

### FUNCTIONAL SERVICING & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT



PROPOSED TEMPORARY-USE STORAGE YARD

12423 COLERAINE DRIVE & 0 SIMPSON ROAD TOWN OF CALEDON

City File No.: PRE 2020-0009

Project No.: 20-0009CA

January 2021

Urbanworks Engineering Corporation 3-1750 The Queensway, Unit 1279, Toronto, ON M9C 5H5 416.503.4500 | general@urbanworkseng.com



## Table of Contents

### **PAGE**

1.0	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Study Objectives and Location	1
	1.2	Existing Condition	1
	1.3	Proposed Development	2
	1.4	References	5
2.0	STOR	MWATER MANAGEMENT	6
	2.1	Stormwater Design Criteria	3
	2.2	Existing Conditions	7
	2.2.1	Topography and Drainage	7
	2.2.2	Existing Storm Drainage Infrastructure	3
	2.2.3	Soil Conditions	3
	2.2.4	Existing Peak Flows	1
	2.3	Proposed Stormwater Management 12	2
	2.3.1	Site Grading and Drainage	2
	2.3.2	Allowable Release Rates	3
	2.3.3	Quantity Control and On-Site Storage	5
	2.3.4	Water Quality Control	5
	2.3.5	Water Balance	5
	2.3.6	Erosion and Sediment Control	7
3.0	SANIT	ARY SERVICING AND WATER SUPPLY17	7
4.0	SUMM	ARY	3



## List of Tables

### <u>PAGE</u>

Table 2-1: Rainfall Intensity Equation Coefficients	. 6
Table 2-2: Summary of Existing Peak Flows (West Site)	11
Table 2-3: Summary of Existing Peak Flows (East Site)	11
Table 2-4: Maximum Allowable Release Rates	13
Table 2-5: Proposed Peak Flows & Storage Requirements	15
Table 2-6: Water Balance Requirements	16

## List of Figures

### <u>PAGE</u>

Figure 1-1: Site Location Plan	3
Figure 1-2: Proposed Site Plan	4
Figure 2-1: Existing Drainage Plan	9
Figure 2-2: Soil Map	10
Figure 2-3: Proposed Drainage Plan	14

## **Appendices**

- Appendix A: Background Information
- Appendix B: Stormwater Management Calculations
- Appendix C: Engineering Drawings



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Study Objectives and Location

This Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report has been prepared in support of a proposed temporary-use Zoning Bylaw Amendment and associated Site Plan Control Application for lands situated at George Bolton Parkway and Simpson Road, in the Town of Caledon, Regional Municipality of Peel. The temporary use is proposed for two contiguous land parcels located on the west and east sides of Simpson Road, with respective municipal addresses of 12423 Coleraine Drive and 0 Simpson Road. The overall site can be legally described as Part of the west half of Lot 3, Concession 6, Town of Caledon (geographic township of Albion), Regional Municipality of Peel. The subject property is located within the boundaries of George Bolton Parkway to the north, Coleraine Drive to the west, Highway 50 to the east and Mayfield Road to the south. The site is within the jurisdiction of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA). A Site location plan is provided in Figure 1-1.

The following report provides information regarding site servicing and stormwater management for the subject development while ensuring compatibility with services already in place. The report will also address comments raised by regulatory agencies (i.e. Region of Peel, Town of Caledon and TRCA).

### 1.2 Existing Condition

The two land parcels are rectangular in shape with a total combined area of 3.87ha.; the West Site has an area of 2.78ha, and the East Site has an area of 1.09ha. A portion of the West Site fronting Coleraine drive currently contains an existing single-detached dwelling, accessory garage structure, salt storage structure and paved parking area/driveway. The remainder of the West Site consists of gravel surface currently used for outdoor storage. The main access to the West Site is via an entrance at Coleraine Drive, and there is a secondary entrance at Simpson Road. The East Site entirely consists of gravel-surfaced outdoor parking, with a single site entrance located at Simpson Road. There are no existing structures on the East Site.

The subject site is located within an existing development known as the GWL Industrial Subdivision. An extension of Simpson Road was recently constructed, which included extension of underground services up to the site frontage. Existing industrial lands are located to the south.



### 1.3 Proposed Temporary Use

The proposed temporary use for both east and west sites will consist of outdoor parking area for vehicles, construction vehicles and apparatus. Surface treatment is proposed to consist of gravel for the vehicle storage / maneuvering areas, along with a 7.5m-wide landscaped buffer strip along the north property line. Existing site entrances at Simpson Road will be improved and paved with asphalt in accordance with Town standards. No new structures are proposed. The proposed site plan is shown in Figure 1-2.







### 1.4 References

The following material has been reviewed during the preparation of this report:

- *Topographic Survey* prepared by Land Survey Group, dated November 19, 2020;
- Site Plan Control Manual: Submission Package, Town of Caledon, August 2019;
- Development Standards Manual, Version 5.0, Town of Caledon, 2019;
- Stormwater Management Criteria, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, August 2012;
- Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide, TRCA / CVC, 2010;
- Stormwater Management Design Brief, Simpson Road Extension, GWL Industrial Subdivision, Pitura Husson Limited, June 2008;
- As-Built Plans, Simpson Road Extension Phase 1, Amec Foster Wheeler, August 2016



### 2.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

### 2.1 Stormwater Design Criteria

Stormwater management design criteria for the proposed development were established through a review of regulatory agency design standards, along with background design information for the existing industrial subdivision and stormwater management pond. The relevant stormwater management guidelines are listed below.

### Town of Caledon Criteria:

• Local storm sewers shall be designed using the Rational Method and based on a 5-year storm return frequency:

Q = C i A / 360Where:Q = Flow Rate (m³/s)C = Runoff Coefficienti = Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)A = Drainage Area (ha)

• Rainfall Intensity calculations for storm sewer design will be based on the Town of Caledon standard IDF relationships, and will be calculated as follows:

 $i = A / (t_c + B)^c$ 

Where: A, B, C are constants per Table 2-1 below  $t_c$  = Time of Concentration (min.), with a minimum time of 10min.

	-								
Storm	Coefficients								
Event	Α	В	С						
2-year	1070	7.85	0.8759						
5-year	1593	11	0.8789						
10-year	2221	12	0.9080						
25-year	3158	15	0.9335						
50-year	3886	16	0.9495						
100-year	4688	17	0.9624						

### Table 2-1: Rainfall Intensity Equation Coefficients



- Acceptable flow velocities within storm sewer shall be between 0.75m/s and 4.0m/s for pipes flowing full. Super-critical flows will not be accepted;
- Minimum pipe slope shall be 0.40% (unless adequate self-cleansing velocity is confirmed);
- Minimum pipe size for storm sewer main lines is 300mm;

### TRCA Criteria:

- Enhanced (Level 1) water quality protection (80% TSS removal);
- Minimum retention of the first 5mm of rainfall for water balance and erosion control.

### 2.2 Existing Conditions

### 2.2.1 Topography and Drainage

Review of existing site conditions was carried out using topographical mapping and visual site inspection. Topographical information was obtained from a detailed survey completed by Land Survey Group in November 2020. An initial site inspection was conducted on January 8, 2021.

The subject site is located within Sub-Basin 36 of the Humber River Watershed, as defined by the TRCA Stormwater Management Criteria. Drainage for the West Site is split such that approximately half the site area drains west toward Coleraine Drive, approximately one-third of the site area drains north to George Bolton Parkway, and the remainder of the site drains east to Simpson Road. Drainage for the East Site is toward Simpson Road. A small external area currently drains into both sites, originating from a portion of the boulevard along George Bolton Parkway. An existing berm within the municipal right-of-way directs some drainage southward. An existing stormwater management pond located southwest of Simpson Road and Parr Boulevard services the industrial subdivision. Ultimately, drainage from the surrounding area is conveyed toward West Rainbow Creek.

The sites are relatively flat; topographic relief for the West Site is approximately 2.2m (with elevations ranging from 239.6 masl at the northeast corner of the site to 237.4 masl at the southwest corner), and topographic relief on the East Site is approximately 0.4m (with elevations ranging from 239.9 masl at the east limit of the site to 239.5 at the southwest corner). Figure 2-1 provides an illustration of the existing drainage conditions.



### 2.2.2 Existing Storm Drainage Infrastructure

The area surrounding the site has been developed, and therefore there is existing drainage infrastructure in the vicinity. Existing infrastructure includes:

- An existing 1200mm diameter storm sewer along Simpson Road, that conveys flow southerly toward the existing SWM Pond south of Parr Boulevard;
- Existing storm sewers along George Bolton Parkway ranging in size from 300mm to 900mm in diameter, which convey drainage from the roadway toward an open drainage channel on the north side of George Bolton Parkway;
- Existing 1050mm diameter storm sewer along Coleraine Drive (north of George Bolton Parkway), which drains the existing channel and conveys flows northward;
- Existing 375mm diameter storm sewer along Coleraine Drive (south of George Bolton Parkway), that conveys flows from the roadway southward.
- A Stormwater Management (SWM) Pond at the southwest quadrant of Simpson Road and Parr Boulevard, which was designed to provide stormwater quantity and quality control for the surrounding industrial subdivision, including the subject lands.

### 2.2.3 Soil Conditions

A geotechnical report was not available at the time this document was prepared. Subsurface conditions on the site were estimated using the Soil Map of Peel County, Soil Survey Report No. 18. Based on the soil map, the site is underlain with Peel Clay. Exact soil conditions can be confirmed once a geotechnical study is performed during the later stages of the project. Figure 2-2 shows the site location with an overlay of the soil map.







### 2.2.4 Existing Peak Flows

Existing peak flows were calculated using the Rational Method due to the relatively small size of the site. As mentioned previously, there is a drainage divide through the West Site, which splits flows between Simpson Road, George Bolton Parkway and Coleraine Drive. The East Site drains entirely toward Simpson Road. Existing peak flows are summarized in Tables 2-2 and 2-3 below; calculations are provided in Appendix B.

	Flows (L/s)								
Storm Event	To Coleraine Dr. <i>(Area 101)</i>	To George Bolton Pkwy. <i>(Area 102 + 104)</i>	To Simpson Rd. <i>(Area 103 + 105)</i>	Total Flow					
2-year	201.7	177.2	98.2	477.0					
5-year	258.0	226.7	125.7	610.4					
10-year	315.7	277.3	153.7	746.6					
25-year	368.1	323.4	179.3	870.8					
50-year	414.5	364.1	201.9	980.6					
100-year	462.4	406.2	225.2	1093.8					

### Table 2-2: Summary of Existing Peak Flows (West Site)

### Table 2-3: Summary of Existing Peak Flows (East Site)

Storm Event	<b>Total Flow (L/s)</b> To Simpson Rd. ( <i>Area 106</i> + 107)
2-year	185.8
5-year	237.8
10-year	290.9
25-year	339.2
50-year	382.0
100-year	426.1

### 2.3 Proposed Stormwater Management

The proposed stormwater management system has been designed in accordance with Town of Caledon and TRCA guidelines. The intent of the design is to ensure conformance with the overall stormwater management plan for the existing industrial subdivision, and ensure no negative impacts to adjacent properties and infrastructure. A stormwater management plan is required to address mitigation measures with respect to quantity control, quality control, erosion control and water balance.

The downstream SWM pond was designed to service the subject lands with respect to stormwater quantity and quality control, with allowance for a fixed maximum release rate for each upstream site plan. The proposed stormwater management strategy will limit discharge from the site to the maximum allowable release rate, using a combination of orifice flow control and on-site storage. The on-site stormwater storage system will also address TRCA water balance requirements via infiltration of storm runoff.

The storm drainage systems for the West Site and East Site have been designed to function independently. Each site is proposed to be serviced by a system of internal catchbasins and storm sewers to capture runoff from the gravel parking areas. Storm sewers have been sized for a 5-year return storm using the Rational Method. New service connections to the 1200mm diameter municipal storm sewer on Simpson Road are proposed for each site, along with control manholes at the property line. Each storm control manhole will include an orifice tube to attenuate peak outflows from the sites to within allowable levels. The proposed storm drainage system is illustrated in Figure 2-3. Additional details on the storm system design (including drainage areas and flow sheets) are provided on drawings SS-01, SS-02 and TA-01 in Appendix C.

### 2.3.1 Site Grading and Drainage

Grading design for the site was produced based on a topographic survey prepared by Land Survey Group, dated November 19, 2020. Existing elevations are proposed to be matched along all property lines, and in general the site will be graded for containment of runoff within the site boundaries. Proposed internal grades have been set to ensure that maximum surface ponding will not exceed 0.30m at catchbasin low points, in the event of total blockage of any storm inlet. Flows from storms up to the 100-year event are proposed to be contained within the site without overflow. For emergency situations (i.e. storms exceeding the 100-year event or failure of the internal drainage system), an over-land flow route has been established for both West and East sites to ensure that surface flows will exit the site directly onto Simpson Road via the site entrances.



The proposed drainage scheme is illustrated in Figure 2-3. Detailed grading plans are provided in Appendix C.

### 2.3.2 Allowable Release Rates

The maximum allowable release rates are based on design information for the downstream stormwater management pond presented in the *Stormwater Management Design Brief, GWL Industrial Subdivision* (Pitura Husson, June 2008). An excerpt from this report is included in Appendix A. According to the report, site plans within the industrial development have a prescribed maximum release rate based on 180 L/s/ha, for a 100-year storm event. Using this unit discharge rate, the maximum allowable flows for the subject site have been calculated and are as summarized below in Table 2-4.

Site	Site Area (ha)	Unit Flow Rate (L/s/ha)	Allowable Flow (L/s)
West Site	2.13*	180	383.4
East Site	1.09	180	196.2

### Table 2-4: Maximum Allowable Release Rates

\* Proposed developable portion of site (2.78ha – 0.65ha).

As previously noted, a portion of the West Site fronting Coleraine Drive is proposed to remain un-altered (Areas 204 and 205 on Figure 2-3, with a combined area of 0.65ha). Drainage for the un-altered portion of the West Site will remain per existing conditions, therefore this area has been deducted from the calculation of allowable flow to the Simpson Road sewer.





### 2.3.3 Quantity Control and On-Site Storage

Quantity control is proposed through the use of flow restrictor (orifice tubes) at the downstream end of the storm sewer network for each site, in conjunction with on-site stormwater storage. On-site detention is proposed via a combination of sub-surface storage within the storm sewers and underground storm chambers (Triton S29 chambers). The orifice tubes will attenuate peak flows to allowable levels, with estimated discharge rates of 381.0 L/s and 178.7 L/s for the West and East sites respectively. To achieve peak flow attenuation, approximately 368m<sup>3</sup> and 205m<sup>3</sup> of storage are required for the West and East sites respectively.

The proposed peak flows and quantity control measures are summarized in Table 2-5. Calculations are provided in Appendix B, and details of the orifice controls are provided on drawing SS-02 in Appendix C.

Site	Orifice Tube	Post-Dev	/elopment Peal 100-Year (L/s)	k Flows	Storag	e (m³)
ono	Dia. (mm)	Uncontrolled Controlle		Allowable	Provided	Required
West Site	375	929.9	381.0	383.4	380	368
East Site	200	473.7	178.7	196.2	210	205

### Table 2-5: Proposed Peak Flows & Storage Requirements

### 2.3.4 Water Quality Control

Per the *Stormwater Management Design Brief* for the GWL Industrial Subdivision, the existing stormwater management pond south of Parr Boulevard was designed to provide Enhanced (Level 1) water quality control in accordance with MOECP guidelines. No additional water quality control requirements were identified in the report for individual site plans comprising the subdivision. Therefore, on-site quality control has not been proposed.

### 2.3.5 Water Balance

The subject site is in an area classified by TRCA as Low Volume Groundwater Recharge Area (LGRA), where a site-specific water balance is not required, and best efforts to maintain recharge are recommended. The minimum criteria is to retain the first 5mm of rainfall on the site through infiltration, evapotranspiration, or other LID measures. Therefore the target for the subject

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

development was to achieve 5mm on-site retention. The volume generated by 5mm of rainfall equates to  $139.0m^3$  for the West site (2.78ha x 5mm), and  $54.5m^3$  for the East Site (1.09ha x 5mm).

Based on the Soil Map of Peel Region, the soil in the area is classified as having "imperfect" natural drainage, where infiltration measures may have reduced effectiveness. Since alternative LID measures such as stormwater re-use or evapotranspiration are not practical for this site, potential options to achieve water balance targets are limited. Infiltration has been selected as the most appropriate option for water balance mitigation, following a 'best-efforts' approach.

The underground stormwater chambers are proposed to be open-bottom, which will encourage infiltration to the underlying soil to the extent possible. The storm chamber outlet pipe is proposed to be connected at a slightly higher elevation than the chamber base, such that a portion of the storm chamber below the outlet pipe will be used for retention of stormwater. The proposed storm chamber layout is illustrated on the engineering drawings enclosed in Appendix C.

The available stormwater retention volume (water balance) within the storm chambers on the West Site is 140m<sup>3</sup>, and 58m<sup>3</sup> on the East Site. The water balance requirements and proportioning of volume in the storm chambers is summarized in Table 2-6 below.

	Site	Required	Storm Chamber Statistics					
Site	Area (ha)	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Total Volume (m³)	Quantity Control (m³)	Water Balance (m³)			
West Site	2.78	139.0	423	283	140			
East Site	1.09	54.5	243	185	58			

Table	2-6:	Water	Balance	Requirements
-------	------	-------	---------	--------------

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

### 2.3.6 Erosion and Sediment Control

Erosion and sediment control measures to be implemented during and following construction of the development are outlined below. These measures comply with applicable criteria, including the *Erosion and Sediment Control Guideline for Urban Construction* (Greater Golden Horseshoe Area Conservation Authorities, December 2006), and Town of Caledon standards.

The proposed erosion and sediment control works during construction will consist of the following:

- Temporary sediment control fences
- Temporary sediment traps
- Rock-check dams at site discharge locations
- Temporary cut-off swales to re-direct runoff on the site
- Mud mat at the construction access
- Sediment protection for street catchbasins

Details for the proposed erosion and sediment control measures are provided on drawing SC-01 in Appendix F.

## 3.0 SANITARY SERVICING AND WATER SUPPLY

The subject site has existing sanitary and water service connections to the existing building at Coleraine Drive, which are proposed to be maintained. In addition, existing sanitary and water service connection stubs are located at Simpson Road for both the West and East sites, which were installed with the Simpson Road extension. The service connection stubs terminate at the property lines, and are currently not in use. Details of the existing services are provided on drawings SS-01 and SS-02 in Appendix C.

There are no new structures proposed on either the West Site or East Site, and therefore there will not be any sanitary or water demand associated with the proposed site alterations. No new sanitary or water services are proposed for this development.

![](_page_19_Picture_16.jpeg)

### 4.0 SUMMARY

This report outlines the proposed stormwater management scheme for two proposed temporary-use outdoor storage facilities located at 12423 Coleraine Drive and 0 Simpson Road (identified herein as the West Site and East Site respectively), in the Town of Caledon. New sanitary and water supply services are not proposed as they are not required for this development. Following is a summary of the conclusions and recommendations of this report:

### Stormwater Management

- Post-development peak flows are proposed to be controlled to the maximum rates identified in the *Stormwater Management Design Brief, GWL Industrial Subdivision* (Pitura Husson Ltd., June 2008), based on 180 L/s/ha in a 100-year storm. Flow control is proposed to be achieved using flow restrictors and a combination of underground pipe storage and storm chamber storage (Triton S29 chambers);
- Since allowance for water quality control has been made in the design of the existing downstream stormwater management pond, no additional quality control measures are proposed on the site;
- Retention of 5mm of rainfall for water balance is proposed to be achieved through limited soil infiltration via the open-bottom storm chambers. Additional storage volume is proposed to be provided at the base of the storm chambers (below the quantity-control volume) for water balance purposes.

Respectfully Submitted,

### **Urbanworks Engineering Corporation**

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

**Taras Dumyn, P.Eng.** Principal

Appendix A: Background Information

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

## BARN

	Name of Project: PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT Location: 12423 COLERAINE DRIVE TOWN OF BOLTON, ONTARIO										FAUSTO CO A R C H I T I 3590 RUTHERFORD VAUGHAN, ONTARIG 416-806-700 FCORTESE@EFCARCH OBC Pofer	RTESE C T S ED. UNIT 7 b, L4H 378 MITECTS.CA	
ITEM			Ontari	o Bui	Iding Code E	Data Ma	atrix	- Part 3 &	9		Refer [A]	rences are to Divisio for Division A or [C]	n B unless noted for Division C
1	Project Description:					Part 11 11.1 to 11.4		1.1.2	Part 3 . [A]	Part 9 1.1.2. 9.10.1.3.			
2	Major	Occupancy	(s)	Group	) F1						3.1.2	.1.(1)	9.10.2.
3	Buildi	ng Area (m²	) I	EXISTI	NG_113.43	NEW	0	т	DTAL113.43		1.4.1	.2 [A] 1	1.4.1.2 [A]
4	Gross	Area (m²)	E	XISTIN	NG_113.43	NEW	0	Т	DTAL113.43		1.4.1	.2 [A]	1.4.1.2 [A]
5	Numb	er of Storey	S	Above	e Grade: 1						1.4.1.	.2 [A] & 3.2.1.1.	1.4.1.2 [A] 9 10 4
6	Numb	er of Streets	s/Fire Figl	nter Aco	cess: 2						3.2.2	.10 & 3.2.5.	9.10.20.
7	Buildir	ng Classifica	ation:		GROUP F	1					3.2.2	.24 & 3.2.2.54	9.10.2.
8	Sprink	der System	Proposed		entire building	3		in li	eu of roof rating		3.2.2	.67	9.10.8.2.
			•	Ē	selected com	partment	ts	not	required		3.2.1	.5	
				Ē	selected floor	areas			STING NO CHA	NGE	3.2.2	.17	
					basement	areae					0.2.2		INDEX
9	Stand	pipe require	d					/es 🔽	No		3.2.9		N/A
10	Fire A	larm require	ed					res 🔽	No		3.2.4		9.10.18.
11	Water	· Service/Su	pply is Ac	lequate	;			res	No		3.2.5	.7.	N/A
12	High E	Building	,	•				res 🔽	No		3.2.6		N/A
13	Const	ruction Rest	rictions		Combustil Permitted	ble		Non-combus	tible B	oth	3.2.2	71	9.10.6.
	Actua	l Constructio	on		Combustil	ble		lon-combus	tible 🔲 B	oth			
14	Secor	nd floor(s) A	rea (m <sup>2</sup> ):	N/A	 Thi	ird Floor	Area	(m <sup>2</sup> ): N/A			3.2.1	.1.(3)-(8)	9.10.4.1.
15	Occup	pant load ba	sed on		m <sup>2</sup> /person			lesign of bui	Iding		3.1.1	7	9.9.1.3.
	0	ccupancy: (	GROUP F	1 Lo	oad: 5 persons			U U	Ū				
16	Barrie	r-free Desig	n		Yes	No	(Exp	lain):			3.8		9.5.2.
17	Hazar	dous Subst	ances		Yes	No					3.3.1	.2. & 3.3.1.19	9.10.1.3.(4)
18	Re	quired		Horizor	tal Assemblies			List	ed Design No.		3.2.2	2.2083 &	9.10.8.
	Res	Fire		FR	RR (Hours)			or De	escription (SB-3)	)	3	3.2.1.4	9.10.9.
	R	lating	Floor:		N/A Hours								
	()	-RR)	Roof:		0	Hours							
				FRR	of Supporting			List	ed Design No.				
	Members or Description (SB-3)						)						
	Floor: N/A Hours												
			Roof:		0	Hours		1					
19	Spatia	al Separatio	n - Constr	uction	of Exterior Walls	s - Existir	ng Bui	Iding			3.2.3		9.10.14.
	Wall	Area of	L.D.	L/H or	Permitted	Propo	osed	FRR	Listed	Com	b	Comb.	Non-comb
		EBF (m <sup>2</sup> )	(m )	H/L	Openings	% Open	ings	(Hours)	Design or Description	Cons	st	Cladding	Const
	North	0	35.20 m	1:10<	100 %	0 9	%						
	South	4.26	3.80 m	1:10<	96 %	7 9	%						
	East	7		1:10<	100 %	6 9	%	<b>_</b>					
1	West	7	92.08 m	1:10<	100 %	6 9	%						

#### Name of Project: PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT Location: 12423 COLERAINE DRIVE TOWN OF BOLTON, ONTARIO Ontario Building Code Data Matrix - Pa ITEM 1 Project Description: New Addit Change of Use Altera Major Occupancy(s) Group A2 / D Building Area (m<sup>2</sup>) EXISTING\_8,122.87 NEW\_ 26.9 3 Gross Area (m<sup>2</sup>) EXISTING\_8,122.87 NEW\_ 26.9 Number of Storeys Above Grade: 1 6 Number of Streets/Fire Fighter Access: 4 7 Building Classification: GROUP A2 / D Sprinkler System Proposed 🛛 🗙 entire building 8 selected compartments selected floor areas basement Yes Yes Yes 9 Standpipe required 10 Fire Alarm required Water Service/Supply is Adequate 12 High Building Yes Non-Requ Combustible Permitted 13 Construction Restrictions Combustible 🗙 Non-o Actual Construction 14 Second floor(s) Area (m<sup>2</sup>): N/A Third Floor Area (m<sup>2</sup>): m²/person desig 15 Occupant load based on Occupancy: GROUP D/E Load: 1146 persons Yes No (Explain): 16 Barrier-free Design Yes X No Hazardous Substances Required Fire 18 Horizontal Assemblies FRR (Hours) Resistance Rating (FRR) N/A Hours Floor: Roof: 0 Hours FRR of Supporting Members N/A Hours Floor: Roof: 0 Hours 19 Spatial Separation - Construction of Exterior Walls - Existing Building Area of L.D. L/H or Permitted Proposed % of Openings Area of EBF (m<sup>2</sup>) L.D. (m) L/H or H/L Perfitted Max.% of Openings 3.9 41.99 m 1:10 100 % 9.9 2.42 m 1:10 100 % 7.5 % North South

10 -- 1:10< 100 %

6 30 m 1:10< 100 % 34.50 %

13 %

13%

DWELLING

East

West

			FAUSTO CORTESE ARCHITECTS 3590 RUTHERFORD RD. UNIT 7 VAUGHAN, ONTARIO, L4H 3T8 416-806-7000				
+ 2 8	٥		Γ	OBC Refer	ence		
111 5 6	5		Re	ferences are to Divisio	on B unless noted		
	Part 11			Part 3	Part 9		
ion ation	11.1 to 11.4		.2. [A]	1.1.2. 9.10.1.3.			
			3.1	.2.1.(1)	9.10.2.		
тс	DTAL8,149.77	,	1.4	.1.2 [A] 1	1.4.1.2 [A]		
тс	DTAL8,149.77	,	1.4	.1.2 [A]	1.4.1.2 [A]		
			1.4.	.1.2 [A] & 3.2.1.1.	1.4.1.2 [A]		
			3.2	.2.10 & 3.2.5.	9.10.4. 9.10.20.		
4			3.2	.2.24 & 3.2.2.54	9.10.2.		
in lie	eu of roof rating		3.2	.2.67	9.10.8.2.		
not i	required		3.2	.1.5			
	STING NO CHA	NGE	3.2	.2.17	INDEX		
X	No		3.2	.9.	N/A		
	No		3.2	.4.	9.10.18.		
	No		3.2	.5.7.	N/A		
X	No		3.2	.6	N/A		
combust iired combust	tible 🔲 Bo	oth oth	3.2	.2.71	9.10.6.		
: N/A			3.2	.1.1.(3)-(8)	9.10.4.1.		
ın of buil	lding		3.1	.17	9.9.1.3.		
:			3.8		9.5.2.		
			3.3	.1.2. & 3.3.1.19	9.10.1.3.(4)		
List or De	ed Design No. escription (SB-3)		3.2	2.2.2083 & 3.2.1.4	9.10.8. 9.10.9.		
List or De	ed Design No.						
)			3.2	.3	9.10.14.		
FRR	Listed	Corr	ıb	Comb. Constr. Nonc	Non-comb		
Hours)	Description	Con	st	Cladding	Const		
	-	-		-	-		

LE	GEND
+179.00	PROPOSED FIN. GRADE
179.00 OR ,179.00	EXISTING GRADE
(179.00) T/C	TOP OF CURB
(179.00) C/B	TOP OF CATCH BASIN
$\bigtriangleup$	MAN DOOR LOCATIONS
	DRIVE-IN DR LOCATIONS
₩ C.B.	CATCH BASIN
(∰ C.B.M.H.	CATCH BASIN MANHOLE
● М.Н.	SANITARY MANHOLE
○ м.н.	STORM SEWER MANHOLE
¢	FIRE HYDRANT
<b>*</b> G	GAS
O R.D.	ROOF DRAIN
	PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS
	DENOTES FINISHED FLOOR
() MH	DENOTES MANHOLE
CB	DENOTES CATCH BASIN
wyv	DENOTES WATER VALVE
	DENOTES EXISTING GRADE ELEVATION
	DENOTES LIGHT POST
0	DENOTES BOREHOLE
<del>                                     </del>	STAMPED CONRETE
	HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
	LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
(/////	HEAVY DUTY ROADWAY

ZONING	MP	(PRESTIGE INDUSTRIAL)
LOT AREA		
TOTAL LOT AREA	38,673 SQ.M	416,272.71 SQ.FT.
BUILDING AREA		
EXISTING DWELLING GROUND FLOOR SECOND FLOOR	309.94 SQ.M. 250.24 SQ.M.	3336.26 SQ.FT. 2693.52 SQ.FT.
GROUND FLOOR EXISTING BARN	112.49 SQ.M.	1219.83 SQ.FT.
GROUND FLOOR	313.35 SQ.M.	3372.87 SQ.FT.
EXISTING BARN- METAL GROUND FLOOR	57.58 SQ.M.	619.79 SQ.FT.
TOTAL BLDG FOOTPRINT	793.36 SQ.M.	8582.71 SQ.FT.
TOTAL GFA	793.36 SQ.M.	8582.71 SQ.FT.
LOT COVERAGE	ALLOWED	PROVIDED
MAXIMUM		2%
PARKING REQUIREMENTS	REQUIRED	PROVIDED
	69 SPOTS	383 SPOTS
SETBACKS FRONT YARD REAR YARD EXTERIOR SIDE YARD INTERIOR SIDE YARD	BY–LAW 9.5 M N/A 7.5 M N/A	PROVIDED 22.49 M N/A 35.21 M 0.25 M
LANDSCAPE REQUIREMENTS	REQUIRED 12.5 %	PROVIDED 16.00 %

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

1 No. SSUE	SSUED FOR REVIEW		
1 No.	SSUED FOR REVIEW		
1 No.	SSUED FOR REVIEW		
1 No. SSUE	SSUED FOR REVIEW		
1 No. SSUE	SSUED FOR REVIEW		
1 No. SSUE	ISSUED FOR REVIEW		
No. SSUE	DESCRIF		09/20/19
SSUE		PTION	
SSUE	REVISI	ONS	
	D FOR CONSTRUC	TION	
SSUE	D FOR BID		
SSUE	D FOR BUILDING F	PERMIT	
SSUE	D FOR SITE PLAN	APPROVAL	DATE
	SUBMI	TTALS	
	FAUCTO		· -
	FAUSIU arch	<b>COKIE</b>	s S
	3590 RUTHER VAUGHAN, OI 416-8 FCORTESE@F	FORD RD. UNIT NTARIO, L4H 3 806-7000 CARCHITECTS.	7 18 CA
	FOT		
PRO	IECT:		
PRO	o 12423 COLI	N ERAINE DR	
PRO	O 12423 COLI TOWN OF	N ERAINE DR <sup>7</sup> <b>Bolton</b>	
DRAV	O 12423 COLI TOWN OF VING: SITE	PRAINE DR BOLTON PLAN	
DRAV	O 12423 COLI TOWN OF VING: SITE	N ERAINE DR F BOLTON PLAN	
PRO. DRAV PLOT DATE:	O 12423 COLI TOWN OF VING: SITE TED:	BOLTON	
PRO. DRAV PLOT DATE:	0 12423 COLI TOWN OF VING: SITE TED: MAY 23 2019	RAINE DR BOLTON PLAN PROJECT NO. 201	8–30
PRO. DRAV PLOT DATE: SCALE	0 12423 COLI TOWN OF VING: SITE TED: MAY 23 2019	RAINE DR BOLTON PLAN PROJECT NO. 201 DRAWING NO.	8–30

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN BRIEF

# SIMPSON ROAD EXTENSION

## GWL INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

## BOLTON, TOWN OF CALEDON

JUNE 2007 (Revised June 2008)

NOV 2 9 2013

PLANTIENG DEPARTMEN

RECEIVED

JUL - 2 2008

TOWN OF CALEDON PUBLIC WORKS & ENGINEERING

Prepared for: **GWL Realty Advisors** 675 Cochrane Drive Suite 620, West Tower Markham, ON L3R 0B8

Prepared by: **Pitura Husson Limited** 9225 Leslie Street, Suite 202 Richmond Hill, Ontario L4B 3H6 Tel. (905) 709-5825 Fax (905) 709-5850

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Site Description	1
1.2	Background	1
2.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS	2
3.0	DESIGN CRITERIA	
3.1	Updated Criteria	5
4.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN	5
4.1	SWM PLAN	
4.1.1	Minor And Major Drainage Systems	
4.2	SWM POND DESIGN	6
4.2.1	Pond Grading	6
4.2.2	Sediment Forebay	7
4.2.3	Pond Volumes And Outlet Structure	7
4.2.4	Pond Maintenance	
4.3	Hydrology Modelling	
5.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	
6.0	CONCLUSIONS	

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1. SITE LOCATION PLAN FIGURE 2. DRAINAGE PLAN

### LIST OF DRAWINGS

DRAWING 12. POND DESIGN DRAWING 13. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN DRAWING 20. POND DETAILS

### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. TARGET FLOWS FOR DRAINAGE AREA	3
TABLE 2. EXTENDED DETENTION STORAGE REQUIREMENTS	3
TABLE 3. EXTENDED DETENTION STORAGE PROVIDED	)
TABLE 4A. POND STORAGE-DISCHARGE - 6 HOUR AES EVENTS	Ĺ
TABLE 4B. POND STORAGE-DISCHARGE - 12 HOUR AES EVENTS	l

### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. APPROVED SWM REPORT, BY A.M. CANDARAS APPENDIX B. POND DESIGN CALCULATIONS APPENDIX C. HYDROLOGIC MODELLING

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

*GWL Industrial Subdivision* Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 1

### STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN BRIEF

### GWL INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to provide an addendum to the detailed design information related to the expansion of the existing stormwater management (SWM) facility in the Equity Prestige Business Park previously provided by A.M. Candaras Associates Inc. This report outlines stormwater management measures that will be undertaken to deal with water quantity and quality control, as well as erosion and sediment control during construction.

### 1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The GWL Industrial Lands (the site) are located north of the Equity Prestige Business Park West Phase (21T-02001C). The property is bounded to the west by Coleraine Drive and to the east by the termination of Simona Drive. The total drainage area to the SWM facility is approximately 55.8ha. Refer to **Figure 1** for the location of the site.

The approved land use for the property consists of industrial development. The proposed development will primarily include buildings, associated parking areas and landscaped areas. The land is currently being used as farmland.

#### 1.2 BACKGROUND

To assist with the preparation of this report, the following documents were reviewed:

A <u>Master Environmental Servicing Plan</u> (MESP) was prepared for the Bolton South Industrial Park by Burnside Development Services in December 2000, to develop a preferred stormwater management (SWM) plan for the area. Preliminary sizing and locations of proposed SWM facilities were presented in the report, which was approved by the Town of Caledon and the TRCA.

DISCLAIMER	
THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE	
ANY PERSON. SPECIAL PROVIDENCE AND ANY PERSON. SPECIAL PROVIDENCE ANY PERSON. SPECIAL PROVIDE	HIRDER STATUTE ROAD STITE
	J. Company and the series of t
	Mass Later Road Later
	<b>Pitura Husson Limited</b> 1725 16th Avenue – Suite 103 Richmond Hill, Ontario, L4B 4C6 Tel:(905) 709-5825 Fax:(905) 709-5850 Email: general@piturahusson.com
	GWL INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION
	SITE LOCATION PLAN

PROJECT: 27305

1

FIGURE:

DISCLAIMER

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 2

The Equity Prestige Business Park - West Phase Stormwater Management <u>Report</u> (SWMR) was prepared by A.M. Candaras Associates Inc. in October 2003, and outlines the Stormwater Management (SWM) strategies for the Equity Prestige Business Park. The report deals mainly with the SWM requirements for the west phase of the project, including the subject lands. Two addendums were completed subsequent to the SWMR, in June and July 2004. The first addendum was based on modifications to the outlet structure for the SWM facility to reduce the detention time for frequent rainfall events. The second addendum updated the first and included further details on the drawdown times meeting MOE Guidelines as per the request of the TRCA on July 21, 2004. Refer to **Appendix A** for copies of the Candaras report and addendums.

The <u>Functional Servicing Plan - Simpson Road Extension GWL Industrial</u> <u>Subdivision (FSP)</u> was prepared by Pitura Husson Limited in June 2007, and outlines the updated SWM strategies for the Caledon Industrial lands draining to the proposed SWM facility. The report updates the information provided in the SWMR to reflect the current development scenario.

The <u>Additional Geotechnical Investigation Part 2 - Stormwater Management</u> <u>Pond and Simpson Road Extension</u> was prepared by AMEC Earth & Environmental in June 2007, and outlines the geotechnical details of the proposed SWM block.

The recommendations of these reports have been followed in the preparation of the stormwater management plan for the site.

In addition, the <u>Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual 2003</u>, prepared the Ministry of the Environment (MOE Guidelines) was referenced in the design of the stormwater management facility.

### 2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site has a generally flat topography, draining generally from the north to the south. Stormwater runoff from the site currently drains southerly to West Rainbow Creek.

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 3

A SWM facility was constructed as part of the previous development of the downstream lands in order to service the existing 20ha west phase of the business park. This facility is to be expanded, as recommended in the SWMR, to treat the flows from the subject lands.

As outlined in the MESP, the target flows for the West Rainbow Creek at the outlet of the proposed SWM Pond are determined by the unit flow equation outlined in **Table 1**.

		Post	¢.
Return Storm	Unit Flow Equation (I/s/ha)	Development Drainage Area	Target Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
1:2 year	9.506 - 0.719 ln(A)	55.8	0.34
1:5 year	14.652 - 1.136 In(A)	55.8	0.52
1:10 year	17.957 - 1.373 In(A)	55.8	0.64
1:25 year	22.639 - 1.741 In(A)	55.8	0.81
1:100 year	29.912 - 2.316 In(A)	55.8	1.06

These flows were used as the target flows in the post-development scenario for the ultimate pond. The drainage area to the SWM facility in the current design scenario is approximately 55.8ha, which is slightly greater than the area estimated in the 2003 SWMR.

### 3.0 DESIGN CRITERIA

Based on the recommendations of the approved SWMR and FSP, the following is a summary of the criteria for the stormwater management in the subdivision.

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 4

- o The quantity of stormwater leaving the site (peak flows) for all storms up to the 100 year level shall be controlled as per the recommendations in the SWMR and MESP to meet the target flow rates as shown in Table 1. The FSP estimated that a required storage volume of 23,320m<sup>3</sup> for the quantity storage component for the 100 year storm event in the ultimate SWM Pond to meet the requirements as outlined in the Candaras report.
- Site plans upstream of the proposed ultimate SWM facility will be controlled to 180 l/s/ha in the 100 year storm event.
- *Enhanced* water quality controls are required, based on MOE Guidelines, and extended detention of the 25mm event for a minimum of 48 hours. Based on erosion concerns for the downstream watercourse, the original SWMR recommended a detention time of approximately 139 hours.
- Provide access to the sediment forebay and outlet structures for pond maintenance. The access roads will have a maximum grade of 10% and maximum 2% crossfall.
- Sediment drying areas are to be provided, as per the Town of Caledon requirements for SWM facilities where gravity drains cannot be provided.

The recommendations presented in the background reports have previously been followed in the design of the proposed pond expansion. However, as part of the review process for the ultimate design of the SWM facility, it was agreed by the TRCA that the previously approved design criteria presented in the Candaras reports were not consistent with current standards. The updated criteria are outlined in the following section.

DISCLAIMER

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 5

### 3.1 UPDATED CRITERIA

Through discussions with the TRCA throughout the design process for the pond expansion, the criteria for the design of the ultimate facility have been updated as follows:

- The proposed facility must accommodate the volumes generated from the most conservative of the 6 or 12 hour AES storm events (2 through 100 year).
- *Enhanced* (Level 1) quality control is to be provided while providing for the quantity control identified above.
- Detain the 25mm 4 hour storm event for 72 hours, if possible, and a minimum of 48 hours to address downstream erosion concerns.

The ultimate pond constructed as part of this development will provide the required controls as outlined in the updated criteria above. Details of the requirements outlined in the SWMR can be seen in **Appendix A**.

## 4.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

The stormwater management plan has been prepared following the guidelines outlined to meet the stormwater management criteria.

The layout of the pond block has been revised since the preliminary ultimate design completed for the SWMR, in order to provide a more efficient development area for the adjacent landowner. The recommendations of the previous reports, with respect to stormwater management, were incorporated into the original design of the facility, and the pond block sizing was based on this design.

However, as mentioned previously, the design criteria have changed since the time of the original design of the pond expansion. Based on the restrictions of the size of the pond block area available for the pond expansion, the ultimate design has updated some of the design elements of the original design in order to provide the most efficient design possible for the available pond block.

DISCLAIMER

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 6

### 4.1 SWM PLAN

The drainage area from the site to the proposed SWM facility is approximately 18ha, with 12ha as part of the Phase 1 design.

The ultimate SWM facility is designed to treat flows from the proposed industrial subdivision development. It will provide quantity, quality and erosion control for the entire drainage area of approximately 55.8ha, as shown in **Figure** 2.

### 4.1.1 MINOR AND MAJOR DRAINAGE SYSTEMS

Storm sewers within the subdivision will be designed to convey runoff from the 100 year design storm to the ultimate SWM facility. Site runoff will be controlled to a peak flow of 180 l/s/ha during the 100 year storm event. Rooftop controls within the proposed site plans will be required, with an allowable discharge rate of approximately 42 l/s/ha.

The major system flows for the site will be directed to the pond along the proposed roads within the subdivision.

### 4.2 SWM POND DESIGN

The pond design has been completed based on achieving the requirements outlined in the FSP, as well as incorporating the current TRCA criteria into the ultimate design of the facility originally designed as part of the approved SWMR.

### 4.2.1 POND GRADING

The grading design for the SWM facility has been prepared to maximize the storage available within the proposed SWM Pond block in order to meet the required criteria. The pond will have side slopes not steeper than 4:1 as per the recommendations of the geotechnical investigation by AMEC.

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 7

A maintenance access has been provided for the pond, to the outlet structure in case of blockage. Access to the sediment forebays of the facility was provided in the initial design; however, the access to the western forebay has been removed in order to maximize the available pond storage volume to meet the updated criteria. The Town has indicated that this is acceptable based on available access to the western forebay through the adjacent industrial lot.

In addition, the sediment drying areas have been revised from the previous design in order to maximize the volume available in the facility.

Pond grading details can be seen on Drawing 12.

### 4.2.2 SEDIMENT FOREBAY

A sediment forebay was provided at each of the two pond inlets, to settle out larger particles before entering the main ponding area, in the interim design in the SWMR. This provides a confined area where the majority of particles will settle out, reducing the required area to cleanout during pond maintenance. Sizing of the sediment forebay was completed based on particle settling and dispersion length for the ultimate design scenario, as outlined in Section 4.0 of the SWMR. The updated ultimate storage requirements for the facility do not affect the sizing of the sediment forebays previously designed by Candaras.

#### 4.2.3 POND VOLUMES AND OUTLET STRUCTURE

The following is a description of how the components of the pond will provide the requirements for quality control.

#### Permanent Pool

The permanent pool contributes to the quality control for post development runoff. It has no outlet to downstream watercourses. The permanent pool provides a buffer for dilution of runoff during a rainfall event prior to discharge from the pond. Sediments within the permanent pool have additional time to settle out between rainfall events.

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

LEGEND:

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

DIRECTION OF OVERLAND FLOW

DRAINAGE AREA CATCHMENT NUMBER

DRAINAGE BOUNDARY

MINOR STORM SYSTEM

SUBJECT LANDS

![](_page_34_Picture_11.jpeg)

DISCLAIMER

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 8

The permanent pool has been sized based on MOE Guidelines to provide *enhanced* protection, as recommended by the MESP. The required permanent pool volumes are based on the area and imperviousness of contributing lands. The MOE Guidelines recommend an average permanent pool depth between 1 and 2m with a maximum depth of 3m. The required permanent pool storage volume is approximately  $11,718m^3$ . The normal water level has been revised from 232.00m to 231.90m to provide increased active storage to meet the updated design criteria. The volume provided between the pond bottom elevation of 230.50 and the normal water elevation of 231.9 (total depth of 1.4m) is 14,463m<sup>3</sup>. Calculations for the permanent pool sizing can be found in Appendix B.

### Erosion Control Storage

Extended detention storage is the first level of active (fluctuating) storage within the pond. Storage in this range is released slowly to provide increased settling time and reduce downstream erosion caused by frequent rainfall events. In addition this will help maintain base flows in downstream watercourses for a longer time period following storm events.

The extended detention storage for the SWM facility was designed based on the 25mm 4 hour storm event. As outlined in Section 3, a detention time of 72 hours has been recommended.

 Table 2 provides the required storage volumes for the facility.

Table 2.	. Extended Detention Storage Requirements		
	Pond Catchment Area (ha)	Runoff Volume (mm)	Required Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
25mm 4 hour	55.8	19.61	10,195

Detention times have been calculated using the falling head equation, presented in the MOE guidelines. The maximum release rate and drawdown times for this component of the ponds are summarized in **Table 3**. Drawdown time calculations are shown in **Appendix B**.

DISCLAIMER

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 9

Table 3.	3. Extended Detention Storage Provided			
	Storage Volume (m³)	Peak Release Rate (m³/s)	Depth of Storage (m)	Detention Time (hours)
25mm 4 hour	10,101	0.075	0.69	72.3

The extended detention storage will be provided between the normal water level of 231.90m and an elevation of approximately 232.59m. A 215mm diameter orifice plate on the upstream side of the control manhole will control outflow. The peak release rate for the extended detention component will be approximately 751/s, and the drawdown time will be approximately 72 hours. Although the orifice tube alternative is preferred by the Town compared to an orifice plate, it is necessary to install a plate in order to meet required detention time.

A reverse graded pipe will convey water from approximately 0.3m above the pond bottom to the control orifice. At this elevation, the pipe will draw cooler water from deeper in the permanent pool. It will also be above the depth of the majority of sediment accumulation at the bottom.

### Erosion Index Equation

The Erosion Index Equation is a volumetric representation of the amount of water that flows through a location above a critical (known) flow rate. The methodology was taken from the MOE Guidelines, and used in the MESP as the preferred method to determine the potential erosion levels downstream of the proposed facility. As outlined in the MESP, the TRCA suggested that a 2 year 6 hour AES storm be used in the analysis.

While continuous modelling is typically used to determine erosion potential in watercourses, through discussions with the Town and TRCA, it was determined that the Erosion Index Equation method as used in the MESP would be appropriate for this design.

As outlined in the SWMR, the erosion index at Mayfield Road and Countryside Drive is 4830m<sup>3</sup>. Addendum 2 of the Candaras report stated that under their proposed ultimate pond design, the erosion index would be approximately 9228m<sup>3</sup> for Reach A, which is significantly higher than the target rate.

*GWL Industrial Subdivision* Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 10

The current ultimate design of the pond results in an erosion index of approximately  $7012m^3$  (refer to **Appendix B**). This is an improvement on the previously approved value for the ultimate scenario in the Candaras design. Various extended detention scenarios were modelled to determine the requirements to control to the target erosion index value for Reach A. A detention time of approximately 4 days is needed to provide the required release rate and corresponding target erosion index value from the pond. Through discussions with the Town and TRCA, it was determined that this was not feasible, and a detention time of 72 hours was preferred.

As noted in the SWMR, and reinforced by the TRCA, since the erosion index cannot be met by the ultimate pond design, potential downstream measures may be required to address downstream erosion concerns.

#### Flood Control

Additional active storage is provided above the extended detention storage to meet target release rates for the 2 through 100 year events for the proposed plan.

Pond release rates will be controlled by a combination of the extended detention outlet and a 0.75m wide weir structure within the control manhole set above the extended detention water level at an elevation of 232.75. The control manhole will discharge to a 750mm dia. storm sewer with a 635mm orifice plate installed at the upstream end of the pipe, and then to the existing swale constructed as part of the interim SWM facility. The weir structure will control the flows above the extended detention levels to the required flow rates where feasible, given the constraints of the revised pond design.

Flows from the proposed control structure and the emergency overflow weir will outlet to the existing swale downstream of the facility.

The maximum active storage fluctuation for the pond will be approximately 1.84m. Table 4 summarizes the proposed storage and release rates for each event storm to the creek for the 6 hour and 12 hour AES storm events. Refer to Appendix B for the outlet sizing calculations.

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 11

Event	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )	Ponding Elevation (m)	Total Release Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
2 Year	14,812	232.84	0.14
5 Year	19,358	233.10	0.37
10 Year	22,192	233.26	0.59
25 Year	25,664	233.44	0.89
100 Year	31,553	233.74	1.07

### Table 4b. Pond Storage-Discharge - 12 hour AES Events

Event	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )	Ponding Elevation (m)	Total Release Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	
2 Year	15,969	232.92	0.18	
5 Year	5 Year 19,866 10 Year 22,261 25 Year 25,417		0.41 0.60 0.87	
10 Year				
25 Year				
100 Year	30,680	233.70	1.05	

The revised pond design results in all events for both the 6 hour and 12 hour AES storms being contained within the proposed SWM Pond block, as required by the TRCA. The release rates are less than the required rates outlined in Table 1 for the more frequent storms (10 year and less), and only slightly higher in the less frequent (25 year and above). Based on the constraints of the pond block sizing that was based on the previously approved reports, the updated design is sufficient to meet the requirements of the Town and the TRCA. Refer to Drawing 12 for details of the pond outlet structure.

### 4.2.4 POND MAINTENANCE

Effective maintenance is required to ensure the proper operation of the SWM Pond. Over time, trash, plantings, weeds, blockage of outlet structures and sediment loading can decrease its effectiveness. The following are recommendations for facility maintenance:

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 12

- Trash cleanup will help prevent blockage of outlet structures and enhance the appearance of the facility. Annual trash cleanup should be completed, preferably after the spring runoff.
- It may be difficult to establish shoreline and aquatic plantings within the facility; however, they are essential for quality control and temperature mitigation. The MOE Guidelines recommend inspecting and re-establishing aquatic plants every two years and shoreline plantings every five years.
- Weeds can decrease the bio-diversity of the plantings in the facility. Weeds should be removed, by hand, to prevent damage to surrounding vegetation. Herbicides and insecticides should not be used in the facility, as they will directly affect water quality in downstream watercourses.
- Pond outlet structures should be inspected regularly over the first two years (preferably after significant rainfall events) to establish potential for blockage.

The SWMR recommended that pond cleanout would be required approximately every 10 years. Since a gravity drain is not feasible for this facility, a temporary pump will be required to drain the pond for cleanout.

The MOE guidelines recommend facility inspections after each significant storm (approximately 4 per year) for the first 2 years of operation. Based on these inspections, actual maintenance frequency can be determined.

### 4.3 HYDROLOGY MODELLING

Hydrologic modelling was completed using the Visual OTTHYMO (VO2) model to assess the proposed control measures outlined in the SWMR. VO2 is a single event hydrologic model that is based on unit hydrograph theory. The simulation for this site uses the Nash unit hydrograph (NASHYD) for primarily pervious catchments and the STANDHYD command for urban catchments. VO2 simulations were prepared for the post development scenarios.

GWL Industrial Subdivision Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 13

As outlined in the SWMR, the target release rates for the site are based on the peak flows as determined by the unit flow equation in the MESP, as shown in **Table 1**. A pre-development model for the subwatershed was prepared for the SWMR, using the OTTHYMO89 computer model. For consistency, the modelling completed for this report was re-created with the same catchment parameters and design storms as in the SWMR, using VO2.

The post development model was updated based on the proposed development plan. Storage elements were added to simulate the controls for the 180 l/s/ha requirement for future development. The storage-discharge rating curve for the proposed ultimate pond was used for the proposed SWM facility. The results of the modelling confirm that there is sufficient volume provided in the ultimate pond design to control the flows as required.

Therefore, with the updated drainage areas from the current subdivision design, the release rates will be approximately equivalent to the proposed target flows with the volumes provided in the ultimate SWM facility.

Detailed model output and calculations for input parameters can be found in **Appendix** C.

### 5.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

An erosion and sediment control plan has been prepared to meet the requirements of the Town. The plan has been designed to limit sediment and debris from leaving the site during construction and from entering the downstream SWM facility or adjacent lands. The plan consists of the following:

- A sediment control fence will be installed along the perimeter of the site where the grade will direct flows off-site.
- Site access will be limited to one entrance. A gravel access pad will be installed to remove mud from vehicles leaving the site.

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

*GWL Industrial Subdivision* Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 14

- Erosion measures will be in place prior to any grading on the site. A
  program will be in place to monitor and maintain the erosion and sediment
  controls. The sediment controls will be inspected by the Site Engineer
  and contractor every week and after each significant rainfall event.
- Sediment traps are proposed to be located on all ditch inlet catchbasins to limit the amount of sediment into the minor system.

Proper construction sequencing will also help with erosion and sediment control. The following schedule is recommended:

- 1) Install sediment control fence and gravel access road.
- 2) Strip topsoil and stockpile.
- 3) Rough grade site to subgrade elevations.
- Re-vegetate disturbed areas including lands left vacant for future development.

The erosion and sediment control plan is shown on Drawing 13.

### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The Stormwater management measures proposed will provide quality and quantity controls for runoff from the subdivision. The proposed plan has taken into consideration relevant controls and treatment required by the review agencies and complies with the recommendations of the Town and all previous reports.

The design of the stormwater management measures for the subdivision will provide:

- o Enhanced water quality control as required;
- o Extended detention of the 25mm storm for approximately 72 hours, as per the updated criteria from the TRCA;

THESE RECORDS ARE BASED UPON AVAILABLE AND UNVERIFIED INFORMATION AND MAY PROVE INACCURATE. THE TOWN OF CALEDON DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD THESE RECORDS BE RELIED UPON TO THE DETRIMENT OF ANY PERSON.

*GWL Industrial Subdivision* Stormwater Management Design Brief Page 15

- Control of post development flows from the proposed subdivision such that the peak flows in the 2-100 year events approximately match the target flows as outlined in Table 1; and
- o Maintenance of existing drainage patterns.

An effective plan for erosion and sediment controls to be incorporated during the construction of the subdivision works has been prepared.

The results of this analysis determine that the design of the ultimate stormwater management facility meets all the required criteria set out by previous reports and agency guidelines, and therefore should be approved.

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

Appendix B: Stormwater Management Calculations

### ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

PROJECT:Rafat Storage YardFILE No.:20-0009CADATE:January 2021PREPARED BY:TD

Allowable Release Rate

$O = A \times O_{a}$	Where: Q = Allowable Post-Development Release Rate	(100-Year)
	A = Tributary Area	
	Q <sub>C</sub> = Controlled Flow Rate	

### WEST SITE

Tributary Area	Controlled Flow Rate	Allowable 100-Year		
A (ha)	Q <sub>c</sub> (L/s/ha)	Release Rate Q (L/s)		
2.13	180	383.4		

### EAST SITE

Tributary Area	Controlled Flow Rate	Allowable 100-Year		
A (ha)	Q <sub>c</sub> (L/s/ha)	Release Rate Q (L/s)		
1.09	180	196.2		

### **PEAK FLOW CALCULATION: RATIONAL METHOD** WEST SITE PRE-DEVELOPMENT

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

PROJECT:	Rafat Storage Yard
FILE No.:	20-0009CA
DATE:	January 2021
PREPARED BY:	TD

IDF DATA SET: Town of Caledon						
STORM	CC	COEFFICIENTS				
EVENT	Α	В	С			
2 YR.	1070	7.85	-0.8759			
5 YR.	1593	11	-0.8789			
10 YR.	2221	12	-0.9080			
25 YR.	3158	15	-0.9335			
50 YR.	3886	16	-0.9495			
100 YR.	4688	17	-0.9624			

Rainfall Intensity:	$I = A \cdot (T + B)^{C}$
Peak Flow:	$Q = (C \cdot I \cdot A) / 360$
Min. Inlet Time:	Tc = 10.0min.

STORM	AREA	AREA	С	AxC	Тс	I	Q	Q <sub>TOTAL</sub>
EVENT	I.D.	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)
	101	1.21	0.70	0.847	10.0	85.7	201.7	
	102	1.02	0.70	0.714	10.0	85.7	170.0	
2 YR.	103	0.55	0.70	0.385	10.0	85.7	91.7	477.0
	104	0.12	0.25	0.030	10.0	85.7	7.1	
	105	0.11	0.25	0.028	10.0	85.7	6.5	
	101	1.21	0.70	0.847	10.0	109.7	258.0	
	102	1.02	0.70	0.714	10.0	109.7	217.5	
5 YR.	103	0.55	0.70	0.385	10.0	109.7	117.3	610.4
	104	0.12	0.25	0.030	10.0	109.7	9.1	
	105	0.11	0.25	0.028	10.0	109.7	8.4	
	101	1.21	0.70	0.847	10.0	134.2	315.7	
	102	1.02	0.70	0.714	10.0	134.2	266.1	
10 YR.	103	0.55	0.70	0.385	10.0	134.2	143.5	746.6
	104	0.12	0.25	0.030	10.0	134.2	11.2	
	105	0.11	0.25	0.028	10.0	134.2	10.2	
	101	1.21	0.70	0.847	10.0	156.5	368.1	
	102	1.02	0.70	0.714	10.0	156.5	310.3	
25 YR.	103	0.55	0.70	0.385	10.0	156.5	167.3	870.8
	104	0.12	0.25	0.030	10.0	156.5	13.0	
	105	0.11	0.25	0.028	10.0	156.5	12.0	
	101	1.21	0.70	0.847	10.0	176.2	414.5	
	102	1.02	0.70	0.714	10.0	176.2	349.4	
50 YR.	103	0.55	0.70	0.385	10.0	176.2	188.4	980.6
	104	0.12	0.25	0.030	10.0	176.2	14.7	
	105	0.11	0.25	0.028	10.0	176.2	13.5	
	101	1.21	0.70	0.847	10.0	196.5	462.4	
	102	1.02	0.70	0.714	10.0	196.5	389.8	
100 YR.	103	0.55	0.70	0.385	10.0	196.5	210.2	1093.8
	104	0.12	0.25	0.030	10.0	196.5	16.4	
	105	0.11	0.25	0.028	10.0	196.5	15.0	

### **PEAK FLOW CALCULATION: RATIONAL METHOD** EAST SITE PRE-DEVELOPMENT

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

PROJECT:	Rafat Storage Yard
FILE No.:	20-0009CA
DATE:	January 2021
PREPARED BY:	TD

IDF DATA SET: Town of Caledon						
STORM	CC	COEFFICIENTS				
EVENT	Α	В	С			
2 YR.	1070	7.85	-0.8759			
5 YR.	1593	11	-0.8789			
10 YR.	2221	12	-0.9080			
25 YR.	3158	15	-0.9335			
50 YR.	3886	16	-0.9495			
100 YR.	4688	17	-0.9624			

Rainfall Intensity:	$I = A \cdot (T + B)^{C}$
Peak Flow:	$Q = (C \cdot I \cdot A) / 360$
Min. Inlet Time:	Tc = 10.0min.

STORM	AREA	AREA	С	AxC	Тс	I	Q	<b>Q</b> <sub>TOTAL</sub>
EVENT	I.D.	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)
2 V P	106	1.09	0.70	0.763	10.0	85.7	181.7	185.8
2 11.	107	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	85.7	4.2	105.0
	106	1.09	0.70	0.763	10.0	109.7	232.5	237.8
5 HX.	107	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	109.7	5.3	257.0
	106	1.09	0.70	0.763	10.0	134.2	284.3	200.0
10 11.	107	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	134.2	6.5	290.9
25 VP	106	1.09	0.70	0.763	10.0	156.5	331.6	330.2
25 117.	107	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	156.5	7.6	559.2
	106	1.09	0.70	0.763	10.0	176.2	373.4	383.0
50 FR.	107	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	176.2	8.6	302.0
	106	1.09	0.70	0.763	10.0	196.5	416.5	426.1
100 TK.	107	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	196.5	9.6	420.1

### **PEAK FLOW CALCULATION: RATIONAL METHOD** WEST SITE POST-DEVELEOPMENT, UNCONTROLLED

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

PROJECT:	Rafat Storage Yard
FILE No.:	20-0009CA
DATE:	January 2021
PREPARED BY:	TD

IDF DATA	SET:	Town of Ca	aledon	
STORM	COEFFICIENTS			
EVENT	Α	В	С	
2 YR.	1070	7.85	-0.8759	
5 YR.	1593	11	-0.8789	
10 YR.	2221	12	-0.9080	
25 YR.	3158	15	-0.9335	
50 YR.	3886	16	-0.9495	
100 YR.	4688	17	-0.9624	

Rainfall Intensity:	$I = A \cdot (T + B)^{C}$
Peak Flow:	$Q = (C \cdot I \cdot A) / 360$
Min. Inlet Time:	Tc = 10.0min.

STORM	AREA	AREA	С	AxC	Тс	I	Q	Q <sub>TOTAL</sub>
EVENT	I.D.	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)
2 V P	201	2.11	0.78	1.646	10.0	85.7	391.9	405.6
2 11.	202	0.23	0.25	0.058	10.0	85.7	13.7	403.0
	201	2.11	0.78	1.646	10.0	109.7	501.4	518.0
5 HX.	202	0.23	0.25	0.058	10.0	109.7	17.5	516.9
	201	2.11	0.78	1.646	10.0	134.2	613.3	634.8
10 117.	202	0.23	0.25	0.058	10.0	134.2	21.4	034.0
25 VP	201	2.11	0.78	1.646	10.0	156.5	715.3	740.3
25 117.	202	0.23	0.25	0.058	10.0	156.5	25.0	740.5
	201	2.11	0.78	1.646	10.0	176.2	805.5	833.6
50 TK.	202	0.23	0.25	0.058	10.0	176.2	28.1	033.0
	201	2.11	0.78	1.646	10.0	196.5	898.5	020.0
100 FK.	202	0.23	0.25	0.058	10.0	196.5	31.4	929.9

### **PEAK FLOW CALCULATION: RATIONAL METHOD** EAST SITE

POST-DEVELEOPMENT, UNCONTROLLED

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

Rafat Storage Yard
20-0009CA
January 2021
TD

IDF DATA SET:		Town of Ca	aledon	
STORM	COEFFICIENTS			
EVENT	Α	В	С	
2 YR.	1070	7.85	-0.8759	
5 YR.	1593	11	-0.8789	
10 YR.	2221	12	-0.9080	
25 YR.	3158	15	-0.9335	
50 YR.	3886	16	-0.9495	
100 YR.	4688	17	-0.9624	

Rainfall Intensity:	$I = A \cdot (T + B)^{C}$
Peak Flow:	$Q = (C \cdot I \cdot A) / 360$
Min. Inlet Time:	Tc = 10.0min.

STORM	AREA	AREA	С	AxC	Тс	I	Q	<b>Q</b> <sub>TOTAL</sub>
EVENT	I.D.	(ha)			(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)
2 V P	206	1.09	0.78	0.850	10.0	85.7	202.4	206.6
2 11.	207	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	85.7	4.2	200.0
	206	1.09	0.78	0.850	10.0	109.7	259.0	264.4
5 HX.	207	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	109.7	5.3	204.4
	206	1.09	0.78	0.850	10.0	134.2	316.8	323 /
10 11	207	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	134.2	6.5	525.4
25 VP	206	1.09	0.78	0.850	10.0	156.5	369.5	377 1
25 117.	207	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	156.5	7.6	577.1
	206	1.09	0.78	0.850	10.0	176.2	416.1	424 7
50 HX.	207	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	176.2	8.6	424.7
	206	1.09	0.78	0.850	10.0	196.5	464.2	473 7
100 TK.	207	0.07	0.25	0.018	10.0	196.5	9.6	473.7

### **ORIFICE CONTROL SIZING CALCULATION** WEST SITE

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

Rafat Storage Yard
20-0009CA
January 2021
TD

**Orifice Control Equation** 

$$Q = C \bullet A \bullet (2gh)^{0.5}$$

Where: Q = Flow Rate C = Discharge Coefficient C (tube) = 0.80C (plate) = 0.62A = Orifice Area g = Acceleration Due to Gravity =  $9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$ 

h = Head

Orifice Diameter (mm)		381
High Water Elev. (m)	236.86	
Orifice Invert Elev. (m)		235.78
Required		383.4
Flow Rate (L/S)	Provided	381.0

Orifice Center Elev. (m)	235.97
Head (m)	0.890
С	0.80
Orifice Area (m²)	0.1140

### **ORIFICE CONTROL SIZING CALCULATION** EAST SITE

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

Rafat Storage Yard
20-0009CA
January 2021
TD

**Orifice Control Equation** 

$$Q = C \bullet A \bullet (2gh)^{0.5}$$

Where: Q = Flow Rate C = Discharge Coefficient C (tube) = 0.80 C (plate) = 0.62 A = Orifice Area

g = Acceleration Due to Gravity =  $9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$ 

h = Head

Orifice Diameter (mm)		203
High Water Elev. (m)		238.70
Orifice Invert Elev. (m)		236.17
Flow Pate (L(c)	196.2	
FIOW Rale (L/S)	178.7	

Orifice Center Elev. (m)	236.27
Head (m)	2.429
С	0.80
Orifice Area (m²)	0.0324

### **STORMWATER STORAGE & RELEASE CALCULATION**

100-YEAR STORM WEST SITE

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

PROJECT:	Rafat Storage Yard
FILE No.:	20-0009CA
DATE:	January 2021
PREPARED BY:	TD

DRAINAGE AREA I.D.	201	202
DRAINAGE AREA (ha)	2.11	0.23
RUNOFF COEFF. (C)	0.78	0.25
AxC	1.646	0.058
TOTAL A x C	1.703	
TIME OF CONCENTRATION	10.0	min.
TIME STEP	5.0	min.
CONTROLLED RELEASE RATE $(\mathbf{Q}_{c})$	0.381	m³/s
MAX. STORAGE REQUIRED	368	m <sup>3</sup>

IDF DATA	TA SET: Town of Caledon			
STORM	COEFFICIENTS			
EVENT	A   B   C			
100 YR.	4688	17	-0.9624	

Т	I = Α·(T + B) <sup>C</sup>	<sub>R</sub> =(C·I·A)/36	$V_R = Q_R \cdot T \cdot 60$	$V_c = Q_c \cdot T \cdot 60$	$V = V_R - V_C$
TIME	RAINFALL INTENSITY	RUNOFF	RUNOFF VOL.	CONTROLLED RELEASE	STORAGE VOL.
(min.)	(mm/hr)	(m³/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	VOL. (m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )
10.0	196.5	0.930	557.93	228.60	329.33
15.0	166.9	0.790	710.66	342.90	367.76
20.0	145.1	0.687	823.99	457.20	366.79
25.0	128.5	0.608	911.70	571.50	340.20
30.0	115.3	0.545	981.80	685.80	296.00
35.0	104.6	0.495	1039.24	800.10	239.14
40.0	95.7	0.453	1087.26	914.40	172.86
45.0	88.3	0.418	1128.09	1028.70	99.39
50.0	82.0	0.388	1163.28	1143.00	20.28
55.0	76.5	0.362	1193.97	1257.30	0.00
60.0	71.7	0.339	1221.01	1371.60	0.00
65.0	67.5	0.319	1245.05	1485.90	0.00
70.0	63.7	0.302	1266.58	1600.20	0.00
75.0	60.4	0.286	1286.00	1714.50	0.00
80.0	57.4	0.272	1303.61	1828.80	0.00
85.0	54.7	0.259	1319.68	1943.10	0.00
90.0	52.2	0.247	1334.42	2057.40	0.00
95.0	50.0	0.236	1347.98	2171.70	0.00
100.0	47.9	0.227	1360.52	2286.00	0.00
105.0	46.0	0.218	1372.16	2400.30	0.00
110.0	44.3	0.210	1382.99	2514.60	0.00
115.0	42.7	0.202	1393.11	2628.90	0.00
120.0	41.2	0.195	1402.58	2743.20	0.00
125.0	39.8	0.188	1411.48	2857.50	0.00
130.0	38.5	0.182	1419.86	2971.80	0.00
135.0	37.3	0.176	1427.76	3086.10	0.00
140.0	36.1	0.171	1435.23	3200.40	0.00
145.0	35.0	0.166	1442.31	3314.70	0.00
150.0	34.0	0.161	1449.03	3429.00	0.00
155.0	33.1	0.156	1455.41	3543.30	0.00
160.0	32.2	0.152	1461.50	3657.60	0.00
165.0	31.3	0.148	1467.30	3771.90	0.00

### **STORMWATER STORAGE & RELEASE CALCULATION**

100-YEAR STORM EAST SITE

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

PROJECT:	Rafat Storage Yard
FILE No.:	20-0009CA
DATE:	January 2021
PREPARED BY:	TD

DRAINAGE AREA I.D.	206	207
DRAINAGE AREA (ha)	1.09	0.07
RUNOFF COEFF. (C)	0.78	0.25
AxC	0.850	0.018
TOTAL A x C	0.868	
TIME OF CONCENTRATION	10.0	min.
TIME STEP	5.0	min.
CONTROLLED RELEASE RATE $(\mathbf{Q}_{c})$	0.1787	m³/s
MAX. STORAGE REQUIRED	205	m <sup>3</sup>

IDF DATA	ATA SET: Town of Caledon			
STORM	COEFFICIENTS			
EVENT	A   B   C			
100 YR.	4688	17	-0.9624	

Т	I = Α·(T + B) <sup>C</sup>	<sub>R</sub> =(C·I·A)/36	$V_R = Q_R \cdot T \cdot 60$	$V_c = Q_c \cdot T \cdot 60$	$V = V_R - V_C$
TIME	RAINFALL INTENSITY	RUNOFF	RUNOFF VOL.	CONTROLLED RELEASE	STORAGE VOL.
(min.)	(mm/hr)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	VOL. (m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )
10.0	196.5	0.474	284.22	107.22	177.00
15.0	166.9	0.402	362.03	160.83	201.20
20.0	145.1	0.350	419.76	214.44	205.32
25.0	128.5	0.310	464.44	268.05	196.39
30.0	115.3	0.278	500.15	321.66	178.49
35.0	104.6	0.252	529.41	375.27	154.14
40.0	95.7	0.231	553.88	428.88	125.00
45.0	88.3	0.213	574.67	482.49	92.18
50.0	82.0	0.198	592.60	536.10	56.50
55.0	76.5	0.184	608.24	589.71	18.53
60.0	71.7	0.173	622.01	643.32	0.00
65.0	67.5	0.163	634.26	696.93	0.00
70.0	63.7	0.154	645.22	750.54	0.00
75.0	60.4	0.146	655.12	804.15	0.00
80.0	57.4	0.138	664.09	857.76	0.00
85.0	54.7	0.132	672.28	911.37	0.00
90.0	52.2	0.126	679.78	964.98	0.00
95.0	50.0	0.120	686.69	1018.59	0.00
100.0	47.9	0.116	693.08	1072.20	0.00
105.0	46.0	0.111	699.01	1125.81	0.00
110.0	44.3	0.107	704.53	1179.42	0.00
115.0	42.7	0.103	709.68	1233.03	0.00
120.0	41.2	0.099	714.51	1286.64	0.00
125.0	39.8	0.096	719.04	1340.25	0.00
130.0	38.5	0.093	723.31	1393.86	0.00
135.0	37.3	0.090	727.33	1447.47	0.00
140.0	36.1	0.087	731.14	1501.08	0.00
145.0	35.0	0.084	734.75	1554.69	0.00
150.0	34.0	0.082	738.17	1608.30	0.00
155.0	33.1	0.080	741.42	1661.91	0.00
160.0	32.2	0.078	744.52	1715.52	0.00
165.0	31.3	0.076	747.48	1769.13	0.00

### AVAILABLE STORAGE VOLUME WEST SITE

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

PROJECT:Rafat Storage YardFILE No.:20-0009CADATE:January 2021PREPARED BY:TD

### **PIPE STORAGE**

Pipe Dia.	Length	Volume
(mm)	(m)	(m <sup>3</sup> )
450	99.0	15.75
600	100.3	28.36
675	99.0	35.43
675	25.7	9.20
250	175.0	8.59
Total Pipe Storage		97

### **STORM CHAMBERS**

Description	Volume
Description	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Total Storage	423
Quantity Control Volume	283
Retention Volume (Water Balance)	140

### TOTAL AVAILABLE STORAGE

QUANTITY CONTROL	380 m <sup>3</sup>
WATER BALANCE	140.0 m <sup>3</sup>

## AVAILABLE STORAGE VOLUME

EAST SITE

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **PIPE STORAGE**

Pipe Dia.	Length	Volume
(mm)	(m)	(m <sup>3</sup> )
450	101.3	16.11
450	33.1	5.26
250	75.0	3.68
Total Pipe Storage		25

### **STORM CHAMBERS**

Description	Volume
Description	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Total Storage	243
Quantity Control Volume	185
Retention Volume (Water Balance)	58

### TOTAL AVAILABLE STORAGE

QUANTITY CONTROL	210 m <sup>3</sup>
WATER BALANCE	58.0 m <sup>3</sup>

## STORAGE CHAMBER LAYOUT - WEST SITE

	Project Results							
Parameters	PAVEMENT							
Units: Metric								
Storage Volume: 420 Cu m								
Chamber Selection: S-29	→ 12" → 6.0" - 522.<10.M6 → 4 - 57" - 529 + 12" → 12" → 12" un							
Header Row Position: Right	34*-M6							
Fill Over Embedment Stone: 300 mm	Total Cover Over Chambers: 301 mm							
an ordin Enhoumont otario. Ooo min	Height Of Chamber: 915 mm							
Controlled By: width 14 m	6 Embedment Stone Under Chambers: 151 mm							
	Volume of Embedment Stone Required: 375 Cu. m							
Embedment Stone mm:	Volume of Fill Material Required: 160 Cu. m							
Over: 150 Under: 150 Porosity: 0.4	Total Storage Provided: 423 Cu. m							
Min 150mm over and under	Type Of Chambers: S-29							
	# Of Chambers Required: 351							
Double Stacked	# Of End Caps Required: 18							
Double Stacked?: No	Required Bed Size: 534 Sq. m							
Stone Between: 304.80	Volume of Excavation: 648 Cu. m							
	* Area of Filter Fabric: 661 Sq. m							
Note: After making an input change you must hit calculate to update the Field Diagram and Project Results.	# of Chambers Long: 42							
The image generation will not save if using MicroSoft Edge	# of rows: 8							
	Actual Trench Length: 38.28 m							
	Actual Trench Width: 13.93 m							
	* Filter Fabric quantity for Fabric on Top and Sides of System Only, does not include overlap							

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

1/6

### STORAGE CHAMBER LAYOUT - EAST SITE

	Project Results							
Parameters								
Units: Metric								
Storage Volume: 235 Cu m	P = 0							
Chamber Selection: S-29	+12" + 52'-529 + 58'-539 + 12" un							
Header Row Position: Left	34°-186							
Fill Over Embedment Stone: 300 mm	O Total Cover Over Chambers: 301 mm							
	Height Of Chamber: 915 mm							
Controlled By: width 14 m	Embedment Stone Under Chambers: 151 mm							
	Volume of Embedment Stone Required: 221 Cu. m							
Embedment Stone mm:	Volume of Fill Material Required: 93 Cu. m							
Over: 150 Under: 150 Porosity: 0.4	Total Storage Provided: 243 Cu. m							
Min 150mm over and under	Type Of Chambers: S-29							
	# Of Chambers Required: 199							
Double Stacked	# Of End Caps Required: 18							
Double Stacked?: No	Required Bed Size: 310 Sq. m							
Stone Between: 304.80	Volume of Excavation: 376 Cu. m							
	* Area of Filter Fabric: 397 Sq. m							
Note: After making an input change you must hit calculate to update the Field Diagram and Project Results.	# of Chambers Long: 23							
* The image generation will not save if using MicroSoft Edge	# of rows: 8							
	Actual Trench Length: 22.18 m							
	Actual Trench Width: 13.93 m							
	* Filter Fabric quantity for Fabric on Top and Sides of System Only, does not include overlar							

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

1/7

# Appendix C: Engineering Drawings

- THIS DRAWING TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE APPROVED ARCHITECTURAL AND LANDSCAPE PLANS. THE LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND AND ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT
- NECESSARILY SHOWN ON ENGINEERING DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN THE ACCURACY O THE LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEE PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY EXACT LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND SHALL ASSUME ALL LIABILITIES OF

EX. 1500ø CONC. STM. 10.8m @ 0.10%

Ш

>

\_

 $\Box$ 

Ш

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

C

1105|0,

₱ 01 [EX] 3000 W

4000 WATERMAIN

SEPERATION

V&B.

- ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER. DETAILS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED FROM THE DRAWINGS.
- THE WORK AREA SHALL BE ISOLATED FROM PUBLIC ACCESS AT ALL TIMES. OPEN EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE BACKFILLED OR PLATED AT THE END OF EACH WORK DAY. ALL CONSTRUCTION WORKS SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.

## TOWN OF CALEDON STANDARD NOTES:

- CONSTRUCTION FOR THIS PROJECT TO COMPLY WITH THE MOST CURRENT VERSION OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.
- WITHIN A MINIMUM OF FORTY-EIGHT HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT-OF-WAY, THE CONTRACTOR MUST CONTACT THE FOLLOWING: TOWN OF CALEDON, FINANCE AND INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DEPT REGION OF PEEL ENBRIDGE CONSUMERS GAS HYDRO ONE 905-758-7924 519-941-12 BELL CANADA 416-296-6929 ROGERS CABLE 905-897-3914
- ALL DRAINAGE TO BE SELF-CONTAINED AND DISCHARGED TO A LOCATION APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF CALEDON.
- SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES ARE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION ON THE SITE AND SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON AND THE APPLICABLE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY
- . A MINIMUM OF 1.5m CLEARANCE IS TO BE PROVIDED FROM THE LIMITS OF ALL SIDEWALKS AND DRIVEWAYS TO EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT-OF-WAY. IF THIS CLEARANCE IS NOT MAINTAINED, THE STRUCTURES SHALL BE RELOCATED AT THE APPLICANT'S EXPENSE.
- STREET CURBS ARE TO BE CONTINUOUS WITHIN THE PROPOSED ENTRANCE.
- ANY CHANGES TO GRADES OR SERVICING FROM THE ORIGINALLY APPROVED SITE PLAN MUST BE APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF CALEDON.
- STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE FIRE ROUTE IS REQUIRED TO SUPPORT AN 18-TON VEHICLE. AS SUCH THE DRAWING IS TO SHOW AREAS OF HEAVY ASPHALT AND LIGHT ASPHALT AND IS TO PROVIDE DESIGN INFORMATION.
- D. ALL BOULEVARDS TO BE RESTORED WITH 150mm MINIMUM OF TOPSOIL AND SOD TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON.
- THE MINIMUM PAVEMENT DESIGN FOR THE ASPHALT DRIVEWAY APRON WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL ROAD ALLOWANCE SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS: 40mm HL3 ASPHALT
- 50mm HL8 ASPHALT 150mm GRANULAR 'A'
- 300mm GRANULAR 'B' 2. SERVICE CONNECTION BACKFILL TO BE DISCUSSED WITH THE TOWN OF CALEDON

## STORM SEWERS:

- STORM SEWER PIPES 300mm DIA. TO 450mm DIA. SHALL BE PVC SDR 35 CONFORMING TO C.S.A. SPECIFICATION B182.2 M1990 AND B182.4 M1992 OR LATEST REVISION THEREOF, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. STORM SEWER PIPES LARGER THAN 450mm DIA. SHALL BE REINFORCED CONCRETE CONFORMING TO C.S.A. SPECIFICATION A257.2 – M1982 OR LATEST REVISION THEREOF. PIPE JOINTS SHALL BE BY MEANS OF APPROVED RUBBER GASKETS CONFORMING TO C.S.A. SPECIFICATION A257.2 – M1982 OR LATEST REVISION THEREOF.
- STORM SEWER BEDDING AND BACKFILL SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 802.010 CLASS 'B' WITH GRANULAR 'A' FOR PVC PIPE, AND 802.030 CLASS 'B' WITH GRANULAR 'A' FOR CONCRETE
- STORM MANHOLES SHALL BE AS PER 0.P.S.D. 701.010, 701.011, 701.012 AND 701.013, WITH SIZE AS NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. FRAME AND COVER SHALL BE AS PER 0.P.S.D. 401.010 TYPE 'B'. SAFETY PLATFORMS SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 404.020 AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN MANHOLES WHERE THE DEPTH EXCEEDS 5.0m. STORM MANHOLE BENCHING SHALL BE TO THE OBVERT OF THE PIPE AS PER O.P.S.D. 701.021, MINIMUM 230mm IN WIDTH, OR AS SPECIFIED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- GRANULAR BACKFILL AROUND MANHOLES AND CATCHBASINS SHALL BE GRANULAR 'B' COMPACTED BY MECHANICAL MEANS TO A MINIMUM OF 95% S.P.D.
- ALL MANHOLE CHAMBER OPENINGS SHALL BE LOCATED ON THE UPSTREAM SIDE OF THE MANHOLES.
- . DROP STRUCTURES SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 1003.010 OR 1003.020. 7. STREET CATCHBASIN FRAME AND GRATE PER 0.P.S.D. 400.020.

## **ROADWORKS:**

- BARRIER CURBS SHALL BE AS PER OPSD 600.110.
- SIDEWALKS TO COMPLY WITH OPSD 310.010 AND ARE TO BE 1.5 METERS WIDE ON A 150mm COMPACTED GRANULAR "A" BASE. MINIMUM THICKNESS ACROSS THE DRIVEWAY ENTRANCE IS TO BE 200mm.
- PROPOSED VEHICLE PARKING AND STORAGE AREAS TO HAVE GRAVEL SURFACE WITH MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS AS FOLLOWS: 150mm DEPTH OF 20mmø CRUSHER-RUN LIMESTONE – TOP COURSE 450mm DEPTH OF 50mmø CRUSHER-RUN LIMESTONE – BASE (NOTE: TO BE VERIFIED BY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER)
- STORM MANHOLES SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 701.010, 701.011, 701.012 AND 701.013, WITH SIZE AS NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. FRAME AND COVER SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 401.010 TYPE 'B'. SAFETY PLATFORMS SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 404.020 AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN MANHOLES WHERE THE DEPTH EXCEEDS 5.0m. STORM MANHOLE BENCHING SHALL BE TO THE OBVERT OF THE PIPE AS PER O.P.S.D. 701.021, MINIMUM 230mm IN WIDTH, OR AS SPECIFIED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- . GRANULAR BACKFILL AROUND MANHOLES AND CATCHBASINS SHALL BE GRANULAR 'B' COMPACTED BY MECHANICAL MEANS TO A MINIMUM OF 95% S.P.D.
- . ALL MANHOLE CHAMBER OPENINGS SHALL BE LOCATED ON THE UPSTREAM SIDE OF THE MANHOLES.
- . DROP STRUCTURES SHALL BE AS PER 0.P.S.D. 1003.010 OR 1003.020.

B. STREET CATCHBASIN FRAME AND GRATE PER 0.P.S.D. 400.020.

## **RESTORATION NOTES:**

- SERVICES WITHIN MUNICIPAL RIGHT-OF-WAY TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN VERTICAL TRENCH. TRENCH SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH UNSHRINKABLE FILL.
- ALL AREAS DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF WORKS SHOWN HEREON SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER. - MUNICIPAL SIDEWALKS AND CURBS TO BE RESTORED PER CITY STANDARDS.
- RESTORATION OF PAVEMENT TO MATCH EXISTING SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL GRASS AND VEGETATION-COVERED AREAS SHALL BE RESTORED BY PLACING 150mm OF TOPSOIL & SOD.

## LEGEND

- 😝 DENOTES VALVE AND CHAMBER
- HIDENOTES VALVE AND BOX
- -- DENOTES HYDRANT
- CB DENOTES SINGLE CATCHBASIN DCB 🔲 DENOTES DOUBLE CATCHBASIN
- DENOTES SANITARY MANHOLE
- O DENOTES STORM MANHOLE
- DENOTES CATCHBASIN WITH CONC. APRON
- DENOTES 1.8m HIGH WOOD PRIVACY FENCE
- DENOTES LIGHT POLE

![](_page_59_Figure_49.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_50.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_51.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

## GENERAL NOTES:

- THIS DRAWING TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE APPROVED ARCHITECTURAL AND LANDSCAPE PLANS.
- THE LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND AND ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON ENGINEERING DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN THE ACCURACY OF THE LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY EXACT LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND SHALL ASSUME ALL LIABILITIES OF DAMAGE
- ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER. DETAILS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED FROM THE DRAWINGS.
- . THE WORK AREA SHALL BE ISOLATED FROM PUBLIC ACCESS AT ALL TIMES. OPEN EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE BACKFILLED OR PLATED AT THE END OF EACH WORK DAY. ALL CONSTRUCTION WORKS SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.

## TOWN OF CALEDON STANDARD NOTES:

- CONSTRUCTION FOR THIS PROJECT TO COMPLY WITH THE MOST CURRENT VERSION OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.
- WITHIN A MINIMUM OF FORTY-EIGHT HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT-OF-WAY, THE CONTRACTOR MUST CONTACT THE FOLLOWING: TOWN OF CALEDON, FINANCE AND INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DEPT. 905-584-2272 REGION OF PEEL ENBRIDGE CONSUMERS GAS HYDRO ONE 905-758-7924 519-941-12 BELL CANADA 416-296-6929 ROGERS CABLE 905-897-3914
- ALL DRAINAGE TO BE SELF-CONTAINED AND DISCHARGED TO A LOCATION APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF CALEDON.
- SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES ARE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION ON THE SITE AND SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON AND THE APPLICABLE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY.
- . A MINIMUM OF 1.5m CLEARANCE IS TO BE PROVIDED FROM THE LIMITS OF ALL SIDEWALKS AND DRIVEWAYS TO EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT-OF-WAY. IF THIS CLEARANCE IS NOT MAINTAINED, THE STRUCTURES SHALL BE RELOCATED AT THE APPLICANT'S EXPENSE.
- STREET CURBS ARE TO BE CONTINUOUS WITHIN THE PROPOSED ENTRANCE.
- ANY CHANGES TO GRADES OR SERVICING FROM THE ORIGINALLY APPROVED SITE PLAN MUST BE APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF CALEDON.
- STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE FIRE ROUTE IS REQUIRED TO SUPPORT AN 18-TON VEHICLE. AS SUCH THE DRAWING IS TO SHOW AREAS OF HEAVY ASPHALT AND LIGHT ASPHALT AND IS TO DRAVIDE DESIGN INFORMATION PROVIDE DESIGN INFORMATION.
- D. ALL BOULEVARDS TO BE RESTORED WITH 150mm MINIMUM OF TOPSOIL AND SOD TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON.
- THE MINIMUM PAVEMENT DESIGN FOR THE ASPHALT DRIVEWAY APRON WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL ROAD ALLOWANCE SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS: 40mm HL3 ASPHAL
- 50mm HL8 ASPHALT 150mm GRANULAR 'A' 300mm GRANULAR 'B'
- 2. SERVICE CONNECTION BACKFILL TO BE DISCUSSED WITH THE TOWN OF CALEDON

## **ROADWORKS**:

- BARRIER CURBS SHALL BE AS PER OPSD 600.110.
- SIDEWALKS TO COMPLY WITH OPSD 310.010 AND ARE TO BE 1.5 METERS WIDE ON A 150mm COMPACTED GRANULAR "A" BASE. MINIMUM THICKNESS ACROSS THE DRIVEWAY ENTRANCE IS TO BE 200mm. PROPOSED VEHICLE PARKING AND STORAGE AREAS TO HAVE GRAVEL SURFACE WITH MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS AS FOLLOWS:
- 150mm DEPTH OF 20mmø CRUSHER-RUN LIMESTONE TOP COURSE 450mm DEPTH OF 50mmø CRUSHER-RUN LIMESTONE – BASE (NOTE: TO BE VERIFIED BY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER)
- . STORM MANHOLES SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 701.010, 701.011, 701.012 AND 701.013, WITH SIZE AS NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. FRAME AND COVER SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 401.010 TYPE 'B'. SAFETY PLATFORMS SHALL BE AS PER O.P.S.D. 404.020 AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN MANHOLES WHERE THE DEPTH EXCEEDS 5.0m. STORM MANHOLE BENCHING SHALL BE TO THE OBVERT OF THE PIPE AS PER O.P.S.D. 701.021, MINIMUM 230mm IN WIDTH, OR AS SPECIFIED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- GRANULAR BACKFILL AROUND MANHOLES AND CATCHBASINS SHALL BE GRANULAR 'B' COMPACTED BY MECHANICAL MEANS TO A MINIMUM OF 95% S.P.D.
- ALL MANHOLE CHAMBER OPENINGS SHALL BE LOCATED ON THE UPSTREAM SIDE OF THE MANHOLES
- DROP STRUCTURES SHALL BE AS PER 0.P.S.D. 1003.010 OR 1003.020.
- 8. STREET CATCHBASIN FRAME AND GRATE PER 0.P.S.D. 400.020.

### **RESTORATION NOTES:**

- SERVICES WITHIN MUNICIPAL RIGHT-OF-WAY TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN VERTICAL TRENCH. TRENCH SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH UNSHRINKABLE FILL.
- ALL AREAS DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF WORKS SHOWN HEREON SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER. - MUNICIPAL SIDEWALKS AND CURBS TO BE RESTORED PER CITY STANDARDS.
- RESTORATION OF PAVEMENT TO MATCH EXISTING SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL GRASS AND VEGETATION-COVERED AREAS SHALL BE RESTORED BY PLACING 150mm OF TOPSOIL & SOD.

## LEGEND

•	DENOTES	VALVE AND CHAMBER
₩	DENOTES	VALVE AND BOX
-¢-	DENOTES	HYDRANT
СВ 🗌	DENOTES	SINGLE CATCHBASIN
DCB 🔲	DENOTES	DOUBLE CATCHBASIN
	DENOTES	SANITARY MANHOLE
0	DENOTES	STORM MANHOLE
189.52	DENOTES	PROPOSED ELEVATION
190.14	DENOTES	EXISTING ELEVATION
188.5	DENOTES	EXISTING CONTOUR
	DENOTES	OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE
	DENOTES	MAX. PONDING EXTENTS
	DENOTES	CATCHBASIN WITH CONC. APRON
	DENOTES	1.8m HIGH WOOD PRIVACY FENCE
+	DENOTES	LIGHT POLE

![](_page_61_Figure_35.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_36.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

DENOTES	SEDIMENT CONTROL I
DENOTES	TREE PROTECTION H
DENOTES AND ELE\	TEMPORARY CUT-OF
DENOTES	DRAINAGE AREA BOU
DENOTES	ROCK CHECK DAM
DENOTES PONDING	TEMP. SEDIMENT TRA AREA
DENOTES	DRAINAGE AREA IN H
DENOTES	EXISTING CONTOUR E
DENOTES	OVERLAND FLOW DIRE
DENOTES	CATCHBASIN PROTEC
DENOTES	VALVE AND CHAMBER
DENOTES	VALVE AND BOX
DENOTES	HYDRANT
DENOTES	SINGLE CATCHBASIN
DENOTES	DOUBLE CATCHBASIN
DENOTES	SANITARY MANHOLE

![](_page_63_Figure_27.jpeg)

FENCE IOARDING

TRUCTION UNDARY

AP/

HECTARES

ELEVATION RECTION CTION

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

Image: Storm Sewer Design Sheet         Image: Storm Sewer Design Sheet         RAFAT STORAGE YARD - 0 SIMPSON ROAD         TOWN OF CALEDON																								
PROJECT No.: DATE: DESIGNED BY: CHECKED BY:	: 20-0009C : 2021-01-1 : TD : TD	A 8	Rainfall De Pipe Ro Min. I	l Intensity: sign Flow: oughness: niet Time:	$i = a \cdot (t + b)$ $Q = (G \cdot 1 \cdot a)$ n = 0.013 t = 10.0min	n) * A) 7 360 n.	<u>Cooff.</u> a b c	<u>5-Yaar</u> 1593 11 0.6789	Yaar         100-Year           1593         4698           11         17           .6769         0.9624															
á.	STRU	CTURE	DRAINAGE		5-YEA	RSTORM	[		100-YE	AR STOR	M		DESIGN	FLOWS				PIPE DATA	۱ ۱		TIN	E	CAPACITY	COMMENTS
			AREA	RUNOFF			RAINFALL	RUNOFF			RAINFALL	5-YEAR	100-YEAR	ADDITIONAL	TUTAL	L ENGTH	\$17F	CRAPE		v 1	SECT.	TUTAL	CHECK	
	FROM	70	(na)	C.	AxC.	A v C.	in Linnand is	COEFF.	A x Case	A Y Com	i	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m <sup>2</sup> /s)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	VFULL (m/s)	(min)	(min.)	Ocura I	
a Lookiidh	TICO M						·3	~100	114 4140	114 4101	-100		1	1	,,	()	,	1/07	,	(111.07	()	(1111.)		
READ ATTACTOR									1	1														(III)
01	CB.1	CBMH.1	0.15	0.90	0 135	0.135	109.68					0.041			0.041	25.0	250	1.00	0 059	1.21	0.34	10 00	0.69	
				<b> </b>		0.135																10.34		
02	CB.2	MAIN	0.15	0.90	0.135	0.135	109.68					0.041			0.041	25.0	250	1.00	0.059	1.21	0.34	10.00	0.69	
						0.135																10.34		
03	CB.3	MAIN	0.12	0.90	0.108	0.146	100.80					0.025			0.035	1.5	250	2.00	0.094	171	0.01	10.00	0.42	
		IVERTIN	0.04	0.20	0.000	0.116	102.00					0.035			0.040	1.0	2.00	2.00	0.004	1.41	0.01	10.00	0.42	
From CB		CBMH.1				0.135																10.34		
From CB	ł	MAIN		┣		0.135																10.01		
05	CBMH.1		0.12	0.90	0.108		İ				1													
06		CBMH.2	0.03	0.25	0.008	0.501	108.12					0.150			0.150	99.0	450	0.40	0.180	1.13	1.46	10.34	0.83	
				┨─────		0.501						———										11.80	$\rightarrow$	
07	CB.4	CBMH.2	0.15	0.90	0.135	0.135	109.68					0.041			0.041	25.0	250	1.40	0.070	1.43	0.29	10.00	0.58	
						0.135																10.29		
			5.45				100.00												0.004	1.71		40.00		
08	CB.5	MAIN	0.15	0.90	0.135	0.135	109.66					0.041			0.041	25.0	250	2.00	0.084	1.71	0.24	10.00	0.49	
						01100					i											1446.4		
09	CB.6		0.12	0.90	0.108											1								
10		MAIN	80.0	0.25	0.020	0.128	109.68					0.039			0.039	51.0	375	2.00	0.248	2.25	0.38	10.00	0.16	
	•			<b> </b>		0.128																10.36		
				<b> </b>								· · · · ·												
From West		CBMH.2				0.501																11.80		
From CB		CBMH.2		[		0.135	[															10.29		
From CB		MAIN				0.135																10.24		
11	CBMH.2	INPAILN	0.12	0.90	0.108	0.120																10.00		
12		CBMH.3	0.04	0.25	0.010	1.017	102.03					0.288			0.288	100.3	600	0.40	0.386	1.37	1.22	11.80	0.74	
				ļ		1.017					ļ											13.02		
<b>├</b>				l																				
13	CB.7	СВМН.3	0.15	0.90	0 135	0.135	109.68					0.041			0.041	25.0	250	3.00	0 103	2.10	0.20	10 00	0.40	
						0.135				[												10.20		
	00.0		0.45		0.425	0.425	100.00								0.044	75.0	250	0.00	0.004	4.74	0.04	10.00	0.40	
14	CB.8	MAIN	0.15	0.90	0.135	0.135	109.66					0.041			0.041	25.0	250	2.00	0.084	1.71	0.24	10.00	0.49	
				1						i	1													
15	CB.9		0.12	0.90	0.108	0.455	400.00								0.011			0.00	0.010	0.07	0.00	40.00		
0		MAIN	U.10	U.25	0.025	0.133	109.68					<u>u.u41</u>			0.041	51.0	375	2.00	0.248	2.25	U.38	10.00	0.16	
	1			<b> </b>		0.100										1						10.00		
																1								
Urbanworks Engineering Cor Toronto, Ontario, CANADA t. 416.503.4500 [ e. general@urbanwor	rp <b>or</b> ation rkseng.com	1																						Page 1 of 2

чцт ıцг			EX. HEADWALL EX. HEADWALL EX. 900¢ CONC STM. 12.0m @ 1.33% EX.CBMH.13	EX. 900¢ CONC. STM. 103.0m © 0.22%	239.25 239.50	EX. 900¢ CONC. STM. 93.5m @ 0.28
06 0.25 0.02ho	04 0.25 0.03ha	х.СВ (20.25) (0.04 ha)		18 0.25 0.09hp	240.00 240.00 240.50 241.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 242.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 24.50 2	210.25 0.10hg
СВМН.1	03 0.90 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha 0.12ha	239-0.12ha 99.0m @ 0.40%	250¢ PVC STM 1.5m © 2.00% CB.6 0.12hg 0.12hg	СВМН.3 ССВМН.3	250¢ PVC STM 1.5m € 2.007 M. 99.0m € 0.407 FCB.9 FCB.9 FCB.9 FCB.9	20 0.90 0.12 hg 450¢ PVC STM 30m @ 2.002
CB.1	02 0.90 0.15ha	07 0.90 0.15 ha	08 0.90 0.15ha CB.5	130.90 0.15hp 0.15hp	0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	675¢ CONC. 250¢ PVC STM. 8.4m @ 2.00% 190.90 0.14 ha CB.10

									S F
	PROJECT No.: 20-0009CA DATE: 2021-01-18 DESIGNED BY: TD			Rainfall Intensity: $i = a \cdot (t + b)^{-\phi}$ Design Flow: $Q = (C \cdot t \cdot A) / 360$ Pine Rountmess: $a = 0.013$			a) * A) 7 360	<u>Coaff.</u> a b	<u>5-Year</u> 1593 11
	CHECKED BY:	TD		Min. I	niet Time:	t = 10.0mir	7.	c	0.8789
		STRU	CTURE	DRAINAGE 5-YEAR STORM					<u> </u>
<u> </u>				AREA	RUNOFF			RAINFALL	RUNOFF
۲ą				(ha)	COEFF.		ACCUM.	INT.(mm/hr)	COEFF.
Ā	LOCATION	FROM	10	A	Gδ	Αχίδ	AxC <sub>5</sub>	Is .	C 190
	From West		CBMH.3		·		1.017		
	From CB	I	CBMH.3		[		0.135		
	From CB	•	MAIN				0.135		
17	From CB		MAIN	0.12	0.00	0.400	0.133		
18		CENIU-3	CBMH 4	0.12	0.90	0.023	1 551	67.47	
Ľ				0.00	0.20	0.020	1.551		
				ļ			ļ		I
19		CB.10	CHAMBER	0.14	0.90	0.126	0.126	109.68	
							0.126		
	Erom Mont		OBULLA	├───			4 654		
	From CB		CBMH.4		l		0.126		
20		CBMH.4		0.12	0.90	0.108		1	
21			MH.5	0.10	0.25	0.025	1.810	93.68	
L		MH.5	EX.MH.1				1.810	92.81	——
-				<u> </u>			1.010		
	EAST BITE		1 million of the						
22		CB.11	CBMH.6	0.19	0.90	0.171	0.171	109.68	
-							0.171		
23		CB.12	MAIN	0.14	0.90	0.126	0.126	109.68	
							0.126		
-		0.0.40				0.417	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>
24		CB.13	MAIN	0.13	0.90	0.117	0.192	109.68	<u> </u>
		1	19825113	0.00	0.20	0.010	0.132	100.00	
L	From CB		CBMH.6				0.171		
-	From CB		MAIN				0.120	l	
26		CBMH.6		0.17	0.90	0.153	0.132	ł	<u> </u>
27			CBMH.7	0.06	0.25	0.015	0.597	108.40	
							0.597		
		-		<u> </u>					I
28		CB.14	CHAMBER	0,17	0,90	0,153	0,153	109.68	I
		00.17	and a second second			0.,00	0.153		<u> </u>
	1								
	From East		CBMH.7				0.597		
20	From CB	COMU 7	CBMH.7	0.45	0.92	0.456	D.153		——
30			MH 8	0.15	0.90	0,020	0,905	103 24	<u> </u>
Ē		MH.8	EX.MH.1				0.905	102.08	
							0.905		
$\vdash$									I
$\vdash$		1							l

Urbanworks Engineering Corporation Toronto, Ontario, CANADA t, 416.503.4500 | e. general@urbanworkseng.com

![](_page_64_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_7.jpeg)