



Technical Memorandum

Submitted By:	lan Drever	
Submitted To:	Mr. Aaron Wisson	
Client Name:	Bolton Option 3 Landowners Grou	ip
Project Name:	Bolton Residential Expansion Stud Preliminary Capital Costs – Water	dy and Wastewater, Option 3 Lands
Date:	December 11, 2020	Project No.: 300034976.0002

As requested, R.J. Burnside and Associates Limited (Burnside) has prepared a preliminary assessment of capital costs of the external water and wastewater services associated with the Option 3 lands. The assessment has considered the infrastructure necessary to service the Option 3 lands on an interim, nominal, and oversized basis. Each scenario is better described as follows:

- Interim Provision of trunk water and sanitary services necessary to service an initial phase of 60 hectares (at the average density proposed in the most current Block Plan) of the Option 3 lands without the need for a new elevated storage tank within a new Zone 7 water system. The infrastructure provided would service the 60 hectares of the Option 3 lands, as well as the Rounding Out Areas, and also address water pressure deficiencies of existing residents in the northern portion of Pressure Zone 6.
- Nominal Provision of water (including elevated tank) and sanitary services necessary to service the entirety of the Option 3 lands only, as well as the Rounding Out Areas, and also address water pressure deficiencies of existing residents in the northern portion of Pressure Zone 6.
- Oversized Provision of water and sanitary services necessary to service the entirety of the Option 3 lands, in addition to the future Whitebelt areas within Pressure Zone 7, as well as the Rounding Out Areas, and also address water pressure deficiencies of existing residents in the northern portion of Pressure Zone 6. The trunk sanitary sewer is routed through the Employment lands in Option 5 to Humber Station Road, and north to King Street, consistent with the alignment shown in the Region's 2020 Water and Wastewater Master Plan. The oversized analysis includes the provision of trunk water and wastewater servicing to accommodate all of the Option 4 lands, and approximately half of the Employment lands in Option 5.

For each scenario, the variant is the extent to which water servicing is provided. The trunk sanitary sewer would be sized for the nominal catchment in the case of the interim and nominal water scenarios. It would be sized for the ultimate catchment in the oversized scenario.

Cost estimates have been prepared on a total capital cost basis. Population forecasts for the Option 3 lands have been provided by Gerrard Design, and are based on a preliminary Block Plan for Option 3 dated November 19, 2020. Population forecasts for the other BRES lands are based on estimated net developable areas provided by Gerrard Design and a population and employment density forecast of 65 persons and jobs per hectare. Forecasts of future Whitebelt populations are based on estimated net developable area by Burnside, and a population and employment density forecast of 65 persons and jobs per hectare. The table below summarizes total population and employment considered for this analysis:

Area	Estimated Net Developable Area (ha)	Estimated Population and Employment Forecast
Option 3	170.8	14717
Option 4	156.8	10192
Option 5	182.0	11830
Rounding Out Areas	25.0	1625
Future Whitebelt Lands (Pressure Zone 7 only)	266.0	17290

Table 1: Total Population and Employment Considered

Preliminary sizing of facilities from which estimates are derived is based on current Region of Peel criteria for Master Planning, as adopted through the 2020 Water and Wastewater Master Plan for the Lake Based System. More specifically, the following criteria are used:

- Water Consumption
 - Residential 270 L/cap/d and a Maximum Day Peaking Factor of 1.8
 - Employment 250 L/cap/d and a Maximum Day Peaking Factor of 1.4
 - Peak Hour Factor of 3.0
- Wastewater Generation
 - Residential 290 L/cap/d
 - Employment 270 L/cap/d
 - Inflow and Infiltration Allowance 0.26 L/s/ha

The cost estimates include only those projects necessary to extend trunk servicing "to the door" of each servicing area. Servicing internal to the lands has not been included (with the exception of a trunk feedermain through the Option 3 lands to the Zone 7 Elevated Tank). Watermain

sizing for the Option 3 lands is based on a boundary condition Infowater model prepared by Burnside.

Preliminary cost estimates have been developed at a Master Plan Level of accuracy using the Cost Estimating Framework established by the Region of Peel and included as Appendix B to each of the 2020 Water and Wastewater Master Plans.

The Cost Estimating Framework establishes 2020 unit rates for various sizes of water and wastewater infrastructure based on a historical review of construction costs within the Region. Base construction costs are calculated by multiplying the appropriate unit rate by the size or length of infrastructure required. For the purposes of this analysis, the 2020 unit rates established in the Water and Wastewater Master Plan have been used. Consistent with the Cost Estimating Framework approach, a number of factors and/or allowances are applied to the base construction cost to establish an overall capital cost for each component or segment of infrastructure as follows:

- Construction uplift allowance varying with the project environment ranging from greenfield to urban.
- Additional construction cost allowance varying with project complexity to address items such as mobilization, traffic management etc.
- Provisional allowance over and above the base construction cost for labour and materials.
- Varying allowances for the following soft costs associated with each project, based on project complexity or value:
 - Geotechnical and hydrogeological fees
 - Engineering design and contract administration fees, both external consultant and Region staff
 - Property and easement acquisitions
 - Environmental assessments and permitting
- Project contingency allowance varying based on project complexity.

Detailed calculations are attached to this memorandum. Estimated costs for water and wastewater for each scenario are summarized in the following table on a total cost basis.

Table 2:	Summary	of Water and	Wastewater	Servicing	Cost – Opti	ion 3 Lands
----------	---------	--------------	------------	-----------	-------------	-------------

Scenario	Total Water Servicing Cost	Total Wastewater Servicing Cost	Population and Employment Serviced
Option 3 Interim	\$15,871,960	\$38,158,356	9845
Option 3 Nominal	\$22,812,608	\$38,156,356	19342
Option 3 Strategic Oversizing	\$50,441,503	\$47,650,432	48114

Servicing of the Option 3 lands provides the following additional infrastructure benefits:

- Effectively addresses water pressure issues for existing residents at the upper limit of Zone 6 through redefining the boundary between Zone 6 and the new Zone 7.
- Allows for the development of the Rounding Out Areas without creating additional water pressure issues within the existing Zone 6.
- Establishes trunk sanitary sewer through the Option 4 lands and Employment lands in Option 5, allowing these lands to be serviced at nominal additional cost through the extension of Zone 6 watermains.
- Allows for future servicing of the Option 1 and 2 lands through expansion of the Zone 7 Booster Pumping Station constructed to service Option 3.

R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited

Ian Drever President ID:lam

Enclosure(s) Water and Wastewater Estimating Summary Table Water Capital Cost Estimating Spreadsheets (Tables A1, B1 and C1) Wastewater Capital Cost Estimating Spreadsheets (Table A2, B2)

In the preparation of the various instruments of service contained herein, R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited was required to use and rely upon various sources of information (including but not limited to: reports, data, drawings, observations) produced by parties other than R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited. For its part R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited has proceeded based on the belief that the third party/parties in question produced this documentation using accepted industry standards and best practices and that all information was therefore accurate, correct and free of errors at the time of consultation. As such, the comments, recommendations, and materials presented in this instrument of service reflect our best judgment in light of the information available at the time of preparation. R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, its employees, affiliates and subcontractors accept no liability for inaccuracies or errors in the instruments of service provided to the client, arising from deficiencies in the aforementioned third-party materials and documents.

201211_034976_Wisson_High Level Costing BRES Option 3_Water and Wastewater 12/11/2020 8:19 AM



Project #: 34976.0002

9-Dec-20

BRES SERVICING COSTS - WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICING STRATEGIES

Option	T Se	otal Water rvicing Cost	V Se	Total Vastewater ervicing Cost	Population and Employment Serviced	Cost Per Capita	Comments
Option 3 Interim	\$	15,871,960	\$	38,158,356	9845	\$ 5,488.10	Extends water and wastewater trunk servicing to a portion of Option 3 lands (60 ha.), plus Zone 7 water service for rounding out areas and 3000 existing residents
Option 3 Nominal	\$	22,812,608	\$	38,158,356	19342	\$ 3,152.26	Extends water and wastewater trunk servicing to the entirety of the Option 3 lands, plus Zone 7 water service for rounding out areas and 3000 existing residents.
Option 3 Strategic Oversizing	\$	50,441,503	\$	47,650,432	48114	\$ 2,038.74	Extends water and wastewater trunk servicing to the Option 3, 4 and 5 lands, plus Zone 7 water service for rounding out areas and 3000 existing residents, with pumping station and reservoir allowance for future Whitebelt lands

BRES SERVICING COSTS - WATER SERVICING STRATEGIES

Water - Strategic Oversizing

Option 3

Option 3																						
																						Cost Per Capita
						Length (m	or								Engineering	Engineering						Serviced
						Capacit	r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0	Construction	Additional	Crossings	Construction	Geotech/Hydrog	Property /	(Internal Staff)	Consulting	Soft Costs Total	Sub-Total Cost	Engineering /	Non-refundable	Total Estimated	Population
Project #	Project Description Type	Size	Unit	Unit	Unit I	ate (L/s or M	L) Base Co	Cost (\$)	Uplift (\$)	Costs (\$)	(\$)	Total (\$)	Requirements (\$)	Easement (\$)	(\$)	External (\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Contingency (\$)	HST	Cost (2020\$)	(2020\$)
										Low/High			Medium	Medium	<\$10M	<\$10M			Medium	1.76%		
	Z6 Feedermain from ex. 1050 mm main on Coleraine to Zone 7 BPS WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$	1,337 1,0	38 \$ 1,3	387,806 \$	277,561	\$ 277,561 \$	480,000	\$ 2,422,928	\$ 48,459	\$ 48,459	\$ 193,834	\$ 363,439	\$ 654,191	\$ 3,077,119	\$ 461,568	\$ 62,281	\$ 3,600,968	\$ 92
	27 BPS at King/Coleraine PS	219.22	L/s	\$ per I/s	\$ 1	3,000	19 \$ 2,8	849,828 \$	284,983	\$ 427,474		\$ 3,562,284	\$ 71,246	\$ 71,246	\$ 213,737	\$ 534,343	\$ 890,571	\$ 4,452,855	\$ 667,928	\$ 90,126	\$ 5,210,910	\$ 134
	Z7 Feedermain on King through Option 3 lands to E.T. WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$	1,337 3,0	50 \$ 4,0	077,850 \$	407,785	\$ 611,678 \$	480,000	\$ 5,577,313	\$ 55,773	\$ 83,660	\$ 446,185	\$ 836,597	\$ 1,422,215	\$ 6,999,527	\$ 1,049,929	\$ 141,670	\$ 8,191,127	\$ 210
	E.T for Option 3 ET	8.9	ML	\$ per ML	\$ 90	0,000	9 \$ 7,9	999,985 \$	-	\$ 799,999		\$ 8,799,984	\$ 176,000	\$ 176,000	\$ 703,999	\$ 1,319,998	\$ 2,375,996	\$ 11,175,979	\$ 1,676,397	\$ 226,202	\$ 13,078,578	\$ 336
	Z7 Feedermain from E.T. to Gore/King WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$	1,337 1,9	80 \$ 2,6	647,260 \$	-	\$ 264,726		\$ 2,911,986	\$ 29,120	\$ 43,680	\$ 232,959	\$ 436,798	\$ 742,556	\$ 3,654,542	\$ 548,181	\$ 73,968	\$ 4,276,692	\$ 110
	Z7 Feedermain King Street from Gore Road to Humber Station Road WM	400	mm	\$ per m	\$	873 1,	30 \$ 1,1	161,090 \$	116,109	\$ 174,164		\$ 1,451,363	\$ 14,514	\$ 21,770	\$ 116,109	\$ 217,704	\$ 370,097	\$ 1,821,460	\$ 273,219	\$ 36,866	\$ 2,131,545	\$ 55
	Sub-total Water Option 3						\$ 20,1	123,819 \$	1,086,438	\$ 2,555,601 \$	960,000	\$ 24,725,858	\$ 395,111	\$ 444,814	\$ 1,906,823	\$ 3,708,879	\$ 6,455,626	\$ 31,181,483	\$ 4,677,223	\$ 631,113	\$ 36,489,819	\$ 936

Option 4

																						Cost Per Capita
							Length (m) or								Engineering	Engineering						Serviced
							Capacity		Construction	Additional	Crossings	Construction	Geotech/Hydrog	Property /	(Internal Staff)	Consulting	Soft Costs Total	Sub-Total Cost	Engineering /	Non-refundable	Total Estimated	Population
Project #	Project Description	Туре	Size	Unit	Unit	Unit Rate	(L/s or ML)	Base Cost (\$)	Uplift (\$)	Costs (\$)	(\$)	Total (\$)	Requirements (\$)	Easement (\$)	(\$)	External (\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Contingency (\$)	HST	Cost (2020\$)	(2020\$)
										Low/High			Medium	Medium	<\$10M	<\$10M			Medium	1.76%		
	1 Z6 Feedermain from North Bolton E.T. to Humber Station Road	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	800	\$ 1,069,600	; -	\$ 106,960		\$ 1,176,560	\$ 5,883	\$ 11,766	\$ 94,125	\$ 176,484	\$ 288,257	\$ 1,464,817	\$ 219,723	\$ 29,648	\$ 1,714,188	\$ 168
	2 Z6 Feedermain on Humber Station Road (total length 1550 m)	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	1,550	\$ 2,072,350	207,235	\$ 310,853 \$	160,000	\$ 2,750,438	\$ 27,504	\$ 41,257	\$ 220,035	\$ 412,566	\$ 701,362	\$ 3,451,799	\$ 517,770	\$ 69,864	\$ 4,039,433	\$ 396
	Sub-total Water Option 4							\$ 3,141,950	207,235	\$ 417,813 \$	160,000	\$ 3,926,998	\$ 33,387	\$ 53,022	\$ 314,160	\$ 589,050	\$ 989,619	\$ 4,916,616	\$ 737,492	\$ 99,512	\$ 5,753,621	\$ 565

Option 5	i																					
																						Cost Per Capita
							Length (m) or								Engineering	Engineering						Serviced
							Capacity		Construction	Additional	Crossings	Construction	Geotech/Hydrog	Property /	(Internal Staff)	Consulting	Soft Costs Total	Sub-Total Cost	Engineering /	Non-refundable	Total Estimated	Population
Project #	# Project Description	Туре	Size	Unit	Unit	Unit Rate	(L/s or ML)	Base Cost (\$)	Uplift (\$)	Costs (\$)	(\$)	Total (\$)	Requirements (\$)	Easement (\$)	(\$)	External (\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Contingency (\$)	HST	Cost (2020\$)	(2020\$)
										Low/High			Medium	Medium	<\$10M	<\$10M			Medium	1.76%		
	2 Z6 Feedermain on Healey Road from Humber Station Road to Coleraine Drive	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	1,375	\$ 1,838,375	\$ 183,838	\$ 275,756		\$ 2,297,969	\$ 22,980	\$ 34,470	\$ 183,838	\$ 344,695	\$ 585,982	\$ 2,883,951	\$ 432,593	\$ 58,371	\$ 3,374,915	\$ 285
	3 Z6 Feedermain on Coleraine Drive from Healey Road to exisitng Zone 6 Feedermain	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	675	\$ 902,475	\$ 180,495	\$ 180,495		\$ 1,263,465	\$ 25,269	\$ 25,269	\$ 101,077	\$ 189,520	\$ 341,136	\$ 1,604,601	\$ 240,690	\$ 32,477	\$ 1,877,768	\$ 159
	4 Z6 Feedermain on Humber Station Road from Healey Road to 1200 m north	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	1,200	\$ 1,604,400	\$ 160,440	\$ 240,660		\$ 2,005,500	\$ 20,055	\$ 30,083	\$ 160,440	\$ 300,825	\$ 511,403	\$ 2,516,903	\$ 377,535	\$ 50,942	\$ 2,945,380	\$ 249
	Sub-total Water Option 5							\$ 4,345,250	\$ 524,773	\$ 696,911	\$ -	\$ 5,566,934	\$ 68,304	\$ 89,821	\$ 445,355	\$ 835,040	\$ 1,438,520	\$ 7,005,454	\$ 1,050,818	\$ 141,790	\$ 8,198,062	\$ 693



TABLE A2

BRES SERVICING COSTS - WASTEWATER SERVICING STRATEGIES

Wastewater - Strategic Oversizing

Option 3																																				
						1			:																								I	Cost Per Capita	Cost Per Capita	Cost Per Capita
						1			1							# Minor Rd /	Minor Rd /	# Major	Major														ļ	Serviced	Serviced	Serviced
						1		Length (m) <5m	1				#	Minor		Utility	Utility	Creek/ Majo	r Creek/ Major								Engineering	Engineering					ļ	Population	Population	Population
					Unit Cost (\$/m)	Unit Co	Cost (\$/m)	depth or Capacity	Length (m)		Construc	tion Add	ditional C	Creek I	Minor Creek	Corridor	Corridor	Road	Road		Trenchless	Trenchless	Provisional	Construction	Geotech/Hydrog	Property /	(Internal Staff)	Consulting	Soft Costs	Sub-Total Cos	.t Engineering /	Non-refundable	Total Estimated	(2020\$) - Option	(2020\$) - Option	(2020\$) - Option
Project #	Project Description	Type	Size	Unit	< 5m, or \$/L/s)	> 5m	n depth Unit	(L/s or ML)	>5m depth	Base Cost	(\$) Uplift (\$) Cos	ists (\$) Cro	ossings	Crossing (\$)	Crossing	Crossing (\$)	Crossing	Crossing (\$)	Crossings (\$)	Length (m)	Cost (\$)	Allowance	Total (\$)	Requirements (\$)	Easement (\$)	(\$)	External (\$)	Total(\$)	(\$)	Contingency (\$)	HST	Cost (2020\$)	3 Only	3 and 4 Only	3, 4 and 5 Only
						1			1		Suburba	an Me	edium										10%		Medium	Medium	<\$10M	<\$10M			Medium	1.76%		i i		
1	750 mm diameter sewer on Coleraine Drive from existing trunk sewer to Holland Drive	WWW	750	mm S	\$ 1,440	\$	3,757 \$ per m	-	2,040	\$ 7,664	280 \$ 1,532	,856 \$ 1,	1,532,856	1	\$ 164,000					\$ 164,000			\$ 1,089,399	\$ 11,983,391	\$ 239,668	\$ 239,668	\$ 719,003	\$ 1,438,007	\$ 2,636,346	\$ 14,619,737	/ \$ 2,192,961	. \$ 295,903	\$ 17,108,601	\$ 1,163	\$ 687	\$ 466
2	2 750 mm diameter sewer from Coleraine Drive/Holland Road to Humber Station Road	WWW	750	mm S	\$ 1,440	\$	3,757 \$ per m	-	1,365	\$ 5,128	305 \$ 512	,831 \$	769,246							ş -			\$ 641,038	\$ 7,051,419	\$ 70,514	\$ 105,771	\$ 564,114	\$ 1,057,713	\$ 1,798,112	\$ 8,849,531	ג \$ 1,327,430 I	\$ 179,115	\$ 10,356,076	\$ 704	\$ 416	\$ 282
3	675 mm diameter sewer on Humber Station Road from 1100 m north of Healey Road to King Street	WWW	675	mm :	\$ 1,295	\$	3,573 \$ per m		1,900	\$ 6,788	700 \$ 678	,870 \$ 1,	1,018,305			1	\$ 486,000			\$ 486,000			\$ 897,188	\$ 9,869,063	\$ 98,691	\$ 148,036	\$ 789,525	\$ 1,480,359	\$ 2,516,611	\$ 12,385,673	3 \$ 1,857,851	\$ 250,686	\$ 14,494,210	\$ 985	\$ 582	\$ 378
4	675 mm diameter sewer on King Street from Humber Station Road to Option 3 Collector Road	WWW	675	mm :	\$ 1,295	\$	3,573 \$ per m	-	680	\$ 2,429	640 \$ 242	,964 \$	364,446			1	\$ 486,000			\$ 486,000			\$ 352,305	\$ 3,875,355	\$ 38,754	\$ 58,130	\$ 310,028	\$ 581,303	\$ 988,216	\$ 4,863,571	⊥\$ 729,53€	\$ 98,439	\$ 5,691,545	\$ 387	\$ 228	\$ 155
	Sub-Total Wastewater Option 3					-	1			\$ 22,010	925 \$ 2,967	,521 \$ 3,	8,684,853	1 5	\$ 164,000	2	\$ 972,000		Ş -	\$ 1,136,000		ş -	\$ 2,979,930	\$ 32,779,228	\$ 447,626	\$ 551,605	\$ 2,382,670	\$ 4,557,382	\$ 7,939,284	\$ 40,718,513	3 \$ 6,107,777	\$ 824,143	\$ 47,650,432	\$ 3,238	\$ 1,913	\$ 1,280
																																		Note: Excludes RC	A's and per capita	a whitebelt)



Project #: 34976.0002 9-Dec-20

12/9/2020

BRES SERVICING COSTS - WATER SERVICING STRATEGIES

Water - Nominal (Servicing November 19, 2020 Land Use Plan)

Option 3

Option 3																						
																						Cost Per Capita
							Length (m) or								Engineering	Engineering						Serviced
							Capacity		Construction	Additional	Crossings	Construction	Geotech/Hydrog	Property /	(Internal Staff)	Consulting S	Soft Costs Tota	Sub-Total Cost	Engineering /	Non-refundable	Total Estimated	Population
Project #	Project Description	Туре	Size	Unit	Unit	Unit Rate	(L/s or ML)	Base Cost (\$)	Uplift (\$)	Costs (\$)	(\$)	Total (\$)	Requirements (\$)	Easement (\$)	(\$)	External (\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Contingency (\$)	HST	Cost (\$2020)	(2020\$)
										Low/High			Medium	Medium	<\$10M	<\$10M			Medium	1.76%		
1 Z6 Feedermain from e	ex. 1050 mm main on Coleraine to Zone 7 BPS	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	1,038	\$ 1,387,806	\$ 277,561	\$ 277,561 \$	\$ 480,000	\$ 2,422,928	\$ 48,459	\$ 48,459	\$ 193,834	\$ 363,439	\$ 654,191	\$ 3,077,119	\$ 461,568	\$ 62,281	\$ 3,600,968	\$ 186
2 Z7 BPS at King/Colera	ine	PS	108.80	L/s	\$ per l/s	\$ 23,000	109	\$ 2,502,371	\$ 250,237	\$ 375,356		\$ 3,127,964	\$ 62,559	\$ 62,559	\$ 250,237	\$ 469,195	\$ 844,550	\$ 3,972,514	\$ 595,877	\$ 80,404	\$ 4,648,795	\$ 240
3 Z7 Feedermain on Kin	g through Option 3 lands to E.T.	WM	400	mm	\$ per m	\$ 873	3,050	\$ 2,662,650	\$ 266,265	\$ 399,398 \$	\$ 381,000	\$ 3,709,313	\$ 37,093	\$ 55,640	\$ 296,745	\$ 556,397	\$ 945,875	\$ 4,655,187	\$ 698,278	\$ 94,221	\$ 5,447,686	\$ 282
4 E.T for Option 3		ET	5.9	ML	\$ per ML	\$ 900,000	5.9	\$ 5,316,810	\$ -	\$ 531,681		\$ 5,848,491	\$ 116,970	\$ 116,970	\$ 467,879	\$ 877,274	\$ 1,579,092	\$ 7,427,583	\$ 1,114,137	\$ 150,334	\$ 8,692,055	\$ 449
5 Z7 Feedermain from E	.T. to Option 3 lands	WM	400	mm	\$ per m	\$ 873	300	\$ 261,900	\$ -	\$ 26,190		\$ 288,090	\$ 2,881	\$ 4,321	\$ 23,047	\$ 43,214	\$ 73,463	\$ 361,553	\$ 54,233	\$ 7,318	\$ 423,104	\$ 22
Sub-total Water Opti	on 3							\$ 12,131,537	\$ 794,063	\$ 1,610,185 \$	\$ 861,000	\$ 15,396,786	\$ 267,962	\$ 287,949	\$ 1,231,743	\$ 2,309,518	\$ 4,097,171	\$ 19,493,957	\$ 2,924,093	\$ 394,558	\$ 22,812,608	\$ 1,179



TABLE B2

BRES SERVICING COSTS - WASTEWATER SERVICING STRATEGIES

Wastewater - Nominal (Servicing November 19, 2020 Land Use Plan)

Option 3

																# Major	Major															Cost Per Capita
								Length (m) <5m					# Minor		# Minor Rd / Minor Rd /	Creek/ Majo	or Creek/ Major								Engineering	Engineering						Serviced
					Unit Cost (\$/m)) Unit C	Cost (\$/m)	depth or Capacity	Length (m)		Construction	Additional	Creek	Minor Creek	k Utility Corridor Utility Corrido	r Road	Road		Trenchless Tr	renchless	Provisional	Construction	Geotech/Hydrog	Property /	(Internal Staff)	Consulting	Soft Costs	Sub-Total Cost	Engineering /	Non-refundable	Total Estimated	Population
Project #	Project Description	Type	Size	Unit	< 5m, or \$/L/s)	> 5m	m depth Unit	(L/s or ML)	>5m depth	Base Cost (\$)	Uplift (\$)	Costs	Crossings	Crossing (\$)	Crossing Crossing (\$)	Crossing	Crossing (\$)	Crossings (\$)	Length (m)	Cost (\$)	Allowance	Total (\$)	Requirements (\$)	Easement (\$)	(\$)	External (\$)	Total(\$)	(\$)	Contingency (\$)	HST	Cost (2020\$)	(2020\$)
								1			Suburban	Medium									10%		Medium	Medium	<\$10M	<\$10M			Medium	1.76%		
1	525 mm diameter sewer on Coleraine Drive from existing trunk sewer to Holland Drive	WWM	525	mm	\$ 820	0\$	2,955 \$ per m		2,040	\$ 6,028,200	\$ 1,205,640	\$ 904,23	0 1	\$ 130,000	1			\$ 130,000		\$	826,807 \$	\$ 9,094,877	\$ 90,949	\$ 136,423	\$ 727,590	\$ 1,364,232	\$ 2,319,194	\$ 11,414,071	\$ 1,712,111	\$ 231,021	\$ 13,357,202	\$ 908
2	525 mm diameter sewer from Coleraine Drive/Holland Road to Humber Station Road	WWM	525	mm	\$ 820	0 \$	2,955 \$ per m		1,365	\$ 4,033,575	\$ 403,358	\$ 605,03	6					\$-		\$	504,197 \$	\$ 5,546,166	\$ 55,462	\$ 83,192	\$ 443,693	\$ 831,925	\$ 1,414,272	\$ 6,960,438	\$ 1,044,066	\$ 140,879	\$ 8,145,383	\$ 553
3	525 mm diameter sewer on Humber Station Road from 1100 m north of Healey Road to King Street	WWM	525	mm	\$ 820	0\$	2,955 \$ per m		1,900	\$ 5,614,500	\$ 561,450	\$ 842,17	5		1 \$ 390,000	1		\$ 390,000		\$	740,813 \$	\$ 8,148,938	\$ 81,489	\$ 122,234	\$ 651,915	\$ 1,222,341	\$ 2,077,979	\$ 10,226,917	\$ 1,534,037	\$ 206,993	\$ 11,967,947	\$ 813
4	525 mm diameter sewer on King Street from Humber Station Road to Option 3 Collector Road	WWM	525	mm	\$ 820	0 \$	2,955 \$ per m		680	\$ 2,009,400	\$ 200,940) \$ 301,41	0		1 \$ 390,000	1		\$ 390,000		\$	290,175	\$ 3,191,925	\$ 31,919	\$ 47,879	\$ 255,354	\$ 478,789	\$ 813,941	\$ 4,005,866	\$ 600,880	\$ 81,079	\$ 4,687,824	\$ 319
	Sub-Total Wastewater Option 3									\$ 17,685,675	\$ 2,371,388	\$ 2,652,85	1 1	\$ 130,000	2 \$ 780,000	1	0\$-	\$ 910,000	0 \$	- \$	2,361,991	\$ 25,981,905	\$ 259,819	\$ 389,729	\$ 2,078,552	\$ 3,897,286	\$ 6,625,386	\$ 32,607,291	\$ 4,891,094	\$ 659,972	\$ 38,158,356	\$ 2,593



TABLE C1

BRES SERVICING COSTS - WATER SERVICING STRATEGIES

Water - Interim (Servicing Initial Phase of 60 hectares)

Option 3

							Length (m) or								Engineering	Engineering						Cost Per Capita Serviced
							Capacity		Construction	Additional	Crossings	Construction	Geotech/Hydrog	Property /	(Internal Staff)	Consulting	Soft Costs	Sub-Total Cost	Engineering /	Non-refundable	Total Estimated	Population
Project #	Project Description	Туре	Size	Unit	Unit	Unit Rate	(L/s or ML)	Base Cost (\$)	Uplift (\$)	Costs (\$)	(\$)	Total (\$)	Requirements (\$)	Easement (\$)	(\$)	External (\$)	Total (\$)	(\$)	Contingency (\$)	HST	Cost (\$2020)	(2020\$)
										Low/High			Medium	Medium	<\$10M	<\$10M			Medium	1.76%		
1 Z6 Feedermain frc	om ex. 1050 mm main on Coleraine to Zone 7 BPS	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	1,038	\$ 1,387,806	\$ 277,561	\$ 277,561	\$ 480,000	\$ 2,422,928	\$ 48,459	\$ 48,459	\$ 193,834	\$ 363,439 \$	654,191	\$ 3,077,119	\$ 461,568	\$ 62,281	\$ 3,600,968	\$ 366
2 Z7 BPS at King/Co ¹	leraine	PS	55.38	L/s	\$ per l/s	\$ 23,000	55	\$ 1,273,697	\$ 127,370	\$ 191,055		\$ 1,592,121	\$ 31,842	\$ 31,842	\$ 127,370	\$ 238,818 \$	429,873	\$ 2,021,994	\$ 303,299	\$ 40,925	\$ 2,366,218	\$ 240
3 Standy Fire Pump	arrangement at Zone 7 BPS	PS	220.00	L/s	\$ per l/s	\$ 12,000	220	\$ 2,640,000	\$ 264,000	\$ 396,000		\$ 3,300,000	\$ 66,000	\$ 66,000	\$ 264,000	\$ 495,000 \$	\$ 891,000	\$ 4,191,000	\$ 628,650	\$ 84,826	\$ 4,904,476	\$ 498
4 Z7 Feedermain on	N King from Z7 BPS to Option 3 Collector Road	WM	600	mm	\$ per m	\$ 1,337	1,750	\$ 2,339,750	\$ 233,975	\$ 350,963	\$ 480,000	\$ 3,404,688	\$ 34,047	\$ 51,070	\$ 272,375	\$ 510,703 \$	868,195	\$ 4,272,883	\$ 640,932	\$ 86,483	\$ 5,000,298	\$ 508
Sub-total Water C	Option 3							\$ 7,641,253	\$ 902,906	\$ 1,215,578	\$ 960,000	\$ 10,719,737	\$ 180,348	\$ 197,371	\$ 857,579	\$ 1,607,961 \$	2,843,259	\$ 13,562,996	\$ 2,034,449	\$ 274,515	\$ 15,871,960	\$ 1,612

