



Scoped Hydrogeological Assessment

13656 Emil Kolb Parkway Colerain Drive and Harvest Moon Drive Town of Bolton (Caledon), Ontario

Project 10083



1.	INTRODUCTION	1					
	1.1 Previous and Concurrent Studies	1					
	1.2 Scope of Work 1.2.1 Borehole Drilling and Monitoring Well Installation	1 2					
2.	STUDY AREA PHYSIOGRAPHY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	2					
	2.1 Site Description	2					
	2.2 Physiography	3					
	2.3 Geology	3					
	2.4 Hydrogeology and Groundwater	3					
	2.5 Surface Water Features	4					
	2.6 Soil Hydraulic Conductivity 2.6.1 Slug Test Results	4 5					
	2.6.2 Grain Size Analysis Results	5					
	2.7 Groundwater Chemistry	6					
3.	WATER USERS	7					
	3.1 Door-to-Door Well Survey	7					
	3.2 Municipal Wellhead Protection Areas	8					
	3.3 Sensitive Features	8					
4.	CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING ASSESSMENT	8					
5.	CLOSURE						
6.	LIMITATIONS AND USE	11					
7.	REFERENCES	12					

APPENDIX A: DRAWINGS APPENDIX B: TABLES APPENDIX C: BOREHOLE LOGS APPENDIX D: MECP WATER WELL RECORDS AND WELL SURVEY FORMS APPENDIX E: SLUG TEST ANALYSIS GRAPHS APPENDIX F: GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS GRAPHS APPENDIX G: LABORATORY CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS



1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrogeology Consulting Services (HCS) was retained by Harvestone Centre Inc. to conduct a scoped hydrogeological assessment for the proposed development at Colerain Drive and Harvest Moon Drive in the Town of Bolton (Caledon), Ontario. The location of the subject property is shown on Drawing 1 in Appendix A. Proposed development of the 0.45 hectare property includes a three block residential development of 45 units as shown on the Site Plan (Soscia Professional Engineers Inc., December 2020) included in Appendix A. The property is currently vacant and is serviced by municipal water supply and sewage effluent disposal.

This assessment has been prepared to respond to requirements from the Town of Caledon and the Region of Peel.

1.1 Previous and Concurrent Studies

HCS has not been made aware of previous studies of the subject property. Concurrently with the hydrogeological assessment a geotechnical investigation is being completed by CMT Engineering Inc. The Geotechnical Engineering Report (CMT Engineering Inc., Project No. 21-242, June 2021) provides a description of the subsurface soil stratigraphy and geotechnical conditions beneath the property, along with evaluations of geotechnical parameters and requirements for the proposed redevelopment. The geotechnical investigation report should be read in conjunction with this report.

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is also being completed concurrently with this assessment (Peritus Environmental Consultants Inc., September 2021).

1.2 Scope of Work

Field investigation for this scoped hydrogeological assessment comprised a site visit to assess the property and the proposed site plan layout. Six boreholes were drilled on the property by CMT Engineering Inc., with four boreholes completed as 38 mm diameter monitoring wells to investigate the presence of shallow groundwater. Soil samples were obtained from the boreholes for the purposes of particle size distribution (grain size) analysis, and monitoring wells were assessed via slug tests to estimate saturated soil hydraulic conductivity. Water chemistry samples were also obtained from selected wells for analysis of general chemistry parameters per the Region of Peel's Storm Sewer Use By-Law regulations.



1.2.1 Borehole Drilling and Monitoring Well Installation

On June 2, 2021 CMT Engineering Inc. observed and performed drilling of six boreholes (BH 01 to BH 06) to depths between 3.88 and 6.10 metres below ground surface (mBGS) via direct push using a Geoprobe 7822DT drill rig.

Split spoon samples obtained at 0.76 and 1.52 m intervals within the first 3 m of drilling, with continuous soil core samples obtained at 1.52 m intervals below 3 m. Selected soil samples were submitted to CMT Engineering Inc. for particle size distribution (grain size) analysis.

Well locations and ground surface elevations were surveyed by CMT Engineering Inc., and the locations are shown on the appended Drawing 2 (CMT, 2021). The boreholes were located to a local datum.

Boreholes BH 01, 04, and 05 were completed as 38-mm diameter monitoring wells using 3.05 m slotted Schedule 40 PVC well screens and PVC riser pipes, with well sand installed around the well screens and the borehole annular spaces sealed with bentonite. All wells were constructed with flush mounted protective steel casings, and lockable vented protective caps. Monitoring well construction followed Ontario Regulation 903 (as amended). Borehole logs are included in Appendix C for reference.

The wells were developed (purged) using a Waterra inertial valve and tubing to remove finegrained material from the well screen sand pack and mitigate smearing on the borehole walls during drilling.

Stabilized groundwater elevations were measured using a manual electronic water level tape on June 6, 2021. Well construction information and water level measurements are summarized in Table 1 in Appendix B.

2. STUDY AREA PHYSIOGRAPHY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

2.1 Site Description

The subject property is located within a predominantly residential area, within the Town of Bolton (Caledon). The property is bounded by existing residential properties to the north, and west as well as Coleraine Drive to the east and Harvest Moon Drive to the south.

As shown on the appended Drawing 2, the subject property is currently vacant. There are a few coniferous trees near the corner of the intersection and along the north property line. The surface topography of the subject property is relatively level with an elevation of approximately 259 metres above sea level (mASL), and a change in elevation of less than 1.5 m across the property. The topography is relatively level with a slight slope to the east.



2.2 Physiography

The subject property is located within the South Slope physiographic region, and is within the Drumlinized Till Plains physiographic landform which is mainly comprised of drumlinized glacial till overlain by thin aeolian sand deposits (Chapman and Putnam, 2007). Within the Town of Bolton urban limits the Drumlinized Till Plains surface topography has generally been graded.

2.3 Geology

Quaternary Geology mapping (Ontario Geological Survey, 2000) indicates the subject property is underlain by clay to silt-textured till with interbedded deposits of silt and sand (Halton Till) derived from glaciolacustrine deposits or shale.

Overburden soil stratigraphy observed in the six boreholes drilled on the subject property generally consists of topsoil underlain by clayey silt with some sand and trace gravel (till) to the borehole completion depths of up to 6.1 mBGS. The borehole logs are included in Appendix C for reference.

Paleozoic Geology mapping of Ontario (Anderson and Dodge, 2007) indicates underlying the overburden deposits is Georgian Bay Formation shale and limestone bedrock. Water well records from adjacent properties show that shale bedrock was encountered at depths between 45.4 and 77.7 mBGS.

2.4 Hydrogeology and Groundwater

Perched groundwater was encountered in the fine-grained overburden till deposits at depths of 2.83 to 5.10 mBGS corresponding to elevations of 253.37 to 255.69 mASL on June 6, 2021. It is noted that seasonal fluctuations in groundwater elevations would be expected, with the June measurements expected to be somewhat lower than typical spring high water levels.

Groundwater encountered in the silt and clay till soils is considered perched water trapped within seams of more permeable material within the low permeability deposits, or within the deposits themselves, rather than a local or regional groundwater aquifer.

As shown on the groundwater contour map on the appended Drawing 3, shallow groundwater perched within the low permeability soils beneath the property is generally flowing south-eastwards generally following the flow direction of nearby creeks.

Locally, shallow overburden groundwater is expected to flow generally eastwards/northeastwards following the tributary creeks and surface water features that flow towards the Humber River. As the site is located within the Black Creek Humber River Outlet subwatershed of the Main Humber River watershed; regionally, groundwater is expected to flow generally eastwards/south-eastwards following the general watershed topography and flow routes of the



creeks within the Humber River subwatershed. It is noted that the boundary of the Bolton Dam -Humber River subwatershed is located approximately 200m north of the subject property.

Percolation of precipitation into the shallow subsurface is governed by near-surface soil types, in addition to factors such as topography, evapotranspiration, and the degree of soil saturation. Small volumes of precipitation infiltrating into the near-surface native low-permeability deposits would be expected to become perched on top of and within low permeability deposits of clayey silt till. Over time small volumes of perched water could gradually percolate vertically downwards or flow laterally following ground surface topography. The lack of hummocky terrain within the South Slope region means that ponding of precipitation and depression-focused infiltration are unlikely.

Based on subsurface stratigraphy consisting of deposits of low permeability till, no shallow overburden aquifer is present beneath the property. As discussed previously, small amounts of perched water exist in overburden soils, which generally acts as an aquitard beneath the subject property. As no monitoring wells were screened/completed in deeper overburden aquifer units an evaluation of deep overburden groundwater was not performed.

More regional hydrostratigraphy would be expected to consist of the Halton Till Aquitard overlying the Oak Ridges Aquifer, which in turn overlies sequences of aquifers and aquitards such as the Newmarket Aquitard, the Thorncliffe Aquifer, and older overburden deposits. The silt till encountered in the boreholes could represent the Halton Till; however, without deeper boreholes on site it is difficult to conclusively determine whether the soils encountered represent a vertically extensive aquitard, or whether they are simply minor variations of more regional near surface stratigraphy.

2.5 Surface Water Features

Based on the site visit completed June 6, 2021, there are no visible surface water features on the subject property. A stormwater management pond is located across the road, south of Harvest Moon Drive. There is a tributary of Jaffary's Creek located east of the property leading to Jaffary's pond. TRCA mapping indicates that the creek and surrounding area are regulated by the TRCA; however, the subject property is not within a regulated area.

2.6 Soil Hydraulic Conductivity

Hydraulic conductivity estimates for the site soils were determined using single response hydraulic (slug) tests of the soil deposits screened by the monitoring wells. Estimates of hydraulic conductivity were also made using soil sample grain size analyses and the Kaubisch, Breyer, Kozeny-Carman, and Hazen formulae where appropriate.



2.6.1 Slug Test Results

Prior to conducting slug testing of the monitoring wells, each well was developed (purged) to mitigate smearing during drilling and remove fine-grained material from the sand pack around the well screen and the screened interval.

The slug test methodology followed the procedures developed by Hvorslev (1951), as described in Freeze and Cherry (1979). The slug tests were conducted as falling head tests by introducing a volume (slug) of potable water into the well to cause a temporary rise in the water table; or, as rising head tests by purging a well dry and allowing water to flow naturally back into the well. The displacement and gradual re-equilibration of the water level in the wells was recorded using electronic pressure transducers (dataloggers).

Hvorslev's method is expressed by the following equation:

$K = \frac{r^2 \ln (L/R)}{2LT_{0.37}}$

where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{hydraulic\ conductivity\ of\ the\ tested\ material\ (m/sec)} \\ \mathsf{r} &= \mathsf{inner\ radius\ of\ the\ well\ riser\ pipe\ (m)} \\ \mathsf{R} &= \mathsf{outer\ radius\ of\ the\ well\ riser\ pipe\ (m)} \\ \mathsf{L} &= \mathsf{length\ of\ screen\ and\ sand\ pack\ (m)} \\ \mathsf{T}_{0.37} &= \mathsf{time\ lag\ (sec),\ where\ (H-h)/(H-H_0)} = 0.37 \\ \mathsf{h} &= \mathsf{water\ level\ at\ each\ time\ of\ measurement\ (m)} \\ \mathsf{H}_0 &= \mathsf{initial\ water\ level\ (m,\ start\ of\ test)} \\ \mathsf{H} &= \mathsf{stabilized\ water\ level\ prior\ to\ slug\ testing\ (m)} \end{array}$

The time lag, $T_{0.37}$, represents the time required for the water level to recover to the stabilized level if the initial flow rate from the surrounding aquifer into the well is maintained. This time lag is determined graphically as the time where (H-h) divided by (H-H₀) is equal to 0.37.

Graphical analyses of the slug tests are included in Appendix E, and the hydraulic conductivity estimates are listed in the appended Table 2. As none of the three slug tests achieved $T_{0.37}$, an estimated hydraulic conductivity values of <1 x 10⁻⁷ m/sec suggests very low permeability for the clayey silt till soils.

2.6.2 Grain Size Analysis Results

Samples of soil collected from Boreholes BH 03 and 05 during drilling were submitted to the CMT Engineering Inc. laboratory facility in St. Clements, Ontario for analysis of particle size distribution (grain size). As shown on the grain size analysis graphs included in Appendix F, the near-surface soils predominantly consist of clay and silt with trace amounts of sand and gravel. The grain size analysis results were used to estimate soil hydraulic conductivity (K) values by applying the Kaubisch, Breyer, Hazen, and Kozeny-Carman formulae where appropriate based



on the limitations of each formula. The hydraulic conductivity estimates are summarized in the appended Table 2.

It is noted that for both soil samples a high percentage of fine-grained material was present in a sample, requiring the D_{10} value of the sample to be approximated; therefore, calculated values are considered estimates.

Hydraulic conductivity values of $<1 \times 10^{-9}$ and 1.4×10^{-9} m/sec correlate well with the slug test calculated values and indicate a very low permeability for the soils underlying the property.

The hydraulic conductivity estimates from both slug test and grain size analyses correlate reasonably well with published ranges for the major soil types (Freeze and Cherry, 1979).

2.7 Groundwater Chemistry

On June 6, 2021 one water chemistry sample was obtained from on-site monitoring well BH 01. The samples were collected in the appropriate laboratory-supplied containers, stored in a cooler, and delivered to ALS Environmental Laboratories in Waterloo, Ontario for analysis of the Region of Peel's Storm Sewer and Sanitary Sewer Use By-Law chemistry parameters. The laboratory Certificate of Analysis (COA) is included in Appendix G for reference, and the appended Table 3 summarizes parameters of interest.

It is important to consider the water chemistry samples were obtained using an inertial valve (Waterra Valve) and tubing. The method of water collection inherently results in the inclusion of sediments into the water sample which can increase concentrations of parameters such as colour, turbidity, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and total metals where metals are adsorbed onto soil particles.

The sample from BH 01 exhibited exceedances of the Region of Peel's Storm Sewer By-Law limits for the following parameters:

- Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
- Total Manganese
- Total Zinc

The sample from BH 01 exhibited exceedances of the Region of Peel's Sanitary Sewer By-Law limits for the following parameters:

• Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

It is understood proposed development on the site includes slab-on-grade construction which will not require excavation below the level of perched groundwater. It is important to note that if any dewatering is required and discharge is not collected using a hydrovac truck for off-site treatment and disposal, discharge to municipal storm sewers would require discharge chemistry



testing to ensure all Storm Sewer Use By-Law criteria are met, and permission to discharge to municipal sewers from the municipality or Region of Peel. Treatment of discharge to resolve potential exceedances of total manganese would likely be necessary.

3. WATER USERS

Well Records from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) Water Well Record (WWR) Database (2020) were reviewed to determine the number of supply wells present. According to the MECP WWR Database sixteen wells are located within an approximate radius of 500 m from the subject property.

Of these wells, six are identified as test holes or monitoring wells, with one additional well having a diameter of 50 mm or less assumed to be a monitoring well not used for water supply. Five well records pertain to abandoned wells, and two additional wells are identified as not in use. These records have been excluded from further consideration.

The two remaining domestic use wells are completed in overburden soils at depths of 15.24 and 75.28 mBGS, respectively. A copy of the MECP well records is included in Appendix D, and the two wells are plotted on the appended Drawing 4.

It is noted that some wells plotted on the appended Drawing 4 are located in areas where the actual existence of a well is unlikely (they may be associated with nearby properties), and that some properties shown on the aerial imagery do not have a well associated with them; however, the MECP WWR coordinate data has been used in the absence of more reliable information.

The Region of Peel Department of Public Works was consulted to determine where municipal watermains existed within a 500 m radius of the property. Watermains were identified along Colerain Drive, Harvest Moon Drive, King Street (Emil Kolb Parkway), and 6th Line. It is anticipated that MECP WWRs which may plot along these roadways could represent wells which have been previously decommissioned, or wells which are not used for drinking water supply.

3.1 Door-to-Door Well Survey

On June 11, 2021 a survey of properties where a private water supply well might exist within a 500 m radius of the subject property was conducted to determine the locations and construction details of private water supply wells in the area. It is noted due to COVID-19 protocol the door-to-door survey was completed by leaving a copy of the survey along with a self-addressed stamped envelope at each residence.

Two homes were canvassed. Zero surveys were filled out and received by mail prior to the preparation of this report.



3.2 Municipal Wellhead Protection Areas

Ontario Source Protection Information Atlas (OSPIA) mapping shows that the property is not located within a Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA). There are no WHPAs is more than 6 km southeast of the subject property.

3.3 Sensitive Features

Ontario Source Protection Information Atlas mapping indicates that the subject property does not fall within a highly vulnerable aquifer zone, or a significant groundwater recharge area.

Based on the presence of low permeability clayey silt till overburden soils from ground surface to the a depth of more than 6 mBGS there is no shallow overburden aquifer beneath the subject property, and any deeper overburden aquifer would be sufficiently isolated by the overburden aquitard to be protected from any potential ground surface contaminants. Since all pavement stormwater runoff will be directed to municipal storm sewers, it is reasonable to conclude that no potential surface contaminants that might be accidentally released at the site would be able to migrate vertically downwards to a deep overburden or bedrock aquifer, or laterally to surface water features.

Natural Heritage Area maps from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF; 2020) reveal no Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSIs) within the subject property or surrounding area. As discussed in Section 2.5, TRCA mapping (2019) indicates that Jaffary's Creek and surrounding area southeast of the site are regulated by the TRCA.

Minimum buffer requirements must be satisfied for all sensitive features.

4. CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING ASSESSMENT

Table I below summarizes the construction excavation parameters based on information provided on the engineering drawings for the project (Soscia Professional Engineers, Inc. 2020).

Task	Excavation Dimensions (approximate) (m)	Excavation Depth (mBGS)	Estimated Seasonally High Groundwater Elevation (mBGS)*
Building Footings	40 x 16 m (3 buildings)	0.5 mBGS	1.58 mBGS

Table I: Construction Excavation Parameters

*- Estimated seasonally high groundwater elevation is the highest measured groundwater elevation from June 2021, increased by 1.25 m.



Temporary dewatering requirements are dependent on factors such as excavation parameters (excavation dimensions, infrastructure invert elevations, the number of concurrent excavations, etc.), hydrogeological conditions at the site (groundwater levels, soil/bedrock hydrogeological parameters, etc.), construction and dewatering methodologies (open cuts, dewatering pits, sumps, wellpoints, etc.), and the amount of groundwater drawdown required to achieve and maintain dry working conditions and stable excavations.

Additionally, factors such as the use of shoring would be expected to influence the rate of groundwater inflow into an excavation. The calculations provided below assume an open excavation as a conservative factor of safety.

Based on preliminary excavation locations, dimensions, and depths provided for this report, construction of the slab on grade buildings will not require excavation below the elevation of perched water at the site. As a result, no construction dewatering requirements are anticipated for construction of the building. Additionally, it is noted the measured water level from June 6 has been increased by 1.25 m to account for seasonal groundwater fluctuation.

With no construction dewatering anticipated, there is no anticipated requirement for an Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR), or Permit to Take Water (PTTW); however, the possibility for dewatering after a significant precipitation event should be considered by the client. Ontario Regulation 387/04 (as amended) requires authorization from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) for all water takings over 50,000 L/day. Ontario Regulation 63/16 specifies that for temporary construction dewatering at rates between 50,000 and 400,000 L/day an Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) may be obtained in lieu of a Permit to Take Water (PTTW). Obtaining an EASR as an "insurance policy" for potential dewatering after a precipitation event can be completed by HCS should it be desired.

Discharge of any construction dewatering effluent (in the event dewatering is required) to a municipal sewer would require permission from the Town of Bolton/Region of Peel; however, based on the low daily dewatering rates that might realistically be encountered it is expected that collection of discharge using a hydrovac truck or similar equipment for off-site treatment and disposal will be sufficient for control of inflow into the excavation.

As discussed in Section 2.6 and its subsections groundwater chemistry samples exhibited measured exceedances of the Region of Peel Storm Sewer Use By-Law criteria limits for TSS, total manganese, and total zinc. The groundwater chemistry samples exhibited measured exceedances of the Region of Peer Sanitary Sewer Use By-Law criteria limits for TSS.

In the event construction dewatering for precipitation management is necessary, a cost-benefit analysis should be undertaken to evaluate the potential to discharge to municipal sewers vs. collection of water using a hydrovac truck or similar equipment for off-site disposal.



5. CLOSURE

Subsurface stratigraphy beneath the subject property consists of fill underlain by more than 6 m of clay/silt till deposits. Perched water was encountered at a depth of 2.83 to 5.10 mBGS, flowing generally south-eastwards in the general flow direction of nearby creeks. These perched water conditions do not represent a local or regional shallow aquifer.

Soil hydraulic conductivity estimates from grain size analyses and slug tests indicate the clayey silt till deposits have a low hydraulic conductivity ranging from $<1 \times 10^{-9}$ to $<1 \times 10^{-7}$ m/sec.

There are no visible surface water features on the property and a stormwater management pond is located south of the subject site.

TRCA mapping indicates that Jaffary's Creek and surrounding area are regulated by the TRCA; however, the subject property does not lie within a regulated area. Mapping indicates the subject property is not within a highly vulnerable aquifer zone, significant groundwater recharge area, or wellhead protection area.

The construction dewatering assessment performed for the site demonstrates that the proposed slab on grade construction will not require excavation below the measured perched water levels beneath the property. Any construction dewatering discharge that might be generated (e.g. during precipitation management) that is not collected for off-site treatment and disposal would need to be tested and treated to ensure it meets Region of Peel Sewer Use By-Law criteria prior to discharge to a municipal sewer. Additionally, any discharge to a municipal sewer would require permission from the Town of Bolton/Region of Peel.

We trust that this report satisfies your present requirements, and we thank you for this opportunity to be of service. If you have any questions, or require further hydrogeological consulting services, please feel free to contact the undersigned directly.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRIS R. PRACTICING MEMBER

Chris Helmer, B.Sc., P.Geo. Senior Hydrogeologist www.hydrog.ca



6. LIMITATIONS AND USE

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Client indicated in Section 1. Chris F Helmer hereby disclaims any liability or responsibility to any person or party for any loss, damage, expense, fines, or penalties which may arise from the use of any information or recommendations contained in this report by anyone other than the Client.

The conclusions and recommendations provided in this report are not intended as specifications or instructions to contractors. Any use contractors may make of this report, or decisions made based on it, are the responsibility of the contractors. Contractors must accept responsibility for means and methods of construction they select, seek additional information if required, and draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect them.

In preparing this report Chris F Helmer has relied in good faith on information provided by individuals and companies noted in this report, and assumes that the information provided is factual and accurate. No responsibility is accepted for any deficiencies, misstatements, or inaccuracies contained in this report as a result of errors, omissions, misinterpretations, or fraudulent acts in the resources referenced, or of persons interviewed or consulted during the preparation of this report.

The report and its complete contents are based on data and information collected during investigations conducted by Chris F Helmer, and pertains solely to the conditions of the site at the time of the investigation, supplemented by historical information and data as described in this report. It is important to note that the investigation involves sampling of the site at specific locations, and the conclusions in this report are based on the information gathered. Limitations of the data and information include the fact that conditions between and beyond the sampling locations may vary; that the assessment is dependent upon the accuracy of the analytical data generated through sample analysis; and that conditions or contaminants may exist for which no analyses have been conducted. Furthermore, no assurance is made regarding potential changes in site conditions and/or the regulatory regime (standards, guidelines, etc.), subsequent to the time of investigation.

The professional services provided for this project include only the hydrogeological aspects of the subsurface conditions at the site, unless otherwise stated specifically in the report. No other warranty or representation is either expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of the information or recommendations included or intended in this report.



7. REFERENCES

Armstrong, D.K. and Dodge, J.E.P. 2007. *Paleozoic Geology of Southern Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey*. Miscellaneous Release – Data 219.

Chapman, L.J. and Putnam, D.F. 2007. *Physiography of Southern Ontario*. Ontario Geological Survey.

Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A. 1979. *Groundwater*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), 2020. Make a Map: Natural Heritage Areas. Online GIS Mapping.

Ontario Geological Survey 2000. Quaternary geology, seamless coverage of the Province of Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Data Set 14---Revised.

Ontario Geological Survey. 2010. Surficial Geology of Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey. Miscellaneous Release – Data 128-Rev.

Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), 2020. Water Well Information System. Online GIS mapping.

Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), 2020. Source Protection Information Atlas. Online GIS mapping.


Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), 2019. TRCA Regulation Mapping: Web-GIS Application. Online GIS mapping.


Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), 2008. *Humber River Watershed Plan, Pathways to a Healthy Humber.*



APPENDIX A: DRAWINGS

Drawing 1 – Location Plan Drawing 2 – Site Plan (CMT Engineering, 2021) Drawing 3 – Perched Groundwater Contours Drawing 4 – MECP Water Well Records









13656 Emil Kolb Parkway, Bolton

♧

Supply Well



Drawn:	CFH
Date:	15-Jun-21



APPENDIX B: TABLES

Table 1 – Groundwater Level Measurements Table 2 – Hydraulic Conductivity Estimates Table 3 – Water Chemistry Analysis Results

13656 Emil Kolb Parkway, Bolton Table 1 - Groundwater Level Measurements

	One and Orante as Elevention	Otheleum	06-Jun-21				
Name	Ground Surface Elevation (m)*	Stickup (m)	WL (mBTOP)	WL (mBGS)	WL (mASL)		
BH 01	258.46	1.09	6.19	5.10	253.37		
BH 04	258.51	1.12	3.95	2.83	255.69		
BH 05	257.97	1.05	5.40	4.35	253.62		

mASL - metres Above Sea Level

mBGS - metres Below Ground Surface



13656 Emil Kolb Parkway, Bolton Table 2 - Hydraulic Conductivity Estimates

Name	Soil Sample Depth or Screened Interval (mBGS)	Soil Type	Analysis Method	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/sec)
BH 01	2.8 - 5.8	clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel	Hvorslev**	<1 x 10 ⁻⁷
BH 03	1.52 - 2.13	clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel	Kaubisch*	1.4 x 10 ⁻⁹
BH 04	3.1 - 6.1	clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel	Hvorslev**	<1 x 10 ⁻⁷
BH 05	4.57 - 5.18	clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel	Kaubisch*	<1 x 10 ⁻⁹
BH 05	2.6 - 5.6	clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel	Hvorslev**	<1 x 10 ⁻⁷

mBGS - metres Below Ground Surface

m/sec - metres per second

* - D₁₀ values were approximated; therefore, the hydraulic conductivity value is considered an estimate

** - $T_{0.37}$ was not achieved; therefore, the hydraulic conductivity value is considered approximate



13656 Emil Kolb Parkway, Bolton Table 3 - Water Chemistry Analysis Results 06-Jun-21

Parameter	Units ⁽ⁱ⁾	Region of Peel Storm Sewer Use By-Law Limits	Region of Peel Sanitary Sewer Use By-Law Limits	BH 01
Physical Tests				
pH	pH units	6-9	5.5 - 10	7.74
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	15	350	367
Anions and Nutrients				
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	1	100	0.32
Phosphorus, Total	mg/L	0.4	10	0.358
Cyanides				
Cyanide, Total	mg/L	0.02	2	<0.010
Bateriological Tests				
E. Coli	CFU ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ /mL	200	-	0
Total Metals				
Arsenic (As)-Total	mg/L	0.02	1	0.0036
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	mg/L	0.008	0.7	0.000085
Chromium (Cr)-Total	mg/L	0.08	5	0.0383
Copper (Cu)-Total	mg/L	0.05	3	0.0269
Lead (Pb)-Total	mg/L	0.120	3.000	0.01010
Manganese (Mn)-Total	mg/L	0.05	5	0.423
Mercury (Hg)-Total	mg/L	0.0004	0.01	<0.000050
Nickel (Ni)-Total	mg/L	0.08	3	0.01960
Zinc (Zn)-Total	mg/L	0.04	3	0.0950
Aggregate Organics				
BOD Carbonaceous	ug/L	15	300	3.9
Phenols (4AAP)	ug/L	0.008	1	<0.0010
Volatile Organic Compounds				
Benzene	ug/L	2	10	<0.50
Chloroform	ug/L	2	40	<1.0
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	2	160	<0.50
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/L	4	1,000	<0.50
Toluene	ug/L	2	270	<0.50
Trichloroethylene	ug/L	8	400	<0.50
Total PAHs	ug/L	-	-	<1.7

i - All measured concentrations are in units indicated.

ii - Concentrations in *italicized* text exceed the Region of Peel's Sanitary Sewer Use By-Law Limits.

iii - Concentrations in $\,$ bold text exceed the Region of Peel's Storm Sewer Use By-Law Limits.


iv- CFU: Coliform Units.







APPENDIX C: BOREHOLE LOGS


CMT Engineering Inc. Boreholes BH 1 through BH 6







BOREHOLE LOG WITH WELL2 21-242.GPJ CMT_TEMPLATE_2020-05-15.GDT 21-6-18











APPENDIX D: MECP WATER WELL RECORDS AND WELL SURVEY FORMS

Water Wel	Record	c				May 31, 2	2021		
	I NECOIU.	3				2:10:03	PM		
TOWNSHIP CON LOT	UTM	DATE CNTR	CASING DIA	WATER	PUMP TEST	WELL USE	SCREEN	WELL	FORMATION
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION	17 600585 4858004 W	2006-03 7230	1.97			NU	0010 10	4910244 (Z44332) A039816	BLCK SAND LOOS 0001 BRWN CLAY DNSE 0010 GREY CLAY DNSE 0020
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION	17 600485 4858450 W	2017-08 7147	1.25	UT 0008		МО	0010 5	7294294 (Z255072) A223378	BLCK LOAM 0001 BRWN SILT CLAY 0012 BRWN CLAY SILT TILL 0015
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION	17 600526 4858466 W	2017-08 7147	1.25	UT 0008		МО	0010 5	7294293 (Z255071) A223377	BLCK LOAM 0001 BRWN SILT CLAY 0012 BRWN CLAY SILT TILL 0015
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION	17 600458 4858270 W	2017-03 7464						7286282 (C37185) A208366 P	
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION	17 600108 4858347 W	2014-02 7360	0.75			МО	0015 5	7217676 (Z174452) A161292	SILT 0015 CLAY 0020
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 05 009	17 600215 4858323 W	1982-11 3108	6	FR 0238	147/240/10/3:0	DO	0244 3	4905962 ()	LOAM 0002 BRWN CLAY GVLY 0017 GREY CLAY 0043 GREY CLAY SNDY 0072 GREY CLAY 0201 GREY CLAY SNDY 0235 GREY SAND 0247
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 05 010	17 599797 4858856 W	2016-05 7147	3.20 5				0037 2 0032 6	7263986 (Z228026) A	
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 05 010	17 599905 4858789 W	1129						4907845 (149039)	
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 05 010	17 600252 4858370 W	2004-05 1663				NU		4909422 (Z13072) A	
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 06 009	17 600320 4858462 W	1957-07 1307	36	FR 0050	30///:	DO		4900319 ()	BRWN LOAM CLAY 0015 BLUE CLAY 0049 GRVL 0050
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 06 009	17 600329 4858432 W	2009-03 7147	35.4	FR 0023				7121185 (Z85214) A	
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 06 009	17 600426 4858389 W	2017-08 7147	0.75	UT 0008		МО	0008 5	7294296 (Z255073) A223375	BLCK LOAM 0001 BRWN SILT CLAY 0012 GREN CLAY SILT TILL 0012
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 06 009	17 600451 4858422 W	2017-08 7147	0.75	UT 0008		МО	0008 5	7294295 (Z255074) A223376	BLCK LOAM 0001 BRWN SILT CLAY 0012 BRWN CLAY SILT 0012
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 06 010	17 600031 4858855 W	2003-04 1663				NU		4909128 (253125) A	
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 06 010	17 600264 4858447 W	1985-09 4778	6 4	FR 0249	156/240/4/3:0	DO	0249 6	4906483 (NA)	BRWN CLAY STNS 0018 BLUE CLAY STNS 0074 BLUE CLAY 0180 BLUE CLAY SILT 0249 FSND 0255

TOWNSHIP CON LOT	UTM	DATE CNTR	CASING DIA	WATER	PUMP TEST	WELL USE	SCREEN	WELL	FORMATION
CALEDON TOWN (ALBION CON 06 010	17 599937 4858893 W	2013-07 7147	35.4	FR 0007				7205856 (Z171558) A	

Notes:

UTM: UTM in Zone, Easting, Northing and Datum is NAD83; L: UTM estimated from Centroid of Lot; W: UTM not from Lot Centroid DATE CNTR: Date Work Completedand Well Contractor Licence Number CASING DIA: .Casing diameter in inches WATER: Unit of Depth in Fee. See Table 4 for Meaning of Code PUMP TEST: Static Water Level in Feet / Water Level After Pumping in Feet / Pump Test Rate in GPM / Pump Test Duration in Hour : Minutes WELL USE: See Table 3 for Meaning of Code SCREEN: Screen Depth and Length in feet WELL: WEL (AUDIT #) Well Tag . A: Abandonment; P: Partial Data Entry Only FORMATION: See Table 1 and 2 for Meaning of Code

1. Core Material and Descriptive terms

Code	Description	Code	Description	Code	Description	Code	Description	Code	Description
BLDR	BOULDERS	FCRD	FRACTURED	IRFM	IRON FORMATION	PORS	POROUS	SOFT	SOFT
BSLT	BASALT	FGRD	FINE-GRAINED	LIMY	LIMY	PRDG	PREVIOUSLY DUG	SPST	SOAPSTONE
CGRD	COARSE-GRAINED	FGVL	FINE GRAVEL	LMSN	LIMESTONE	PRDR	PREV. DRILLED	STKY	STICKY
CGVL	COARSE GRAVEL	FILL	FILL	LOAM	TOPSOIL	QRTZ	QUARTZITE	STNS	STONES
CHRT	CHERT	FLDS	FELDSPAR	LOOS	LOOSE	QSND	QUICKSAND	STNY	STONEY
CLAY	CLAY	FLNT	FLINT	LTCL	LIGHT-COLOURED	QTZ	QUARTZ	THIK	THICK
CLN (CLEAN	FOSS	FOSILIFEROUS	LYRD	LAYERED	ROCK	ROCK	THIN	THIN
CLYY	CLAYEY	FSND	FINE SAND	MARL	MARL	SAND	SAND	TILL	TILL
CMTD	CEMENTED	GNIS	GNEISS	MGRD	MEDIUM-GRAINED	SHLE	SHALE	UNKN	UNKNOWN TYPE
CONG	CONGLOMERATE	GRNT	GRANITE	MGVL	MEDIUM GRAVEL	SHLY	SHALY	VERY	VERY
CRYS	CRYSTALLINE	GRSN	GREENSTONE	MRBL	MARBLE	SHRP	SHARP	WBRG	WATER-BEARING
CSND	COARSE SAND	GRVL	GRAVEL	MSND	MEDIUM SAND	SHST	SCHIST	WDFR	WOOD FRAGMENTS
DKCL	DARK-COLOURED	GRWK	GREYWACKE	MUCK	MUCK	SILT	SILT	WTHD	WEATHERED
DLMT	DOLOMITE	GVLY	GRAVELLY	OBDN	OVERBURDEN	SLTE	SLATE		
DNSE	DENSE	GYPS	GYPSUM	PCKD	PACKED	SLTY	SILTY		
DRTY	DIRTY	HARD	HARD	PEAT	PEAT	SNDS	SANDSTONE		
DRY	DRY	HPAN	HARDPAN	PGVL	PEA GRAVEL	SNDY	SANDYOAPSTONE		

2. Cor	e Color	3. \	Well Use		
Code WHIT GREY BLUE GREN YLLW BRWN RED	Description WHITE GREY	Code DO I ST I IR I IN I CO C MN M PS F	Description Domestic Livestock Irrigation Industrial	OT TH DE MO MT	de Description Other Test Hole Dewatering Monitoring Monitoring TestHole
BLGY	BLUE-GREY	NU N	lot Used		


4. Water Detail


Code	Description	Code	Description
FR	Fresh	GS	Gas
SA	Salty	IR	Iron
SU	Sulphur		
MN	Mineral		
UK	Unknown		




APPENDIX E: SLUG TEST ANALYSIS GRAPHS

Figure 1: BH 1 Figure 2: BH 2 Figure 3: BH 3











APPENDIX F: GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS GRAPHS

Figures 1-2 (CMT Engineering Inc.)



	SOIL DATA										
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	Material Description							
0	BH3	3	1.52-2.13m	clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel	ML						
				Sampled by BB of CMT Engineering Inc., June 2, 2021							
				Tested by MS of CMT Engineering Inc., June 4, 2021							

CMT Engineering Inc.	Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Service	es (HCS)
ggg	Project: Stacked Townhouse Developme	nt
	Harvest Moon Drive and Colera	ine Drive, Bolton, Ontario
St. Clements, ON	Project No.: 21-242	Figure 1



	SOIL DATA										
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	Material Description							
0	BH5	7	4.57-5.18m	clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel	ML						
				Sampled by BB of CMT Engineering Inc., June 2, 2021							
				Tested by MS of CMT Engineering Inc., June 4, 2021							

CMT Engineering Inc.	Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Service	es (HCS)
	Project: Stacked Townhouse Developme	nt
	Harvest Moon Drive and Colera	ine Drive, Bolton, Ontario
St. Clements, ON	Project No.: 21-242	Figure 2



APPENDIX G: LABORATORY CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L2568028

13656 Emil Kolb Parkway - Scoped Hydrogeological Assessment



Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) ATTN: Chris Helmer 28 Upper Mercer Street Kitchener ON N2A 4M9 Date Received:07-JUN-21Report Date:18-JUN-21Version:FINAL

Client Phone: 905-550-0969

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L2597301 Project P.O. #: NOT SUBMITTED Job Reference: COLERAIN C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc:



[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 60 Northland Road. Unit 1, Waterloo, ON N2V 288 Canada | Phone: +1 519 886 6910 | Fax: +1 519 886 9047



COLERAIN

ANALYTICAL GUIDELINE REPORT

L2597301 CONTD

Page 2 of 7 18-JUN-21 14:02 (MT)

COLERAIN								18-JUN-21 14:02 (MT)
Sample Details Grouping Analyte	Result	Qualifier	D.L.	Units	Analyzed		Guidelir	ne Limits
L2597301-1 BH02-21 & BH03-21								
Sampled By: CLIENT on 06-JUN-21 @ 17:38								
Matrix: WATER						#1	#2	
Physical Tests								
-	7 74		0.10	nllumite	08-JUN-21	F F 40	<u> </u>	
pH Total Suspended Solids	7.74 367		0.10 3.0	pH units	08-JUN-21 10-JUN-21	5.5-10 *350	6-9 *15	
Anions and Nutrients	307		3.0	mg/L	10-JUN-21	300	15	
Fluoride (F)	0.161		0.020	mg/L	10-JUN-21	10		
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.320		0.020	mg/L	14-JUN-21	100	1	
Phosphorus, Total	0.358		0.0030	mg/L	11-JUN-21	100	0.4	
Cyanides	0.000		0.0000	ing/E	11 0011 21	10	0.4	
Cyanide, Total	<0.010	DLM	0.010	mg/L	09-JUN-21	2	0.02	
Bacteriological Tests	20.010	DEIW	0.010	ing/E	00 0011 21	2	0.02	
E. Coli	0		0	CFU/100m	07-JUN-21		200	
	Ū			L	07-001121		200	
Total Metals								
Aluminum (AI)-Total	7.58	DLHC	0.050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	50		
Antimony (Sb)-Total	<0.0010	DLHC	0.0010	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5		
Arsenic (As)-Total	0.0036	DLHC	0.0010	mg/L	08-JUN-21	1	0.02	
Cadmium (Cd)-Total	0.000085	DLHC	0.000050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	0.7	0.008	
Chromium (Cr)-Total	0.0383	DLHC	0.0050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5	0.08	
Cobalt (Co)-Total	0.0058	DLHC	0.0010	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5		
Copper (Cu)-Total	0.0269	DLHC	0.0050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	3	0.05	
Lead (Pb)-Total	0.0101	DLHC	0.00050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	3	0.120	
Manganese (Mn)-Total	0.423	DLHC	0.0050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5	*0.05	
Mercury (Hg)-Total	<0.0000050		0.000005	mg/L	09-JUN-21	0.01	0.0004	
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total	0.0175	DLHC	0.00050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5		
Nickel (Ni)-Total	0.0196	DLHC	0.0050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	3	0.08	
Selenium (Se)-Total	0.00394	DLHC	0.00050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	1	0.02	
Silver (Ag)-Total	<0.00050	DLHC	0.00050	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5	0.12	
Tin (Sn)-Total	0.0059	DLHC	0.0010	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5		
Titanium (Ti)-Total	0.203	DLHC	0.0030	mg/L	08-JUN-21	5		
Zinc (Zn)-Total	0.095	DLHC	0.030	mg/L	08-JUN-21	3	*0.04	
Speciated Metals								
Chromium, Hexavalent Aggregate Organics	<0.00050		0.00050	mg/L	08-JUN-21			
BOD	3.9		2.0	mg/L	08-JUN-21	300		
Oil and Grease, Total	<5.0		5.0	mg/L	17-JUN-21	500		
Animal/Veg Oil & Grease	<5.0 <5.0		5.0	mg/L	18-JUN-21	150		
Mineral Oil and Grease	<2.5		2.5	mg/L	17-JUN-21	15		
Phenols (4AAP)	<0.0010		0.0010	mg/L	10-JUN-21	1	0.008	
Volatile Organic Compounds								
Benzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	10	2	
Chloroform	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	14-JUN-21	40	2	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	50	5.6	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	80	6.8	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	4000	5.6	
Dichloromethane	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	14-JUN-21	2000	5.2	

Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

* Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

Ontario Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 (APR. 2011) = [Suite] - ON-SAN+STORM-PEEL



COLERAIN

ANALYTICAL GUIDELINE REPORT

L2597301 CONTD

Page 3 of 7 18-JUN-21 14:02 (MT)

COLERAIN	18-JUN-21 14:02 (I					18-JUN-21 14:02 (MT)		
Sample Details	Recult	Ouclifier	DI	Linita	Anolymer		Quidat	
Grouping Analyte	Result	Qualifier	D.L.	Units	Analyzed		Guidelir	ne Limits
L2597301-1 BH02-21 & BH03-21								
Sampled By: CLIENT on 06-JUN-21 @ 17:38								
Matrix: WATER						#1	#2	
Volatile Organic Compounds								
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	140	5.6	
Ethylbenzene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	140		
-	<0.50 <0.50		0.50	l e	14-JUN-21		2	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane				ug/L		1400	17	
Tetrachloroethylene	< 0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	1000	4.4	
Toluene	< 0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	270	2	
Trichloroethylene	< 0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21	400	8	
o-Xylene	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	14-JUN-21			
m+p-Xylenes	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	14-JUN-21			
Xylenes (Total)	<1.1		1.1	ug/L	14-JUN-21	1400	4.4	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.2		70-130	%	14-JUN-21			
Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	98.3		70-130	%	14-JUN-21			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons								
Acenaphthene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Anthracene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Benzo(a)anthracene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Benzo(e)pyrene	<0.050		0.050	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Benzo(ghi)perylene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Chrysene	0.033		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Dibenz(a,h)acridine	<0.050		0.050	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Dibenz(a,j)acridine	<0.050		0.050	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene	<0.050		0.050	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
7H-Dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	<0.050		0.050	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
1,3-Dinitropyrene	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
1,6-Dinitropyrene	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
1,8-Dinitropyrene	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Fluoranthene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Fluorene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Naphthalene	0.032		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Perylene	<0.010		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Phenanthrene	0.037		0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Pyrene	0.019	R	0.010	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	87.8		40-130	%	18-JUN-21			
Surrogate: D14-Terphenyl	85.8		40-130	%	18-JUN-21			
Surrogate: d14-Terphenyl	83.5		40-130	%	18-JUN-21			
Total PAHs	<1.7		1.7	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Semi-Volatile Organics	×1.7		1.7	ug/L				
3.3'-Dichlorobenzidine	~0.40		0.40	1.0/	18-JUN-21			
	<0.40		0.40	ug/L		00	4 -	
Di-n-butylphthalate	<1.0		1.0	ug/L	18-JUN-21	80	15	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	18-JUN-21	12	8.8	
Pentachlorophenol	<0.50		0.50	ug/L	18-JUN-21			
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	93.5		40-130	%	18-JUN-21			

** Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.

* Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

Ontario Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 (APR. 2011) = [Suite] - ON-SAN+STORM-PEEL



COLERAIN

ANALYTICAL GUIDELINE REPORT

L2597301 CONTD

Page 4 of 7 18-JUN-21 14:02 (MT)

Sample Details Grouping Analyte	Result	Qualifier	D.L.	Units	Analyzed			ne Limits	
L2597301-1 BH02-21 & BH03-21									
Sampled By: CLIENT on 06-JUN-21 @ 17:38									
Matrix: WATER						#1	#2		
Semi-Volatile Organics									
Surrogate: p-Terphenyl d14	83.8		40-130	%	18-JUN-21				
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	95.9		40-130	%	18-JUN-21				
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				, , ,					
Aroclor 1242	<0.020		0.020	ug/L	09-JUN-21				
Aroclor 1248	<0.020		0.020	ug/L	09-JUN-21				
Aroclor 1254	<0.020		0.020	ug/L	09-JUN-21				
Aroclor 1260	<0.020		0.020	ug/L	09-JUN-21				
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl	94.9		50-150	%	09-JUN-21				
Total PCBs	<0.040		0.040	ug/L	09-JUN-21	1	0.4		
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene Organic Parameters	108.3		50-150	%	09-JUN-21				
Nonylphenol	<1.0		1.0	uc/l	10-JUN-21	20			
Nonylphenol Diethoxylates	<0.10		0.10	ug/L ug/L	10-JUN-21 10-JUN-21	20			
Total Nonylphenol Ethoxylates	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	10-JUN-21	200			
Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates	<2.0		2.0	ug/L	10-JUN-21	200			

Detection Limit for result exceeds Guideline Limit. Assessment against Guideline Limit cannot be made.
 Analytical result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

result for this parameter exceeds Guideline Limit listed on this report. Guideline Limits applied:

Ontario Reg. Mun. of Peel Sanitary Bylaw #53-2010 (APR. 2011) = [Suite] - ON-SAN+STORM-PEEL

Reference Information

Sample Paran	neter Qualifier key list	ed:	
Qualifier	Description		
R	The ion abundance r	atio(s) did not meet the acceptance	criteria. Value is an estimated maximum.
DLM	Detection Limit Adjus	sted due to sample matrix effects (e.	g. chemical interference, colour, turbidity).
DLHC	Detection Limit Raise	ed: Dilution required due to high cond	centration of test analyte(s).
Methods List	ed (if applicable):		
ALS Test Cod	e Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference***
625-PAH-LOV	V-WT Water	EPA 8270 PAH (Low Level)	SW846 8270
		l extracts are analyzed on GC/MSD. enzo(b)fluoranthene or benzo(k)fluor Ontario Sanitary Sewer SVOC Target List	
		and then analyzed by GC/MS.	
BOD-WT	Water	BOD	APHA 5210 B
oxygen dem dissolved ox	and (BOD) are determir ygen meter. Dissolved l	ned by diluting and incubating a sam	od 5210B - "Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)". All forms of biochemical ple for a specified time period, and measuring the oxygen depletion using a ltering the sample through a glass fibre filter prior to dilution. Carbonaceous ed sample prior to incubation. ISO 14403-2
			illation. Cyanide is converted to cyanogen chloride by reacting with chloramine- id and isonicotinic acid to form a highly colored complex.
	yanide analyzed by this		se false positives at ~1-2% of the thiocyanate concentration. For samples with for thiocyanate to check for this potential interference EPA 7199
States Enviro	onmental Protection Ag	ency (EPA). The procedure involves	ds for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846, Method 7199, published by the United analysis for chromium (VI) by ion chromatography using diphenylcarbazide in a etween the total chromium and the chromium (VI) results.
	ducted in accordance v ct (July 1, 2011).		ds Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental
EC-SCREEN-	WT Water	Conductivity Screen (Internal Use Only)	APHA 2510
Qualitative a EC-WW-MF-V		vhere required during preparation of E. Coli	other tests - e.g. TDS, metals, etc. SM 9222D
A 100 mL vo Method ID: V		ed through a membrane, the membra	ane is placed on mFC-BCIG agar and incubated at 44.5 –0 .2 °C for 24 – 2 h.
F-IC-N-WT	Water	Fluoride in Water by IC	EPA 300.1 (mod)
Inorganic an HG-T-CVAA-V		n Chromatography with conductivity Total Mercury in Water by CVAAS	and/or UV detection. EPA 1631E (mod)
Water samp MET-T-CCMS	-	ation using bromine monochloride pr Total Metals in Water by CRC ICPMS	rior to reduction with stannous chloride, and analyzed by CVAAS. EPA 200.2/6020A (mod)
Water samp	les are digested with nit	ric and hydrochloric acids, and analy	yzed by CRC ICPMS.
Method Limit	tation (re: Sulfur): Sulfic	le and volatile sulfur species may no	t be recovered by this method.
	ducted in accordance v ct (July 1, 2011).	vith the Protocol for Analytical Metho	ds Used in the Assessment of Properties under Part XV.1 of the Environmental
NP,NPE-LCM	,	Nonylphenols and Ethoxylates by LC/MS-MS	J. Chrom A849 (1999) p.467-482
Water samp		lyzed on LCMS/MS by direct injectio Speciated Oil and Grease A/V	n. CALCULATION
	tracted with hexane, sa gravimetrically.	Calc mple speciation into mineral and ani	imal/vegetable fractions is achieved via silica gel separation and is then
OGG-SPEC-V	VT Water	Speciated Oil and Grease- Gravimetric	APHA 5520 B

The procedure involves an extraction of the entire water sample with hexane. Sample speciation into mineral and animal/vegetable fractions is achieved via silica gel separation and is then determined gravimetrically.

Reference Information

after persulphate digestion PAH-EXTRA-WT PAH-SUM-CALC-WT Total PAH represents the Total PAH in terms of the PCB-WT	n of the samp Water Water sum of all P/ individual P/ Water an aqueous s Water zed directly b cordance with	ble. Sanitary Sewer Use By-Law Additional PAH TOTAL PAH's AH analytes reported for a given san AH analytes to be included. Polychlorinated Biphenyls sample at neutral pH with aliquots o pH	4500-P "Phosphorus". Total Phosphorus is deteremined colourimetrically SW 846 8270 CALCULATION mple. Note that regulatory agencies and criteria differ in their definitions o EPA 8082 of dichloromethane using a modified separatory funnel technique. The extra APHA 4500 H-Electrode
PAH-SUM-CALC-WT Total PAH represents the Total PAH in terms of the PCB-WT PCBs are extracted from a are analyzed by GC/MSD	Water sum of all P/ individual PA Water an aqueous s Water zed directly b cordance with	Additional PAH TOTAL PAH's AH analytes reported for a given san AH analytes to be included. Polychlorinated Biphenyls sample at neutral pH with aliquots o pH	CALCULATION mple. Note that regulatory agencies and criteria differ in their definitions o EPA 8082 of dichloromethane using a modified separatory funnel technique. The extra
Total PAH represents the Total PAH in terms of the PCB-WT PCBs are extracted from a are analyzed by GC/MSD	sum of all P/ individual PA Water an aqueous s Water zed directly b cordance with	AH analytes reported for a given sat AH analytes to be included. Polychlorinated Biphenyls sample at neutral pH with aliquots o pH	mple. Note that regulatory agencies and criteria differ in their definitions o EPA 8082 of dichloromethane using a modified separatory funnel technique. The extra
Total PAH in terms of the PCB-WT PCBs are extracted from a are analyzed by GC/MSD	individual PA Water an aqueous s Water zed directly b cordance with	AH analytes to be included. Polychlorinated Biphenyls sample at neutral pH with aliquots o pH	EPA 8082 If dichloromethane using a modified separatory funnel technique. The extra
PCBs are extracted from a are analyzed by GC/MSD	an aqueous s Water zed directly b cordance with	sample at neutral pH with aliquots o	of dichloromethane using a modified separatory funnel technique. The extra
are analyzed by GC/MSD.	Water zed directly b cordance with	рН	
	Water zed directly b cordance with	•	APHA 4500 H-Electrode
	cordance with	y a calibrated pH meter.	
Water samples are analyz			
Protection Act (July 1, 201	,	for samples under this regulation is	•
PHENOLS-4AAP-WT	Water	Phenol (4AAP)	EPA 9066
An automated method is u red complex which is mea			uffered to pH 9.4 which reacts with 4AAP and potassium ferricyanide to fo
SOLIDS-TSS-WT	Water	Suspended solids	APHA 2540 D-Gravimetric
A well-mixed sample is filt four hours or until a const			er and the residue retained is dried in an oven at 104–1°C for a minimum c
ΓKN-F-WT	Water	TKN in Water by Fluorescence	J. ENVIRON. MONIT., 2005,7,37-42,RSC
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen is /OC-ROU-HS-WT	determined u Water	using block digestion followed by Flo Volatile Organic Compounds	ow-injection analysis with fluorescence detection SW846 8260
Aqueous samples are ana	alvzed by hea	adspace-GC/MS	
XYLENES-SUM-CALC- WT	Water	Sum of Xylene Isomer Concentrations	CALCULATION
Total xylenes represents t	the sum of o-	xylene and m&p-xylene.	
- '			
* ALS test methods may in	ncorporate mo	odifications from specified reference	e methods to improve performance.
Chain of Custody number	rs:		
		code(s) indicate the laboratory that p	performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:
Laboratory Definition Co	nda Labo	ratory Location	Laboratory Definition Code Laboratory Location

Labo	atory Definition Code	Laboratory Location	Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
WT		ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WATERLOC ONTARIO, CANADA),	

Reference Information

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogates are compounds that are similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that do not normally occur in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. In reports that display the D.L. column, laboratory objectives for surrogates are listed there. mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight mg/L - unit of concentration based on volume, parts per million. < - Less than. D.L. - The reporting limit. N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory. UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION. Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.

Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. ALS assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions in the information. Guideline limits are not adjusted for the hardness, pH or temperature of the sample (the most conservative values are used). Measurement uncertainty is not applied to test results prior to comparison with specified criteria values.



Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Page 1 of 11

Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) 28 Upper Mercer Street

Kitchener ON N2A 4M9

Contact: Chris Helmer

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
625-PAH-LOW-WT	Water							
Batch R5493049								
WG3556907-2 LCS Acenaphthene			69 F		%		50.440	
Acenaphthene			68.5 81.4		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
			81.4 94.4		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Benzo(a)anthracene			94.4 77.9		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Benzo(a)pyrene							60-130	18-JUN-21
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene			88.7		%		60-130	18-JUN-21
Benzo(ghi)perylene			89.5		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Benzo(k)fluoranthene			85.3		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Chrysene			94.1		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			86.5		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Fluoranthene			91.2		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Fluorene			76.7		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene			77.9		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Naphthalene			64.5		%		50-130	18-JUN-21
Perylene			82.9		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Phenanthrene			87.4		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Pyrene			89.5		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
WG3556907-1 MB							/	
Acenaphthene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Anthracene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Benzo(a)anthracene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Benzo(a)pyrene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Benzo(ghi)perylene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Benzo(k)fluoranthene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Chrysene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Fluoranthene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Fluorene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Naphthalene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Perylene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Phenanthrene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Pyrene			<0.010		ug/L		0.01	18-JUN-21
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobipher	nyl		90.7		%		40-130	18-JUN-21



		Workorder:	L259730	1	Report Date:	18-JUN-21		Page 2 of 11
	Hydrogeology Consulting S 28 Upper Mercer Street Kitchener ON N2A 4M9	Services (Kitchene	er)					
Contact:	Chris Helmer							
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
625-PAH-LOW-W	T Water							
	\$5493049							
WG3556907-1 Surrogate: D1			94.1		%		40-130	18-JUN-21
625-SAN-WT	Water							
	\$5493271							
WG3556907-2 3,3'-Dichlorob			53.7		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Bis(2-ethylhex	yl)phthalate		122.4		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Di-n-butylphth	alate		105.4		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
Pentachloroph	nenol		126.0		%		50-140	18-JUN-21
WG3556907-1 3,3'-Dichlorob			<0.40		ug/L		0.4	18-JUN-21
Bis(2-ethylhex	yl)phthalate		<2.0		ug/L		2	18-JUN-21
Di-n-butylphth	alate		<1.0		ug/L		1	18-JUN-21
Pentachloroph	nenol		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	18-JUN-21
Surrogate: 2-F	Fluorobiphenyl		93.5		%		40-130	18-JUN-21
Surrogate: 2,4	,6-Tribromophenol		78.8		%		40-130	18-JUN-21
Surrogate: p-T	Ferphenyl d14		117.3		%		40-130	18-JUN-21
BOD-WT	Water							
Batch R	\$5490238							
WG3550551-2 BOD	DUP	L2597448-1 <3.0	<3.0	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	30	08-JUN-21
WG3550551-3 BOD	LCS		99.3		%		85-115	08-JUN-21
WG3550551-1 BOD	МВ		<2.0		mg/L		2	08-JUN-21
CN-TOT-WT	Water							
Batch R	85482520							
WG3551152-8 Cyanide, Tota		WG3551152-1 <0.0020	0 <0.0020	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	09-JUN-21
WG3551152-7 Cyanide, Tota			92.2		%		80-120	09-JUN-21
WG3551152-6 Cyanide, Tota			<0.0020		mg/L		0.002	09-JUN-21
WG3551152-9 Cyanide, Tota		WG3551152-1	0 95.3		%		70-130	09-JUN-21



Workorder: L2597301 Report Date: 18-JUN-21 Page 3 of 11 Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) Client: 28 Upper Mercer Street Kitchener ON N2A 4M9 Contact: Chris Helmer Test Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed CR-CR6-IC-WT Water R5481741 Batch WG3550239-4 DUP WG3550239-3 Chromium, Hexavalent < 0.00050 < 0.00050 **RPD-NA** mg/L N/A 20 08-JUN-21 WG3550239-2 LCS Chromium, Hexavalent 101.4 % 80-120 08-JUN-21 WG3550239-1 MB Chromium, Hexavalent < 0.00050 0.0005 mg/L 08-JUN-21 WG3550239-5 MS WG3550239-3 Chromium, Hexavalent 99.7 % 70-130 08-JUN-21 EC-WW-MF-WT Water Batch R5480437 WG3549571-3 DUP L2597425-2 CFU/100mL E. Coli 0 <10 **RPD-NA** N/A 65 07-JUN-21 WG3549571-1 MB E. Coli 0 CFU/100mL 1 07-JUN-21 F-IC-N-WT Water R5486076 Batch WG3552585-4 DUP WG3552585-3 Fluoride (F) 0.673 0.680 mg/L 1.1 20 10-JUN-21 WG3552585-2 LCS Fluoride (F) 102.9 % 90-110 10-JUN-21 WG3552585-1 MB Fluoride (F) < 0.020 mg/L 0.02 10-JUN-21 WG3552585-5 MS WG3552585-3 Fluoride (F) 100.1 % 75-125 10-JUN-21 **HG-T-CVAA-WT** Water R5481137 Batch WG3550938-3 DUP L2597182-2 Mercury (Hg)-Total 0.000083 0.000083 mg/L 0.0 09-JUN-21 20 WG3550938-2 LCS 109.0 Mercury (Hg)-Total % 80-120 09-JUN-21 WG3550938-1 MB Mercury (Hg)-Total < 0.0000050 0.000005 mg/L 09-JUN-21 L2597182-3 WG3550938-4 MS Mercury (Hg)-Total 113.1 % 70-130 09-JUN-21

MET-T-CCMS-WT

Water

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2597301

Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Page 4 of 11

Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) 28 Upper Mercer Street

Kitchener ON N2A 4M9

Contact: Chris Helmer

Test	Matrix	Reference	ce Result Qualifier L		Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-T-CCMS-WT	Water							
Batch R5480235	;							
WG3549806-4 DUP		WG3549806-3						
Aluminum (Al)-Total		0.0218	0.0234		mg/L	7.4	20	08-JUN-21
Antimony (Sb)-Total		0.00052	0.00048		mg/L	6.5	20	08-JUN-21
Arsenic (As)-Total		0.00066	0.00066		mg/L	0.4	20	08-JUN-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Total		<0.0000050	<0.0000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	08-JUN-21
Chromium (Cr)-Total		<0.00050	<0.00050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	08-JUN-21
Cobalt (Co)-Total		0.00056	0.00057		mg/L	1.7	20	08-JUN-21
Copper (Cu)-Total		<0.00050	<0.00050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	08-JUN-21
Lead (Pb)-Total		<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	08-JUN-21
Manganese (Mn)-Total		0.305	0.298		mg/L	2.2	20	08-JUN-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-Tota	al	0.00293	0.00283		mg/L	3.3	20	08-JUN-21
Nickel (Ni)-Total		0.00217	0.00212		mg/L	2.3	20	08-JUN-21
Selenium (Se)-Total		0.000141	0.000161		mg/L	13	20	08-JUN-21
Silver (Ag)-Total		<0.000050	<0.000050	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	08-JUN-21
Tin (Sn)-Total		<0.00010	<0.00010	RPD-NA	mg/L	N/A	20	08-JUN-21
Titanium (Ti)-Total		0.00100	0.00076	J	mg/L	0.00023	0.0006	08-JUN-21
Zinc (Zn)-Total		0.0063	0.0060		mg/L	4.2	20	08-JUN-21
WG3549806-2 LCS								
Aluminum (Al)-Total			106.7		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Antimony (Sb)-Total			106.6		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Arsenic (As)-Total			106.8		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			103.3		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Chromium (Cr)-Total			102.6		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Cobalt (Co)-Total			104.9		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Copper (Cu)-Total			103.9		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Lead (Pb)-Total			106.7		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Manganese (Mn)-Total			104.8		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-Tota	al		103.6		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Nickel (Ni)-Total			102.8		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Selenium (Se)-Total			102.1		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Silver (Ag)-Total			106.1		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Tin (Sn)-Total			105.3		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Titanium (Ti)-Total			101.4		%		80-120	08-JUN-21
Zinc (Zn)-Total			104.1		%		80-120	08-JUN-21



Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Page 5 of 11

Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) 28 Upper Mercer Street

Kitchener ON N2A 4M9

Contact: Chris Helmer

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-T-CCMS-WT	Water							
Batch R5480235								
WG3549806-1 MB								
Aluminum (Al)-Total			<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	08-JUN-21
Antimony (Sb)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	08-JUN-21
Arsenic (As)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	08-JUN-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			<0.000005	5C	mg/L		0.000005	08-JUN-21
Chromium (Cr)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-JUN-21
Cobalt (Co)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	08-JUN-21
Copper (Cu)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-JUN-21
Lead (Pb)-Total			<0.000050)	mg/L		0.00005	08-JUN-21
Manganese (Mn)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-JUN-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total			<0.000050)	mg/L		0.00005	08-JUN-21
Nickel (Ni)-Total			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-JUN-21
Selenium (Se)-Total			<0.000050)	mg/L		0.00005	08-JUN-21
Silver (Ag)-Total			<0.000050)	mg/L		0.00005	08-JUN-21
Tin (Sn)-Total			<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	08-JUN-21
Titanium (Ti)-Total			<0.00030		mg/L		0.0003	08-JUN-21
Zinc (Zn)-Total			<0.0030		mg/L		0.003	08-JUN-21
WG3549806-5 MS		WG3549806-6						
Aluminum (Al)-Total			106.9		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Antimony (Sb)-Total			106.2		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Arsenic (As)-Total			108.3		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Cadmium (Cd)-Total			99.0		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Chromium (Cr)-Total			105.7		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Cobalt (Co)-Total			104.1		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Copper (Cu)-Total			99.4		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Lead (Pb)-Total			97.7		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Manganese (Mn)-Total			N/A	MS-B	%		-	08-JUN-21
Molybdenum (Mo)-Total			102.8		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Nickel (Ni)-Total			99.6		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Selenium (Se)-Total			101.2		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Silver (Ag)-Total			98.9		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Tin (Sn)-Total			104.6		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Titanium (Ti)-Total			103.3		%		70-130	08-JUN-21
Zinc (Zn)-Total			95.9		%		70-130	08-JUN-21

NP,NPE-LCMS-WT

Water



Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Page 6 of 11

Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) 28 Upper Mercer Street

Kitchener ON N2A 4M9

Chris Helmer

Contact:

Test Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed NP,NPE-LCMS-WT Water Batch R5486025 WG3550841-3 DUP L2597174-1 Nonylphenol <1.0 <1.0 **RPD-NA** ug/L N/A 30 10-JUN-21 Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates <2.0 <2.0 **RPD-NA** ug/L N/A 30 10-JUN-21 Nonylphenol Diethoxylates <0.10 <0.10 RPD-NA ug/L N/A 30 10-JUN-21 WG3550841-2 LCS Nonylphenol 88.2 % 75-125 10-JUN-21 Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates 104.9 % 10-JUN-21 75-125 Nonylphenol Diethoxylates 97.2 % 75-125 10-JUN-21 WG3550841-1 MB Nonylphenol <1.0 ug/L 1 10-JUN-21 Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates <2.0 2 ug/L 10-JUN-21 Nonylphenol Diethoxylates <0.10 ug/L 0.1 10-JUN-21 WG3550841-4 MS L2597174-1 Nonylphenol 118.9 % 50-150 10-JUN-21 Nonylphenol Monoethoxylates % 115.8 50-150 10-JUN-21 Nonylphenol Diethoxylates 99.6 % 50-150 10-JUN-21 OGG-SPEC-WT Water R5492828 Batch WG3556896-2 LCS Oil and Grease, Total 84.6 % 70-130 17-JUN-21 Mineral Oil and Grease 79.6 % 70-130 17-JUN-21 WG3556896-1 MB Oil and Grease, Total <5.0 mg/L 5 17-JUN-21 2.5 Mineral Oil and Grease mg/L <2.5 17-JUN-21 P-T-COL-WT Water R5485778 Batch WG3552009-3 DUP L2597174-1 Phosphorus, Total 0.0301 0.0277 mg/L 8.2 20 11-JUN-21 WG3552009-2 LCS Phosphorus, Total 97.2 % 80-120 11-JUN-21 WG3552009-1 MB Phosphorus, Total < 0.0030 mg/L 0.003 11-JUN-21 WG3552009-4 L2597174-1 MS Phosphorus, Total 99.1 % 70-130 11-JUN-21

PAH-EXTRA-WT

Water



Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Page 7 of 11

Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) Client: 28 Upper Mercer Street Kitchener ON N2A 4M9

Contact: Chris Helmer

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
PAH-EXTRA-WT	Water							
Batch R5493087 WG3556907-2 LCS	7							
Benzo(e)pyrene			90.1		%		60-130	18-JUN-21
1,3-Dinitropyrene			301.5	RRQC	%		60-130	18-JUN-21
1,6-Dinitropyrene			378.2	RRQC	%		60-130	18-JUN-21
Dibenz(a,h)acridine			108.1		%		60-130	18-JUN-21
1,8-Dinitropyrene			264.5	RRQC	%		60-130	18-JUN-21
Dibenz(a,j)acridine			115.3		%		60-130	18-JUN-21
7H-Dibenzo(c,g)carbaz	zole		110.2		%		60-130	18-JUN-21
Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene			106.8		%		60-130	18-JUN-21
COMMENTS: RRC WG3556907-1 MB	QC; Result is ou	itside ALS control li	mits; associa	ated non-detect r	esults are conside	red reliable.		
Benzo(e)pyrene			<0.050		ug/L		0.05	18-JUN-21
1,3-Dinitropyrene			<1.0		ug/L		1	18-JUN-21
1,6-Dinitropyrene			<1.0		ug/L		1	18-JUN-21
Dibenz(a,h)acridine			<0.050		ug/L		0.05	18-JUN-21
1,8-Dinitropyrene			<1.0		ug/L		1	18-JUN-21
Dibenz(a,j)acridine			<0.050		ug/L		0.05	18-JUN-21
7H-Dibenzo(c,g)carbaz	zole		<0.050		ug/L		0.05	18-JUN-21
Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene			<0.050		ug/L		0.05	18-JUN-21
Surrogate: d14-Terphe	enyl		99.0		%		40-130	18-JUN-21
PCB-WT	Water							
Batch R5481386	6							
WG3550836-2 LCS Aroclor 1242			105.3		%		65-130	09-JUN-21
Aroclor 1248			93.6		%		65-130	09-JUN-21
Aroclor 1254			102.3		%		65-130	09-JUN-21
Aroclor 1260			100.9		%		65-130	09-JUN-21
WG3550836-1 MB								
Aroclor 1242			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	09-JUN-21
Aroclor 1248			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	09-JUN-21
Aroclor 1254			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	09-JUN-21
Aroclor 1260			<0.020		ug/L		0.02	09-JUN-21
Surrogate: Decachloro	biphenyl		110.1		%		50-150	09-JUN-21
Surrogate: Tetrachloro	-m-xylene		80.2		%		50-150	09-JUN-21

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2597301 Report Date: 18-JUN-21 Page 8 of 11 Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) Client: 28 Upper Mercer Street Kitchener ON N2A 4M9 Contact: Chris Helmer Test Matrix Reference Result Qualifier Units RPD Limit Analyzed PH-WT Water R5480810 Batch WG3550346-4 DUP WG3550346-3 pН 7.63 7.63 pH units J 0.00 0.2 08-JUN-21 WG3550346-2 LCS 7.00 pН pH units 6.9-7.1 08-JUN-21 Water PHENOLS-4AAP-WT R5483976 Batch L2598423-1 WG3551671-3 DUP Phenols (4AAP) < 0.0010 < 0.0010 **RPD-NA** mg/L N/A 20 10-JUN-21 WG3551671-2 LCS Phenols (4AAP) 98.8 % 85-115 10-JUN-21 WG3551671-1 MB Phenols (4AAP) < 0.0010 mg/L 0.001 10-JUN-21 WG3551671-4 L2598423-1 MS Phenols (4AAP) 98.2 % 75-125 10-JUN-21 SOLIDS-TSS-WT Water R5481758 Batch WG3550962-3 DUP L2597191-1 **Total Suspended Solids** <3.0 <3.0 RPD-NA mg/L N/A 20 10-JUN-21 WG3550962-2 LCS **Total Suspended Solids** 91.2 % 85-115 10-JUN-21 WG3550962-1 MB **Total Suspended Solids** <3.0 mg/L 3 10-JUN-21 **TKN-F-WT** Water Batch R5490717 DUP L2597448-1 WG3553533-3 Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen 1.99 1.90 mg/L 4.6 20 14-JUN-21 WG3553533-2 LCS Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen 103.8 % 75-125 14-JUN-21 MB WG3553533-1 < 0.050 Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen 0.05 mg/L 14-JUN-21 WG3553533-4 L2597448-1 MS Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen 110.8 % 70-130 14-JUN-21 VOC-ROU-HS-WT Water Batch R5490019

WG3554064-4 DUP	WG355406	4-3					
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21

Quality Control Report

Workorder: L2597301

Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Page 9 of 11

Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) 28 Upper Mercer Street

Kitchener ON N2A 4M9 act: Chris Helmer

Contact:

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-ROU-HS-WT	Water							
Batch R5490019								
WG3554064-4 DUP		WG3554064-3						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
Benzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
Chloroform		<1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene)	<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
Dichloromethane		<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
Ethylbenzene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
m+p-Xylenes		<0.40	<0.40	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
o-Xylene		<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
Tetrachloroethylene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
Toluene		<0.40	<0.40	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	ne	<0.30	<0.30	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
Trichloroethylene		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	ug/L	N/A	30	14-JUN-21
WG3554064-1 LCS								
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	ne		96.7		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			99.5		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			101.2		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
Benzene			94.3		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
Chloroform			97.5		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	9		98.6		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
Dichloromethane			103.7		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
Ethylbenzene			98.0		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
m+p-Xylenes			101.7		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
o-Xylene			105.0		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
Tetrachloroethylene			101.7		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
Toluene			96.9		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	ne		99.4		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
Trichloroethylene			95.5		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
WG3554064-2 MB								
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	ne		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
Benzene			<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
Chloroform			<1.0		ug/L		1	14-JUN-21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene)		<0.50				0.5	



Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Page 10 of 11

Client: Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener) 28 Upper Mercer Street Kitchener ON N2A 4M9

Contact: Chris Helmer

Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
Water							
)							
e		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
		<2.0		ug/L		2	14-JUN-21
		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
		<0.40		ug/L		0.4	14-JUN-21
		<0.30		ug/L		0.3	14-JUN-21
		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
		<0.40		ug/L		0.4	14-JUN-21
ene		<0.30		ug/L		0.3	14-JUN-21
		<0.50		ug/L		0.5	14-JUN-21
benzene		98.6		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
orobenzene		92.3		%		70-130	14-JUN-21
•	Water 9 eene benzene probenzene	ene ibenzene	Pe <0.50	Pe <0.50	ve <0.50	Pre <0.50	No. <0.50 ug/L 0.5 <2.0

Report Date: 18-JUN-21

Client:	Hydrogeology Consulting Services (Kitchener)
	28 Upper Mercer Street
	Kitchener ON N2A 4M9
Contact:	Chris Helmer

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.
RRQC	Refer to report remarks for information regarding this QC result.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.



Chain of Custody (COC) / Analytical

Request Form



COC Number: 17 -



SUSPECTED HAZARD (see Special Instructions)

Page N

(ALS)	www.alsglobal.com Canada To	oll Free: 1 800 6	68 9878						Ŭ														
Report To	Contact and company name below will appear on the final report Report Format / Distribution							1															may apply)
Company:	Hydrogeology Consulting	Select Report F	ormat: [JPDF [DICEL	EDD	(DIGITAL)		Re	gular	[R] 🍹	Stan	dard T	AT if re	ceived	by 3 p	m - bus	siness d	ays • n	o surch	arges ap	ply	
Contact:	Chris Helmer	Quality Control	(QC) Repo	ort with R	eport [YES	NO NO	۲	4 da	y [P4-	20%]			ENCY	1 Bu	sines	s day	[E - 1	00%]				
Phone:	905-550-0969	Compare Results to Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked					NOR!			25%]										utory holiday [E2 -200%			
	Company address below will appear on the final report	Select Distribut	Select Distribution: 🗹 EMAIL 📋 MAIL 📋 FAX					- BU	2 da	y [P2-	50%]				(Labo	orator	y ope	ening	fees r	nay a	pply)]		
Street:	6 Lynn Court	Email 1 or Fax	Email 1 or Fax chrishelmer@hydrog.ca					0.040	Date and Time Required for all E&P TATS: dd-mmm-yy hh:mm														
City/Province:	Kitchener, ON	Email 2						For to	sts that	can not	be perfor	med ac	cording	to the s		-			be con	tacted.		_	
Postal Code:	N2A 4H6	Email 3															Requ						
Invoice To	Same as Report To VES NO		In	voice Dis	tributio	n		ിഗ		lı	ndicate F	iltered	(F), Pr	eserve	d (P) or	r Filtere	d and	Presen	red (F/F	P) belov	<u> </u>		
	Copy of Invoice with Report TYES X NO	Select Invoice [Distribution	1: 🔽 EMA	1L 🗌 M	1AIL	FAX																7
Company:		Email 1 or Fax	chrishelm	ner@hydr	og.ca			Ľ	Š														Н
Contact:		Email 2						٦₹	Ľ-W									. 1					
	Project Information	Oi	Select Invoice Distribution: Imail MAIL FAX Imail Email 1 or Fax chrishelmer@hydrog.ca Email 2 Oil and Gas Required Fields (client use) AFE/Cost Center: PO# Major/Minor Code: Routing Code:																				Z
ALS Account #	# / Quote #: Q84156 (2021 Price List)							s+	1													0	
Job #:	Colerain	Major/Minor Code:			Routing	Code:		၂ပ	SAN 1														S
PO/AFE:		Requisitioner:		_				⊣ხ	NO)						ł					ĺ			Щ
LSD:		Location:						<u>ا</u> م	San			1											2
ALS Lab Wo	rk Order # (lab use only): / 259730)	ALS Contact:	Emily	Smith	Sample	er:										AMP							
ALS Sample #	Sample Identification and/or Coordinates		Da	ate	Ti	me	Sample Typ	. 5	onto														SA
(lab use only)	(This description will appear on the report)		(dd-mmm-yy) (hh:m		mm) Sample Type		Z	Toror												\rightarrow		0)	
			16-7	ion-2	5.3	Spm	Water																
			Ĭ			- 1	Water			ĺ													
							Water																
							Water																
							Water																
							Water																
		· · · · · ·					Water																
							Water	-															
			1	·····			Water																
							Water		\uparrow														
		<u> </u>					Water																
						t	Water		1														
	Special Instructions /	Specify Criteria to	add on reo	ort by clic	king on t	be drop-	down list below	~			·	SAM	PLE	CON	ΟΙΤΙΟ	N AS	RECI	EIVEC) (lab	use o	nly)		

EIVED (lab use only) Drinking Water (DW) Samples¹ (client use) (electronic COC only) SIF Observations Yes No Frozen Are samples taken from a Regulated DW System? Ice Packs 🚺 Ice Cubes 🔲 Custody seal intact Yes No YES INO Cooling Initiated FINAL COOLER TEMPERATURES *C INIITIAL COOLER TEMPERATURES *C Are samples for human consumption/ use? Toronto Sanitary & Storm Sewer Use By-Law Ċ YES VINO . FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only) SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use) INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only) Time Time: Released by: Date: Received by: Date Date: Time: Received by: 5:360m in Truck un YELLOW - CLIENT COPY

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION WHITE - LABORATORY COPY Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System, please submit using an Authorized DW COC form.