, 870, 896, 903, 918, 946, 947, 948, 951



Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) (220)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	Dwelling Units Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	42
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units:	199
Directional Distribution:	23% entering, 77% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.46	0.18 - 0.74	0.12

Data Plot and Equation



Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) (220)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	Dwelling Units Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:	50
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units:	187
Directional Distribution:	63% entering, 37% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.56	0.18 - 1.25	0.16

Data Plot and Equation



Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) (220)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units On a: Weekday

Number of Studies:	29
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units:	168
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
7.32	4.45 - 10.97	1.31

Data Plot and Equation





Land Use		Single-	2 [.] Family De	10 etached H	lousing		220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)							
Setting		Ge	neral Urb	an/Suburt	ban			Ge	eneral Urb	an/Subur	ban		Dense M Url	/lulti-Use ban
Time Period	Wee	kday	Satu	ırday	Sun	iday	Wee	kday	Satu	rday	Sur	nday	Wee	kday
Trip Type	Veh	nicle	Veł	nicle	Vehicle		Vehicle		Veh	icle	Vel	nicle	Veh	nicle
# Data Sites	(6	2		1		10		1			1	1	
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
12:00	0.3	5.5	0.9	7.6	1.0	6.8	0.7	5.4	0.0	8.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	5.0
12:15	0.3	5.6	0.7	8.8	1.0	9.4	0.7	5.3	0.0	10.6	0.0	12.3	0.0	4.6
12:30	0.2	5.8	0.6	9.3	0.5	9.9	0.6	5.2	0.0	11.7	0.0	12.3	0.0	4.2
12:45	0.2	6.1	0.7	8.5	1.0	7.3	0.5	4.9	0.0	9.6	0.0	10.3	0.0	3.8
1:00	0.2	6.0	0.5	8.2	1.0	7.8	0.4	4.6	0.0	7.4	0.0	12.3	0.0	5.0
1:15	0.2	6.1	0.5	7.7	1.0	4.7	0.3	4.9	0.0	3.2	0.0	8.9	0.0	7.3
1:30	0.2	6.2	0.4	6.8	0.5	5.2	0.3	5.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	8.2	0.0	8.0
1:45	0.1	6.2	0.4	8.2	0.0	6.3	0.3	5.6	0.0	3.7	0.0	8.2	0.0	8.8
2:00	0.1	6.6	0.4	8.6	0.0	4.2	0.3	5.7	0.0	5.3	0.0	8.2	0.0	8.0
2:15	0.1	6.8	0.4	9.2	0.0	4.2	0.4	5.7	0.0	5.9	0.0	8.2	0.0	7.6
2:30	0.1	6.7	0.4	9.4	0.0	3.6	0.4	6.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	6.2	0.0	7.6
2:45	0.1	7.1	0.4	9.3	0.0	2.6	0.3	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	6.2	0.0	6.5
3:00	0.2	7.2	0.6	10.0	0.5	5.2	0.4	6.2	0.0	5.9	0.0	3.4	0.4	7.3
3:15	0.2	7.7	0.9	8.2	0.5	7.3	0.3	6.5	0.0	6.9	0.0	5.5	0.4	6.1
3:30	0.3	8.5	0.8	8.6	0.5	8.9	0.4	6.4	0.0	5.9	0.0	6.8	0.4	6.9
3:45	0.5	8.9	0.8	7.2	0.5	11.5	0.6	7.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	6.2	0.4	7.3
4:00	0.6	9.0	0.6	6.2	0.0	9.9	0.6	7.6	0.0	5.9	0.0	6.2	0.4	6.9
4:15	0.7	8.9	0.2	7.0	1.0	9.9	0.7	8.1	0.0	6.4	0.0	2.7	0.4	6.5
4:30	1.0	8.9	0.5	7.3	1.6	9.9	0.8	8.8	0.5	9.0	0.7	4.1	0.4	6.1
4:45	1.0	8.9	0.6	7.7	2.1	10.4	1.0	9.2	1.1	8.5	1.4	6.2	1.1	5.7
5:00	1.2	8.8	0.9	8.0	2.1	11.5	1.3	9.1	1.1	10.1	1.4	7.5	0.8	6.1
5:15	1.6	8.6	1.1	7.4	1.6	10.4	1.6	9.2	1.1	10.1	1.4	8.9	2.3	6.9
5:30	2.0	8.3	0.9	6.5	1.0	9.4	1.9	9.0	0.5	9.6	0.7	8.9	3.1	7.3
5:45	2.9	7.9	0.9	5.9	1.0	6.8	2.4	8.2	0.0	11.2	0.7	6.2	4.6	8.4
6:00	3.8	7.2	0.9	5.4	1.6	7.3	2.9	7.9	1.1	8.5	1.4	4.8	5.0	9.2
6:15	4.5	6.7	1.2	5.6	1.0	6.8	3.8	7.2	2.1	6.4	2.7	4.8	5.0	9.5
6:30	5.4	6.0	1.5	5.3	1.6	7.3	4.9	6.6	2.1	4.8	2.7	3.4	6.9	8.4
6:45	6.2	5.6	1.9	5.9	2.1	8.9	6.3	6.4	2.1	3.7	2.1	3.4	8.0	6.9
7:00	6.7	5.2	1.9	5.6	2.1	6.8	7.4	5.7	2.7	2.7	1.4	3.4	11.1	5.0
7:15	7.3	5.0	2.5	5.8	3.1	6.3	7.7	5.4	1.6	4.3	2.7	4.1	9.9	4.6
7:30	7.1	4.8	3.5	5.8	3.6	5.7	7.7	5.4	1.6	4.8	4.1	2.7	8.8	3.8
7:45	6.6	4.7	3.8	5.4	3.6	4.2	6.9	4.9	2.7	4.3	6.2	2.7	7.3	3.8
8:00	6.2	4.7	4.3	5.0	3.1	5.2	6.3	5.1	1.6	3.7	6.8	2.7	4.6	5.7
8:15	5.7	4.5	4.7	3.6	2.6	4.2	6.0	4.8	2.7	4.8	6.2	0.7	5.0	3.8
8:30	5.1	4.3	4.0	3.2	3.1	2.6	5.6	4.1	4.3	4.3	6.2	1.4	3.8	6.9
8:45	4.9	3.7	4.8	2.8	2.1	1.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	3.2	4.8	1.4	3.1	8.8
9:00	4.3	3.4	5.2	2.1	3.6	0.0	5.3	3.6	6.9	3.7	6.2	0.7	2.7	6.9
9:15	4.1	2.8	5.4	2.2	5.2	0.0	5.1	3.6	9.0	2.7	5.5	2.1	2.7	8.0
9:30	4.4	2.3	6.0	2.1	6.3	0.0	4.6	3.6	10.1	3.2	5.5	1.4	3.4	5.0
9:45	4.4	2.0	7.3	1.5	10.9	0.5	4.1	3.3	12.2	4.3	8.9	2.1	4.2	3.1
10:00	4.8	1.6	7.9	1.3	12.5	0.5	4.0	2.9	9.6	3.7	10.3	2.7	4.6	2.3
10:15	5.0	1.3	8.1	0.9	13.0	0.5	4.3	2.2	7.4	2.1	11.6	1.4	4.2	1.5
10:30	5.0	1.2	7.7	0.9	11.5	0.5	4.7	1.8	6.4	2.7	11.6	1.4	3.1	1.1
10:45	5.2	1.2	6.2	0.8	9.4	0.0	5.2	1.4	5.9	1.6	8.9	0.7	1.9	0.8
11:00	5.2	1.0	6.5	1.4	7.3	0.0	5.3	1.2	10.6	1.6	8.2	0.0	1.9	1.5
11:15	5.3	0.8	6.5	1.4	6.3	0.0	5.3	1.0	11.2	1.6	10.3	0.0	3.1	0.8
11:30	5.4	0.7	7.2	1.5	5.7	1.0	5.2	0.8	11.2	0.0	11.6	0.0	4.2	0.8
11:45	5.4	0.4	7.9	1.3	6.3	1.0	5.4	0.7	10.6	0.0	13.7	0.0	5.0	0.8
			-		-			-				-		-





Trip Generation Manual

10th Edition • Volume 2: Data Retail (Land Uses 800–899)

SEPTEMBER 2017

INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS

Land Use: 820 Shopping Center

Description

A shopping center is an integrated group of commercial establishments that is planned, developed, owned, and managed as a unit. A shopping center's composition is related to its market area in terms of size, location, and type of store. A shopping center also provides on-site parking facilities sufficient to serve its own parking demands. Factory outlet center (Land Use 823) is a related use.

Additional Data

Shopping centers, including neighborhood centers, community centers, regional centers, and super regional centers, were surveyed for this land use. Some of these centers contained non-merchandising facilities, such as office buildings, movie theaters, restaurants, post offices, banks, health clubs, and recreational facilities (for example, ice skating rinks or indoor miniature golf courses).

Many shopping centers, in addition to the integrated unit of shops in one building or enclosed around a mall, include outparcels (peripheral buildings or pads located on the perimeter of the center adjacent to the streets and major access points). These buildings are typically drive-in banks, retail stores, restaurants, or small offices. Although the data herein do not indicate which of the centers studied included peripheral buildings, it can be assumed that some of the data show their effect.

The vehicle trips generated at a shopping center are based upon the total GLA of the center. In cases of smaller centers without an enclosed mall or peripheral buildings, the GLA could be the same as the gross floor area of the building.

Time-of-day distribution data for this land use are presented in Appendix A. For the 10 general urban/ suburban sites with data, the overall highest vehicle volumes during the AM and PM on a weekday were counted between 11:45 a.m. and 12:45 p.m. and 12:15 and 1:15 p.m., respectively.

The average numbers of person trips per vehicle trip at the 27 general urban/suburban sites at which both person trip and vehicle trip data were collected were as follows:

- 1.31 during Weekday, AM Peak Hour of Generator
- 1.43 during Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, one hour between 4 and 6 p.m.
- 1.46 during Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Generator

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alberta (CAN), British Columbia (CAN), California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

Source Numbers

105, 110, 154, 156, 159, 186, 190, 198, 199, 202, 204, 211, 213, 239, 251, 259, 260, 269, 294, 295, 299, 300, 301, 304, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 314, 315, 316, 317, 319, 358, 365, 376, 385, 390, 400, 404, 414, 420, 423, 428, 437, 440, 442, 444, 446, 507, 562, 580, 598, 629, 658, 702, 715, 728, 868, 870, 871, 880, 899, 908, 912, 915, 926, 936, 944, 946, 960, 961, 962, 973, 974, 978



Shopping Center (820)							
Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	1000 Sq. Ft. GLA Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.						
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban						
Number of Studies:	84						
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA:	351						
Directional Distribution:	62% entering, 38% exiting						

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.94	0.18 - 23.74	0.87

Data Plot and Equation



Shopping Center (820)							
Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	1000 Sq. Ft. GLA Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.						
Setting/Location:	General Urban/Suburban						
Number of Studies:	261						
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA:	327						
Directional Distribution:	48% entering, 52% exiting						

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
3.81	0.74 - 18.69	2.04

Data Plot and Equation



Shopping Center (820)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA On a: Weekday

Number of Studies:	147
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA:	453
Directional Distribution:	50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
37.75	7.42 - 207.98	16.41

Data Plot and Equation





Lan	nd Use	82 Shoppin	20 g Center	84 Autor Sales	40 nobile (New)	84 Autor Sales	41 nobile (Used)	84 Recre Vehicle	42 ational e Sales	84 Autor Parts	43 nobile Sales	84 Tire S	48 Store		
Se	etting	Genera Subi	l Urban/ urban	Genera Subi	l Urban/ urban	Genera Subi	l Urban/ Irban	Genera Subi	l Urban/ urban	General Urban/ Suburban		General Urban/ Suburban			
Time	Period	Wee	kdav	Weekdav		Weekday		Wee	kdav	Weekday		Weekday		Weekday	
Trip	о Туре	Veh	nicle	Veh	nicle	Veh	icle	Veł	nicle	Veh	nicle	Veh	Vehicle		
# Da	ta Sites	1	0	(3	1	4		5		7		6		
		AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PN		
1	2:00	0.2	10.0	0.0	9.4	0.0	9.1	0.0	10.9	0.0	8.6	0.0	7.		
1:	2:15	0.1	10.1	0.0	8.7	0.0	9.9	0.0	10.5	0.0	8.9	0.0	8.		
1:	2:30	0.2	9.8	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	10.7	0.0	9.2	0.0	9.		
1	2:45	0.1	9.6	0.0	8.9	0.0	9.4	0.0	8.7	0.0	9.8	0.0	11.		
1	1:00	0.1	9.3	0.0	9.5	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.6	0.0	11		
1	1:15	0.1	9.2	0.0	9.8	0.0	7.5	0.0	8.5	0.0	7.8	0.0	11.		
1	:30	0.0	9.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	7.1	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.4	0.0	12		
1	1:45	0.0	9.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	6.3	0.0	8.2	0.0	6.7	0.0	11		
2	2:00	0.0	9.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	8.2	0.0	7.0	0.0	10		
2	2:15	0.0	8.8	0.0	9.8	0.0	8.5	0.0	9.0	0.0	7.8	0.0	9.		
2	2:30	0.0	8.8	0.0	9.1	0.0	8.5	0.0	8.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	8.		
2	2:45	0.0	8.8	0.0	8.9	0.0	8.6	0.0	7.8	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.		
3	3:00	0.0	8.8	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.4	0.0	7.8	0.0	8.7	0.0	10		
3	3:15	0.0	8.9	0.0	8.1	0.0	8.9	0.0	7.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	10		
3	3:30	0.0	9.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	9.8	0.0	9.2	0.0	8.4	0.0	9.		
3	3:45	0.0	9.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	10.9	0.0	9.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	9.		
4	1:00	0.0	9.2	0.0	7.4	0.0	11.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	9.		
4	1:15	0.0	9.2	0.0	7.5	0.0	11.1	0.0	9.3	0.0	8.5	0.0	9.		
4	1:30	0.1	9.3	0.0	8.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	8.0	0.0	8.2	0.2	8.		
4	1:45	0.1	9.4	0.0	8.1	0.0	10.8	0.0	8.8	0.0	7.6	0.2	8.		
5	5:00	0.1	9.3	0.0	8.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	6.7	0.0	7.5	0.4	6.		
5	5:15	0.1	9.1	0.0	8.1	0.0	9.6	0.0	5.8	0.0	7.3	0.5	6.		
5	5:30	0.2	8.7	0.1	6.8	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.3	0.0	7.0	0.5	5.		
5	5:45	0.2	8.3	0.2	5.9	0.0	6.3	0.0	2.0	0.1	6.7	0.5	3.		
6	5:00	0.2	8.0	0.7	5.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	1.3	0.3	6.6	0.4	3.		
6	0:15	0.4	7.6	1.7	4.1	0.0	3.2	0.3	0.8	0.8	5.5	0.7	2.		
6	5:30 5:4E	0.6	7.1	2.9	3.6	0.2	2.4	0.3	0.7	1.0	5.3	1.0	1.		
5	2.00	0.8	6.7	4.3	3.0	0.7	1.8	2.3	0.5	1.5	5.5	1.8	1.		
	7:15	1.1	0.1	5.7	2.9	1.9	1.9	5.5	0.2	2.1	5.0	2.9	1.		
-	.15	1.4	5.0	0.0	1.7	3.1	1./	0.0	0.0	2.0	5.4	3.4	0.		
7	.30	1.0	J.5	1.0	0.7	4.5	1.0	7.0	0.0	4.5	5.0	4.9	0.		
1	3:00	2.0	4.5	83	0.7	4.9 5.0	1.0	63	0.0	4.0	43	7.2	0.		
5	8:15	23	4.2	8.4	0.0	7.2	0.4	93	0.0	6.6	3.5	77	0.		
5	3:30	2.6	3.8	7.9	0.0	77	0.4	9.5	0.0	6.7	27	7.8	0.		
5	3:45	31	3.4	8.2	0.0	9.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	7.6	1.9	8.3	0		
ç	00:00	3.6	2.9	7,5	0.0	11.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	8.2	1.7	9.4	0		
ç	9:15	4.1	2.3	7.8	0.0	9.7	0.0	12.2	0.0	8.1	1.3	10.9	0.		
ç	9:30	4.7	1.7	7.6	0.0	10.4	0.0	12.7	0.0	7.8	0.8	11.3	0.		
g	9:45	5.1	1.4	7.8	0.0	11.0	0.0	12.4	0.0	8.0	0.5	10.9	0.		
1	0:00	5.6	1.1	8.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	12.4	0.0	8.4	0.1	10.7	0.		
1	0:15	6.3	0.8	8.4	0.0	9.7	0.0	12.5	0.0	8.0	0.0	9.9	0.		
1	0:30	6.9	0.7	8.7	0.0	10.4	0.0	11.2	0.0	8.5	0.0	9.2	0.		
1	0:45	7.6	0.5	8.5	0.0	9.7	0.0	11.7	0.0	8.5	0.0	8.9	0.		
1	1:00	8.3	0.5	8.8	0.0	9.8	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.6	0.0	8.3	0.		
1	1:15	8.9	0.4	9.4	0.0	9.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	9.3	0.0	8.5	0.		
1	1:30	9.5	0.3	9.1	0.0	8.5	0.0	9.2	0.0	8.8	0.0	8.6	0.		
1	1.45	9.8	0.3	9.4	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	77	0		



INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS

SIZE		WEEKDAY	NO OF		DASS DV	NON-PASS-BY TRIP (%)		ADJ. STREET	AVERAGE		
FT. GLA)	LOCATION	SURVEY DATE	INTERVIEWS	TIME PERIOD	TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	VOLUME	TRAFFIC	SOURCE
921	Albany, NY	July & Aug. 1985	196	4:00–6:00 p.m.	23	42	35	77	_	60,950	Raymond Keyes Assoc.
108	Overland Park, KS	July 1988	111	4:30–5:30 p.m.	26	61	13	74	-	34,000	-
118	Overland Park, KS	Aug. 1988	123	4:30–5:30 p.m.	25	55	20	75	-	-	_
256	Greece, NY	June 1988	120	4:00-6:00 p.m.	38	62	-	62	-	23,410	Sear Brown
160	Greece, NY	June 1988	78	4:00-6:00 p.m.	29	71	_	71	-	57,306	Sear Brown
550	Greece, NY	June 1988	117	4:00-6:00 p.m.	48	52	_	52	-	40,763	Sear Brown
51	Boca Raton, FL	Dec. 1987	110	4:00–6:00 p.m.	33	34	33	67	-	42,225	Kimley-Horn and Assoc. Inc.
1,090	Ross Twp, PA	July 1988	411	2:00-8:00 p.m.	34	56	10	66	-	51,500	Wilbur Smith and Assoc.
97	Upper Dublin Twp, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	41	_	-	59	-	34,000	McMahon Associates
118	Tredyffrin Twp, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	24	_	-	76	-	10,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
122	Lawnside, NJ	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	37	_	-	63	_	20,000	Pennoni Associates
126	Boca Raton, FL	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00–6:00 p.m.	43	—	-	57	-	40,000	McMahon Associates
150	Willow Grove, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	39	—	-	61	-	26,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
153	Broward Cnty., FL	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	50	-	-	50	-	85,000	McMahon Associates
153	Arden, DE	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	30	-	-	70	-	26,000	Orth-Rodgers & Assoc. Inc.
154	Doylestown, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	32	_	-	68	-	29,000	Orth-Rodgers & Assoc. Inc.
164	Middletown Twp, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	33	—	-	67	—	25,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
166	Haddon Twp, NJ	Winter 1988/89	_	4:00-6:00 p.m.	20	_	-	80	_	6,000	Pennoni Associates
205	Broward Cnty., FL	Winter 1988/89	_	4:00-6:00 p.m.	55	_	-	45	-	62,000	McMahon Associates

Table E.9 (Cont'd) Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PMPeak Period Land Use Code 820—Shopping Center

Table E.9 (Cont'd) Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PM Peak PeriodLand Use Code 820—Shopping Center

						NON-PA	ASS-BY TRIP (%)	ADJ. STREET	AVERAGE	
SIZE (1,000 SQ. FT. GLA)	LOCATION	WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE	NO. OF	TIME PERIOD	PASS-BY TRIP (%)	PRIMARY	DIVERTED	TOTAL	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	24-HOUR TRAFFIC	SOURCE
237	W. Windsor Twp, NJ	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	48	-	-	52	-	46,000	Booz Allen & Hamilton
242	Willow Grove, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	37	-	-	63	-	26,000	McMahon Associates
297	Whitehall, PA	Winter 1988/89	-	4:00-6:00 p.m.	33	-	-	67	_	26,000	Orth-Rodgers & Assoc. Inc.
360	Broward Cnty., FL	Winter 1988/89	—	4:00-6:00 p.m.	44	-	_	56	—	73,000	McMahon Associates
370	Pittsburgh, PA	Winter 1988/89	—	4:00-6:00 p.m.	19	-	-	81	_	33,000	Wilbur Smith
150	Portland, OR	-	519	4:00-6:00 p.m.	68	6	26	32	-	25,000	Kittelson and Associates
150	Portland, OR	-	655	4:00-6:00 p.m.	65	7	28	35	—	30,000	Kittelson and Associates
760	Calgary, Alberta	OctDec. 1987	15,436	4:00-6:00 p.m.	20	39	41	80	—	—	City of Calgary DOT
178	Bordentown, NJ	Apr. 1989	154	2:00-6:00 p.m.	35	-	_	65	-	37,980	Raymond Keyes Assoc.
144	Manalapan, NJ	July 1990	176	3:30–6:15 p.m.	32	44	24	68	_	69,347	Raymond Keyes Assoc.
549	Natick, MA	Feb. 1989	—	4:45–5:45 p.m.	33	26	41	67	-	48,782	Raymond Keyes Assoc.

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 34

"—" means no data were provided

Appendix H

TTS Data

USER : Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates DATE : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07) DATA : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips FILTER 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver FILTER 2 : pd_dest => Caledon FILTER 3 : start_time => 700-900 FILTER 4 : gta06_dest => 3197 FILTER 5 : purp_dest => Market/Shop ROW : pd_orig COLUMN : pd_dest

TripsDirectionCaledon18 NorthBrampton7 SouthBrampton6 West

USER : Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates	USER :
DATE : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07)	DATE : S
DATA : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips	DATA : 2
FILTER 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver	FILTER 1 :
FILTER 2 : pd_dest => Caledon	FILTER 2 :
FILTER 3 : start_time => 1100-1400	FILTER 3 :
FILTER 4 : gta06_dest => 3197	FILTER 4 :
FILTER 5 : purp_dest => Market/Shop	FILTER 5 :
ROW : pd_orig	ROW :
COLUMN : pd_dest	COLUMN
Trips Direction	

	Trips	Direction	
Caledon		99	

 USER : Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates
 USER : Alexan

 DATE : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07)
 DATE : Sep 20

 DATA : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips
 DATA : 2011 T

 FILTER 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver
 FILTER 1 : mode

 FILTER 2 : pd_orig => Caledon
 FILTER 2 : pd_orig

 FILTER 3 : start_time => 700-900
 FILTER 3 : start_

 FILTER 4 : gta06_dest => 3197
 FILTER 4 : gta06

 FILTER 5 : purp_dest => Market/Shop
 FILTER 5 : purp_

 ROW : gta06_orig
 ROW : gta06_

 COLUMN : gta06_dest
 COLUMN : gta06_

TripsDirection310018 North

Row Labels	Sum of Trips	
North	18	58%
South	7	23%
West	6	19%
Grand Total	31	100%

USER : Alexan	der Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates	USER
DATE : Sep 20	2016 (14:19:07)	DATE
DATA : 2011 T	TS V1.0 Trips	DATA
FILTER 1 : mode	_prime => Auto driver	FILTER 1
FILTER 2 : pd_ori	ig => Caledon	FILTER 2
FILTER 3 : start_t	ime => 1100-1400	FILTER 3
FILTER 4 : gta06_	_dest => 3197	FILTER 4
FILTER 5 : purp_0	dest => Market/Shop	FILTER 5
ROW : gta06_	orig	ROW
COLUMN : gta06	6_dest	COLUMN

Trips	Direction
3001	18 South
3151	13 South
3152	18 North
	18 West
3193	14 South
3197	2 North
	2 West
	2 East
	13 South

Row Labels	Sum of Trips	
East	2	2%
North	20	20%
South	58	58%
West	20	20%
Grand Total	99	100%

3[.] Brampton

R	ow Label	S
E	ast	
Ν	orth	
S	outh	
۷	Vest	
G	rand Tota	9

Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07) 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips : mode_prime => Auto driver : pd_dest => Caledon : start_time => 1500-1800 : gta06_dest => 3197 : purp_dest => Market/Shop pd_orig : pd_dest

Caledon

Caledon

Brampton

101 8 South 7 West

: Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07) : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver 2 : pd_orig => Caledon 3 : start_time => 1500-1800 4 : gta06_dest => 3197 5 : purp_dest => Market/Shop : gta06_orig N : gta06_dest

	Trips	Direction
3001	18	South
3108	9	North
3108	9	South
3152	9	North
	8	West
3196	11	South
3197	2	North
	2	West
	2	East
	13	South
3198	20	South
	8	South
	7	West
1.	Curren of Tuine	

5	Sum of Trips	
	2	2%
	20	17%
	79	67%
	17	14%
al	117	100%

USER : Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates DATE : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07) DATA : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips FILTER 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver FILTER 2 : pd_orig => Caledon FILTER 3 : start_time => 700-900 FILTER 4 : gta06_orig => 3197 FILTER 5 : purp_orig => Market/Shop ROW : pd_dest COLUMN : pd_orig

Trips	Direction
Aurora	13 South
Brampton	9 South
	9 West

Row Labels	Sum of Trips	
South	22	71%
West	9	29%
Grand Total	31	100%

USER : Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates	USER :
DATE : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07)	DATE : S
DATA : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips	DATA : 2
FILTER 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver	FILTER 1 :
FILTER 2 : pd_orig => Caledon	FILTER 2 :
FILTER 3 : start_time => 1100-1400	FILTER 3 :
FILTER 4 : gta06_orig => 3197	FILTER 4 :
FILTER 5 : purp_orig => Market/Shop	FILTER 5 :
ROW : pd_dest	ROW : I
COLUMN : pd_orig	COLUMN

	Trips	Direction
Caledon		97

Caledon Mulmur

USER : Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates USER : Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates DATE : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07) DATE : Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07) DATA : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips DATA : 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips FILTER 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver FILTER 1 : mode_prime => Auto driver FILTER 2 : pd_dest => Caledon FILTER 2 : pd_dest => Caledon FILTER 3 : start_time => 1100-1400 FILTER 3 : start_time => 1500-1800 FILTER 4 : gta06_orig => 3197 FILTER 4 : gta06_orig => 3197 FILTER 5 : purp_orig => Market/Shop FILTER 5 : purp_orig => Market/Shop ROW : gta06_dest ROW : gta06_dest COLUMN : gta06_orig COLUMN : gta06_orig

Direction	
18 South	3001
14 South	3108
24 North	
23 West	3151
2 North	3152
2 West	
2 East	3196
13 South	3197
	Direction 18 South 14 South 24 North 23 West 2 North 2 West 2 East 13 South

Row Labels	Sum of Trips	
East	2	2%
North	26	27%
South	45	46%
West	25	26%
Grand Total	97	100%

3198 Mulmur

Alexander Fleming - CF Crozier and Associates Sep 20 2016 (14:19:07) 2011 TTS V1.0 Trips : mode_prime => Auto driver pd_orig => Caledon start_time => 1500-1800 gta06_orig => 3197 purp_orig => Market/Shop pd_dest : pd_orig

Trips Direction 149 15 North

Trips Direction 18 South 9 North 9 South 30 South 9 North 9 West 11 South 4 North 4 West

- 4 East
- 25 South 20 South
- 15 North

Row Labels	Sum of Trips	
East	4	29
North	37	229
South	113	68%
West	13	8%
Grand Total	165	100%

Appendix I

Auxiliary Left-turn Lane Warrant



Exhibit 9A-2

TRAFFIC SIGNALS MAY BE WARRANTED IN "FREE FLOW" URBAN AREAS





Exhibit 9A-6

TRAFFIC SIGNALS MAY BE WARRANTED IN "FREE FLOW" URBAN AREAS



Appendix J

TAC's Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (Relevant Excerpts)

TAC

The Effect of Grade

Braking distances will increase on downgrades and decrease on upgrades. When the roadway is on a grade, formula 2.5.1 for braking distance is modified as follows:

$$d_b = \frac{V^2}{254 [(a/9.81) + G]}$$
(2.5.3)

Where:

d_b = Braking distance (m)

V Design speed (km/h)

a Deceleration rate (m/s²)

G = Grade (m/m) (G is positive if vehicles uphill and negative if downhill)

It has been noted that many drivers, particularly those in automobiles, do not compensate completely (i.e., by acceleration or deceleration) for the changes in speed caused by grade. It should also be noted that in many cases the sight distance available on downgrades is greater than on upgrades, which can help to provide the necessary corrections for grade. The following **Table 2.5.3** summarizes the stopping sight distances on grades for a variety of design speeds.

	Stopping Sight Distance (m)					
Design Speed (km/h)	Downgrades (%)			Upgrades (%)		
	3	6	9	3	6	9
20	20	20	20	19	18	18
30	32	35	35	31	30	29
40	50	50	53	45	44	43
50	66	70	74	61	59	58
60	87	92	97	80	77	75
70	110	116	124	100	97	93
80	136	144	154	123	118	114
90	164	174	187	148	141	136
100	194	207	223	174	167	160
110	227	243	262	203	194	186
120	263	281	304	234	223	214
130	302	323	350	267	254	243

Table 2.5.3: Stopping Sight Distance on Grades⁵⁵

Stopping sight distance is the sum of the distance travelled during the perception and reaction time and the braking distance.

SSD = 0.278Vt + 0.039
$$\frac{V^2}{a}$$
 (2.5.2)

Where:

SSD = Stopping sight distance (m)

t = Brake reaction time, 2.5 s

- V = Design speed (km/h)
- a = Deceleration rate (m/s²)

Table 2.5.2 gives the minimum stopping sight distances on level grade, on wet pavement, for a range of design speeds. These values are used for vertical curve design, intersection geometry and the placement of traffic control devices. The stopping sight distances quoted in **Table 2.5.2** may need to be increased for a variety of reasons related to grade and vehicle type as noted below.

Table 2.5.2: Stopping Sight Distance on level roadways for Automobiles⁵⁴

Design speed	Brake reaction	Braking distance	Stopping sight distance		
(km/h)	distance (m)	on level (m)	Calculated (m)	Design (m)	
20	13.9	4.6	18.5	20	
30	20.9	10.3	31.2	35	
40	27.8	18.4	46.2	50	
50	34.8	28.7	63.5	65	
60	41.7	41.3	83.0	85	
70	48.7	56.2	104.9	105	
80	55.6	73.4	129.0	130	
90	62.6	92.9	155.5	160	
100	69.5	114.7	184.2	185	
110	76.5	138.8	215.3	220	
120	83.4	165.2	248.6	250	
130	90.4	193.8	284.2	285	

Note: Brake reaction distance predicated on a time of 2.5 s; deceleration rate of 3.4 m/s² used to determine calculated sight distance.

TAC

The Effect of Grade

Braking distances will increase on downgrades and decrease on upgrades. When the roadway is on a grade, formula 2.5.1 for braking distance is modified as follows:

$$d_b = \frac{V^2}{254 [(a/9.81) + G]}$$
(2.5.3)

Where:

d_b = Braking distance (m)

V Design speed (km/h)

a Deceleration rate (m/s²)

G = Grade (m/m) (G is positive if vehicles uphill and negative if downhill)

It has been noted that many drivers, particularly those in automobiles, do not compensate completely (i.e., by acceleration or deceleration) for the changes in speed caused by grade. It should also be noted that in many cases the sight distance available on downgrades is greater than on upgrades, which can help to provide the necessary corrections for grade. The following **Table 2.5.3** summarizes the stopping sight distances on grades for a variety of design speeds.

	Stopping Sight Distance (m)					
Design Speed (km/h)	Downgrades (%)			Upgrades (%)		
	3	6	9	3	6	9
20	20	20	20	19	18	18
30	32	35	35	31	30	29
40	50	50	53	45	44	43
50	66	70	74	61	59	58
60	87	92	97	80	77	75
70	110	116	124	100	97	93
80	136	144	154	123	118	114
90	164	174	187	148	141	136
100	194	207	223	174	167	160
110	227	243	262	203	194	186
120	263	281	304	234	223	214
130	302	323	350	267	254	243

Table 2.5.3: Stopping Sight Distance on Grades⁵⁵
Design Vehicle	Time Gap (t _g)(s) at Design Speed of Major Road
Passenger car	7.5
Single-unit truck	9.5
Combination truck (WB 19 and WB 20)	11.5
Longer truck	To be established by road authority

Table 9.9.3: Time Gap for Case B1, Left Turn from Stop

Notes: Time gaps are for a stopped vehicle to turn left onto a two-lane highway with no median and with grades of 3% or less. The table values should be adjusted as follows:

- For multi-lane highways: For left turns onto two-lane highways with more than two lanes, add 0.5 s for passenger cars and 0.7 s for trucks for each additional lane, from the left, in excess of one, to be crossed by the turning vehicle.
- For minor approach grades: If the approach grade is an upgrade that exceeds 3%, add 0.2 s for each percent grade for left turns.
- Some road authorities use higher values for certain specialized vehicles (e.g., Alberta uses 22 s for very long log trucks).

The intersection sight distance along the major road (distance b in Figure 9.9.2) is determined by:

$$ISD = 0.278 V_{major} t_g \qquad (9.9.1)$$
Where:

$$ISD = intersection sight distance (length of the leg of sight triangle along the major road) (m)$$

$$V_{major} = design speed of the major road (km/h)$$

10 0 11

t_g = time gap for minor road vehicle to enter the major road (s)

For example, a passenger car turning left onto a two-lane major road should be provided sight distance equivalent to a time gap of 7.5 s in major-road traffic. If the design speed of the major road is 100 km/h, this corresponds to a sight distance of 0.278(100)(7.5) = 208.5 or 210 m, rounded for design.

A passenger car turning left onto a four-lane undivided roadway will need to cross two near lanes, rather than one. This increases the recommended gap in major-road traffic from 7.5 to 8.0 s. The corresponding value of sight distance for this example would be 223 m. If the minor-road approach to such an intersection is located on a 4% upgrade, then the time gap selected for intersection sight distance design for left turns should be increased from 8.0 to 8.8 s, equivalent to an increase of 0.2 s for each percent grade.

The design values for intersection sight distance for passenger cars are shown in **Table 9.9.4**. **Figure 9.9.4** includes design values, based on the time gaps for the design vehicles included in **Table 9.9.3**.

No adjustment of the recommended sight distance values for the major-road grade is generally needed because both the major- and minor-road vehicle will be on the same grade when departing from the intersection. However, if the minor-road design vehicle is a heavy truck and the intersection is located near a sag vertical curve with grades over 3%, then an adjustment to extend the recommended sight distance based on the major-road grade should be considered.

Design Speed Stopping Sight (km/h) Distance (m)		Intersection Sight Dist	ance for Passenger Cars
		Calculated (m)	Design (m)
20	20	41.7	45
30	35	62.6	65
40	50	83.4	85
50	65	104.3	105
60 85		125.1	130
70	105	146.0	150
80	130	166.8	170
90	160	187.7	190
100	185	208.5	210
110	220	229.4	230
120	250	250.2	255
130	285	271.1	275

Table 9.9.4: Design Intersection Sight Distance – Case B1, Left Turn From Stop

Note: Intersection sight distance shown is for a stopped passenger car to turn left onto a two-lane highway with no median and grades 3% or less. For other conditions, the time gap should be adjusted and the sight distance recalculated.

Sight distance design for left turns at divided-highway intersections should consider multiple design vehicles and median width. If the design vehicle used to determine sight distance for a divided-highway intersection is larger than a passenger car, then sight distance for left turns will need to be checked for that selected design vehicle and for smaller design vehicles as well. If the divided-highway median is wide enough to store the design vehicle with a clearance to the through lanes of approximately 1 m at both ends of the vehicle, no separate analysis for the departure sight triangle for left turns is needed on the minor-road approach for the near roadway to the left. In most cases, the departure sight triangle for right turns (case B2) will provide sufficient sight distance for a passenger car to cross the near roadway to reach the median. Possible exceptions are addressed in the discussion of case B3.

The time gaps in **Table 9.9.3** can be decreased by 1.0 s for right-turn maneuvers without undue interference with major-road traffic. These adjusted time gaps for the right turn from the minor road are shown in **Table 9.9.5**. Design values based on these adjusted time gaps are shown in **Table 9.9.6** for passenger cars. **Figure 9.9.5** includes the design values for the design vehicles for each of the time gaps in **Table 9.9.5**.

Design Vehicle	Time Gap (t _g)(s) at Design Speed of Major Road
Passenger car	6.5
Single-unit truck	8.5
Combination truck (WB 19 and WB 20)	10.5

Table 9.9.5: Time Gap for Case B2—Right Turn from Stop and Case B3—Crossing Maneuver

Note: Time gaps are for a stopped vehicle to turn left onto a two-lane highway with no median and with grades of 3% or less. The table values should be adjusted as follows:

- For multi-lane highways: For left turns onto two-lane highways with more than two lanes, add 0.5 s for passenger cars and 0.7 s for trucks for each additional lane, from the left, in excess of one, to be crossed by the turning vehicle.
- For minor approach grades: If the approach grade is an upgrade that exceeds 3%, add 0.1 s for each percent grade for left turns.

Design Speed	Stopping Sight	Intersection Sight Dis	tance for Passenger Cars
(km/h)	Distance (m)	Calculated (m)	Design (m)
20	20	36.1	40
30	35	54.2	55
40	50	72.3	75
50	65	90.4	95
60	85	108.4	110
70	105	126.5	130
80	130	144.6	145
90	160	162.6	165
100	185	180.7	185
110	220	198.8	200
120	250	216.8	220
130	285	234.9	235

Table 9.9.6: Design Intersection Sight Distance – Case B2, Right Turn from Stop, and Case B3, Crossing Maneuver

Note: Intersection sight distance shown is for a stopped passenger car to turn right onto or to cross a two-lane highway with no median and with grades of 3% or less. For other conditions, the time gap should be adjusted and the sight distance recalculated.



Figure 9.9.5: Intersection Sight Distance – Case B2, Right Turn from Stop, and Case B3, Crossing Maneuver (Calculated and Design Values Plotted)



Case F – Left Turns from the Major Road

All locations along a major highway from which vehicles are permitted to turn left across opposing traffic, including intersections and driveways, should have sufficient sight distance to accommodate the left-turn maneuver. Left-turning drivers need sufficient sight distance to decide when to turn left across the lane(s) used by opposing traffic. Sight distance design should be based on a left turn by a stopped vehicle, since a vehicle that turns left without stopping would need less sight distance. The sight distance along the major road to accommodate left turns is the distance traversed at the design speed of the major road in the travel time for the design vehicle given in **Table 9.9.11**.

Design Vehicle	Time Gap (t _g)(s) at Design Speed of Major Road
Passenger car	5.5
Single-unit truck	6.5
Combination truck (WB 19 and WB 20)	7.5

Table 9.9.11: Time Gap for Case F, Left Turns from the Major Road

Note: Adjustment for multi-lane highways: For turning vehicles that cross more than one opposing lane, add 0.5 s for passenger cars and 0.7 s for trucks for each additional lane to be crossed.

The table also contains appropriate adjustment factors for the number of major-road lanes to be crossed by the turning vehicle. The unadjusted time gap in **Table 9.9.11** for passenger cars was used to develop the sight distances in **Table 9.9.12** and is illustrated in **Figure 9.9.8**.

		Intersection	Sight Distance	
Design Speed	Stopping Sight	Passenger Cars		
(KIII/II)	Distance (m)	Calculated (m)	Design (m)	
20	20	30.6	35	
30	35	45.9	50	
40	50	61.2	65	
50	65	76.5	80	
60	85	91.7	95	
70	105	107.0	110	
80	130	122.3	125	
90	160	137.6	140	
100	185	152.9	155	
110	220	168.2	170	
120	250	183.5	185	
130	285	198.8	200	

Table 9.9.12: Intersection Sight Distance – Case F, Left Turn from the Major Road

Note: Intersection sight distance shown is for a passenger car making a left turn from an undivided highway. For other conditions and design vehicles, the time gap should be adjusted and the sight distance recalculated.



Figure 9.9.8: Intersection Sight Distance – Case F, Left Turn from the Major Road

APPENDIX K

Vehicle Manoeuvring Diagrams



No.	ISSUE	DATE: MM/DD/YYYY	Engineer	Engineer	Project	
Α	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	09/17/2019				TOWN OF CALEDON EAST
					Drawing	
					RE	AR LOAD REFUSE TRUCK MO



TRUCK MOVEMENT



	No.	ISSUE	DATE: MM/DD/YYYY	Engineer	Engineer				т	e Llarbour Edge Duilding		
	Α	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	09/17/2019			TOWN OF CALEDON EAST	16114 AIRPORT ROAD	A PDA7IED A Huron Street,		40 Huron Street, Suite 301,		
⊦							705 446-3510 T					
ľ							Drawing		CONSULTING EN	GINEERS	www.cfcrozier.ca info@cfcrozier.ca	
-	\rightarrow							Drawn By	Design By	Project	110 47	71
ŀ								110-43	<u>)</u>			
							Check By M.F	Check By	1:500	Jrawing 32	:5	



Appendix L

Comment Response Memo



MEMO

DATE RE	October 28, 2019 16114 Airport Road	FILE NO.	110-4331
ТО	Mary Nordstrom, MCIP RPP Senior Development Planner Town of Caledon	сс	Joy Simms Development Services Region of Peel
FROM	Alexander Fleming, MBA, P.Eng Madeleine Ferguson, ElT		

Dear Mary,

This memo has been prepared in response to the Town of Caledon comments dated May 29, 2019, pertaining to the Draft Plan of Subdivision, Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications for the site located at 16114 Airport Road in the Town of Caledon. Specifically, the memo addresses comments relating to the Traffic Impact Study (TIS) submitted in March 2017. This memo is intended to address the Town's comments and satisfy their requirements.

We have transcribed the Town's comments, followed by our response.

Town of Caledon – Transportation and Waste Collection Comments

1. The report correctly adopted 34% as a pass-by trips rate for the shopping centre based on the methodology outlined in ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition. However, this rate is not consistent and correctly reflected with the primary and pass-by trips numbers in Table 5 of the report. For example, the Shopping Centre is expected to generate 177 trips during pm. Given 34% as a pass-by rate, the site will generate 61 pass-by trips (30 inbound trips and 31 outbound trips) and 116 primary trips. Please update the report accordingly.

The TIS Update corrected the pass-by trip percentage as noted above. The trip generation calculations were revised to reflect the updated site statistics, as well as the recently released 10th Edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual. The updated trip generation is outlined in Section 5.1 of the TIS Update. Further, the commercial trip distribution was revised to reflect the travel patterns observed on the roadway with the addition of the Castles of Caledon development to the west. The updated trip distribution is summarized in Section 5.2, with the trip distributions and assignments illustrated in Figures 7 to 12.

2. There is an approved draft plan at the intersection of Mountainview Road and Walker Road. Trips generated by that subdivision need to be considered under the Future Background Conditions (section 4 of the report). Please update the report accordingly.

The TIS Update includes the trips generated by the Castles of Caledon development. The trips were added to the 2024 and 2029 background volumes, as the development has yet to be constructed. Details relating to the Castles of Caledon development are included in Section 4.4 of the TIS Update, with relevant TIS and ITE Trip Generation excerpts included in Appendix F.

3. The Region has advised in an email dated March 13, 2018 that the stage of the application is too early for detailed traffic engineering comments; however, a full moves access for both the residential and commercial block to Airport Road is not supportable. The Region is willing to review a functional design for a right-in only access for the commercial block (shifted further south) and a full moves access to the residential block. A revised Traffic Impact Study is also required to assess the impacts on Walker Road. Region of Peel.

It is understood that two full-moves entrances are not supportable to Airport Road. The current Development Concept Plan proposes one full-moves entrance at the north of the lands to service the residential dwellings, and a second right-in/right-out entrance to the commercial lands approximately 75 metres south of the full-moves entrance. The north entrance would include a northbound left-turn lane, which would be formed by extending the northbound left-turn lane at Leamster Trail.

4. To facilitate curbside collection by the Region of Peel, please show minimum turning radius from centre line of 13m on all turns, including entrance to site, a maximum straight back-up distance of 15m where collection vehicles must back up, show and a cul-de-sac or T-turnaround (meeting Regional standards) where continuous forward moving collection cannot be met.

The development concept plan has been revised to include a connection between the residential and commercial blocks. The layout of the townhouses has also been revised to eliminate the deadend at the north of the site. These changes allow for continuous forward movements, with vehicle ingress from Airport Road, and egress to Walker Road. A vehicle manoeuvring diagram for the refuse vehicle has been included in Appendix K of the TIS Update to demonstrate the sufficiency of the proposed internal road layout.

Region of Peel – Waste Collection Comments

- 5. The Region of Peel will provide curbside collection of garbage, recyclable materials, organics and yard waste to households subject to the following conditions:
 - i. The turning radius from the centre line must be a minimum of 13 metres on all turns. This includes the turning radii at the entrance to the site.
 - ii. In those situations where a waste collection vehicle must reverse, then the maximum straight back-up distance is 15 metres,
 - iii. The internal road layouts should be designed to permit continuous collection without reversing. Where the requirement for continuous collection cannot be met, a cul-de-sac or a "T"-turnaround will be permitted in accordance with the specifications shown in

Appendix 2 and 3 of the WCDSM (Waste Collection Design Standards Manual), respectively.

Based on these comments, the roadway at the northwest corner of the site (at the amenity area) and the most southerly portion of the condominium road appear to exceed the back-up distance standards. Please explore possible remedies for continuous collection as noted and in the WCDSM.

Please refer to our response to Comment 4. As noted, the internal road layout has been revised to allow for continuous curbside pick-up. A vehicle manoeuvring diagram is included in Appendix K of the TIS Update to illustrate the refuse vehicle path and the sufficiency of the proposed road layout.

Sincerely,

C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC.

Alexander J. W. Fleming, MBA, P.Eng Associate, Transportation AF/mf

C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC.

Madeleine Ferguson, EIT Engineering Intern, Transportation

J:\100\110 - Schnarr\4331-16114 Airport Rd\Memos\2019.10.28_Comment Response Memo.docx

FIGURES

Figure 1:	Site Location Plan
Figure 2:	Development Concept Plan
Figure 3:	2016 Existing Traffic Volumes
Figure 4:	2019 Future Background Traffic Volumes
Figure 5:	2024 Future Background Traffic Volumes
Figure 6:	2029 Future Background Traffic Volumes
Figure 7:	Primary Residential Trip Distribution
Figure 8:	Primary Residential Trip Assignment
Figure 9:	Primary Commercial Trip Distribution
Figure 10:	Primary Commercial Trip Assignment
Figure 11:	Pass-By Trip Distribution
Figure 12:	Pass-By Trip Assignment
Figure 13:	2024 Total Traffic Volumes
Figure 14:	2029 Total Traffic Volumes





























