Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report 12909 Kennedy Road Caledon, Ontario

prepared for

Candevcon Group Inc. 9358 Goreway Drive Brampton, ON L6P 0M7

prepared by



PO Box 1267 Lakeshore W PO 146 Lakeshore Road West Oakville ON L6K 0B3 289.837.1871 www.kuntzforestry.ca consult@kuntzforestry.ca

12 June 2024

KUNTZ FORESTRY CONSULTING INC Project P4232

Introduction

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by Candevcon Group Inc. to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report as part of a development application for the property located at 12909 Kennedy Road in the Town of Caledon, Ontario. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of Kennedy Road and Old School Road, within an agricultural area.

The work plan for this study included the following:

- Prepare inventory of the tree resources over 10cm diameter at breast height (DBH) on and within six metres of the disturbance limit and trees of all sizes within the Town road rightof-way;
- Evaluate tree saving opportunities based on proposed site plans; and,
- Document the findings in a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan report.

The results of the evaluation are provided below.

Methodology

The tree inventory was conducted on 10 June 2024. Trees greater than 10cm DBH on and within six metres of the disturbance limit and trees of all sizes within the Town road right-of-way were included in the tree inventory. Trees were located using KFCl's Trimble GPS unit with an accuracy of +/- 30cm. Trees included in the inventory were tagged using the numbers 414-499, 500, 501, and 664-700. Trees on the subject property that could not be tagged were identified as Trees A-H. Trees on neighbouring properties were identified using the numbers 1-50. Five (5) polygons (groups of trees) were identified as P1-P5. Refer to Table 1 for the results of the inventory and Figure 1 for the locations of the trees. See Appendix A for photographs of the trees.

Individual tree resources were visually assessed for condition utilizing the following parameters:

Tree # – Number assigned to trees that corresponds to Figure 1.

Species – Common and botanical names provided in the inventory table.

DBH – Diameter (centimeters) at breast height, measured at 1.4 m above the ground.

Condition – Condition of tree considering trunk integrity (TI), crown structure (CS) and crown vigor (CV). Condition ratings include poor (P), fair (F), and good (G).

Crown Dieback – Percentage of dead branches within the crown.

Dripline – Radius of crown in metres.

Comments – Any other relevant tree condition information.

Existing Site Conditions

The subject property is currently occupied by agricultural lands with a detached house, multiple barns, sheds, and a gravel driveway providing access to Kennedy Road. Natural Heritage System land passes through the north corner of the property. Tree resources exist in the form of landscape and naturally-occurring trees. Refer to Figure 1 for the existing site conditions.

Individual Tree Resources

The inventory documented 183 trees and five (5) polygons on and within six metres of the disturbance limit.

Tree resources were comprised of Austrian Pine (*Pinus nigra*), Black Walnut (*Juglans nigra*), Blue Spruce (*Picea pungens*), Copper Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), Eastern Cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), Eastern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), English Walnut (*Juglans regia*), Freeman Maple (*Acer x freemanii*), Honey Locust (*Gleditsia triacanthos 'inermis'*), Manitoba Maple (*Acer negundo*), Norway Maple (*Acer platanoides*), Norway Spruce (*Picea abies*), Pear (*Pyrus spp.*), Red Maple (*Acer rubrum*), Scots Pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), Shagbark Hickory (*Carya ovata*), Silver Birch (*Betula pendula*), Silver Maple (*Acer saccharinum*), Sugar Maple (*Acer saccharum*), Trembling Aspen (Populus tremuloides), White Birch (Betula papyrifera), White Fir (*Abies concolor*), White Mulberry (*Morus alba*), White Pine (*Pinus strobus*), White Spruce (*Picea glauca*), and Willow (*Salix spp.*)

Proposed Development

The proposed development includes the demolition of the existing house, barns, and sheds, and the construction of a residential subdivision with public roads, a park, and a storm water management pond. Refer to Figure 1 for the proposed development.

Discussion

The following sections provide a discussion and analysis of development impacts, tree removal requirements and tree preservation relative to the proposed development.

Development Impacts/Tree Removals

The removal of 146 trees and two (2) polygons will be required to accommodate the proposed development. These trees directly conflict with proposed re-grading or significant encroachment into their minimum tree protection zones (mTPZs) would be required such that they would not be expected to tolerate the resulting injuries. Refer to Table 1 for tree removal information.

Trees 2, 9, 11-16, 18, 20, 22-34, 39-49, and P2 require removal and are located partially for fully on neighbouring property. Permission from the respective property owners will be required prior to their removal. The remainder of the trees identified for removal are located on the subject property.

Refer to Figure 1 for the location of trees identified for removal.

Tree Preservation

The preservation of 37 trees and three (3) polygons will be possible with the use of appropriate tree protection measures as indicated on Figure 1. Tree protection measures must be installed prior to the commencement of the proposed works to ensure tree resources identified for preservation are not impacted by the development. Refer to Figure 1 for the location of trees identified for preservation, prescribed tree preservation fencing, tree preservation plan notes, and the tree protection fencing detail. Refer to Table 1 for tree preservation information.

Areas within the tree protection zone shall remain undisturbed for the duration of site construction and shall not be used for the storage of excavated fill, building/construction materials, or equipment.

Dripline encroachment is required for Trees 1, 3-7, 19, 21, 36-38, and P3-P5 to accommodate construction; however, given that adequate protection is still being afforded relative to their stem diameter, they are expected to respond well to injury. The minimum tree protection zones (mTPZ) utilized in this preservation exercise are consistent with the policies implemented by numerousl municipalities across southern Ontario. The mTPZs for each diameter class are outlined in the table below:

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)	mTPZ (radius)
<10cm	1.2m
10-29cm	1.8m
31-40cm	2.4m
41-50cm	3.0m
51-60cm	3.6m
61-70cm	4.2m
71-80cm	4.8m
81-90cm	5.4m
91-100cm	6.0m
>100cm	Dripline or 12m

Prior to construction, under the supervision of a Certified Arborist, AirSpade or HydroVac technology must be utilized to excavate a trench at the proposed disturbance limits within the driplines of Trees 1, 3-7, 19, 21, 36-38, and P3-P5. Exposed roots must be pruned within the trench in accordance with Good Arboricultural Standards and the trench back filled with native soil material.

It is presumed that the entire siter will be re-graded except for the proposed park area. Preservation recommendations may change pending more detailed site plan and/or grading plan.

Tree Compensation

The Town of Caledon requires tree compensation for any healthy tree removal. The compensation ratio is below:

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)	Compensation Ratio
<10cm	Not applicable
10-20cm	1:1
21-35cm	2:1
36-50cm	3:1
51-65cm	4:1
>65cm	5:1

To compensate for the removal of healthy trees required for removal due to the development 318 replacement trees are required. Refer to Table 1 for details.

Summary and Recommendations

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by Candevcon Group Inc. to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan as part of a development application for the property located at 12909 Kennedy Road in Caledon, Ontario. A tree inventory was conducted and reviewed in the context of the proposed development plan.

The findings of the study indicate a total of 183 trees and five (5) polygons on and within six meters of the disturbance limit. The removal of 146 trees and two (2) polygons is required to accommodate the proposed development. The preservation of the remaining 37 trees and three (3) polygons will be possible with the use of appropriate tree protection measures.

The following recommendations are suggested to minimize impacts to trees identified for preservation. Refer to Figure 1 for additional Tree Protection Plan Notes and tree preservation fence detail.

- Tree protection barriers and fencing should be erected at locations as prescribed on Figure 1.
 All tree protection measures should follow the guidelines as set out in the tree preservation plan notes and the tree preservation fencing detail.
- No construction activity including surface treatments, excavations of any kind, storage of
 materials or vehicles, unless specifically outlined above, is permitted within the area identified
 on Figure 1 as a tree protection zone (TPZ) at any time during or after construction.
- Branches that extend beyond prescribed tree protection zones that require pruning must be pruned by a qualified Arborist or other tree professional. All pruning of tree branches must be in accordance with Good Arboricultural Standards.
- Site visits pre, during and post construction are recommended by either a certified consulting
 arborist (I.S.A.) or registered professional forester (R.P.F.) to ensure proper utilization of tree
 protection barriers. Trees should also be inspected for damage incurred during construction
 to ensure appropriate pruning or other measures are implemented.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc.

Isaac Baik

Isaac Baik, B.Sc. Conservation Biology Ecologist, ISA Certified Arborist #ON-2685A

Email: lsaac.baik@kuntzforestry.ca
Phone: 289-837-1871 ext. 106

Limitations of Assessment

Only the tree(s) identified in this report were included in the inventory. The assessment of the trees presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These may include a visual examination taken from the ground of all the above-ground parts of the tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of attack by insects, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree of lean (if any), the general condition of the trees and the identification of potentially hazardous trees or recommendations for removal (if applicable). Where trees could not be directly accessed (ie. due to obstructions, and/or on neighbouring properties), trees were assessed as accurately as possible from nearby vantage points.

Locations of trees provided in the report are determined as accurately as possible based on the best information available. If official survey information is not provided, tree location in the report may not be exact. In this case, if trees occur on or near property boundaries, an official site survey may be required to determine ownership.

Furthermore, recommendations made in this report are based on the site plans that have been provided at that time. These recommendations may no longer be applicable should changes be made to the site plan and/or grading, servicing, or landscaping plans following report submission.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be recognized that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigor constantly change over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions or seasonal variations in the weather conditions. Any tree will fail if the forces applied to the tree exceed the strength of the tree or its parts.

Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, the trees should be re-assessed periodically. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of inspection.

7

Table 1. Tree Inventory Location: 12909 Kennedy Rd Caledon

Date: 10 June 2024 Surveyors: IB

Tree #	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	TI	CS	CV	CDB	DL	mTPZ	Comments	Action	Comp
1	White Spruce	Picea glauca	~25	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Preserve	
2	White Pine	Pinus strobus	~31	G	G	G	0	3.5	2.4		Remove	2
3	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	~31	G	G	G	0	4	2.4		Preserve	
4	White Pine	Pinus strobus	~31	G	G	G	0	4	2.4	~3.5m from property line	Preserve	
5	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~10	G	G	G	0	2	1.8	~2m from. Property line	Preserve	
6	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~14	G	G	G	0	2	1.8	~2m from property line	Preserve	
7	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~15,13,10,10	F	G	G	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base	Preserve	
8	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~16,13,10	F	G	G	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base	Preserve	
9	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~10,9,8,7,7	F	G	G	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base	Remove	1
10	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	~24	G	G	G	0	3	1.8	~3m from property line	Preserve	
11	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~8,8,7,7,6,5	F	G	G	0	1.5	1.2	Codominant at base, on property line	Remove	
12	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~8,8,7,7,6,5	F	G	G	0	1.5	1.2	On property line	Remove	
13	White Spruce	Picea glauca	~18	G	G	G	0	2.5	1.8	On property line	Remove	1
14	White Pine	Pinus strobus	~13	G	G	G	0	1.5	1.8		Remove	1
15	White Birch	Betula papyrifera	~12	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
16	White Birch	Betula papyrifera	11	G	G	G	0	1.5	1.8	On property line	Remove	1
17	White Fir	Abies concolor	~25	G-F	G-F	G-F	0	2	1.8	Exposed roots (L), leaning (L), asymetrical crown (L), ~2m from property line	Preserve	
18	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~15,10	F	G-F	G-F	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base	Remove	1
19	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	~18	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Preserve	
20	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~16,14,9	F	G	G	0	2.5	1.8	Codominant at base	Remove	1
21	White Birch	Betula papyrifera	~20	G	F	G	0	2	1.8	Codominant at 1.6m	Preserve	
22	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~17	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
23	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~10,8,8	F	F	G	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base, poor form (M)	Remove	1
24	Honey Locust (shadema	Gleditsia triacanthos 'inermis'	~8	G	G	G	0	2	1.2	Located at the corner of a shed on neighbouring property	Remove	
25	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~27,17	F-P	G-F	F	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base, poor form (M)	Remove	2
26	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~26,17	F	G-F	G-F	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base	Remove	2
27	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	~15	Р	F-P	G	0	5	1.8	Leaning (H) towards property, poor form (H)	Remove	1
28	White Spruce	Picea glauca	~41	G	G	F	0	2	3		Remove	3
29	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	~22	G	G-F	G	0	4	1.8	Asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	2
30	Blue Spruce		~31	G	G	G	0	2.5	2.4		Remove	2
31	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	~48,45	G-F	G	G	0	6	3	Codominant at 1.3m, ingrown fence	Remove	3
32	White Spruce	Picea glauca	~30	G	G	G	0	2	2.4		Remove	2
33		Picea glauca	~30	G	G	G	0	2	2.4		Remove	2
34	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~15,12	F	F	F	0	2	1.8	Codominant at base, grapevine competition	Remove	1
35	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	~48,38	F	F-P	G	0	5	3	Codominant at base, poor form (M)	Preserve	
36	Trembling Aspen	Populus tremuloides	~5	G	G	F	30	1	1.2	Behind board fence	Preserve	
37	Pear species	Pyrus spp.	~8	G	G	G	0	1.5	1.2		Preserve	
38		Fagus sylvatica	~7	G	G	G	0	1	1.2		Preserve	
		Populus deltoides	~12	G	G-F	G	0	0	1.8		Remove	1
40		Acer negundo	~35	Р	Р	G-F	0	4	2.4	Poor form (H)	Remove	2
41		Populus deltoides	~15	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	1
		Salix spp.	~28,15,15	F	G-F	G-F	0	4	1.8	Codominant at 1m, ingrown fence	Remove	2
		Salix spp.	~17,15,12	F	G-F	G-F	0	4	1.8	Codominant at base	Remove	1
44		Thuja occidentalis	~110	G	F	G	0	4	6.6	Codominant at 1.7m, ~0.8m from property line	Remove	5
45	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	5,34,50,48,39	F	G-F	G-F	0	6	3.6	Codominant at base, leaning (L)	Remove	4
46	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~45,45,45	G-F	G-F	G-F	0	4	3	Codominant at 1m	Remove	3

47	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~68.50	F	F	G-F	0	3	4.2	Codominant at 1m, poor form (M)	Remove	5
48	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	~14,10	Р	Р	G-F	0	3	1.8	Codominant at base, leaning (H) towards property, growing through fence	Remove	1
49	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~52,50	G-F	F	G-F	0	4	3.6	Codominant at 1.4m	Remove	4
50	Red Maple	Acer rubrum	~9,7	G	G-F	G	0	2	1.2	Codominant at 1.3m	Preserve	
414	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	78	G	G-F	G-F	0	6	4.8	Codominant at 1.5m	Remove	5
415	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	42	G	G-F	G-F	0	3.5	3	Asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	3
416	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	70	G	G	G	0	4	4.2		Remove	5
417	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	58	G	G-F	F	20	5.5	3.6	Poor form (L)	Remove	4
418	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	109	F	G	G	0	8	6.6	Trunk injury (M)	Remove	5
419	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	91.5	G	G	G	0	7	6		Remove	5
420	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	95	G	G	G	0	7	6		Remove	5
421	Silver Birch	Betula pendula	56	G	G	G	0	6	3.6		Remove	4
422	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	23	F	F	G-F	0	3	1.8	Crook (M)	Remove	2
423	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	61	G	G-F	G	0	4	4.2	Asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	4
424	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	98	G	F.	G	0	7	6	Codominant at 2m, slime flux (L)	Remove	5
425	White Pine	Pinus strobus	79	G	G-F	G-F	0	6	4.8	, , , , , , ,	Remove	5
426	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	92	G	G	G	0	8	6		Remove	5
427	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	54	G	G	G	0	3.5	3.6		Remove	4
428	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	34	G	G	G	0	2	2.4		Remove	2
429	White Pine	Pinus strobus	54	G-F	G-F	G-F	0	4	3.6	Leaning (L), asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	4
430	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	38	G	F	G-F	0	3	2.4	Poor form (M), crook (L)	Remove	3
431	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	37.5	G	G	G	0	2	2.4	(-)	Remove	3
432	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	30	G	G	G	0	2	2.4		Remove	2
433	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	46	G	F	G-F	0	4	3	Asymetrical crown (M)	Remove	3
434	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	64	G	G	G	0	4	4.2		Remove	4
435	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	24	G	F	G-F	0	1.5	1.8	Asymetrical crown (H)	Remove	2
436	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	24	G	F	G-F	0	2.5	1.8	Asymetrical crown (H)	Remove	2
437	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	55	G	G	G	0	5	3.6		Remove	4
438	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	87	F	G-F	G	0	3.5	5.4	Codominant at 1.5m	Remove	5
439	White Pine	Pinus strobus	25	G	G	G	0	3	1.8	- Codominantal Home	Remove	2
440	White Pine	Pinus strobus	19,17	F	F-P	G-F	0	4	1.8	Codominant at 1m, poor form (H)	Remove	1
441	White Pine	Pinus strobus	27	G	G	G	0	3	1.8	(· · /	Remove	2
442	White Pine	Pinus strobus	18	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	1
443	White Pine	Pinus strobus	20,20	G-F	G-F	G	0	2.5	1.8	Codominant at base	Remove	1
444	White Pine	Pinus strobus	23	G	G	G	0	3	1.8	- Codoniman ar baco	Remove	2
445	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	15,12	F	F-P	G	0	4	1.8	Coodminant at base, leaning (H), poor form (H)	Remove	1
446	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	11	G	G-F	F-P	60	2	1.8	suppressed crown	Remove	1
447	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	16	G	G	F	30	2.5	1.8		Remove	1
448	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	20	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
449	White Birch	Betula papyrifera	22,17,14	F-P	F	G	0	3	1.8	Codominant at base, growing directly against building	Remove	2
450	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	18	G	G	G	0	2.5	1.8		Remove	1
451	Trembling Aspen	Populus tremuloides	23	G	G	G	0	4	1.8		Remove	2
452	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	15	G	F	G	0	3	1.8	Acsymetrical crown (M)	Remove	1
453	Trembling Aspen	Populus tremuloides	23	G	F	G	0	4	1.8	Asymetrical crown (M)	Remove	2
454	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	26,22	G	F	G	0	2	1.8	Codominant at 1.2m	Remove	2
455	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	20	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
456	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	36,25,25	F	G	G	0	2	2.4	Codominant at base	Remove	3
457	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	34,15	G-F	G-F	G	0	2	2.4	Codominant at 1m	Remove	2
458	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	26,17	G	G-F	G	0	2	1.8	Codominant at 1.2m	Remove	2
459	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	16,15,14	F	F.	G-F	0	2.5	1.8	Codominant at base, leaning (M)	Remove	1

9

400	E / 14#2'/ O /	I=1 · · · · · · ·	05.04.00	0.5	-		_	0.5	4.0		1 5	_
460	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~25,24,23	G-F	F	G-F	0	2.5	1.8	Codominant at base, lost leader (M)	Remove	2
461	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	35	G-F	G-F	G-F	0	3	2.4	Leaning (L), asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	2
462	English Walnut	Juglans regia	36	G	F	G	0	4.5	2.4	Codominant at 1.6m	Remove	3
463	English Walnut	Juglans regia	~65	P	P	G	0	7	4.2	Codominant at 1.6m, union failure	Remove	4
464	English Walnut	Juglans regia	27,25,,15,15	F-P	F	G	0	5	2.4	Codominant at base, poor form (M)	Remove	2
465	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	28	G-F	G-F	G	0	2.5	1.8	ingrown fence, asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	2
466	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	53	G	G	G	0	3	3.6		Remove	4
467	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	28,28	G	G-F	G-F	0	2	1.8	Codominant at 1.3m	Remove	2
468	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	38	G	G	G	0	3	2.4		Remove	3
469	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	29	G	F	G	0	2	1.8	Crook (M)	Remove	2
470	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	36	G	G	G	0	2.5	2.4		Remove	3
471	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	15	G-F	Р	Р	0	2	1.8	Bowed (H), poor form (H)	Remove	1
472	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	39	G	F-P	G	0	3	2.4	Poor form (H)	Remove	3
473	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	26	G	Р	Р	80	2	1.8	Poor form (H)	Remove	2
474	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	32	G	G-F	F	20	2	2.4		Remove	2
475	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	26	F	Р	F	0	2.5	1.8	Lost leader (M), poor form (H), broken branches (H)	Remove	2
476	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	21	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	2
477	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	22	G	G	G	0	2.5	1.8		Remove	2
478	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	20	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
479	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	19	G-F	F-P	G	0	3	1.8	Leaning (L), poor form (H)	Remove	1
480	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	19,10	G	G-F	G	0	3	1.8	Codominant at 1.3m	Remove	1
481	White Pine	Pinus strobus	18	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
482	White Pine	Pinus strobus	18	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
483	White Pine	Pinus strobus	~10	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
484	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	18,10	F	F	F	0	1.5	1.8	Codominant at 1m, poor form (M)	Remove	1
485	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	55	F-P	F-P	G-F	0	6	3.6	Leaning (H), poor form (H), epicormic branching (H)	Remove	4
486	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	34	G	G-F	G	0	6	2.4	Asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	2
487	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	17,15	G-F	F	G	0	3	1.8	Codominant at 1m	Remove	1
488	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	17,13	F	F	G	0	2.5	1.8	Codominant at base, poor form (M), growing from base of garage	Remove	1
489	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	,21,21,20,18,	P	P	G	0	6	1.8	Codominant at base, leaning (H), poor form (H)	Remove	2
490	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	24.5	G-F	P	F-P	80	4	1.8	Top failure (H), epicormic branching (H)	Remove	2
491	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	21	F	F-P	G-F	0	4	1.8	Leaning (M), poor form (H)	Remove	2
492	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	15	F	F-P	G-F	0	4	1.8	Leaning (M), epicormic branching (M), poor form (H)	Remove	1
493	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	20	F	F-P	G-F	0	4	1.8	Leaning (M), bowed (M), poor form (H), growing from base of shed	Remove	1
493			17,15	F	F-F	G-F	0	3	1.8	Codominant at 1m, poor form (M)	Preserve	
_	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	,	F	F		0	3.5				1
495	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	17,15,13	G		G G-F	0		1.8	Codominant at base, poor form (M)	Remove	
496	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	43 ~20	G	G-F F		0	5		Cronquina compatition (LI)	Remove	3
497	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo				F		3	1.8	Grapevine competition (H)	Remove	
498	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	15,10	G-F	F	G-F	0	4	1.8	Codominant at 1m, ingrown fence	Remove	1
499	Willow species	Salix spp.	11	G	G	F	0	3	1.8		Remove	1
501	Eastern Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	26	G	G	G	0	4	1.8		Remove	2
502	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	~15	F	F	F	0	4	1.8	Leaning (M) towards property	Remove	1
664	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	45.5	G	F	G	0	4	3	spiral seam, poor form (M)	Remove	3
665	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	39	G-F	G-F	G-F	0	4	2.4	Spiral seam, asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	3
666	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	34	G	G-F	G	0	4	2.4		Remove	2
667	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	18.5	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	1
668	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	18.5	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	1
669	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	36,26	G-F	F	G-F	0	3	2.4	Codominant at 1.3m	Remove	3
670	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	33	G	F	G-F	0	3	2.4	Asymetrical crown (M)	Remove	2
671	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	32,30	F	F	G-F	0	4	2.4	Codominant at 1.2m, asymetrical crown (L)	Preserve	
672	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	21,18,12	F-P	Р	F	30	3	1.8	Codominant at base, poor form (H)	Preserve	

673	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	41,35	F-P	Р	G-F	0	4	3	Leaning (M), codominant at 1.2m, poor form (H)	Preserve	
674		Pinus nigra	35.30.24	G	F	G-F	0	4	2.4	Codominant at 1 m	Preserve	
675		Pinus nigra	36,24,22	F-P	P	F	30	4	2.4	Leaning (M), codominant at 1m, poor form (H)	Preserve	
676		Pinus nigra	35	G	G-F	G-F	20	4	2.4	Leaning (w), codominant at 1111, poor loint (11)	Preserve	
677		Pinus nigra	32,33	F	P	F	30	4	2.4	Codominant at 1.2m, poor form (H)	Preserve	
678		Pinus nigra	35	G	G-F	F	30	3	2.4	Codominant at 1.2m, poor form (11)	Preserve	
679		Pinus nigra	47	G	G	G	0	4	3		Preserve	
		Thuja occidentalis	12	G	F	P	90	2	1.8	Winterburn (H)	Preserve	
681		Pinus nigra	~49	G	G-F	F	40	4	3	William (11)	Preserve	
682		Thuja occidentalis	17	G-F	G-F	G-F	0	2	1.8	Bowed (L)	Preserve	
683		Pinus nigra	38,24	G-F	F	F	40	4	2.4	CDodominant at 1.3m, poor form (M)	Preserve	
684		Pinus nigra	51	G	F	F	30	4	3.6	Codominant at 2.2m, poor form (M)	Preserve	
685		Pinus nigra	24	G-F	P	P	70	3	1.8	Poor form (H)	Preserve	
686		Pinus nigra	44	G-F	G-F	F	40	3	3	FOOT IOTHE (FI)	Preserve	
687		Pinus nigra Pinus nigra	23	G	G-F	F-P	60	3	1.8		Remove	2
688			20	F	P G-F	P P	0	3	1.8	Lost leader (H), poor form (H)		2
		Pinus nigra	41,26	G	F	G-F	0	4	3	(),1	Preserve	3
689		Pinus nigra	58	_	F	G-F	-			Codominant at 1.4m, poor form (M)	Remove	3
690		Pinus nigra		G			0	4	3.6	Codominant at 2m, poor form (M)	Preserve	
		Acer negundo	15	F-P	F-P	G-F	0	3	1.8	Codominant at base, union failure	Preserve	
		Acer negundo	16	F	F-P	G-F	0	3	1.8	Codominant at 1m, lost leader (M)	Preserve	
		Juglans nigra	44	G-F	G-F	G	0	6	3	Codominant at 2m	Remove	3
		Juglans nigra	37	G	G	G	0	5	2.4		Remove	3
		Juglans nigra	36	G	G-F	G-F	0	5	2.4	Codominant at 1.6m, asymetrical crown (L)	Remove	3
		Juglans nigra	17	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	1
		Juglans nigra	38	G	G	G	0	5	2.4		Remove	3
		Morus alba	30	G-F	F	G-F	0	4	2.4	Codominant at 2m	Remove	2
		Juglans nigra	32	G-F	G-F	G	0	4	2.4		Remove	2
		Juglans nigra	30	G	G	G	0	5	2.4		Remove	2
	, ,	Picea abies	~20	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	1
		Pinus strobus	~22	G	G	G	0	3	1.8		Remove	2
		Picea abies	~10	G	G	F-P	60	2	1.8	Suppressed lower crown	Remove	1
		Pinus strobus	~19	G	G	G	0	2.5	1.8		Remove	1
E		Picea abies	~11	G	F	G	0	1.5	1.8	Asymetrical crown (M)	Remove	1
F		Pinus nigra	~34	G	G-F	F	0	3	2.4	Asymetrical crown (L), grapevine competition	Remove	2
		Picea glauca	~14	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
Н	White Pine	Pinus strobus	~15	G	G	G	0	2	1.8		Remove	1
P1	Eastern White Cedar, N	Thuja occidentalis, Picea a	5-25	G	G	G	0	2	1.8	20 trees total. Three trees 10-20cm DBH and one tree ~25cm DBH. Average DBH 10cm	Remove	5
P2	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	5-12	F	F	F	0	2	1.8	6 trees, average DBH 9cm. One tree ~12cm	Remove	1
P3	Beech cultivar	Fagus sylvatica	2-4	G	G	G	0	0.5	1.2	8 trees, average DBH 3cm	Preserve	
P4	Freeman Maple	Acer X Freemanii	12-14	G	G	G	0	2.5	1.8	5 trees, average DBH 13cm. ~1m from fence	Preserve	
P5	Freeman Maple	Acer X Freemanii	7	G	G	G	0	2	1.2	3 trees, all ~7cm DBH. ~1.2m from fence	Preserve	

Codes										
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height	(cm)								
TI	Trunk Integrity	(G, F, P)								
CS	Crown Structure	(G, F, P)								
CV	Crown Vigor	(G, F, P)								
CDB	Crown Dieback	(%)								
DL	Dripline (Radius)	(m)								
mTPZ	Minimum Tree Protection Zone (Radius)	(m)								
Comp	Compensation	#								

^{~ =} estimate, P = poor, F = fair, G = good, (VL) = very light, (L) = light, (M) = moderate, (H) = heavy

Appendix A. Site Photographs



Image 1. Tree 1-16



Image 2. Tree 17-26



Image 3. Tree 27-34



Image 4. Tree 439-448



Image 5. Tree 449-453



Image 6. Tree 454-480



Image 7. Tree 494-497



Image 8. Tree 669-692









Image 11. East property boundary (facing south)



Image 12. Existing driveway and house (facing north)



Image 13. Tree on north side of Dixon's Union Cemetery (facing south)