

Tribal Partners Canada Inc.

# TRANSPORTATION

12892 Dixie Road, Town of Caledon Proposed Industrial/Employment Development

February 2021 21211





LEA Consulting Ltd. 625 Cochrane Drive, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Markham, ON, L3R 9R9 Canada T | 905 470 0015 F | 905 470 0030 W W W.LEA.CA

February 25, 2020

Reference Number: 21211

Tribal Partners Canada Inc. 201-2700 Steeles Avenue West Vaughan, ON L4K 3C8

Dear Tribal Partners Canada Inc.,

#### RE: Transportation Impact Study Proposed Industrial/Employment Development 12892 Dixie Road (Lot 1 Caledon Lands), Town of Caledon

LEA Consulting Ltd. (LEA) is pleased to present the findings of our Transportation Impact Study (TIS) for the proposed industrial/employment development located at 12892 Dixie Road in the town of Caledon. This study has been prepared on behalf of Tribal Partners Canada Inc. in support of their Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law amendment, and Site Plan Approval applications. This report concludes that the traffic associated with the proposed development will have an acceptable impact on the surrounding road network.

Should you have any questions regarding this Transportation Impact Study, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (905) 470-0015 x301 (schan@lea.ca).

Yours truly, LEA CONSULTING LTD.

Sabrina Chan, M.Eng., P.Eng. Transportation Engineer

Cally Yeung Transportation Planner

Encl. Transportation Impact Study – 12892 Dixie Road, Town of Caledon, Proposed Industrial/Employment Development

# Disclaimer

This Report represents the work of LEA Consulting Ltd ("LEA"). This Report may not be relied upon for detailed implementation or any other purpose not specifically identified within this Report. This Document is confidential and prepared solely for the use of Tribal Partners Canada Inc. Neither LEA, its sub-consultants nor their respective employees assume any liability for any reason, including, but not limited to, negligence, to any party other than Tribal Partners Canada Inc. for any information or representation herein.



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION
1.1	Proposed Development1
1.2	Access Arrangement
2	EXISTING TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS
2.1	Road Network4
2.2	Transit Network
2.3	Cycling Network
2.4	Pedestrian Network
2.5	Traffic Data Collection9
2.6	Intersection Capacity Analysis
3	FUTURE BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS
3.1	Background Developments
3.2	Corridor Growth
3.3	Road Network Improvements
3.4	Intersection Capacity Analysis
3.5	Intersection Capacity Analysis (Optimized)
4	SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC
4.1	Trip Generation
4.2	Trip Distribution and Assignment
5	FUTURE TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS
5.1	Intersection Capacity Analysis
5.2	Intersection Capacity Analysis (Optimized)
6	SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS
7	PARKING REVIEW
8	LOADING REVIEW
9	TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT (TDM)
9.1	Transit-Based Strategies
9.2	Travel and Parking Management Strategies
10	CONCLUSIONS



# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1-1: Proposed Site Statistics   2
Table 1-2: Dixie Road Access Arrangement Summary
Table 1-3: Old School Road Access Arrangement Summary3
Table 2-1: Traffic Data Collection Summary         9
Table 2-2: Comparison of Old School Road Peak Hour Traffic Volumes10
Table 2-3: Existing Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (AM Peak Hour)12
Table 2-4: Existing Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (PM Peak Hour)13
Table 2-5: Existing Capacity Analysis – Unsignalized Intersections
Table 3-1: Background Development
Table 3-2: Future Background Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (AM Peak Hour) 18
Table 3-3: Future Background Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (PM Peak Hour) 19
Table 3-4: Future Background Capacity Analysis - Unsignalized Intersections         20
Table 3-5: Optimized Signal Timing Plan at Dixie & Mayfield (Weekday PM)21
Table 3-6: Future Background Capacity Analysis (Optimized)       22
Table 4-1: Vehicle and Truck Warehousing Trip Rates    23
Table 4-2: Trip Generation Summary
Table 4-3: Vehicle Trip Distribution
Table 5-1: Future Total Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (AM Peak Hour)
Table 5-2: Future Total Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (PM Peak Hour)
Table 5-3: Future Total Capacity Analysis - Unsignalized Intersections
Table 5-4: Optimized Signal Timing Plan at Dixie & Mayfield (Weekday PM)
Table 5-5: Future Total Capacity Analysis (Optimized)         35
Table 6-1: Signal Warrant Analysis Results
Table 7-1: Zoning By-law Parking Requirements





## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1: Subject Site Location
Figure 1-2: Proposed Site Plan2
Figure 2-1: Existing Lane Configuration and Traffic Control5
Figure 2-2: Intersection of Dixie Road & Old School Road (Facing West)7
Figure 2-3: Existing Cycling Network
Figure 2-4: Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes11
Figure 3-1: Future Background Peak Hour Traffic Volumes17
Figure 4-1: Employee Vehicle Site Generated Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4-2: Heavy Vehicle Site Generated Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4-3: Total Site Generated Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 5-1: Future Total Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

### **APPENDIX**

APPENDIX A	EXISTING TRAFFIC DATA
APPENDIX B	INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – EXISTING CONDITIONS
APPENDIX C	INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – FUTURE BACKGROUND CONDITIONS
APPENDIX D	INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – FUTURE BACKGROUND CONDITIONS (OPTIMIZED)
APPENDIX E	DETAILED TTS DATA
APPENDIX F	INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – FUTURE TOTAL CONDITIONS
APPENDIX G	INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – FUTURE TOTAL CONDITIONS (OPTIMIZED)
APPENDIX H	SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS RESULTS
APPENDIX I	FUNCTIONAL REVIEW DRAWINGS



# **1 INTRODUCTION**

LEA Consulting Ltd. (LEA) has been retained by Tribal Partners Canada Inc. to conduct a Transportation Impact Study (TIS) for a proposed industrial/employment development located at 12892 Dixie Road in the Town of Caledon (herein referred to as the "subject site"). The subject site is currently agricultural lands at the southwest quadrant of Dixie Road and Old School Road, as illustrated in **Figure 1-1**.

# 

#### Figure 1-1: Subject Site Location

#### **1.1 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

The development proposal will introduce four (4) warehouse/distribution buildings with a combined ground floor area (GFA) of approximately 247,243 m<sup>2</sup>. A total of 1,857 surface parking spaces are proposed for the subject site. The proposed site statistics are presented in **Table 1-1**, and the proposed site plan is shown in **Figure 1-2**.



#### Table 1-1: Proposed Site Statistics

Land Use	Building	GFA (m²)	GFA (ft²)
	А	81,930	881,898
Warehouse/	В	91,867	988,853
Distribution Centre	С	48,324	520,152
	D	25,121	270,399
	Total	247,243	2,661,302

#### Figure 1-2: Proposed Site Plan



Source: Baldassarra Architects Inc. (February 24th, 2021)



#### **1.2 ACCESS ARRANGEMENT**

The proposed development will be accessible via three (3) full movement accesses along Old School Road, as well as three (3) full-movement accesses along Dixie Road. All accesses along Dixie Road are proposed to be signalized. The northern portion of the site (Buildings A and B) will be accessible via Old School Road and Dixie Road; however, the southern portion (Buildings C and D) will only be accessible via one site access on Dixie Road due to environmental constraints.

The minimum spacing between intersections along Dixie Road are subject to the guidelines listed within Region of Peel's Road Characterization Study (May 2013). In the study, Dixie Road is characterized as a "Suburban Connector", where the minimum distance required between full intersections is 300m. The distances between the intersections of Dixie Road & Old School Road and Dixie Road & East Access #1, as well as Dixie Road & East Access #2 and Dixie Road & East Access #3 meet the Region's criterion, at approximately 425m and 305m, respectively. However, the distance between East Access #1 and East Access #2 is short of the requirement by 65m. Even so, given that the roadway is relatively flat with no horizontal or vertical obstructions, this spacing distance between the two (2) accesses is considered acceptable.

**Table 1-2 and Table 1-3** below summarizes the design and usage of the proposed accesses along DixieRoad and Old School Road, respectively.

	East Access #1	East Access #2	East Access #3	
Configuration	Full-moves	Full-moves	Full-moves	
	(NBLT, SBTR, EBLR)	(NBLT, SBTR, EBLR)	(NBLT, SBTR, EBLR)	
Traffic Control	Signalized	Signalized	Signalized	
Vahiela Turna Darmittad	Vahislas Only	Vehicles &	Vehicles &	
Venicle Type Permitted	venicles only	Exiting Trucks	Trucks	
Building Access	Buildings A and B	Buildings A and B	Buildings C and D	

#### Table 1-2: Dixie Road Access Arrangement Summary

Table 1-3: Old School Road Access Arrangement Summary

	North Access #1	North Access #2	North Access #3
Configuration Full-moves (NBLR, EBTR, W		Full-moves (NBLR, EBTR, WBLT)	Full-moves (NBLR, EBTR, WBLT)
Traffic Control	Stop Controlled	Stop Controlled	Stop Controlled
Vehicle Type Permitted	Vehicles & Trucks	Vehicles Only	Vehicles & Trucks
Building Access	Buildings A and B	Buildings A	Buildings A and B



# **2** EXISTING TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS

This section will identify and assess the existing transportation conditions present in the study area, including the road, transit, cyclist, and pedestrian networks. The study area was determined based on the size of the development, its anticipated transportation impact, as well as through discussions with Town and Region staff. The study area will include the following intersections:

- Heart Lake Road & Old School Road (unsignalized);
- Dixie Road & Old School Road (signalized);
- Dixie Road & Merchant Road (future signalization as part of 12035 Dixie Road development application); and
- Dixie Road & Mayfield Road (signalized).

In addition, the following site accesses are proposed:

- North Site Access 1 & Old School Road (unsignalized);
- North Site Access 2 & Old School Road (unsignalized);
- North Site Access 3 & Old School Road (unsignalized);
- Dixie Road & East Site Access 1 (proposed signalization);
- Dixie Road & East Site Access 2 (proposed signalization); and
- Dixie Road & East Site Access 3 (proposed signalization).

#### 2.1 ROAD NETWORK

The following section provides a description and classification of the roadways within the study area, with **Figure 2-1** illustrating the existing lane configuration.











- Old School Road is an east-west collector road under the jurisdiction of the Town of Caledon. The roadway operates with a two-lane cross-section (one lane per direction) and posted speed limit of 70 km/h within the study area.
- Heart Lake Road is a north-south collector road under the jurisdiction of the Town of Caledon. The roadway has a posted speed limit of 80 km/h and operates with a two-lane cross-section (one lane per direction) within the study area. The Town of Caledon restricts heavy vehicle traffic on Heart Lake Road (see Section 2.1.1).
- Dixie Road is a north-south arterial road within the study area, under the jurisdiction of the Region of Peel. The roadway has a posted speed limit of 80 km/h and operates with a two-lane cross-section (one lane per direction) within the study area.
- Mayfield Road is an east-west arterial road within the study area, under the jurisdiction of the Region of Peel. The roadway has a posted speed limit of 80 km/h and operates with a six-lane cross-section (three lanes per direction) west of Dixie Road until approximately 275m west of Heart Lake Road, and a fivelane cross-section (three lanes eastbound, 2 lanes westbound) between Dixie Road and Bramalea Road.
- Merchant Road is a local road under the jurisdiction of the Town of Caledon. The roadway operates with a two-lane cross-section (one lane per direction and is assumed to operate with an unposted speed limit of 50 km/h.

#### 2.1.1 Heavy Vehicle Restrictions

As the proposed development will be a warehouse/distribution centre, new heavy vehicle traffic will be introduced to the surrounding road network. Due to the environmental constraints present on the subject site, the design of East Access #1 and East Access #2 along Dixie Road are not ideal for truck circulation, limiting truck entrance and exit points for Buildings A and B. As a result, trucks accessing Buildings A and B are proposed to utilize North Access #1 and North Access #3 along Old School Road instead. Due to these proposed arrangements, LEA has reviewed the Town of Caledon Traffic By-law 2015-58 to understand the Town's heavy truck restrictions applicable to the study area's roadways.

Section 20 of the By-law states that heavy trucks are not permitted on Caledon highways, where they are marked with signs prohibiting trucks, whereas Sections 21-23 describe the exceptions to Section 20. The exceptions describe that heavy trucks are allowed to use Caledon highways when the destination location cannot be accessed without their use, but such traffic must use the shortest possible path on Caledon highways.

According to a site visit conducted by LEA on January 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021, "No Truck" signs were not observed along Old School Road. **Figure 2-2** shows the existing intersection at Dixie Road & Old School Road, facing west.







Figure 2-2: Intersection of Dixie Road & Old School Road (Facing West)



Source: LEA Consulting Ltd. (January 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021)

Given the environmental constraints on site which affects the design of accesses and driveways using Dixie Road, it is proposed that trucks accessing Buildings A and B to be directed to the accesses along Old School Road. These accesses assume that trucks will be travelling to/from Highway 410 and utilizing Dixie Road, and only occupying Old School Road for a short distance to enter/exit Buildings A and B. West Access #2 on Dixie Road is also proposed to allow for trucks to exit for convenient access to Highway 410. This arrangement will not only provide more flexibility, but also improve truck circulation.

#### 2.2 TRANSIT NETWORK

The Town of Caledon currently does not operate public transit within the municipality, except for the local transit line in Bolton serviced by Voyago. There are also inter-regional transit services provided by Brampton Transit, and GO Transit routes. With the existing transit infrastructure, the proposed development is not accessible by public transit. The closest bus stop is located over 3km south of the subject site, at Dixie Road & Tasker Road, in the City of Brampton. It is proposed that the municipalities work together to extend transit to the proposed developments at 12892 and 12035 Dixie Road.



#### 2.3 CYCLING NETWORK

The subject site is not located within immediate proximity to the Town's cycling facilities. The nearest cycling infrastructure in the area includes paved multi-use trail along Mayfield Road, which connects to a wider network within the City of Brampton. There is also a signed bike route along Kennedy Road, which is approximately 3.2km from the subject site. This route extends north to the east-west signed bike route along Olde Base Line Road. The signed bike route is also present on Old School Road, traveling west of Kennedy Road. The cycling network surrounding the subject site is illustrated in **Figure 2-3**.



#### Figure 2-3: Existing Cycling Network

Source: walkandrollpeel.ca (2021)

#### 2.4 PEDESTRIAN NETWORK

Given that the area north of Mayfield Road consists of mainly agriculture lands, the study area has minimal pedestrian infrastructure. Despite the absence of sidewalks in the area immediately surrounding the subject site, crosswalks are available at the signalized intersection of Dixie Road & Mayfield Road, and Dixie Road & Old School Road. It should be noted that sidewalk is provided along the south side of Mayfield Road to facilitate the residential uses.



#### 2.5 TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION

Turning movement counts (TMCs) were used as the source of traffic data in the intersection capacity analyses. The traffic counts for the intersections at Dixie Road & Mayfield Road, and Dixie Road & Old School Road were collected in 2019, and obtained from Spectrum Traffic Data Inc (Spectrum).

Traffic counts for the intersections at Dixie Road & Merchant Road, and Heart Lake Road & Old School Road were not available from Spectrum, Town of Caledon, or the Region of Peel. Resultantly, TMC surveys were conducted by LEA for the two (2) intersections during the weekday AM and PM peak periods between 6:30 AM to 9:30 AM and 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM, respectively. **Table 2-1** summarizes the traffic data utilized in this study, with detailed TMCs provided in **Appendix A**.

#### Table 2-1: Traffic Data Collection Summary

Intersection	Survey Date	Source
Dixie Road & Mayfield Road	Thursday, October and 2010	Spoctrum
Dixie Road & Old School Road	Thursday, October Sid, 2019	Spectrum
Dixie Road & Merchant Road	Tuesday, December 15th 2020	
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road	Tuesday, December 15th, 2020	LEA

Given that the traffic data of Dixie & Merchant and Heart Lake & Old School were collected in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic, as discussed with Town and Region staff, the TMCs within the study area have been adjusted to remediate the discrepancies in traffic volumes.

The surveyed TMCs at Dixie & Merchant generally reveals higher traffic volumes along Dixie Road compared to the TMCs at Dixie & Mayfield which were collected pre-pandemic. Therefore, in order to derive present day traffic volumes at Dixie & Merchant and Dixie & Mayfield, the traffic volumes on Dixie Road were balanced using the TMCs for the two intersections. This increases the volumes along Dixie Road at the respective intersections. To note, Merchant Road is a local road that only serves the Acklands Grainger warehouse located at 21 Merchant Road, and terminates as a cul-de-sac. Since warehousing and distribution is considered an "essential business" in the Province of Ontario, it was assumed that business operations did not change for this use, and that traffic along Merchant Road remains the same as pre-pandemic conditions. Therefore, traffic volumes on Merchant Road have not been adjusted.

With respect to Heart Lake & Old School, a pandemic factor has been applied to the TMCs to adjust for the impacts of COVID-19. The pandemic factor was determined based on a comparison of traffic volumes on Old School Road between the 2019 TMCs at Dixie & Old School and the 2020 TMCs, at Heart Lake & Old School. Based on the two sets of data, it was observed that the two-way traffic volumes along Old School Road decreased by 47% during the AM peak hour, and 27% during PM peak hours in 2020. The pandemic factors are summarized in **Table 2-2**.



Direction	Octobe	er 2019	Decemb	er 2020	2020/2019 Factor		
Direction	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak	
Peak Hour	7:15 AM	4:00 PM	7:15 AM	4:45 PM	-	-	
Eastbound	248	112	128	91	0.52	0.81	
Westbound	95	267	54	184	0.57	0.69	
Total	343	379	182	275	0.53	0.73	

Table 2-2: Comparison of Old School Road Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Resultantly, a pandemic factor of 0.53 and 0.73 has been applied to Old School Road during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. Since Old School Road and Heart Lake Road are both Town collector roadways, they are expected to share similar traffic characteristics. Therefore, the same factors were utilized to adjust traffic volumes along Heart Lake Road during the AM and PM peak hours as well.

Lastly, no adjustments have been applied to the counts obtained for Old School & Dixie, as the data is less than two (2) years old and collected during pre-pandemic conditions. It is assumed that the data is representative of present day traffic volumes.

#### **2.6 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

The capacity analysis for the study area was undertaken using Synchro version 11.0, which is based on the Highway Capacity Manual (2000) methodology. The intersection capacity analysis has been conducted under Region of Peel Synchro Guidelines (December 2010). In accordance to the guidelines, the peak hour factors (PHF) of all Regional intersections were set at 1.00 for all movements. The adjusted existing traffic volumes in the study area during the weekday peak hours are illustrated in **Figure 2-4**.





The intersection capacity analysis was completed for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. The results for the assessed signalized intersections under existing conditions are summarized in **Table 2-3** and **Table 2-4**, whereas the results for the unsignalized intersections are summarized in **Table 2-5**. Detailed capacity results can be found in **Appendix B**.

	Weekday AM Peak Hour										
latera etica	Overall			Movements of Interest							
Intersection		Delay	1.05	Movement		Delay	1.05	Queue (m)			
	v/C	(s)	103	wovement	v/C	(s)	105	50th	95th		
				EBL	0.40	7	А	8.2	17.2		
				EBT	0.41	11	В	30.4	45.5		
				EBR	0.24	10	А	0.0	7.8		
				WBL	0.20	9	А	1.8	5.0		
				WBT	0.21	12	В	12.2	20.9		
DIXIE ROad & Mayfield Road	0.45	19	В	NBL	0.70	62	E	16.1	26.2		
Wayneid Road				NBT	0.54	50	D	18.9	28.7		
				NBR	0.02	42	D	0.0	1.1		
				SBL	0.15	44	D	2.7	6.7		
				SBT	0.51	49	D	19.1	28.7		
				SBR	0.18	44	D	0.0	12.4		
				EBL	0.05	19	В	0.6	2.4		
				EBT	0.54	24	С	13.0	23.5		
				WBL	0.17	20	С	2.3	6.2		
Dixie Road &	0.50	14	Р	WBT	0.20	20	В	4.2	9.6		
Old School Road	0.50		Б	NBL	0.01	6	А	0.1	1.0		
				NBT	0.23	8	А	6.3	14.9		
				SBL	0.05	7	А	0.9	3.5		
				SBT	0.49	10	В	18.5	37.9		

#### Table 2-3: Existing Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (AM Peak Hour)



#### Table 2-4: Existing Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (PM Peak Hour)

	Weekday PM Peak Hour										
Intersection	Overall			Movements of Interest							
intersection		Delay	1.05	Movement		Delay	105	Queue (m)			
	v/C	(s)	103	wovement	v/C	(s)	105	50th	95th		
				EBL	0.87	41	D	18.2	43.2		
				EBT	0.39	21	С	30.1	36.8		
				EBR	0.12	18	В	0.0	7.4		
				WBL	0.17	17	В	2.6	5.8		
				WBT	0.47	24	С	35.6	42.8		
Mayfield Road	0.92	30	C	NBL	0.95	77	Е	37.7	68.4		
indyfield Rodd				NBT	0.34	30	С	22.1	34.0		
				NBR	0.02	27	С	0.0	1.3		
				SBL	0.11	28	С	3.3	7.8		
				SBT	0.43	32	С	28.0	41.8		
				SBR	0.34	31	С	11.4	25.5		
				EBL	0.06	17	В	0.6	2.6		
				EBT	0.20	18	В	4.1	9.4		
				WBL	0.26	18	В	3.9	9.1		
Dixie Road &	0.49	12	Р	WBT	0.52	21	С	12.1	22.1		
Old School Road	0.40	13	В	NBL	0.04	7	А	0.7	2.9		
				NBT	0.47	10	В	15.3	33.4		
				SBL	0.01	7	А	0.2	1.2		
				SBT	0.24	8	А	6.6	15.7		

Under existing conditions, the signalized intersections are operating with an overall level of service (LOS) of 'C' or better during both peak hours. All individual movements are operating within the roadway capacity and acceptable delays during the AM and PM peak hours. However, it should be noted that the northbound left-turn movement at Dixie & Mayfield is approaching capacity with at V/C ratio of 0.95 during the PM peak period.



Table	2-5:	Existing	Capacity	Analysis	<ul> <li>Unsignalized</li> </ul>	Intersections
TUDIC	2	LAISting	cupacity	7111019515	Onsignanzea	Interscentions

			Weekda	y AM Peak Ho	our					
Intersection	Movement of Interest	Flow Rate (vph)	Capacity (vph)	Delay (s)	95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	v/c	LOS			
Dixie Road &	EBLR	18	544	12	0.5	0.03	В			
Merchant Road	NBL	49	1106	8	0.6	0.04	А			
	EBLTR	291	761	10	-	0.36	В			
Heart Lake Road &	WBLTR	120	711	9	-	0.16	А			
Old School Road	NBLTR	77	637	9	-	0.11	А			
	SBLTR	106	671	9	-	0.14	А			
	Weekday PM Peak Hour									
Intersection	Movement of Interest	Flow Rate (vph)	Capacity (vph)	Delay (s)	95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	v/c	LOS			
Dixie Road &	EBLR	38	468	13	1.2	0.08	В			
Merchant Road	NBL	11	968	9	0.2	0.01	А			
	EBLTR	138	754	9	-	0.17	А			
Heart Lake Road &	WBLTR	269	777	10	-	0.33	А			
Old School Road	NBLTR	84	676	9	-	0.11	Α			
	SBLTR	57	669	8	-	0.08	А			

Under existing traffic conditions, the unsignalized intersections within the study area are operating with short delays and ample residual capacity during both peak hours. All movements are operating with LOS of 'B' or better.



# **3 FUTURE BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

For the analysis of the future background traffic conditions, this study considers a five-year horizon to the year 2026. Future background traffic includes the traffic added to the network from other future developments within the surrounding area, corridor growth, as well as all planned infrastructure improvements within the study area.

#### **3.1 BACKGROUND DEVELOPMENTS**

There is one (1) background development identified within the immediate study area, located south of the subject site at 12035 Dixie Road. The development application for the proposed warehouse buildings at 12035 Dixie Road has not yet been submitted to the Town. However, since LEA is also the transportation consultant for that proposal, the trip generation, distribution and assignment is estimated using a similar methodology outlined in this study based on the latest site statistics. A summary of the background development is provided in **Table 3-1**.

Table 3-1: Background Development

Location	Site Statistics	Source
12035 Dixie Road	4 warehouse buildings, Total Approximate GFA of 197,230 m <sup>2</sup>	LEA (In progress)

#### 3.2 CORRIDOR GROWTH

As a conservative approach, an annual growth rate of 2% was applied to all roadways within the study area during the AM and PM peak hours.

#### **3.3 ROAD NETWORK IMPROVEMENTS**

The *Peel Region Long Range Transportation Plan (2019)* was reviewed to identify any planned roadway improvements within the study area. It was identified that Mayfield Road is proposed to be widened from five (5) to six (6) lanes between Dixie Road and Bramalea Road, as part of the Region's 2031 planning horizon. It is understood that construction is planned to begin in 2024-2025. As the widening is planned to be completed outside of the study's five-year horizon of 2026, this road improvement has not been considered in the future scenarios.

As part of the background development at 12035 Dixie Road, Dixie& Merchant is proposed to be signalized with an exclusive southbound left-turn lane. This intersection modification is incorporated under future background conditions.





#### **3.4 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

The future background traffic volumes were determined by incorporating future background traffic to the existing traffic volumes. The road network also reflects the changes at the Dixie & Merchant brought forth by the background development. The future background volumes are illustrated in **Figure 3-1**.



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST



The results for the assessed signalized intersections under future background conditions are summarized in **Table 3-2** and **Table 3-3**, whereas the results for the unsignalized intersections are summarized in **Table 3-4**. Detailed capacity results found in **Appendix C**.

Table 3-2: Future	Background	Capacity	Analysis -	Signalized	Intersections	(AM Peal	k Hour)
	DuckBround	capacity	7 (1101 y 515	51811411264	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	(/	( IIO GI )

	Weekday AM Peak Hour								
Intersection		Overall			Мо	vements o	f Interest		
intersection		Delay				Delay		Queue (m)	
	v/C	(s)	LUS	wovement	v/C	(s)	LUS	50th	95th
				EBT	0.01	38.9	D	0.0	0.0
				WBT	0.44	44.6	D	7.7	1.7
Dixie Road &	Dixie Road &	0.1	^	NBL	0.08	3.9	А	1.3	3.8
Merchant Road	0.59	9.1	A	NBT	0.38	5.7	А	16.6	30.9
				SBL	0.35	6.1	А	7.6	3.3
				SBT	0.36	5.4	А	16.1	29.6
				EBL	0.52	8	А	10.7	22.1
				EBT	0.48	12	В	37.8	56.3
			С	EBR	0.24	10	В	0.0	8.0
				WBL	0.25	10	В	2.0	5.4
		20		WBT	0.25	14	В	15.2	25.9
Dixie Road & Mayfield Road	0.57			NBL	0.72	64	Е	16.2	26.4
wayneid Rodd				NBT	0.61	52	D	22.9	33.4
				NBR	0.04	42	D	0.0	5.0
				SBL	0.17	44	D	2.7	6.7
				SBT	0.55	49	D	21.6	31.6
				SBR	0.21	44	D	0.5	13.5
				EBL	0.04	18	В	0.6	2.4
				EBT	0.56	24	С	14.4	25.6
				WBL	0.17	20	В	2.3	6.2
Dixie Road &	0.61	15	Р	WBT	0.21	20	В	4.7	10.4
Old School Road	0.01	15	В	NBL	0.02	7	А	0.2	1.1
			-	NBT	0.27	8	А	7.9	18.0
				SBL	0.06	7	А	0.9	3.6
				SBT	0.63	13	В	26.8	54.8



Table 3-3: Future Background Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (PM Peak Hour)

	Weekday PM Peak Hour								
		Overall			Мо	vements o	f Interest		
Intersection		Delay				Delay		Queu	ie (m)
	v/C	(s)	LOS	wovement	v/C	(s)	LOS	50th	95th
				EBT	0.03	32.6	С	0.3	0.0
				WBT	0.72	47.3	D	24.1	0.3
Dixie Road &	Dixie Road &	10.0		NBL	0.03	6.6	А	0.4	2.1
Merchant Road	10.0	В	NBT	0.56	12.0	В	39.1	78.5	
				SBL	0.10	7.3	А	1.6	1.4
				SBT	0.55	11.6	В	37.5	75.0
				EBL	1.35	209	F	~34.0	#65.5
				EBT	0.47	23	С	35.6	43.0
				EBR	0.12	19	В	0.0	7.4
			WBL	0.33	19	В	4.2	8.3	
		44	D	WBT	0.55	26	С	44.0	52.2
Dixie Road & Mayfield Road	1.21			NBL	0.97	83	F	39.3	#72.3
Wayneid Road				NBT	0.36	29	С	25.0	37.7
				NBR	0.02	25	С	0.0	2.0
				SBL	0.11	26	С	3.3	7.9
				SBT	0.47	31	С	33.2	48.8
				SBR	0.45	31	С	21.1	38.2
				EBL	0.06	16	В	0.6	2.6
				EBT	0.21	17	В	4.6	10.1
				WBL	0.25	18	В	3.9	9.0
Dixie Road &	0 50	1/	в	WBT	0.55	21	С	13.5	24.1
Old School Road	0.55	14	В	NBL	0.04	7	А	0.7	3.0
				NBT	0.61	13	В	22.7	48.8
				SBL	0.02	7	А	0.2	1.2
				SBT	0.29	9	А	8.2	19.2

Under future background conditions, the signalized intersections continue to operate acceptably and without constraints during the weekday AM peak hour. At Dixie & Mayfield, the eastbound left-turn movement is operating over capacity with a V/C ratio of 1.35 and long delays during the weekday PM peak hour due to the additional 45 vehicles making this left turn generated from the background development.

To note, the northbound left-turn movement at Dixie & Mayfield which was previously identified to be reaching capacity under existing conditions during weekday PM peak hour continues to operate within the roadway capacity.

No traffic constraints have been revealed for the future signalization and reconfiguration of Dixie & Merchant as part of the 12035 Dixie Road redevelopment.



#### Table 3-4: Future Background Capacity Analysis - Unsignalized Intersections

			Weekday	y AM Peak Ho	our	Weekday AM Peak Hour									
Intersection	Movement of Interest	Flow Rate (vph)	Capacity (vph)	Delay (s)	95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	v/c	LOS								
	EBLTR	317	751	11	-	0.40	В								
Heart Lake Road &	WBLTR	131	698	9	-	0.18	А								
Old School Road	NBLTR	81	618	9	-	0.12	Α								
	SBLTR	113	652	9	-	0.16	Α								
	Weekday PM Peak Hour														
			Weekday	y PM Peak Ho	our										
Intersection	Movement of Interest	Flow Rate (vph)	Weekday Capacity (vph)	y PM Peak Ho Delay (s)	our 95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	V/C	LOS								
Intersection	Movement of Interest EBLTR	Flow Rate (vph) 150	Weekday Capacity (vph) 741	y PM Peak Ho Delay (s) 9	ur 95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	<b>V/C</b> 0.19	LOS								
Intersection Heart Lake Road &	Movement of Interest EBLTR WBLTR	Flow Rate (vph) 150 293	Weekday Capacity (vph) 741 768	y PM Peak Ho Delay (s) 9 10	ur 95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m) -	V/C 0.19 0.37	LOS A B								
Intersection Heart Lake Road & Old School Road	Movement of Interest EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR	Flow Rate (vph) 150 293 89	Weekday Capacity (vph) 741 768 658	y PM Peak Ho Delay (s) 9 10 9	our 95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m) - -	V/C 0.19 0.37 0.12	LOS A B A								

Under future background traffic conditions, the unsignalized intersection is expected to continue to operate without capacity constraints during both peak hours. The addition of corridor growth and background development traffic have resulted in minimal increases in delays from existing conditions.



#### 3.5 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS (OPTIMIZED)

In order to improve traffic constraints revealed under future background conditions, LEA recommends signal optimization at Dixie & Mayfield during the weekday PM peak period.

It is recommended that signal timings be adjusted to allocate more green time for the eastbound left-turn phase at Dixie & Mayfield while maintaining the cycle length of 120 seconds. The recommended signal timing plan is shown in **Table 3-5**.

Timings	Northbound	Southbound	Eastbound		Westbound				
(seconds)	LTR	LTR	L	TR	L	TR			
Existing Signal Timing Plan									
Yellow Time	4.6	4.6	3	4.6	3	4.6			
All-Red Time	2.3	2.3	-	2.3	-	2.3			
Total Split	50	50	10	60	10	60			
Cycle Length	120 seconds								
Optimized Signal Timing Plan									
Yellow Time	4.6	4.6	3	4.6	3	4.6			
All-Red Time	2.3	2.3	-	2.3	-	2.3			
Total Split	53	53	21	57	10	46			
Cycle Length		120 se	econds						
Split Difference	+3	+3	+11	-3	0	-14			

Table 3-5: Optimized Signal Timing Plan at Dixie & Mayfield (Weekday PM)

The intersection capacity analysis is conducted once again with the optimized signal timing plan. The results of the capacity analysis with the improvements under future background conditions are summarized in **Table 3-6**. Detailed Synchro outputs are available in **Appendix D**.



#### Table 3-6: Future Background Capacity Analysis (Optimized)

	Weekday PM Peak Hour									
Interrection		Overall		Movements of Interest						
intersection		Delay	1.05	Movement		Delay		Queue (m)		
	v/C	(s)	LUS		v/C	(s)	LUS	50th	95th	
			EBL	0.92	61	E	30.4	#61.5		
				EBT	0.47	24	С	37.3	45.1	
			EBR	0.12	20	В	0.0	7.8		
				WBL	0.34	25	С	4.5	8.9	
				WBT	0.71	37	D	54.2	64.3	
Dixie Road & Mayfield Road	0.96	35	D	NBL	0.95	77	E	37.6	#69.0	
Wayneid Road				NBT	0.35	29	С	23.9	36.1	
				NBR	0.02	25	С	0.0	0.0	
				SBL	0.11	26	С	3.2	7.5	
				SBT	0.47	30	С	31.8	46.7	
				SBR	0.28	28	С	3.8	16.2	

With the implementation of the optimized signal timing plan, the traffic operations at Dixie & Mayfield have been improved significantly from previous conditions. The V/C ratio of the eastbound left-turn movement reduces to 0.92 and delay decreases by 148 seconds. All other movements are expected to operate with V/C ratios less than 1.0 and acceptable delays.



# **4 SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC**

#### 4.1 TRIP GENERATION

The proposed buildings are expected to operate similarly to a typical warehouse/distribution centre. To determine the trip generation for the proposed development, the average rate in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual 10<sup>th</sup> Edition for Warehousing (Land Use Code 150) was applied to the proposed uses. The heavy vehicle trip generation rates are derived from the ITE Trip Generation 10<sup>th</sup> Edition Online Supplement for LUC 150. The heavy vehicle percentages have been calculated by dividing the heavy vehicle trip generation rate by the total vehicle trip generation rate. The vehicle and truck trip rates utilized in the trip generation calculations are shown in **Table 4-1**, and the trip generation breakdown by building is summarized in **Table 4-2**.

Trin Constation	A	M Peak Hou	ır	PM Peak Hour			
mp Generation	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
All Vehicle Directional Distribution	77%	23%	100%	27%	73%	100%	
All Vehicles Trip Rate (Per 1,000ft <sup>2</sup> )	0.13	0.04	0.17	0.05	0.14	0.19	
Heavy Vehicle Directional Distribution	52%	48%	100%	52%	48%	100%	
Heavy Vehicle Trip Rate (Per 1,000ft <sup>2</sup> )	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	
Heavy Vehicle Percentage	8%	26%	12%	39%	7%	16%	

#### Table 4-1: Vehicle and Truck Warehousing Trip Rates



#### Table 4-2: Trip Generation Summary

Duilding	Trip Constation	AM P	eak Hour (	Trips)	PM Peak Hour (Trips)			
Building	The Generation	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
	Total Building A Traffic	115	35	150	45	123	168	
811 866 ft <sup>2</sup> )	Employee Traffic	106	26	132	27	114	141	
	Truck Traffic	9	9	18	18	9	27	
	Total Building B Traffic	129	39	168	51	137	188	
Building B (988 852 ft <sup>2</sup> )	Employee Traffic	119	29	148	31	127	158	
(900,03211)	Truck Traffic	10	10	20	20	10	30	
	Total Building C Traffic	68	20	88	27	72	99	
Building C (520 151 58 ft <sup>2</sup> )	Employee Traffic	63	15	78	16	67	83	
(520,151.5811)	Truck Traffic	5	5	10	11	5	16	
	Total Building D Traffic	35	11	46	14	37	51	
Building D	Employee Traffic	32	8	41	9	34	43	
(270,399.00 10)	Truck Traffic	3	3	5	5	3	8	
	Total Site Traffic	347	105	452	137	369	506	
Total Site	Employee Traffic	320	78	399	83	342	425	
	Truck Traffic	27	27	53	54	27	81	

The proposed development is projected to generate a total of 452 new trips (347 inbound, 105 outbound) and 506 new trips (137 inbound, 369 outbound) during the AM and PM peak hour periods, respectively.

#### 4.2 TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The trip distribution of employee vehicle traffic was estimated using Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) 2016 data. The TTS data was filtered for auto home-based work trips during the weekday AM peak period. It is assumed that the PM peak period trip distribution is the reverse of the AM peak period since employees entering the subject site in the morning will be utilizing the same routing in the afternoon to exit, and vice versa. **Table 4-3** summarizes the trip distribution for this study. Detailed TTS calculations are available in **Appendix E.** 

Divertien	Roodwov	A	M	PM		
Direction	Roadway	Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound	
North	Dixie Road	33%	23%	23%	33%	
South	Dixie Road	15%	11%	11%	15%	
<b>5</b> .	Mayfield Road	9%	6%	6%	9%	
East	Old School Road	1%	-	-	1%	
14/	Mayfield Road	38%	60%	60%	38%	
west	Old School Road	5%	-	-	5%	
	TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Table 4-3: Vehicle Trip Distribution



The majority of site traffic is expected to use Highway 410 to/from the proposed development which is located west of the subject site. The employee trip assignment was subsequently determined based on the trip origin and destination, site accesses, and the most logical routing. **Figure 4-1** illustrates the trip assignment of employee traffic on the study road network.

As for heavy vehicle site traffic, it is assumed that most trucks will utilize the highway network for longer distance travel. Given the subject site's close proximity to Highway 410, heavy vehicle site traffic was assigned to utilize this highway to travel to/from the site, as shown in **Figure 4-2**.

The total site generated traffic volumes for the weekday AM and PM peak hours are illustrated in Figure 4-3.











# **5 FUTURE TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

Future total transportation conditions include future background volumes, in addition to the site trips generated by the proposed development.

#### 5.1 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Intersection capacity analysis was conducted for the studied intersections with the site traffic added for the planning horizon of 2026. The future total analysis incorporates the signalization of Dixie & Merchant, as well as the recommended signal optimization from future background conditions. The future total traffic volumes utilized for the intersection capacity analysis are illustrated in **Figure 5-1**.

The results for the assessed signalized intersections under future total conditions are summarized in **Table 5-1** and **Table 5-2**, whereas the results for the unsignalized intersections are summarized in **Table 5-3**. Detailed capacity results found in **Appendix F**.




## Table 5-1: Future Total Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (AM Peak Hour)

				Weekda	ay AM Peak	Hour			
		Overall			Мо	vements of	f Interest		
Intersection		Delay				Delay		Queu	ie (m)
	v/C	(s)	LUS	wovement	v/C	(s)	LOS	50th	95th
				EBT	0.01	38.9	D	0.0	0.0
				WBT	0.44	44.6	D	7.7	1.7
Dixie Road &	0.54	0.0	^	NBL	0.09	4.0	А	1.3	3.9
Merchant Road	0.54	9.9	A	NBT	0.56	7.7	А	30.3	55.8
				SBL	0.47	8.6	А	8.7	3.4
				SBT	0.42	6.0	А	20.4	37.1
				EBL	0.72	13.9	В	20.8	#50.3
				EBT	0.50	14.2	В	41.2	60.4
				EBR	0.24	11.7	В	0.0	8.6
				WBL	0.28	16.7	В	2.3	5.9
				WBT	0.33	22.3	С	21.3	27.0
DIXIE ROad & Mayfield Boad	0.73	23.3	С	NBL	0.62	52.5	D	15.7	25.3
Wayneid Noad				NBT	0.67	51.5	D	28.8	40.4
				NBR	0.04	39.1	D	0.0	4.8
				SBL	0.21	42.0	D	3.2	7.6
				SBT	0.50	45.3	D	22.1	31.9
				SBR	0.47	45.2	D	9.3	26.5
				EBL	0.07	18.2	В	0.9	3.2
				EBT	0.58	23.5	С	15.2	26.7
				WBL	0.18	19.3	В	2.4	6.4
Dixie Road &	0.00	10.1		WBT	0.20	19.1	В	4.7	10.4
Old School Road	0.69	10.1	В	NBL	0.10	7.9	А	0.7	3.4
				NBT	0.28	8.6	А	8.5	20.0
				SBL	0.06	7.1	А	1.0	3.8
				SBT	0.74	16.1	В	34.9	#84.1
Dixie Road &				EBL	0.19	37.6	D	0.4	4.4
East Site Access	0.40	3.9	А	NBT	0.39	3.1	А	0.0	24.9
1				SBT	0.41	3.1	А	0.0	29.0
Dixie Road &				EBL	0.02	32.2	С	0.0	0.0
East Site Access	0.43	4.4	А	NBT	0.45	3.9	А	0.0	27.2
2				SBT	0.40	3.4	А	0.0	25.0
Dixie Road &				EBL	0.08	32.9	С	0.3	4.5
East Site Access	0.47	4.7	А	NBT	0.49	4.4	А	0.0	35.1
3				SBT	0.43	3.7	А	0.0	29.9



### Table 5-2: Future Total Capacity Analysis - Signalized Intersections (PM Peak Hour)

				Weekda	ay PM Peak Hour					
		Overall			Мо	vements o	f Interest			
Intersection		Delay		D.d. e		Delay	1.00	Queu	ie (m)	
	v/C	(s)	LUS	wovement	v/C	(s)	105	50th	95th	
				EBT	0.03	32.6	С	0.3	0.0	
				WBT	0.72	47.3	D	24.1	0.3	
Dixie Road &	0.74	20.5	C	NBL	0.05	6.9	А	0.4	2.2	
Merchant Road	0.74	20.5	C	NBT	0.66	14.1	В	51.2	103.2	
				SBL	0.13	7.7	А	1.6	1.4	
				SBT	0.75	16.6	В	64.7	130.8	
				EBL	1.28	182.0	F	~61.0	#97.3	
				EBT	0.49	25.6	С	37.3	45.1	
				EBR	0.12	21.0	С	0.0	7.8	
				WBL	0.36	27.4	С	4.5	8.9	
Divis Deed 9				WBT	0.75	39.5	D	54.5	64.6	
Mayfield Road	1.18	49.0	D	NBL	1.00	90.9	F	~39.9	#73.6	
Mayneia Road				NBT	0.35	26.8	С	25.0	37.5	
				NBR	0.02	23.0	С	0.0	0.0	
				SBL	0.19	25.1	С	6.1	12.5	
				SBT	0.51	29.6	С	38.2	55.1	
				SBR	0.53	30.3	С	21.8	44.1	
				EBL	0.21	17.4	В	2.4	6.5	
				EBT	0.22	17.1	В	4.7	10.5	
				WBL	0.25	17.5	В	3.9	9.1	
Dixie Road &	0.64	14.0	в	WBT	0.55	20.5	С	13.5	24.1	
Old School Road	0.04	14.5	В	NBL	0.12	7.8	А	2.0	6.4	
				NBT	0.69	14.9	В	27.2	#67.3	
				SBL	0.02	7.1	А	0.2	1.2	
				SBT	0.31	9.1	А	8.8	20.5	
Dixie Road &				EBL	0.26	28.3	С	2.9	9.3	
East Site Access	0.52	8.5	А	NBT	0.57	7.2	А	24.3	48.5	
1				SBT	0.52	6.5	А	21.3	42.0	
Dixie Road &				EBL	0.05	28.1	С	0.0	0.5	
East Site Access	0.51	7.5	Α	NBT	0.57	6.5	А	23.9	40.6	
2				SBT	0.54	6.1	А	23.0	38.2	
Dixie Road &				EBL	0.24	28.3	С	2.7	8.9	
East Site Access	0.57	9.1	А	NBT	0.60	7.7	А	25.8	52.0	
3				SBT	0.63	8.0	А	29.4	58.6	

Under future total conditions, the signalized intersections continue to operate acceptably and without constraints during the weekday AM peak hour. However, with the addition of 102 trucks and vehicles



making the eastbound left-turn at Dixie & Mayfield during the PM peak hour, the movement is operating over capacity with a V/C ratio of 1.28 and long delays. Additionally, the northbound left-turn movement at Dixie & Mayfield is now operating at capacity, which was revealed to be reaching capacity under existing and future background conditions.

The capacity analysis demonstrates that all signalized site accesses are operating within capacity and with acceptable LOS during both peak hours.

			Weekday	y AM Peak Ho	our						
Intersection	Movement of Interest	Flow Rate (vph)	Capacity (vph)	Delay (s)	95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	v/c	LOS				
	EBLTR	317	751	11	-	0.40	В				
Heart Lake Road &	WBLTR	131	698	9	-	0.18	А				
Old School Road	NBLTR	81	618	9	-	0.12	А				
	SBLTR	113	652	9	-	0.16	Α				
North Site Access #1 & Old	WBLT	22	1295	1.5	0.2	0.02	А				
School Road	NBR	7	770	9.7	0.1	0.01	Α				
North Site Access #2 & Old	WBLT	12	1300	0.8	0.1	0.01	А				
School Road	NBR	2	769	9.7	0.0	0.00	А				
North Site Access #3 & Old	WBLT	23	1298	1.3	0.2	0.02	А				
School Road	NBR	7	767	9.7	0.1	0.01	А				
	Weekday PM Peak Hour										
Intersection	Movement	Flow Rate	Canacity		95 <sup>th</sup>						
	of Interest	(vph)	(vph)	Delay (s)	Queue	V/C	LOS				
			· · · ·		(m)						
	EBLTR	150	741	9	(m) -	0.19	A				
Heart Lake Road &	EBLTR WBLTR	150 293	741 768	9 10	(m) - -	0.19 0.37	A B				
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road	EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR	150 293 89	741 768 658	9 10 9	(m) - - -	0.19 0.37 0.12	A B A				
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road	EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR SBLTR	150 293 89 62	741 768 658 650	9 10 9 8	(m) - - - -	0.19 0.37 0.12 0.09	A B A A				
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road North Site Access #1 & Old	EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR SBLTR WBLT	150 293 89 62 21	741 768 658 650 1461	9 10 9 8 0.6	(m) - - - - 0.2	0.19 0.37 0.12 0.09 0.01	A B A A A				
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road North Site Access #1 & Old School Road	EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR SBLTR WBLT NBR	150 293 89 62 21 29	741 768 658 650 1461 716	9 10 9 8 0.6 10.2	(m) - - - 0.2 0.6	0.19 0.37 0.12 0.09 0.01 0.04	A B A A A B				
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road North Site Access #1 & Old School Road North Site Access #2 & Old	EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR SBLTR WBLT NBR WBLT	150 293 89 62 21 29 2	741 768 658 650 1461 716 1440	9 10 9 8 0.6 10.2 0.1	(m) - - - 0.2 0.6 0.0	0.19 0.37 0.12 0.09 0.01 0.04 0.00	A B A A A B A				
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road North Site Access #1 & Old School Road North Site Access #2 & Old School Road	EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR SBLTR WBLT NBR WBLT NBR	150 293 89 62 21 29 2 2 12	741 768 658 650 1461 716 1440 898	9 10 9 8 0.6 10.2 0.1 9.1	(m) - - - 0.2 0.6 0.0 0.2	0.19 0.37 0.12 0.09 0.01 0.04 0.00 0.01	A B A A A B A A				
Heart Lake Road & Old School Road North Site Access #1 & Old School Road North Site Access #2 & Old School Road North Site Access #3 & Old	EBLTR WBLTR NBLTR SBLTR WBLT NBR WBLT WBLT	150 293 89 62 21 29 2 2 12 21	741 768 658 650 1461 716 1440 898 1426	9 10 9 8 0.6 10.2 0.1 9.1 0.6	(m) - - - 0.2 0.6 0.0 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.19 0.37 0.12 0.09 0.01 0.04 0.00 0.01 0.01	A B A A A B A A A A				

	Table 5-3: Future	Total	Capacity	Analysis	- Unsignalized	Intersections
--	-------------------	-------	----------	----------	----------------	---------------

Under future total traffic conditions, all unsignalized intersections are expected to operate well during both peak hours. The proposed site accesses are expected to operate with LOS 'B' or better with minimal delays and queuing.



# 5.2 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS (OPTIMIZED)

In order to improve the traffic constraints revealed under future total conditions, LEA recommends signal optimization at Dixie & Mayfield intersection during the weekday PM peak period.

It is recommended that a protected left-turn phase be implemented for the northbound approach and increasing the cycle length by 15 seconds to 135 seconds. This cycle length would align with the existing cycle length at the adjacent intersection located at Bramalea and Mayfield. This increase allows for additional green time to be dedicated to the eastbound and northbound movements. The recommended signal timing plan is shown in **Table 5-4**.

Timings	Northbound		Southbound	Eastbound		Westbound	
(seconds)	L	TR	LTR	L	L TR		TR
		Future Bad	ckground Optimized Sign	al Timing Pl	an		
Yellow Time	-	4.6	4.6	3	4.6	3	4.6
All-Red Time	-	2.3	2.3	-	2.3	-	2.3
Total Split	-	53	53	21	57	10	46
Cycle Length			120 se	econds			
		Future	Total Optimized Signal 1	Timing Plan			
Yellow Time	3 4.6		4.6	3	4.6	3	4.6
All-Red Time	-	2.3	2.3	-	2.3	-	2.3
Total Split	15.7	63.6	47.9	26.5	63.4	8	44.9
Cycle Length	cle Length 135 seconds						
Split Difference	+15.7	+10.6	-5.1	+5.5	+6.4	-2	-1.1

Table 5-4: Optimized Signal Timing Plan at Dixie & Mayfield (Weekday PM)

The intersection capacity analysis is conducted once again with the optimized signal timing plan. The results of the capacity analysis with the improvements under future total conditions are summarized in **Table 5-5**. Detailed synchro outputs are provided in **Appendix G**.



Table 5-5: Future To	otal Capacity Ar	alysis (Optimized)
----------------------	------------------	--------------------

				Weekday PM Peak Hour							
Intersection	Overall			Movements of Interest							
intersection		Delay				Delay	1.00	Queue (m)			
	v/C	(s)	105	wovement	v/C	(s)	103	50th	95th		
				EBL	0.97	78.5	E	55.1	#104.4		
				EBT	0.46	26.2	С	42.4	50.3		
		48.1	D	EBR	0.12	21.5	С	0.0	8.3		
				WBL	0.41	35.9	D	5.0	10.3		
	1.02			WBT	0.87	54.2	D	66.3	77.3		
Dixie Road & Mayfield Road				NBL	0.99	87.9	F	31.5	#56.0		
Mayneid Road				NBT	0.35	30.8	С	28.2	39.0		
				NBR	0.02	26.4	С	0.0	0.1		
				SBL	0.25	40.3	D	8.3	15.3		
				SBT	0.76	53.8	D	52.0	69.6		
				SBR	0.63	48.6	D	24.9	49.0		

The recommended signal optimization improves the traffic operations at Dixie & Mayfield, where all individual movements are operating within the roadway capacity and acceptable delays. For the eastbound left-turn movement, the V/C ratio reduces to 0.97 and delay decreases by 104 seconds during the weekday PM peak hour. Further, the northbound left-turn movement operates with a V/C ratio of 0.99. Although, this movement still operates with a LOS of 'F', the delay is within one cycle length, which is acceptable.

Despite the analysis presented above, it should be reminded that the optimized signal timing plan was recommended to alleviate traffic constraints at Dixie & Mayfield following the proposed development's fullbuild out. However, given that the four (4) buildings will not be constructed at the same time, the recommended signal timing plan improvements will not be required immediately. Instead, the level of service at Dixie & Mayfield should be monitored as the development advances. This process will confirm if and when the signal timing optimization is required, that is only when site generated traffic volumes surpass the roadway capacity and results in deteriorating traffic operations.

Additionally, as discussed in **Section 3.3**, the Mayfield Road widening construction is planned to begin in 2024-2025 but to be completed beyond the study horizon year. It is expected that changes to the signal timing plan will occur to adapt to the new traffic flows associated with the additional lane, in which case, the recommended optimization may not be necessary. Furthermore, the Region of Peel and Town of Caledon is currently undergoing their Municipal Comprehensive and Settlement Boundary Expansion Studies. It is understood that the subject site is located within the Focus Study Area and have been highlighted as a prime area for boundary expansion, employment uses, and servicing infrastructure. As a result, it can be anticipated that traffic volumes in the area will grow significantly within the next 5-10 years as an increased level of development occurs. Since the increase in traffic will not be generated by the proposed development alone, the onus of maintaining acceptable operations at Dixie & Mayfield in the future should be shared between the developer and the Region.



# **6 SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS**

The three (3) proposed accesses along Dixie Road were examined to determine if traffic signals are required upon realization of the proposed development. The signal warrant is based on Justification 7 in the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 12 which considers projected volumes. This is appropriate to determine the future need for signalization due the addition of development traffic in the area. Since it is difficult to predict eight-hour volumes with accuracy, peak hour volumes (PHV) estimated in **Section 5** are expanded to obtain average hourly volumes (AHV). Average hourly volume is calculated from peak hour volumes using this relationship:

$$AHV = \frac{amPHV + pmPHV}{4}$$

Justification 7 takes the required volumes from Justifications 1 and 2 and increases it by 20% for an existing intersection. This is because the use of peak hour volumes lessens the warrant due to averaging and uncertainty is increased. The warrant also considers the type of intersection, lane configuration and location context. All three (3) proposed accesses along Dixie Road are three-leg intersections, located in a rural area or free flow conditions. Dixie Road is considered to be the major road which has one (1) through lane in both north and south directions. The site driveway is considered to be the minor approach with a shared left- and right-turn lane. For the purpose of this analysis, the minor road is considered to have one lane in each direction. This presents a conservative analysis as the thresholds are lower for a single lane of traffic per direction. According to the guidelines, right-turn volumes from the minor approach should be excluded from criteria 2B as they are not considered traffic crossing a road. Further, the guidelines also state that the volume requirement in criteria 1B should be increased by 50% since the proposed accesses on Dixie Road is a "T" intersection.

The traffic volumes under future total conditions for each access were utilized in the signal warrant analysis. The installation of a traffic signal is warranted if all volume requirements are met as per Table 21 "Justification 7 Projected Volumes" and Table 22 "Future Development: Volume Expansion Required to Meet Justifications" in OTM Book 12. The results for all three (3) accesses are summarized in **Table 6-1**, and detailed analysis is available in **Appendix H**.



### Table 6-1: Signal Warrant Analysis Results

Proposed	Justification	Compliance	Sig Justi	Signal Justified?		
ALLESS			YES	NO		
	1. Minimum Vehicular	А	Total Volume (Average Hour)	100%		х
East	Volume	В	Crossing Volume (Average Hour)	16%		х
Access #1	2 Delay to Cross Traffic	А	Main Road (Average Hour)	100%		х
		В	Crossing Road (Average Hour)	21%		х
	1. Minimum Vehicular	А	Total Volume (Average Hour)	100%		х
East	Volume	В	Crossing Volume (Average Hour)	14%		х
Access #2	2 Delay to Cross Traffic	А	Main Road (Average Hour)	100%		х
	2. Delay to Cross Traffic		Crossing Road (Average Hour)	0%		х
	1. Minimum Vehicular	А	Total Volume (Average Hour)	100%		х
East	Volume	В	Crossing Volume (Average Hour)	16%		х
Access #3	2 Delay to Cross Troffic	А	Main Road (Average Hour)	100%		х
		В	Crossing Road (Average Hour)	18%		х

Based on the analysis, the projected average hourly volumes for all three (3) accesses along Dixie Road do not fulfill Justification 7. Although criteria 1A and 2A are met with 100% for all accesses, a signal is not warranted due to low minor road and crossing volumes. However, it should be noted that the signal warrant analyses were conducted with peak hour traffic volumes which is primarily composed of employee vehicle traffic. It is assumed that warehouse truck operations would not typically operate during peak periods such that heavy vehicle traffic would be much higher during off-peak periods. Given that the proposed development will provide a total of 290 trailer parking spaces, this volume of trucks can potentially be entering and leaving the subject site at the same time as a worst-case scenario. Therefore, signalization is proposed at the three (3) accesses along Dixie Road to facilitate warehouse truck operations.



# **7 PARKING REVIEW**

The subject site is governed by the parking standards in the Town of Caledon Zoning By-law 2006-50. The parking requirements for the development assumes that the office net floor area associated with each building is 15% or less of the total net floor area (NFA). In accordance to the bylaw, a building with a NFA of over 20,000m<sup>2</sup> would yield 168 parking spaces, plus one (1) parking space per 170m<sup>2</sup> of NFA or portion thereof over 20,000m<sup>2</sup>. To note, at this stage of the development proposal, the NFA has not yet been determined for each building. Therefore, as a conservative method, the gross floor area (GFA) has been utilized for the following parking calculations. The parking requirements is summarized in **Table 7-1**.

			Town of Caledon Zoning By-	law 2006-50	Darking
Building	Land Use	GFA (m²)	Parking Standard	Parking Required	Supply
Building A	Warehouse (>20,000 m <sup>2</sup> )	81,930	168 spaces + 1 space per 170 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 20,000 m <sup>2</sup>	533	571
Building B	Warehouse (>20,000 m <sup>2</sup> )	91,867	168 spaces + 1 space per 170 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 20,000 m <sup>2</sup>	591	559
Building C	Warehouse (>20,000 m <sup>2</sup> )	48,324	168 spaces + 1 space per 170 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 20,000 m <sup>2</sup>	335	469
Building D	Warehouse (>20,000 m <sup>2</sup> )	25,121	168 spaces + 1 space per 170 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 20,000 m <sup>2</sup>	199	258
			TOTAL	1,658	1,857
		Parking	Rate (spaces per 100m <sup>2</sup> of GFA)	0.67	0.75

Table 7-1: Zoning By-law Parking Requirements

Based on the minimum parking requirements under the Town of Caledon Zoning By-law, the proposed development is required to provide a total of 1,658 parking spaces. The development is proposing to provide a total of 1,857 parking spaces, exceeding the by-law minimum parking requirements by 199 parking spaces. This proposed provision is equivalent to an overall parking rate of 0.75 spaces per 100m<sup>2</sup> GFA.

Although the proposed parking supply provided for Building B is deficient from the individual building's parking requirement by 32 parking spaces, employees will be able to utilize the surplus parking of 38 spaces provided at the adjacent Building A.



# **8 LOADING REVIEW**

The proposed development is subject to the loading standards outlined in the Town of Caledon Zoning Bylaw 2006-50. The warehouse loading space requirements include three (3) loading spaces for a minimum GFA of 7,441 m<sup>2</sup>, and one (1) loading space required for each additional 9,300 m<sup>2</sup> GFA or portion thereof in excess of 7,441 m<sup>2</sup>. **Table 8-1** summarizes the loading space requirements and proposed loading spaces per building.

			Town of Caledon Zoning By-law	Looding	
Building	Land Use	GFA (m²)	Loading Standard	Loading Required	Supply
Building A	Warehouse (>7,441 m <sup>2</sup> )	81,930	3 spaces + 1 space per 9,300 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 7,441 m <sup>2</sup>	12	168
Building B	Warehouse (>7,441 m <sup>2</sup> )	91,867	3 spaces + 1 space per 9,300 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 7,441 m <sup>2</sup>	13	198
Building C	Warehouse (>7,441 m <sup>2</sup> )	48,324	3 spaces + 1 space per 9,300 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 7,441 m <sup>2</sup>	8	52
Building D	Warehouse (>7,441 m <sup>2</sup> )	25,121	3 spaces + 1 space per 9,300 m <sup>2</sup> of GFA over 7,441 m <sup>2</sup>	5	36
			TOTAL	38	454

Table 8-1: Zoning By-law Loading Requirements

The proposed loading supply of 454 spaces will satisfy the total by-law requirement of 38 loading spaces. The swept path diagrams demonstrating loading functionality is available in **Appendix I.** 



# **9 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT (TDM)**

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) is a set of strategies which strive towards a more efficient transportation network by influencing travel behavior. Effective TDM measures can reduce vehicle usage and encourage people to engage in more sustainable methods of travel. There are several opportunities to incorporate TDM measures that support alternative modes of transportation. The recommendations should enhance non-single occupant vehicle trips for employees traveling to and from the subject site.

# 9.1 TRANSIT-BASED STRATEGIES

### 1. Addition of bus stops on-site to provide connection to transit network.

The proposed development will implement bus stops on site to encourage employees to use transit. The bus locations are proposed along the driveways of North Access #3 along Old School Road, as well as East Access #2 along Dixie Road. The exact bus stop locations and design will be determined in consultation with the Town of Caledon and Region of Peel, along with transit routing and schedules.

### 2. Provision of real-time transit schedule screens.

It is recommended that screens be provided in the employees' lounges and main exits to display real-time data for transit services, including schedules and service alerts.

## 9.2 TRAVEL AND PARKING MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

### 3. Signed carpool spaces.

It is recommended that the proposed development include designated carpool spaces as a means to reduce single occupancy automobile usage. These carpool spaces should be clearly signed and be located conveniently close to the main entrances to provide a greater incentive for employees carpooling.

### 4. Smart Commute Membership.

Once tenants are secured, it is recommended that future tenants/owners register with the Smart Commute program. Smart Commute provides the means for businesses to help provide an alternative option for their employees to get to and from work through ride matching. One benefit with Smart Commute is the Emergency Ride Home program that provides carpoolers with a sense of reassurance under urgent circumstances. The Owner could also help tenants in establishing an employer-based carpool program specifically for the employees that would be working on-site.

### 5. Communications Strategy.

The Owner should provide communications and distribute information to employees via information packages or through email regarding the different travel demand management measures and programs that are offered. Information on Smart Commute, Emergency Ride Home, or other incentives can be obtained from the Region, and be included as part of this material. The Region and/or Town should also be responsible for making Smart Commute information brochures, pedestrian/cycling maps, transit maps, and





other general information available for distribution to the building occupant to help commuters become aware of the various travel alternatives.



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST

# **10 CONCLUSIONS**

- The development proposal will introduce four (4) warehouse/distribution buildings with a combined ground floor area (GFA) of approximately 247,243 m<sup>2</sup>. Six (6) accesses will be provided to the site: three (3) all-moves accesses along Old School Road, as well as three (3) all-moves accesses along Dixie Road. All accesses along Dixie Road are proposed to be signalized.
- The subject site is located in a predominantly rural area, with limited access to the Town's active transportation networks. Therefore, there is a lack of pedestrian and cycling infrastructure within the study area.
- Under existing traffic conditions, all studied intersections operate well with an overall LOS of 'C' or better during both peak periods. Of note, the northbound left-turn movement at Dixie & Mayfield is approaching capacity during the PM peak hour.
- Under future background conditions, the studied intersections continue to operate acceptably without any capacity constraints during the weekday AM peak hour. However, the eastbound left-turn movement at Dixie & Mayfield is operating over capacity during the weekday PM peak hour due to the additional traffic generated by the background development.
- Signal timing adjustments while maintaining the existing cycle length are recommended at Dixie & Mayfield during the PM peak period to alleviate the traffic constraints revealed under future background conditions. With the optimized signal timing plan, the intersection operates with acceptable levels of service.
- The proposed development is projected to generate 452 and 506 two-way trips during the AM and PM peak hour periods, respectively.
- Under future total conditions, the eastbound and northbound left-turn movements at Dixie & Mayfield are operating with capacity constraints during the PM peak hour. The proposed site accesses are expected to operate within capacity and with minimal delays.
- Additional signal timing adjustments are recommended, including a protected northbound left-turn phase, at Dixie & Mayfield during the PM peak period. With this improvement, all individual movements are operating within the roadway capacity and acceptable delays.
- To note, the signal timing optimization was recommended based on the traffic generated by the full build-out of the proposed development. This improvement would not be required immediately as the four (4) buildings are not proposed to be constructed at the same time. Instead, Dixie & Mayfield should be monitored as the development advances, in order to confirm the necessity and timing of signal timing improvements. The Mayfield Road widening and Settlement Boundary Expansion Study conducted by the Region and Town may also affect the need for the signal timing optimization at this intersection.
- The proposed parking provision of 1,857 parking spaces satisfies the Town of Caledon Zoning By-law parking requirements.
- The proposed loading provision of 454 spaces satisfies the Town of Caledon Zoning By-law loading requirement.





- A number of TDM measures have been recommended, including carpool spaces, real-time transportation screens, and information packages on travel alternatives.
- The future on-site bus stops will allow employees to engage in sustainable modes of transportation and reduce auto-based travel. The proposed bus stops provide an opportunity for public transit connection to extend north from the existing bus stop south of Dixie Road.



TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

# **APPENDIX A**

**Existing Traffic Data** 

# Region of Peel Working for you

OFFICE COPY

Inte	rsec	tion	Nam	e				Roa	d Code	Int. #		Sys #	Rev	
Con	e Ro troll	ad at er Ma	ake	School Road	Model			004 Firn	30603 nware Rev	9963 •. No.		963		1
Eco	nolit	e			ASC/3									
Тур	e of	Ope	ratio	n 4 Phase S	Semi-Ad	ctuated	R	evisi	on					
NO	V	Date			De	scription		0 1101	011			Field Chg	Checked	Approved
1	т 17	IVI	U	Installation of	Traffic	Signals						бу	ру	by
*- Si	tart F	rom	Mair	Menu					DIDTION					
							PHASEL	250	RIPTION					
Ph1								Ph5						
Ph2 Ph3	Dixi	e Ro	ad -	Southbound				PH6 Ph7	Dixie Roa	ad - North	bound			
Ph4	Old	Sch	ool F	Road - Westbo	und			Ph8	Old Scho	ol Road -	Eastbo	ound		
<u> </u>						CONFI	GURATION	SUE	BMENU - C	PTIONS			*- 1 - 8	
	Sup	ervis	or ac	cess code:	0000									
	Data	a cha Clic	inge k En:	access code: able:	9400 YES									
	Bac	klight	t Ena	ible:	YES									
	Port	Drot	000			CONF	IGURATIO	N SU	BMENU -	PORT 3			*- 1 - 6	
	Port	2 Er	nable		:	X								
	Tele	emetr	y Ad	dress	:	1-6								
	Tele	em re	spor	ise delay		8700								
	Dup	lex -	Half	or Full		Full								
	Data	iem L a, Pa	Jata rity, S	Rate (BPS) Stop:	:	1200 8,0,1								
		,	37									_		
					CON	FIGURATIC	ON SUBME	<u>- U</u>	CONTROL	LER SEC	QUENCE		*- 1 - 1	
				12	3	45	6							
	R1 R2			1 2	3	4 9 8 11	10 12							
	1.2			0 0		0111	12							
				Phase:	CONFI 1	GURATIUC	ON SUBME	10 -	PHASES I	5 5	6	7	<u>*-1-2</u>	
	Pha	se in	use	:	0	X	0		X	0	x	0	X	-
	Excl	lusive	e Peo	: 1	0		0			0	_0	0	0	-
						CONTROL	LER SUBN	IENU	J - TIMING	DATA			* - 2 - 1	
	Mini	mum	Gro	Phase:	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	
	Wal	k			0	8	0		8	0	8	0	8	-
	Ped	estria	an Cl	earance. :	0	9	0		11	0	9	0	11	-
	Veh	. Ext. . Ext.	2		0	5.0		•	5.0		5.0	0	5.0	-
	Max	. Ext		:										-
	Max	imun imun	n No n No	1 : 2 :	0	30	0	-	30	0	<u>30</u> 30	0	30	-
	Max	imun	n No	3 :	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	-
	Red	ow Clr			0	4.6	0	-	<u>4.0</u> 2.4	0	4.6	0	4.0	-
						. <u> </u>		•		_				-
	Seco	onds//	Actua n Init	ແon. : ial::	0	<u> </u>	0	•	0	0	0	0	- <u>0</u>	-
	Tim	e B4	Red	uction :	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	-
	Cars	S WT	 IC	:	0	<u> </u>	0	-	0	0	0	0	- <u>0</u>	-
	MIN	GAF		:	Ő	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	-
<u> </u>						CONTROL	LER SUBN	IENI	J - RECAI	L DATA			* - 2 - 4	
L				Phase:	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	-
	Lock	king l	Mem	ory :	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-
	ven Ped	Rec	veca all	и :	0	- <u>v</u> X	0	•	0	0	<u> </u>	0	- 0	-
	Rec	all to	Max		0	X	0	-	0	0	X	0	0	-
	Soft	Rec t Rec	all st H4	are	0	<u> </u>	0	•	0	0	0	0	- <u>0</u>	-
	Ped	Dark	< n/ca	all	0	- <del>-</del>	0	•	0	Ŭ,	0	0		-

Feb 26, 2021

Interception Name		Read Code Int #	Svo # Boy
Dixie Road at Old School Road		00430603 9963	963 1
Controller Make	Model	Firmware Rev. No.	
Leonome	CONTROLLER SUBMENU -	START/FLASH DATA	* - 2 - 6
Phase: Power Start External Start: Power start All Red Time Power Start Flash time Out of Flash Yellow Out of Flash All Red	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	CONTROLLER SUB	MENU - OPTION DATA	* - 2 - 9
Phase: Guar Passage : Nonactuated 1 : Nonactuated 2 Dual Entry Cond Service Rest in Walk Flashing Walk Enable Programm	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
U U		Dual Entry Backup Protect Group 1	X X
	COORDINATOR	SUBMENU - OPTIONS	* - 3 - 1
Split units sec Offset Units sec Intercnt Fmt STD Intercnt Src TLM Resync count Transition SMOOTI Dwell period 0%	Act Crr Act Walk/Re Inhibit Max Max2 Select Multisync H FLOAT FORCE OFF 6 Floating For	I Phase X st X 	
	COORDINATOR SUB	MENU - COORD PATTERN 1	* - 3 - 4
Cycle Length : 70 Offset : 0 <u>SPLITS</u> Phase 11 5 9 Phase: Coord Phases : Vehicle Recall : Vehicle Recall Ped Recall Phase Omit Spare	) 0 2) 40 ) 0 6) 40 ) 0 6) 40 0 10) 0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
			•
Cycle Length : 65 Offset : 0 <u>SPLITS</u> Phase 1) 5) 9)	0 2) 38 0 6) 38 0 10) 0	3) 0 4) 27 7) 0 8) 27 11) 0 12) 0	
Phase: Coord Phases : Vehicle Recall : Veh Max Recall Ped Recall Phase Omit Spare	1         2         3           0         0         0           0         X         0           0         X         0           0         X         0           0         0         0           0         0         0           0         0         0           0         0         0           0         0         0	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Cycle Length : 65 Offset : 0 SPLITS Phase 1) 5) 9)	0 2) 35 0 6) 35 0 10) 0	3) 0 4) 30 7) 0 8) 30 11) 0 12) 0	
Phase: Coord Phases : Vehicle Recall : Veh Max Recall Ped Recall Phase Omit Spare	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Intersection Name					Road Cod	le Int. #		Sys #	Rev.	]
Dixie Road at Old Scho Controller Make	ol Road	Model			00430603 Firmware	9963 Rev. No.		963	1	
Econolite		ASC/3	OORDINAT		IENU - CO	ORD PATT	ERN 4		* - 3 - 4	
Cycle Length Offset SPLITS Phase	: 255 : 0	0	2)	C/O	/S - 704 3) 0	4)	0			
	5) 9)	0	6) 10)	0	7) 0 11) 0	8) 12)	0	7	٥	
Coord Phases Vehicle Recall Veh Max Recall Ped Recall Phase Omit Spare	rnase: : :	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 X 0	4 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	7 0 0 0 0 0		
		C	OORDINAT	OR SUBN	IENU - CO	ORD PATT	ERN 5		* - 3 - 4	
Cycle Length Offset	: 255 : 0			C/0	/S - 705					
SPLITS Phase	1) 5) 9)	0 0 0	2) 6) 10)	0 0 0	3) 0 7) 0 11) 0	4) 8) 12)	0 0 0			
Coord Phases Vehicle Recall Veh Max Recall Ped Recall Phase Omit Spare	Phase: : :	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 X 0 X X 0 0	3 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0 0 0	6 X 0 X X 0 0	7 0 0 0 0 0	8 0 0 0 0 0	
<b></b>		с	OORDINAT	OR SUBN	IENU - CO	ORD PATT	ERN 6		* - 3 - 4	
	. 255			C/0	/5 - 706					
Offset SPLITS Phase	1) 5) 9)	0 0 0	2) 6) 10)	0 0 0	3) 0 7) 0 11) 0	4) 8) 12)	0 0 0			
Coord Phases Vehicle Recall Veh Max Recall Ped Recall Phase Omit Spare	Phase: : :	1 0 0 0 X 0	2 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 X 0	4 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0 X 0	6 0 0 0 0 0	7 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 0 0 0 0 0	
			NIC/TOD	SUBMEN	U - CLOCI	(/CALEND	AR		* - 5 - 1	
15 JAN 2001		MON V	VEEK 12			12:12:00 F	M			
DATE SET: TIME SET:					ENTE	R DATE/T NPRESS E	IME NTER			
MANUAL NIC PRO MANUAL TOD PR	OGRAM S OGRAM S	TEP STEP								
			NIC/TOD	SUBMENI	J - WEEKL	Y PROGR/	AMS		* - 5 - 1	
Sunday Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday	1 2 1 1 1 1 2	2 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>3</u> 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>7</u> 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 1 1 1 1 1	<u>9</u> 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>10</u> 1 1 1 1 1 1
			NIC/TOD	SUBMEN	J - YEARL	Y PROGRA	MS		* - 5 - 3	
			W	EEK - OF	YEAR					
WEEKLY PROG.	<u>1 2</u> 1 1	<u>3</u> 1	<u>4 5</u> 1 1	<u>6</u> 7 11	<u>8 9</u> 1 1	<u>10</u> <u>11</u> 1 1	<u>12</u> <u>13</u> 1 1	<u>14</u> <u>15</u> 1 1	<u>16 17 1</u> 1 1	<u>8</u> 1
WEEKLY PROG.	<u>19</u> 20 1 1	<u>21</u> 1	<u>22</u> <u>23</u> 1 1	<u>24</u> 25 11	<u>26</u> 27 11	<u>28</u> 29 11	<u>30</u> 31 11	<u>32</u> 33 11	<u>34 35 3</u> 1 1	8 <u>6 37</u> 1 1
WEEKLY PROG.	<u>38</u> 39 11	<u>40</u> 1	<u>41</u> <u>42</u> 1 1	<u>43</u> 44 1 1	<u>45 46</u> 1 1	<u>47</u> <u>48</u> 1 1	<u>49</u> 50 11	51 52 1 1	<u>53</u> 1	

Intersection Name Dixie Road at Old School I	Road	Road Code 00430603	<b>Int. #</b> 9963	Sys # 963	Rev. 1
Controller Make Econolite	Model ASC/3	Firmware R	ev. No.		
	NIC/TC	DD SUBMENU - NIC PROG	RAMS STEPS	*	* - 5 - 3
STEP	PROGRAM	TIME	PATTERN		
1	1	0600	701		
2	1	0900	702		
3	1	1500	703		
4		1100	704		
6	ő	1800	706		
7	0	0000	0		
Authorized Signature:			Date:		

		<b>REGIONAL MUN</b>	IICIPAL	ITY OF F	PEEL				
		Traffic Signal	Timing Pa	rameters					
Database	Date	January 8, 2018			Pre	pared Date	C	December 8, 2	020
Database	Rev	27			Cor	npleted By		JP	
Timing Ca	rd / Field rev				C	hecked By		SJ	
Location		Dixie R	oad at Ma	ayfield Ro	bad				
Phase	Street Name - Direction	Vehicle	Pede Minim	strian	Amber	All Red	T (Gree	IME PERIOD en+Amber+A	(s) \II Red)
#	Otreet Name - Direction	Minimum (s)			(s)	(s)	AM	OFF	PM
			WALK	FDWALK			SPLITS	MAX	SPLITS
1	Mayfield Road - WB P.P. LT	5	0	0	30	0	10	13	10
2	Mayfield Road - EB	8	8	30	46	23	60	16.9	60
3	Not in use	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Dixie Road - NB	8	8	33	46	23	50	46.9	50
5	Mayfield Road - EB P.P. LT	5	0	0	30	0	10	13	10
6	Mayfield Road - WB	8	8	30	46	23	60	16.9	60
7	Not in use	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Dixie Road - SB	8	8	33	46	23	50	46.9	50
	System Control			TIME	(M-F)	PEAK	CYCLE LE	ENGTH (s)	OFFSET (s)
	No			07:00 ·	- 09:00	AM	1:	20	44
	Semi-Actuated Mode			FR	EE	OFF	(	)	0
	Yes			15:00 -	- 18:00	PM	12	20	32

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED



Pedestrians

Pedestrians%

-

-

0

0%

-

-

-

### Turning Movement Count Location Name: DIXIE RD & OLD SCHOOL RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos

### Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM Weather: Moderate Rain (9.08 °C) W Approach OLD SCHOOL RD N Approach DIXIE RD E Approach OLD SCHOOL RD S Approach DIXIE RD Int. Total (15 min) Start Time Right UTurn Right UTurn Left Thru UTurn Peds Approach Total Left Thru Right Peds Approach Total Left Thru UTurn Peds Approach Total Left Thru Right Peds Approach Total 07:15:00 2 22 2 42 54 34 132 2 0 0 136 6 16 0 0 0 10 0 0 4 27 3 0 0 246 07:30:00 10 12 18 33 0 52 70 325 144 3 0 0 157 3 0 0 1 40 11 3 10 0 0 83 0 07:45:00 16 0 17 24 0 0 3 122 2 0 140 0 0 0 41 56 15 0 71 68 10 0 0 81 333 08.00.00 5 94 4 0 0 103 8 21 5 0 0 34 2 48 8 0 0 58 1 41 8 0 0 50 245 Grand Total 33 492 11 0 0 536 43 79 8 0 0 130 5 186 44 0 0 235 11 206 31 0 0 248 1149 Approach% 6.2% 91.8% 2.1% 0% 33.1% 60.8% 6.2% 0% 2.1% 79.1% 18.7% 0% 4.4% 83.1% 12.5% 0% -. Totals % 21.6% 2 9% 42.8% 1% 0% 46.6% 3.7% 6.9% 0.7% 0% 11.3% 0.4% 16.2% 3.8% 0% 20.5% 1% 17 9% 27% 0% -PHF 0.52 0.85 0.69 0 0.85 0.63 0.82 0.4 0.79 0.63 0.83 0.73 0.83 0.69 0.74 0 0.75 0 0 0.78 19 2 17 0 0 0 4 0 18 18 3 3 0 7 Heavy 0 4 0 0 0 1 -Heavy % 6.1% 3.5% 0% 0% 3.5% 0% 5.1% 0% 0% 3.1% 0% 9.7% 0% 0% 7.7% 27.3% 1.5% 3.2% 0% 2.8% 241 475 517 126 217 Liahts 31 11 0 43 75 5 168 44 8 203 30 0 8 0 0 Lights % 93.9% 96.5% 100% 0% 96.5% 100% 94.9% 100% 0% 96.9% 100% 90.3% 100% 0% 92.3% 72.7% 98.5% 96.8% 0% 97.2% Single-Unit Trucks 0 7 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 7 0 0 1 0 1 Single-Unit Trucks % 1.3% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.4% 0% 0% 0% 0% 3.8% 0% 0% 3% 0% 3.2% 0.4% 2 7 0 0 9 4 0 4 0 7 0 7 2 2 0 0 4 Ruses 0 0 0 -18.2% 6.1% 1.4% 0% 0% 1.7% 0% 5.1% 0% 0% 3.1% 0% 3.8% 0% 0% 3% 1% 0% 0% 1.6% Buses % Articulated Trucks 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 4 1 1 0 0 2 1.7% Articulated Trucks % 0% 0.6% 0% 0% 0.6% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 2.2% 0% 0% 9.1% 0.5% 0% 0% 0.8%

0

0%

0

0%

-

0

0%



### Turning Movement Count Location Name: DIXIE RD & OLD SCHOOL RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos

Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM Weather: Moderate Rain (9.08 °C)



TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED



### Turning Movement Count Location Name: DIXIE RD & OLD SCHOOL RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos

### Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM Weather: Light Rain (10.54 °C)

														-											
Start Time				N Approa	ch D				OL	E Approact	n .RD					S Approact DIXIE RD	h				O	W Approac	. <b>h</b> ∟RD		Int. Total (15 min)
	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	
16:00:00	1	52	5	0	0	58	19	68	5	0	0	92	5	100	16	0	0	121	4	17	2	0	0	23	294
16:15:00	1	58	7	0	0	66	21	50	3	0	0	74	9	102	9	0	0	120	3	20	3	0	0	26	286
16:30:00	1	49	3	0	0	53	21	60	4	0	0	85	4	106	11	0	0	121	2	23	3	0	0	28	287
16:45:00	3	51	0	0	0	54	22	49	6	0	0	77	7	99	5	0	0	111	5	28	2	0	0	35	277
Grand Total	6	210	15	0	0	231	83	227	18	0	0	328	25	407	41	0	0	473	14	88	10	0	0	112	1144
Approach%	2.6%	90.9%	6.5%	0%		-	25.3%	69.2%	5.5%	0%		-	5.3%	86%	8.7%	0%		-	12.5%	78.6%	8.9%	0%	<u> </u>	-	-
Totals %	0.5%	18.4%	1.3%	0%		20.2%	7.3%	19.8%	1.6%	0%		28.7%	2.2%	35.6%	3.6%	0%		41.3%	1.2%	7.7%	0.9%	0%		9.8%	-
PHF	0.5	0.91	0.54	0		0.88	0.94	0.83	0.75	0		0.89	0.69	0.96	0.64	0		0.98	0.7	0.79	0.83	0		0.8	-
Heavy	0	18	0	0		18	1	2	2	0		5	0	6	11	0		17	2	3	0	0		5	· ·
Heavy %	0%	8.6%	0%	0%		7.8%	1.2%	0.9%	11.1%	0%		1.5%	0%	1.5%	26.8%	0%		3.6%	14.3%	3.4%	0%	0%		4.5%	
Lights	6	192	15	0		213	82	225	16	0		323	25	401	30	0		456	12	85	10	0		107	•
Lights %	100%	91.4%	100%	0%		92.2%	98.8%	99.1%	88.9%	0%		98.5%	100%	98.5%	73.2%	0%		96.4%	85.7%	96.6%	100%	0%		95.5%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	10	0	0		10	0	1	0	0		1	0	3	0	0		3	1	0	0	0		1	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	0%	4.8%	0%	0%		4.3%	0%	0.4%	0%	0%		0.3%	0%	0.7%	0%	0%		0.6%	7.1%	0%	0%	0%		0.9%	-
Buses	0	3	0	0		3	1	1	2	0		4	0	1	11	0		12	1	3	0	0		4	-
Buses %	0%	1.4%	0%	0%		1.3%	1.2%	0.4%	11.1%	0%		1.2%	0%	0.2%	26.8%	0%		2.5%	7.1%	3.4%	0%	0%		3.6%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	5	0	0		5	0	0	0	0		0	0	2	0	0		2	0	0	0	0		0	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	2.4%	0%	0%		2.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0.5%	0%	0%		0.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Pedestrians%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-



### Turning Movement Count Location Name: DIXIE RD & OLD SCHOOL RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos

Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM Weather: Light Rain (10.54 °C)



TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED

### Turning Movement Count Location Name: MAYFIELD RD & DIXIE RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos

### Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM Weather: Moderate Rain (9.08 °C)

Start Time				N Approac DIXIE ROA	h .D				I	E Approac	: <b>h</b> RD					S Approad	: <b>h</b> )				,	W Approact MAYFIELD F	h RD		Int. Total (15 min)
	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	
07:15:00	6	60	104	0	0	170	10	105	6	0	0	121	23	14	2	0	0	39	58	259	72	0	0	389	719
07:30:00	2	9	57	0	0	68	10	115	7	0	0	132	31	7	7	0	0	45	68	302	90	0	0	460	705
07:45:00	4	22	56	0	0	82	18	163	3	0	0	184	27	12	11	0	0	50	50	412	94	0	0	556	872
08:00:00	10	21	39	0	0	70	22	152	2	0	0	176	40	13	11	0	0	64	61	299	108	0	0	468	778
Grand Total	22	112	256	0	0	390	60	535	18	0	0	613	121	46	31	0	0	198	237	1272	364	0	0	1873	3074
Approach%	5.6%	28.7%	65.6%	0%		-	9.8%	87.3%	2.9%	0%		-	61.1%	23.2%	15.7%	0%		-	12.7%	67.9%	19.4%	0%		-	-
Totals %	0.7%	3.6%	8.3%	0%		12.7%	2%	17.4%	0.6%	0%		19.9%	3.9%	1.5%	1%	0%		6.4%	7.7%	41.4%	11.8%	0%		60.9%	-
PHF	0.55	0.47	0.62	0		0.57	0.68	0.82	0.64	0		0.83	0.76	0.82	0.7	0		0.77	0.87	0.77	0.84	0		0.84	-
Heavy	6	9	27	0		42	5	77	6	0		88	5	7	4	0		16	32	84	16	0		132	
Heavy %	27.3%	8%	10.5%	0%		10.8%	8.3%	14.4%	33.3%	0%		14.4%	4.1%	15.2%	12.9%	0%		8.1%	13.5%	6.6%	4.4%	0%		7%	-
Lights	16	103	229	0		348	55	458	12	0		525	116	39	27	0		182	205	1188	348	0		1741	•
Lights %	72.7%	92%	89.5%	0%		89.2%	91.7%	85.6%	66.7%	0%		85.6%	95.9%	84.8%	87.1%	0%		91.9%	86.5%	93.4%	95.6%	0%		93%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	2	6	20	0		28	1	39	2	0		42	4	5	1	0		10	21	22	7	0		50	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	9.1%	5.4%	7.8%	0%		7.2%	1.7%	7.3%	11.1%	0%		6.9%	3.3%	10.9%	3.2%	0%		5.1%	8.9%	1.7%	1.9%	0%		2.7%	-
Buses	2	1	2	0		5	3	18	3	0		24	1	2	2	0		5	3	31	6	0		40	-
Buses %	9.1%	0.9%	0.8%	0%		1.3%	5%	3.4%	16.7%	0%		3.9%	0.8%	4.3%	6.5%	0%		2.5%	1.3%	2.4%	1.6%	0%		2.1%	-
Articulated Trucks	2	2	5	0		9	1	20	1	0		22	0	0	1	0		1	8	31	3	0		42	-
Articulated Trucks %	9.1%	1.8%	2%	0%		2.3%	1.7%	3.7%	5.6%	0%		3.6%	0%	0%	3.2%	0%		0.5%	3.4%	2.4%	0.8%	0%		2.2%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Pedestrians%	-		-		0%		-		-		0%		-	-		-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-



### Turning Movement Count Location Name: MAYFIELD RD & DIXIE RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos





TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED

### Turning Movement Count Location Name: MAYFIELD RD & DIXIE RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos

### Peak Hour: 04:15 PM - 05:15 PM Weather: Light Rain (10.54 °C)

Start Time				N Approac DIXIE ROA	: <b>h</b> \D					E Approad	ch RD					S Approad	: <b>h</b> )				I	W Approac	h RD		Int. Total (15 min)
	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	Left	Thru	Right	UTurn	Peds	Approach Total	
16:15:00	6	60	88	0	0	154	10	270	5	0	0	285	69	38	11	0	0	118	58	234	48	0	0	340	897
16:30:00	6	73	78	0	0	157	18	233	4	0	0	255	80	57	8	0	0	145	70	212	49	1	0	332	889
16:45:00	12	76	63	0	0	151	7	267	5	0	0	279	66	30	7	0	0	103	70	228	44	0	0	342	875
17:00:00	12	60	64	0	0	136	8	226	6	0	0	240	74	45	7	0	0	126	64	208	45	0	0	317	819
Grand Total	36	269	293	0	0	598	43	996	20	0	0	1059	289	170	33	0	0	492	262	882	186	1	0	1331	3480
Approach%	6%	45%	49%	0%		-	4.1%	94.1%	1.9%	0%		-	58.7%	34.6%	6.7%	0%		-	19.7%	66.3%	14%	0.1%		-	-
Totals %	1%	7.7%	8.4%	0%		17.2%	1.2%	28.6%	0.6%	0%		30.4%	8.3%	4.9%	0.9%	0%		14.1%	7.5%	25.3%	5.3%	0%		38.2%	-
PHF	0.75	0.88	0.83	0		0.95	0.6	0.92	0.83	0		0.93	0.9	0.75	0.75	0		0.85	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.25		0.97	-
Heavy	3	14	10	0		27	14	72	2	0		88	6	0	1	0		7	12	88	3	0		103	
Heavy %	8.3%	5.2%	3.4%	0%		4.5%	32.6%	7.2%	10%	0%		8.3%	2.1%	0%	3%	0%		1.4%	4.6%	10%	1.6%	0%		7.7%	-
Lights	33	255	283	0		571	29	924	18	0		971	283	170	32	0		485	250	794	183	1		1228	
Lights %	91.7%	94.8%	96.6%	0%		95.5%	67.4%	92.8%	90%	0%		91.7%	97.9%	100%	97%	0%		98.6%	95.4%	90%	98.4%	100%		92.3%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	2	10	10	0		22	12	31	1	0		44	1	0	1	0		2	8	27	0	0		35	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	5.6%	3.7%	3.4%	0%		3.7%	27.9%	3.1%	5%	0%		4.2%	0.3%	0%	3%	0%		0.4%	3.1%	3.1%	0%	0%		2.6%	-
Buses	1	3	0	0		4	0	7	0	0		7	4	0	0	0		4	0	18	3	0		21	-
Buses %	2.8%	1.1%	0%	0%		0.7%	0%	0.7%	0%	0%		0.7%	1.4%	0%	0%	0%		0.8%	0%	2%	1.6%	0%		1.6%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	1	0	0		1	2	34	1	0		37	1	0	0	0		1	4	43	0	0		47	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	0.4%	0%	0%		0.2%	4.7%	3.4%	5%	0%		3.5%	0.3%	0%	0%	0%		0.2%	1.5%	4.9%	0%	0%		3.5%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Pedestrians%		-	-		0%					-	0%						0%			-			0%		-

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED

### Turning Movement Count Location Name: MAYFIELD RD & DIXIE RD Date: Thu, Oct 03, 2019 Deployment Lead: Patrick Filopoulos







Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Dixie Rd & Merchant Rd-AM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 3

## Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:30 AM)

		Dixie	Road			Dixie	Road			Mercha	int Road		
Chart Time		South	bound			North	bound			East	bound		
Start Time	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Left	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
8:30 AM	99	0	0	99	6	85	0	91	0	1	0	1	191
8:45 AM	97	0	0	97	12	77	0	89	0	6	0	6	192
9:00 AM	107	2	0	109	10	96	0	106	0	4	0	4	219
9:15 AM	106	0	0	106	21	94	0	115	1	6	0	7	228
Total	409	2	0	411	49	352	0	401	1	17	0	18	830
Approach %	99.5	0.5	-	-	12.2	87.8	-	-	5.6	94.4	-	-	-
Total %	49.3	0.2	-	49.5	5.9	42.4	-	48.3	0.1	2.0	-	2.2	-
PHF	0.956	0.250	-	0.943	0.583	0.917	-	0.872	0.250	0.708	-	0.643	0.910
Lights	369	1	-	370	44	301	-	345	0	13	-	13	728
% Lights	90.2	50.0	-	90.0	89.8	85.5	-	86.0	0.0	76.5	-	72.2	87.7
Buses	7	0	-	7	0	9	-	9	0	0	-	0	16
% Buses	1.7	0.0	-	1.7	0.0	2.6	-	2.2	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	1.9
Trucks	33	1	-	34	5	42	-	47	1	4	-	5	86
% Trucks	8.1	50.0	-	8.3	10.2	11.9	-	11.7	100.0	23.5	-	27.8	10.4
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021



Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Dixie Rd & Merchant Rd-AM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 4



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (8:30 AM)



Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Dixie Rd & Merchant Rd-PM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 3

# Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

		Dixie	Road	-		Dixie	Road	. ,		Mercha	int Road		
		South	bound			North	bound			East	bound		
Start Time	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Peds	App. Total	Left	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:30 PM	83	0	0	83	2	136	0	138	0	16	2	16	237
4:45 PM	114	1	0	115	4	137	0	141	3	3	0	6	262
5:00 PM	97	2	0	99	3	100	0	103	0	9	0	9	211
5:15 PM	88	0	0	88	2	119	0	121	1	6	0	7	216
Total	382	3	0	385	11	492	0	503	4	34	2	38	926
Approach %	99.2	0.8	-	-	2.2	97.8	-	-	10.5	89.5	-	-	-
Total %	41.3	0.3	-	41.6	1.2	53.1	-	54.3	0.4	3.7	-	4.1	-
PHF	0.838	0.375	-	0.837	0.688	0.898	-	0.892	0.333	0.531	-	0.594	0.884
Lights	358	3	-	361	10	467	-	477	4	34	-	38	876
% Lights	93.7	100.0	-	93.8	90.9	94.9	-	94.8	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	94.6
Buses	2	0	-	2	0	1	-	1	0	0	-	0	3
% Buses	0.5	0.0	-	0.5	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.3
Trucks	22	0	-	22	1	24	-	25	0	0	-	0	47
% Trucks	5.8	0.0	-	5.7	9.1	4.9	-	5.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	5.1
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	2	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021



Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Dixie Rd & Merchant Rd-PM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 4



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)



Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Heart Lake Rd & Old School Rd-AM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 3

# Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:15 AM)

		He	eart Lake Roa	ad			0	d School Roa	ad			He	eart Lake Roa	Id			0	ld School Roa	d		
Chart Time			Southbound					Westbound					Northbound					Eastbound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:15 AM	2	6	4	0	12	1	10	1	0	12	1	8	0	0	9	3	23	1	0	27	60
7:30 AM	1	5	6	0	12	0	11	1	0	12	2	5	2	0	9	4	31	4	1	39	72
7:45 AM	0	11	0	0	11	3	13	1	0	17	1	6	1	0	8	0	41	2	0	43	79
8:00 AM	1	9	3	0	13	1	11	1	0	13	2	4	3	0	9	0	23	0	0	23	58
Total	4	31	13	0	48	5	45	4	0	54	6	23	6	0	35	7	118	7	1	132	269
Approach %	8.3	64.6	27.1	-	-	9.3	83.3	7.4	-	-	17.1	65.7	17.1	-	-	5.3	89.4	5.3	-	-	-
Total %	1.5	11.5	4.8	-	17.8	1.9	16.7	1.5	-	20.1	2.2	8.6	2.2	-	13.0	2.6	43.9	2.6	-	49.1	-
PHF	0.500	0.705	0.542	-	0.923	0.417	0.865	1.000	-	0.794	0.750	0.719	0.500	-	0.972	0.438	0.720	0.438	-	0.767	0.851
Lights	4	30	12	-	46	5	41	3	-	49	6	18	6	-	30	6	114	7	-	127	252
% Lights	100.0	96.8	92.3	-	95.8	100.0	91.1	75.0	-	90.7	100.0	78.3	100.0	-	85.7	85.7	96.6	100.0	-	96.2	93.7
Buses	0	1	0	-	1	0	2	1	-	3	0	1	0	-	1	1	4	0	-	5	10
% Buses	0.0	3.2	0.0	-	2.1	0.0	4.4	25.0	-	5.6	0.0	4.3	0.0	-	2.9	14.3	3.4	0.0	-	3.8	3.7
Trucks	0	0	1	-	1	0	2	0	-	2	0	4	0	-	4	0	0	0	-	0	7
% Trucks	0.0	0.0	7.7	-	2.1	0.0	4.4	0.0	-	3.7	0.0	17.4	0.0	-	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	2.6
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021



Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Heart Lake Rd & Old School Rd-AM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 4



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:15 AM)



Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Heart Lake Rd & Old School Rd-PM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 3

# Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:45 PM)

		Н	eart Lake Roa	ad			0	Id School Roa	ad			H	eart Lake Roa	ad			0	ld School Roa	ad		
Ctart Time			Southbound					Westbound					Northbound					Eastbound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:45 PM	0	11	2	0	13	4	40	0	0	44	4	5	1	1	10	2	21	2	0	25	92
5:00 PM	0	9	5	0	14	3	32	1	0	36	9	7	1	0	17	1	20	2	0	23	90
5:15 PM	1	2	1	0	4	8	38	1	0	47	4	9	6	0	19	2	25	3	0	30	100
5:30 PM	0	6	2	0	8	4	52	1	0	57	1	7	3	0	11	1	13	2	0	16	92
Total	1	28	10	0	39	19	162	3	0	184	18	28	11	1	57	6	79	9	0	94	374
Approach %	2.6	71.8	25.6	-	-	10.3	88.0	1.6	-	-	31.6	49.1	19.3	-	-	6.4	84.0	9.6	-	-	-
Total %	0.3	7.5	2.7	-	10.4	5.1	43.3	0.8	-	49.2	4.8	7.5	2.9	-	15.2	1.6	21.1	2.4	-	25.1	-
PHF	0.250	0.636	0.500	-	0.696	0.594	0.779	0.750	-	0.807	0.500	0.778	0.458	-	0.750	0.750	0.790	0.750	-	0.783	0.935
Lights	1	27	10	-	38	19	161	3	-	183	18	28	11	-	57	6	77	9	-	92	370
% Lights	100.0	96.4	100.0	-	97.4	100.0	99.4	100.0	-	99.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	97.5	100.0	-	97.9	98.9
Buses	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Buses	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Trucks	0	1	0	-	1	0	1	0	-	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	2	0	-	2	4
% Trucks	0.0	3.6	0.0	-	2.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	-	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	-	2.1	1.1
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Bicycles on Road	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021



Markam, Ontario, Canada L3R 9R9 905-470-0015 x240 Klo@LEA.ca Count Name: 21211\_Heart Lake Rd & Old School Rd-PM Site Code: 21211 Start Date: 12/15/2020 Page No: 4



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:45 PM)

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

# **APPENDIX B**

Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Existing Conditions
1: Dixie Road & Me	erchant	Road	apaci	y Anai	ysis		Weekday AM Peal
	٨	7	1	t	ţ	~	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	¥		3			1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	17	49	352	409	2	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	17	49	352	409	2	
Sian Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	17	49	352	409	2	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	859	409	411				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	859	409	411				
tC, single (s)	7.4	6.4	4.2				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	4.4	3.5	2.3				
p0 queue free %	100	97	96				
cM capacity (veh/h)	216	598	1106				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	18	49	352	409	2		
Volume Left	1	49	0	0	0		
Volume Right	17	0	0	0	2		
cSH	544	1106	1700	1700	1700		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.04	0.21	0.24	0.00		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (s)	11.8	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	В	А					
Approach Delay (s)	11.8	1.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.8				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		38.2%	IC	U Level c	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	٠	-	7	•	+	1	Ť	1	6	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	***	1	7	***	1	1	7	3	Ť	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	237	1272	364	60	535	121	146	31	22	148	256
Future Volume (vph)	237	1272	364	60	535	121	146	31	22	148	256
Lane Group Flow (vph)	237	1272	364	60	553	121	146	31	22	148	256
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		4		4	8		8
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	4	4	4	8	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9
Total Split (s)	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	60.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Total Split (%)	8.3%	50.0%	50.0%	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%
Ye <b>ll</b> ow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None
v/c Ratio	0.39	0.40	0.33	0.18	0.21	0.70	0.54	0.11	0.15	0.51	0.57
Control Delay	6.9	12.2	2.1	6.5	13.0	66.9	52.2	2.3	42.5	50.8	10.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	6.9	12.2	2.1	6.5	13.0	66.9	52.2	2.3	42.5	50.8	10.1
Queue Length 50th (m)	8.2	30.4	0.0	1.8	12.2	16.1	18.9	0.0	2.7	19.1	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	17.2	45.5	7.8	5.0	20.9	26.2	28.7	1.1	6.7	28.7	12.4
Internal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0
Base Capacity (vph)	614	3146	1116	335	2675	384	600	547	317	638	680
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.39	0.40	0.33	0.18	0.21	0.32	0.24	0.06	0.07	0.23	0.38
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 120											
Actuated Cycle Length: 120	)										
Offset: 44 (37%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBTL	and 6:WB	TL, Start	of Green						
Natural Cycle: 105											

10.s 60 s	1/2/4 50 s
▲ Ø5 🔮 🕶 Ø6 (R)	↓ ∞Ø8
10 s 60 s	50 e

Synchro 11 Report Page 1

02-02-2021

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis     Existing Co       2: Dixie Road & Mayfield Road     Weekda												itions M Peak
	٨	+	7	•	+	•	1	t	1	⋎	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		***	1	2	**1			1	۲		1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	237	1272	364	60	535	18	121	146	31	22	148	256
Future Volume (vph)	237	1272	364	60	535	18	121	146	31	22	148	256
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1566	4902	1536	1653	4553		1716	1671	1413	1405	1779	1439
FIt Permitted	0.42	1.00	1.00	0.20	1.00		0.59	1.00	1.00	0.60	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	687	4902	1536	347	4553		1069	1671	1413	884	1779	1439
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	237	1272	364	60	535	18	121	146	31	22	148	256
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	132	0	2	0	0	0	26	0	0	214
Lane Group Flow (vph)	237	1272	232	60	551	0	121	146	5	22	148	42
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	7%	4%	8%	14%	33%	4%	15%	13%	27%	8%	11%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	86.6	76.4	76.4	77.6	70.4		19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6
Effective Green, g (s)	86.6	76.4	76.4	77.6	70.4		19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.72	0.64	0.64	0.65	0.59		0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	592	3120	977	302	2671		174	272	230	144	290	235
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.04	c0.26		0.01	0.12			0.09			0.08	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.24		0.15	0.12			c0.11		0.00	0.02		0.03
v/c Ratio	0.40	0.41	0.24	0.20	0.21		0.70	0.54	0.02	0.15	0.51	0.18
Uniform Delay, d1	5.5	10.7	9.3	7.8	11.7		47.4	46.0	42.2	43.1	45.8	43.3
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.2		14.3	3.7	0.1	1.0	3.0	0.8
Delay (s)	6.5	11.1	9.9	8.5	11.8		61.7	49.7	42.2	44.1	48.8	44.0
Level of Service	А	В	А	А	В		E	D	D	D	D	D
Approach Delay (s)		10.3			11.5			53.8			45.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			19.3	н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Canad	city ratio		0.47		2000	2010101	5014100		U			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	ony rano		120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.8			
Intersection Canacity Utiliza	tion		63.8%	0		of Service			R			
Analysis Period (min)			15						5			
c Critical Lane Group			.0									

Queues 3 <sup>.</sup> Dixie Road & Ol	ld Scho	ol Roa	d						Existing Conditions Weekday AM Peal
		-	- -	+	•	t	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	3	t.	3	t.	3	t.	3	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	206	43	79	5	186	33	492	
Future Volume (vph)	11	206	43	79	5	186	33	492	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	237	43	87	5	230	33	503	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		8		4		6		2	
Permitted Phases	8	-	4		6	-	2	_	
Detector Phase	8	8	4	4	6	6	2	2	
Switch Phase	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	23.6	23.6	26.0	26.0	
Total Solit (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	4 0	4.0	4 0	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lead-Lag Ontimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Мах	Мах	Мах	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.55	0.17	0.21	0.01	0.24	0.05	0.49	
Control Delay	17.5	24.6	19.6	18.0	7.8	7 9	8.0	11 3	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	17.5	24.6	19.6	18.0	7.8	7.9	8.0	11.3	
Oueue Length 50th (m)	0.6	13.0	23	10.0	0.1	63	0.0	18.5	
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.4	23.5	6.2	9.6	1.0	1/1 0	3.5	37.0	
Internal Link Diet (m)	2.7	1352.4	0.2	445.0	1.0	2550.6	0.0	128.3	
Turn Pay Longth (m)	25.0	1002.4	40.0	445.0	40.0	200.0	25.0	420.5	
Raso Capacity (vph)	397	680	40.0	888	40.0	077	610	1033	
Storyotion Con Bodyoth	507	000	401	000	444	511	010	1055	
Stal Valion Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Boduced v/o Potio	0.02	0.25	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.24	0.05	0.40	
Reduced v/c Rallo	0.03	0.55	0.11	0.15	0.01	0.24	0.05	0.49	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70	4								
Actuated Cycle Length: 63.	4								
Natural Cycle: 55	aaard								
Control Type: Semi Act-Un	coord								
Calife and Disease - 0: Di-	in Dood 9		al Daad						
Splits and Phases: 3: Div	kie Road &	UId Scho	ol Road			15 0.50			
						1			

	<b>▼</b> Ø4	
40 s	30 s	
≪¶ Ø6	-A-28	
40 s	30 e	

Synchro 11 Report Page 3

02-02-2021

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis **Existing Conditions** 3: Dixie Road & Old School Road Weekday AM Peak ٠ 1 + 1 7 EBR WBL NBL Movement EBL EBT WBT WBR NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations Þ 1 ħ ħ Þ . Traffic Volume (vph) 206 43 79 186 44 33 492 11 31 8 5 11 Future Volume (vph) 11 206 31 43 79 8 5 186 44 33 492 11 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Lane Width 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.7 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.7 Total Lost time (s) 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.97 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 Fit Protected 1.00 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 1405 1804 1785 1785 1684 1843 1772 1726 FIt Permitted 0.70 1.00 0.57 1.00 0.42 1.00 0.62 1.00 Satd, Flow (perm) 1036 1804 1074 1772 794 1726 1090 1843 Peak-hour factor, PHF 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Adj. Flow (vph) 11 206 31 43 79 8 5 186 44 33 492 11 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 9 0 0 6 0 0 10 0 0 1 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 11 228 0 43 81 0 5 220 0 33 502 0 Heavy Vehicles (%) 27% 2% 3% 0% 5% 0% 0% 10% 0% 6% 4% 0% Turn Type Perm NA Perm NA Perm NA Perm NA Protected Phases 8 4 6 2 Permitted Phases ۶ 4 6 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 35.5 35.5 35.5 35.5 Effective Green, g (s) 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 35.5 35.5 35.5 35.5 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.56 0.56 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.23 0.56 0.56 Clearance Time (s) 6.4 6.6 6.4 6.4 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.4 Vehicle Extension (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 242 421 251 414 445 967 611 1033 v/s Ratio Prot c0.13 0.05 0.13 c0.27 v/s Ratio Perm 0.01 0.04 0.01 0.03 v/c Ratio 0.05 0.54 0.17 0.20 0.01 0.23 0.05 0.49 Uniform Delay, d1 18.8 21.3 19.4 19.5 6.1 7.0 6.3 8.4 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 0.2 2.5 0.7 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.2 1.6 18.9 23.8 20.0 7.5 10.0 Delay (s) 20.0 6.2 6.5 Level of Service В С С В А А А В Approach Delay (s) 23.5 20.0 7.5 9.8 Approach LOS С B A Α Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay 13.5 HCM 2000 Level of Service B HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.50 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 63.3 Sum of lost time (s) 13.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.0% ICU Level of Service В Analysis Period (min) 15 c Critical Lane Group

Existing Conditions HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: Heart Lake Road & Old School Road Weekday AM Peak ٠ < + \* 4 7 Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 4 4 4 4 Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Traffic Volume (vph) 13 25 222 13 9 85 8 11 43 11 8 58 Future Volume (vph) 13 222 13 9 85 8 11 43 11 58 25 8 Peak Hour Factor 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 Hourly flow rate (vph) 15 261 15 11 100 9 13 51 13 9 68 29 Direction, Lane # EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total (vph) 291 106 120 77 Volume Left (vph) 15 11 13 9 Volume Right (vph) 15 9 13 29 Hadj (s) -0.02 0.03 0.12 -0.11 Departure Headway (s) 4.5 4.8 5.1 4.9 Degree Utilization, x 0.36 0.16 0.11 0.14 Capacity (veh/h) 761 711 637 671 Control Delay (s) 10.1 8.6 8.8 8.7 Approach Delay (s) 8.6 8.8 8.7 10.1 Approach LOS В А А А Intersection Summary Delay 9.4 Level of Service Α Intersection Capacity Utilization ICU Level of Service 28.3% А Analysis Period (min) 15

02-02-2021

1: Dixie Road & Me	Interse erchant	Road	Japach	y Ana	ysis		Existing Condition: Weekday PM Pea
	٦	7	1	t	ţ	~	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	¥		3	•	4	1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	4	34	11	492	564	3	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	4	34	11	492	564	3	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	34	11	492	564	3	
Pedestrians	2						
Lane Width (m)	3.5						
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.2						
Percent Blockage	0						
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1080	566	569				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1080	566	569				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.2				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.3				
p0 queue free %	98	94	99				
cM capacity (veh/h)	240	527	968				
Direction. Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	38	11	492	564	3		
Volume Left	4	11	0	0	0		
Volume Right	34	0	0	0	3		
cSH	468	968	1700	1700	1700		
Volume to Capacity	0.08	0.01	0.29	0.33	0.00		
Queue Lenath 95th (m)	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (s)	13.4	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	В	A					
Approach Delay (s)	13.4	0.2		0.0			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summarv							
Average Delay			0.5				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		39.7%	IC	ULevel	of Service	A
Analysis Poriod (min)			15	10			

	٠	<b>→</b>	7	1	+	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
ane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
ane Configurations	1	***	1	1	**1	2	1	1	1	1	1
Fraffic Volume (vph)	262	882	186	43	996	289	221	33	36	269	293
uture Volume (vph)	262	882	186	43	996	289	221	33	36	269	293
ane Group Flow (vph)	262	882	186	43	1016	289	221	33	36	269	293
Furn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		4		4	8		8
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	4	4	4	8	8	8
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
vlinimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9
Fotal Split (s)	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	60.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Fotal Split (%)	8.3%	50.0%	50.0%	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%
ellow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
_ead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag						
ead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None
//c Ratio	0.85	0.39	0.22	0.16	0.47	0.95	0.34	0.06	0.11	0.43	0.46
Control Delay	45.2	21.7	3.5	13.4	24.1	78.7	30.7	1.6	27.0	32.8	13.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fotal Delay	45.2	21.7	3.5	13.4	24.1	78.7	30.7	1.6	27.0	32.8	13.9
Queue Length 50th (m)	18.2	30.1	0.0	2.6	35.6	37.7	22.1	0.0	3.3	28.0	11.4
Queue Length 95th (m)	#43.2	36.8	7.4	5.8	42.8	#68.4	34.0	1.3	7.8	41.8	25.5
nternal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6	
Furn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0
Base Capacity (vph)	307	2278	845	277	2179	324	689	597	347	657	668
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.85	0.39	0.22	0.16	0.47	0.89	0.32	0.06	0.10	0.41	0.44
ntersection Summary											
Sycie Length: 120											
Actuated Cycle Length: 120	م م م الم الم										
Unset: 32 (27%), Reference	d to phase	EZEBTL		STL, Start	of Green						
Valural Cycle, 105	rdinated										
t 05th porcontile volume of		nacity a		ho longo							
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	pacity, qu cycles	leue may	be longe	1.						
Splits and Phases: 2: Dixi	ie Road &	Mayfie <b>l</b> d I	Road								
							<b>*</b>				

02-02-2021

02-02-2021

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis     Existing Cond       2: Dixie Road & Mayfield Road     Weekday P												
	٨	+	1	1	↓	•	1	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	***	1	2	**1			Ť	۲		1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	262	882	186	43	996	20	289	221	33	36	269	293
Future Volume (vph)	262	882	186	43	996	20	289	221	33	36	269	293
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1700	4768	1566	1342	4884		1750	1921	1551	1653	1830	1551
FIt Permitted	0.21	1.00	1.00	0.29	1.00		0.49	1.00	1.00	0.56	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	368	4768	1566	407	4884		904	1921	1551	967	1830	1551
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	262	882	186	43	996	20	289	221	33	36	269	293
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	98	0	2	0	0	0	22	0	0	115
Lane Group Flow (vph)	262	882	88	43	1014	0	289	221	11	36	269	178
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	10%	2%	33%	7%	10%	2%	0%	3%	8%	5%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	65.6	56.7	56.7	59.4	53.5		40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6
Effective Green, g (s)	65.6	56.7	56.7	59.4	53.5		40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.55	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.45		0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	302	2252	739	247	2177		305	649	524	327	619	524
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.07	0.18		0.01	0.21			0.12			0.15	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.41		0.06	0.08			c0.32		0.01	0.04		0.11
v/c Ratio	0.87	0.39	0.12	0.17	0.47		0.95	0.34	0.02	0.11	0.43	0.34
Uniform Delay, d1	17.4	20.5	17.7	15.9	23.3		38.7	29.7	26.5	27.3	30.8	29.7
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	23.8	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.7		38.2	0.7	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.8
Delay (s)	41.2	21.0	18.0	16.6	24.0		76.9	30.3	26.5	27.6	31.8	30.5
Level of Service	D	С	В	В	С		E	С	С	С	С	С
Approach Delay (s)		24.6			23.7			54.9			30.9	
Approach LOS		С			С			D			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			30.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.92						-			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.8			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		85.0%	10	U Level o	of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min)			15			2000			-			
c Critical Lane Group												

	٠	-+	1	+	1	t	1	Ţ	
ana Group	EDI	EDT	W/D1	W/DT	NDI	NDT	CDI	• CDT	
			WDL	WD1			JDL	301	
Lane Conligurations	14	•	<b>1</b>	207	1	407	1	210	
Trainc Volume (vpr)	14	00	00	227	20	407	0	210	
-uture volume (vpn)	14	88	83	221	25	407	0	210	
ane Group Flow (vpn)	14 Demo	98	83	245	25	448	0	225	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	<u>^</u>	8		4	•	6		2	
Permitted Phases	8	•	4		6	•	2	•	
Detector Phase	8	8	4	4	6	6	2	2	
Switch Phase									
Vinimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	5.0	
Vinimum Split (s)	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	23.6	23.6	25.6	25.6	
Fotal Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Fotal Split (%)	46.2%	46.2%	46.2%	46.2%	53.8%	53.8%	53.8%	53.8%	
Ye <b>ll</b> ow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
_ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	
_ead/Lag									
_ead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
//c Ratio	0.06	0.21	0.26	0.53	0.04	0.47	0.01	0.24	
Control Delay	15.4	15.6	18.2	21.8	8.6	11.5	8.5	9.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	15.4	15.6	18.2	21.8	8.6	11.5	8.5	9.1	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.6	4.1	3.9	12.1	0.7	15.3	0.2	6.6	
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.6	9.4	9.1	22.1	2.9	33.4	1.2	15.7	
nternal Link Dist (m)		1352.4		445.0		2550.6		428.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)	35.0		40.0		40.0		35.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	396	742	527	750	608	955	454	922	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reducto	ñ	Ő	Ő	ů.	0 0	0	ů.	Ő	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.13	0.16	0.33	0.04	0.47	0.01	0.24	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 65									
Actuated Cycle Length: 58									
Vatural Cycle: 55									
Control Type: Semi Act-Und	coord								

	₹ Ø4	
35 s	30 s	
↑ Ø Ø 6		
35 s	30.6	

Synchro 11 Report Page 3

02-02-2021

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis **Existing Conditions** 3: Dixie Road & Old School Road Weekday PM Peak ٠ + • 1 1 7 EBR WBL NBL Movement EBL EBT WBT WBR NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations ħ ħ Þ ħ Traffic Volume (vph) 14 88 83 227 25 407 41 210 10 18 15 Future Volume (vph) 14 88 10 83 227 18 25 407 41 6 210 15 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Lane Width 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.7 3.5 3.5 3.7 3.5 Total Lost time (s) 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.99 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 Fit Protected 1.00 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 1566 1802 1826 1785 1785 1755 1767 1817 FIt Permitted 0.59 1.00 0.69 1.00 0.62 1.00 0.46 1.00 Satd, Flow (perm) 970 1802 1290 1826 1161 1817 867 1755 Peak-hour factor, PHF 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Adj. Flow (vph) 14 88 10 83 227 18 25 407 41 6 210 15 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 7 0 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 3 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 14 91 0 83 240 0 25 443 0 6 222 0 Heavy Vehicles (%) 14% 3% 0% 1% 1% 11% 0% 2% 27% 0% 9% 0% Turn Type Perm NA Perm NA Perm NA Perm NA Protected Phases 8 4 6 2 Permitted Phases ۶ 4 2 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 30.4 30.4 30.4 30.4 Effective Green, g (s) 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 30.4 30.4 30.4 30.4 0.25 0.25 0.52 0.52 0.52 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.25 0.25 0.52 Clearance Time (s) 6.4 6.6 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.6 6.6 6.6 Vehicle Extension (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 244 453 324 459 608 952 454 919 v/s Ratio Prot 0.05 c0.13 c0.24 0.13 v/s Ratio Perm 0.01 0.06 0.02 0.01 v/c Ratio 0.06 0.20 0.26 0.52 0.04 0.47 0.01 0.24 Uniform Delay, d1 16.5 17.1 17.4 18.7 6.7 8.7 6.6 7.5 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 0.2 0.5 0.9 2.0 0.1 0.1 0.6 1.6 16.7 17.6 18.2 20.7 10.3 8.1 Delay (s) 6.8 6.7 Level of Service В В В С А В А А Approach Delay (s) 17.4 20.1 10.1 8.1 Approach LOS В С В А Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay 13.3 HCM 2000 Level of Service B HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.48 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 58.0 Sum of lost time (s) 13.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 47.8% ICU Level of Service А Analysis Period (min) 15 c Critical Lane Group

Existing Conditions HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: Heart Lake Road & Old School Road Weekday PM Peak -٠ • 4 7 Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 4 4 4 4 Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Traffic Volume (vph) 12 26 25 8 109 223 4 39 15 1 39 14 Future Volume (vph) 8 109 12 26 223 4 25 39 15 39 14 Peak Hour Factor 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 Hourly flow rate (vph) 9 116 13 28 237 4 27 41 16 1 41 15 Direction, Lane # EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 Volume Total (vph) 138 84 57 269 Volume Left (vph) 9 28 27 1 Volume Right (vph) 13 4 16 15 Hadj (s) 0.00 0.03 -0.05 -0.11 Departure Headway (s) 4.6 4.4 4.9 4.9 Degree Utilization, x 0.17 0.33 0.11 0.08 Capacity (veh/h) 754 777 676 669 Control Delay (s) 8.5 9.6 8.5 8.3 Approach Delay (s) 8.5 9.6 8.5 8.3 Approach LOS А А А А Intersection Summary Delay 9.0 Level of Service Α Intersection Capacity Utilization ICU Level of Service 37.8% А Analysis Period (min) 15

02-02-2021

OWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

# APPENDIX C

Intersection Capacity Analysis – Future Background Conditions

Queues	vrchant	Poad							F	uture Background
	aunani	Noau	12	03-95	650.25	-	810		27 <b>1</b> 13	Weekday Aim Fear
	•	-	1	+	1	Ť	1	ŧ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4		4	7	T.	7	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	0	17	0	49	415	56	471	2	
Future Volume (vph)	1	0	17	0	49	415	56	471	2	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	18	0	108	49	487	224	471	2	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6		
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		6	
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	6	
Switch Phase										
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	
Total Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1	
Total Split (%)	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
Lead/Lag										
Lead-Lag Optimize?										
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio		0.10		0.52	0.08	0.39	0.35	0.36	0.00	
Control Delay		6.2		36.0	4.8	6.2	7.0	6.0	0.0	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		6.2		36.0	4.8	6.2	7.0	6.0	0.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)		0.0		77	1.3	16.6	7.6	16.1	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)		0.0		17	3.8	30.9	3.3	29.6	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		280.5		289.9	0.0	472.6	0.0	2550.6	0.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)		20010		200.0	60.0		60.0	2000.0	60.0	
Base Capacity (vph)		493		558	602	1263	648	1315	796	
Starvation Can Reductn		0		000	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		Ő		Ő	0	0	Ő	Ő	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.04		0.19	0.08	0.39	0.35	0.36	0.00	
Intersection Summary										
Cycle Length: 120										
Actuated Cycle Length: 101										
Natural Cycle: 90										
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	oord									
Splits and Phases: 1: Divi	ie Road &	Merchant	Road							
	o nouu u	moronam								6
Ø2							-	04		
75.1s							44.9 s			
2000							+			
▼ 26	_	_	_		_		V 6	28	_	
X2(1.5)							44.9 5			

HCM Signalized Int 1: Dixie Road & Me	ersectio erchant	on Cap Road	acity A	Analysi	is				F	uture E W	Backgr eekday A	ound M Peak
	٠	<b>→</b>	7	•	←	•	1	t	1	6	ł	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			ħ			1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	0	17	17	0	10	49	415	18	56	471	2
Future Volume (vph)	1	0	17	17	0	10	49	415	18	56	471	2
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.87			0.95		1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected		1.00			0.97		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		1275			1730		1623	1704		1785	1779	1065
Fit Permitted		0.98			0.80		0.48	1.00		0.47	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		1255			1424		815	1704		878	1779	1065
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	1	0	17	68	0	40	49	415	72	224	471	2
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	16	0	0	31	0	0	3	0	0	0	1
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	2	0	0	77	0	49	484	0	224	471	1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	0%	24%	0%	0%	0%	10%	12%	0%	0%	8%	50%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)		12.5			12.5		74.7	74.7		74.7	74.7	74.7
Effective Green, g (s)		12.5			12.5		74.7	74.7		74.7	74.7	74.7
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.12			0.12		0.74	0.74		0.74	0.74	0.74
Clearance Time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		155			176		602	1260		649	1315	787
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.28			0.26	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.00			c0.05		0.06			0.26		0.00
v/c Ratio		0.01			0.44		0.08	0.38		0.35	0.36	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1		38.8			41.0		3.6	4.8		4.6	4.7	3.4
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.1			3.6		0.3	0.9		1.5	0.8	0.0
Delay (s)		38.9			44.6		3.9	5.7		6.1	5.4	3.4
Level of Service		D			D		А	А		А	А	A
Approach Delay (s)		38.9			44.6			5.5			5.6	
Approach LOS		D			D			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.1	H	CM 2000	Level of \$	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.39									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			101.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			13.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		60.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

02-22-2021

QueuesFuture Background2: Dixie Road & Mayfield RoadWeekday AM Peak												
	٨	<b>→</b>	7	1	+	1	1	1	6	ţ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	1	***	1	1	**1	×	1	1	×	4	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	293	1474	364	64	619	121	174	54	22	166	285	
Future Volume (vph)	293	1474	364	64	619	121	174	54	22	166	285	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	293	1474	364	64	637	121	174	54	22	166	285	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4			8		
Permitted Phases	2	_	2	6		4		4	8		8	
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	4	4	4	8	8	8	
Switch Phase	-	_	_						-	-	-	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	
Total Split (s)	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	60.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	8.3%	50.0%	50.0%	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	2000	=9	=~9		=~9							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
v/c Ratio	0.50	0 47	0.33	0.23	0.25	0.72	0.61	0.18	0.17	0.55	0.60	
Control Delay	8.6	13.5	22	7.8	15.0	69.5	54 7	8.9	42.7	51.5	10.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	8.6	13.5	22	7.8	15.0	69.5	54.7	8.9	42.7	51.5	10.4	
Queue Length 50th (m)	10.7	37.8	0.0	2.0	15.2	16.2	22.9	0.0	27	21.6	0.5	
Queue Length 95th (m)	22.1	56.3	8.0	5.4	25.9	26.4	33.4	5.0	6.7	31.6	13.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6		
Turn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	586	3110	1107	283	2560	356	600	547	280	638	696	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.50	0.47	0.33	0.23	0.25	0.34	0.29	0.10	0.08	0.26	0.41	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 120												
Offset: 44 (37%), Referenced	d to phase	2:EBTL	and 6:WE	BTL, Start	of Green							
Natural Cycle: 105												
Control Type: Actuated-Coor	dinated											
Splits and Phases: 2: Dixi	e Road &	Mayfield	Road				-					
🖌 Ø1 🖕 👉 Ø2 (R)						4	Tø4					
10 s 60 s						50	s					
Ø5 💗 🔽 Ø6 (R)						4	Ø8					

HCM Signalized Ir 2: Dixie Road & M	CM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Dixie Road & Mayfield Road										Backgr eekday A	ounc M Pea
	٩	<b>→</b>	7	•	←	•	1	t	1	6	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SB
Lane Configurations	7	***	۲	7	**		7	1	7	٦	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	293	1474	364	64	619	18	121	174	54	22	166	28
Future Volume (vph)	293	1474	364	64	619	18	121	174	54	22	166	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.8
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1566	4902	1536	1653	4560		1716	1671	1413	1405	1779	1439
FIt Permitted	0.38	1.00	1.00	0.16	1.00		0.55	1.00	1.00	0.53	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	621	4902	1536	273	4560		992	1671	1413	781	1779	1439
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adi, Flow (vph)	293	1474	364	64	619	18	121	174	54	22	166	28
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	135	0	2	0	0	0	45	0	0	23
Lane Group Flow (vph)	293	1474	229	64	635	Ő	121	174	9	22	166	5
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	7%	4%	8%	14%	33%	4%	15%	13%	27%	8%	119
Turn Type	nm+nt	NΔ	Perm	nm+nt	NΔ	0070	Perm	NΔ	Perm	Perm	NΔ	Pern
Protected Phases	5	2	1 GIIII	1	6		1 GHH	1.07	1 Cilli	1 GIIII	8	T GIT
Permitted Phases	2	2	2	6	0		Λ		Λ	8	0	ş
Actuated Green G (s)	85.8	75.5	75.5	74.6	67.3		20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20 4
Effective Green, a (s)	85.8	75.5	75.5	74.6	67.3		20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.
Actuated a/C Ratio	0.71	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.56		0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.1
Clearance Time (c)	3.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	6.0		60	6.0	60	60	6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Long Crp Cop (uph)	5.0	2004	0.0	252	2557		169	204	240	122	202	24/
Lane Gip Cap (vpn)	0.07	0.20	900	200	2007		100	204	240	152	0.00	244
V/S Ralio Prot	-0.20	0.30	0.45	0.02	0.14		-0.40	0.10	0.04	0.00	0.09	0.0
v/s Ralio Perm	0.50	0.40	0.15	0.14	0.05		0.72	0.64	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.04
V/C Rallo Uniform Dolou d1	0.52	0.40	0.24	0.25	12.4		0.72	46.1	0.04	42.5	0.55	42.0
Deniorm Delay, di	0.1	11.0	9.7	9.0	13.4		47.1	40.1	41.0	42.5	40.0	42.3
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		17.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, dz	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.1	0.2		17.0	0.0	0.1	1.3	3.0	10.0
Delay (s)	1.1	12.3	10.3	10.1	13.7		64.1	51.7	41.7	43.8	49.2	43.0
Level of Service	A	B	В	В	B		E	D	D	U	U	L
Approach Delay (s)		11.3			13.4			54.5			45.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			20.3	Н	ICM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Can	acity ratio		0.57		2.11 2000				5			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.8			
Intersection Canacity Utiliz	ation		69.1%	IC IC		of Service	,		C			
Analysis Period (min)			15	I.					5			
c Critical Lane Group			.0									

Queues 3: Dixie Road & Old	l Schoo	ol Roa	d						Future Background Weekday AM Peak
	۲	-	1	•	1	t	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	7	T.	7	T.	7	T.	7	Þ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	227	43	87	5	219	33	624	
Future Volume (vph)	11	227	43	87	5	219	33	624	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	258	43	95	5	263	33	635	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		8		4		6		2	
Permitted Phases	8		4		6		2		
Detector Phase	8	8	4	4	6	6	2	2	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	23.6	23.6	26.0	26.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.57	0.17	0.22	0.02	0.27	0.06	0.63	
Control Delay	17.3	24.9	19.5	18.1	8.4	8.7	8.4	14.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	17.3	24.9	19.5	18.1	8.4	8.7	8.4	14.2	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.6	14.4	2.3	4.7	0.2	7.9	0.9	26.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.4	25.6	6.2	10.4	1.1	18.0	3.6	54.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)		1352.4		445.0		2550.6		428.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)	35.0		40.0		40.0		35.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	383	682	377	666	325	959	581	1014	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.38	0.11	0.14	0.02	0.27	0.06	0.63	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									

Cycle Length: 70	
Actuated Cycle Length: 63.3	
Natural Cycle: 60	
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord	

Splits and Phases: 3: Dixie Road & Old School Road ↓ Ø2 0 5 0 5 0 6 0 8 0 9

HCM Signalized In 3: Dixie Road & Ol	tersectio d Schoo	on Cap I Road	acity /	Analysi	S				F	uture E W	Backgr <sup>eekday</sup> A	ound M Peak
	٨	+	7	•	←	•	1	t	1	6	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ħ		2	ţ,			ħ			ħ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	227	31	43	87	8	5	219	44	33	624	11
Future Volume (vph)	11	227	31	43	87	8	5	219	44	33	624	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.97		1.00	1.00	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1405	1807		1785	1774		1785	1729		1684	1844	
FIt Permitted	0.70	1.00		0.54	1.00		0.31	1.00		0.60	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1029	1807		1010	1774		592	1729		1058	1844	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	11	227	31	43	87	8	5	219	44	33	624	11
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	8	0	0	5	0	0	9	0	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	250	0	43	90	0	5	254	0	33	634	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	27%	2%	3%	0%	5%	0%	0%	10%	0%	6%	4%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		8			4			6			2	
Permitted Phases	8			4			6			2		
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.5	15.5		15.5	15.5		34.8	34.8		34.8	34.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	15.5	15.5		15.5	15.5		34.8	34.8		34.8	34.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.24		0.24	0.24		0.55	0.55		0.55	0.55	
Clearance Time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	251	442		247	434		325	950		581	1013	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.14			0.05			0.15			c0.34	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.01			0.04			0.01			0.03		
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.56		0.17	0.21		0.02	0.27		0.06	0.63	
Uniform Delay, d1	18.2	20.9		18.9	19.0		6.5	7.5		6.6	9.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	2.7		0.7	0.5		0.1	0.7		0.2	2.9	
Delay (s)	18.4	23.7		19.6	19.5		6.6	8.2		6.8	12.7	
Level of Service	В	С		В	В		А	А		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		23.4			19.5			8.2			12.4	
Approach LOS		С			В			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.5	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.61									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			63.3	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		70.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

02-22-2021

02-22-2021

HCM Unsignalized 4: Heart Lake Road	ICM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis : Heart Lake Road & Old School Road											
	٨	+	7	•	+	•	1	t	1	4	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4.			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	244	13	9	94	8	11	47	11	8	64	25
Future Volume (vph)	13	244	13	9	94	8	11	47	11	8	64	25
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	15	287	15	11	111	9	13	55	13	9	75	29
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	317	131	81	113								
Volume Left (vph)	15	11	13	9								
Volume Right (vph)	15	9	13	29								
Hadj (s)	-0.02	0.03	0.13	-0.10								
Departure Headway (s)	4.6	4.8	5.3	5.0								
Degree Utilization, x	0.40	0.18	0.12	0.16								
Capacity (veh/h)	751	698	618	652								
Control Delay (s)	10.6	8.9	9.0	8.9								
Approach Delay (s)	10.6	8.9	9.0	8.9								
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			9.7									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		30.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Queues	arabant	Pood							F	uture Background
	erchant	Roau	35	02/02	(57737)		200		200	Weekuay Fivi Feak
	•	-	1	-	1	Ť	*	ŧ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4		4	7	To	7	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	0	22	0	11	573	10	645	3	
Future Volume (vph)	4	0	22	0	11	573	10	645	3	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	38	0	328	11	669	40	645	3	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6		
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		6	
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	6	
Switch Phase										
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	
Total Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1	
Total Split (%)	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.9		6.9	6.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.9	
Lead/Lag		0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	
Load Lag Optimize?										
Recall Mode	None	Nono	None	Nono	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	
v/e Patio	NULLE	0.10	NULLE	0.79	0.02	0.57	0.10	0.55	0.00	
Control Dolov		11 7		27 4	0.03	12.6	0.10	12.4	0.00	
Oucus Delay		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	
Tetal Delay		11.7		27.4	0.0	12.6	0.0	12.4	0.0	
Oucue Length F0th (m)		0.2		24.4	9.5	20.1	9.7	13.4	0.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)		0.5		24.1	0.4	39.1 70.5	1.0	37.5	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)		0.0		0.3	2.1	10.0	1.4	15.0	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		280.5		289.9	00.0	472.0	00.0	2000.0	00.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)		500		000	00.0	4404	00.0	4400	00.0	
Base Capacity (vpn)		599		628	379	1181	396	1183	1031	
Starvation Cap Reductin		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.06		0.52	0.03	0.57	0.10	0.55	0.00	
Intersection Summary										
Cycle Length: 120										
Actuated Cycle Length: 105	5									
Natural Cycle: 90										
Control Type: Semi Act-Une	coord									
Splits and Phases: 1: Div	tie Road &	Merchant	Road							
<b>A</b>							4	2		
Ø2						5	-	04		
75.1s							44,9 s			
1 mars							+			
¥ 26							44.0	28		
ALC: N ALC: N										

HCM Signalized In 1: Dixie Road & Me	tersectio erchant	on Cap Road	pacity /	Analys	is				F	uture E We	Backgr eekday P	ound M Peak
	٠	-	7	1	←	•	1	t	1	6	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			Ţ,		2	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	0	34	22	0	60	11	573	24	10	645	3
Future Volume (vph)	4	0	34	22	0	60	11	573	24	10	645	3
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.98
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.88			0.90		1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected		0.99			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		1643			1671		1636	1803		1785	1812	1561
FIt Permitted		0.96			0.90		0.34	1.00		0.32	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		1587			1517		582	1803		607	1812	1561
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	4	0	34	88	0	240	11	573	96	40	645	3
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	27	0	0	94	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	11	0	0	234	0	11	665	0	40	645	2
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)							2					2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)		22.6			22.6		68.6	68.6		68.6	68.6	68.6
Effective Green, g (s)		22.6			22.6		68.6	68.6		68.6	68.6	68.6
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.22			0.22		0.65	0.65		0.65	0.65	0.65
Clearance Time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		341			326		380	1177		396	1183	1019
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.37			0.36	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.01			c0.15		0.02			0.07		0.00
v/c Ratio		0.03			0.72		0.03	0.56		0.10	0.55	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1		32.5			38.2		6.4	10.0		6.8	9.8	6.3
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.1			9.0		0.1	2.0		0.5	1.8	0.0
Delay (s)		32.6			47.3		6.6	12.0		7.3	11.6	6.3
Level of Service		С			D		А	В		Α	В	A
Approach Delay (s)		32.6			47.3			11.9			11.3	
Approach LOS		С			D			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			18.8	H	CM 2000	Level of \$	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.60									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			105.0	S	um of <b>l</b> ost	time (s)			13.8			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		65.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

02-22-2021

Queues     Future Background       2: Dixie Road & Mayfield Road     Weekday PM Peak												
	٩	-	7	•	←	1	1	1	6	ţ	4	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	1	***	1	2	***	×	1	1	×	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	289	246	38	36	311	351	
Future Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	289	246	38	36	311	351	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1196	289	246	38	36	311	351	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4			8		
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		4		4	8		8	
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	4	4	4	8	8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	
Total Split (s)	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	60.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	8.3%	50.0%	50.0%	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?		0	5		5							
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
v/c Ratio	1.31	0.46	0.23	0.29	0.55	0.97	0.36	0.06	0.11	0.47	0.54	
Control Delay	187.9	23.6	3.5	15.8	25.8	84.9	30.1	2.5	26.9	32.7	19.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	187.9	23.6	3.5	15.8	25.8	84.9	30.1	2.5	26.9	32.7	19.9	
Queue Length 50th (m)	~34.0	35.6	0.0	4.2	44.0	39.3	25.0	0.0	3.3	33.2	21.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)	#65.5	43.0	7.4	8.3	52.2	#72.3	37.7	2.0	7.9	48.8	38.2	
Internal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6		
Turn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	234	2189	819	231	2163	297	689	597	332	657	655	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.31	0.46	0.23	0.29	0.55	0.97	0.36	0.06	0.11	0.47	0.54	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 120												
Offset: 32 (27%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBTL	and 6:WE	BTL, Start	of Green							
Natural Cycle: 105												
Control Type: Actuated-Coo	rdinated											
~ Volume exceeds capaci	ty, queue i	s theoreti	cally infin	ite.								
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	o cycles.										
# 95th percentile volume e	exceeds ca	pacity, qu	ueue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	o cycles.		-								
Splits and Phases: 2. Divi	ie Road &	Mavfield	Road									
						8 26 3						25

🖌 Ø1 🖕 📥 Ø2 (R)	1 Ø4	
10 s 60 s	50 s	
▲ Ø5 🕊 🕶 Ø6 (R)	<b>↓</b> Ø8	
10 s 60 s	50 s	
02-22-2021		Page 3

HCM Signalized In 2: Dixie Road & M	ntersection ayfield F		Future Backg Weekday									
	الر أ	<b>→</b>	7	1	+	•	1	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SB
Lane Configurations		***	1		**1.			1	1	2	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	20	289	246	38	36	311	35
Future Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	20	289	246	38	36	311	35
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.8
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.0
Satd. Flow (prot)	1700	4768	1566	1342	4887		1750	1921	1551	1653	1830	155
FIt Permitted	0.16	1.00	1.00	0.23	1.00		0.45	1.00	1.00	0.53	1.00	1.0
Satd. Flow (perm)	282	4768	1566	322	4887		829	1921	1551	926	1830	155
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0
Adj. Flow (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	20	289	246	38	36	311	35
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	102	0	2	0	0	0	24	0	0	9
Lane Group Flow (vph)	307	1008	84	68	1194	0	289	246	14	36	311	25
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	10%	2%	33%	7%	10%	2%	0%	3%	8%	5%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perr
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6			4		4	8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	61.5	54.5	54.5	58.7	53.1		43.1	43.1	43.1	43.1	43.1	43.
Effective Green, a (s)	61.5	54.5	54.5	58.7	53.1		43.1	43.1	43.1	43.1	43.1	43.
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.51	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.44		0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.3
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	227	2165	711	205	2162		297	689	557	332	657	55
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.08	0.21		0.02	0.24			0.13			0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.61		0.05	0.15			c0.35		0.01	0.04		0.1
v/c Ratio	1.35	0.47	0.12	0.33	0.55		0.97	0.36	0.02	0.11	0.47	0.4
Uniform Delay, d1	23.8	22.7	18.9	16.9	24.7		37.9	28.3	24.9	25.6	29.7	29.
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0
Incremental Delay, d2	184.7	0.7	0.3	2.0	1.0		45.0	0.7	0.0	0.3	1.1	1.
Delay (s)	208.5	23.4	19.2	18.9	25.7		82.9	28.9	24.9	25.9	30.8	30.
Level of Service	F	С	В	В	С		F	С	С	С	С	(
Approach Delay (s)		60.7			25.3			55.9			30.5	
Approach LOS		E			С			Е			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			43.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		1.21									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		93.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues <u>3: Dixie Road &amp; Ol</u>	d Schoo	ol Roa	d						Future Background Weekday PM Peak
	۲	<b>→</b>	1	+	1	t	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	7	T.	7	T.	7	T.	7	Þ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	97	83	250	25	537	6	247	
Future Volume (vph)	14	97	83	250	25	537	6	247	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	107	83	268	25	578	6	262	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		8		4		6		2	
Permitted Phases	8		4		6		2		
Detector Phase	8	8	4	4	6	6	2	2	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	23.6	23.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Total Split (%)	46.2%	46.2%	46.2%	46.2%	53.8%	53.8%	53.8%	53.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.06	0.22	0.25	0.55	0.04	0.61	0.02	0.29	
Control Delay	15.3	15.7	17.8	22.0	9.0	14.5	9.0	10.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	15.3	15.7	17.8	22.0	9.0	14.5	9.0	10.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.6	4.6	3.9	13.5	0.7	22.7	0.2	8.2	
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.6	10.1	9.0	24.1	3.0	48.8	1.2	19.2	
Internal Link Dist (m)		1352.4		445.0		2550.6		428.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)	35.0		40.0		40.0		35.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	372	742	523	751	574	941	331	901	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.14	0.16	0.36	0.04	0.61	0.02	0.29	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Longth: 65									

	Cycle Length: 65
ļ	Actuated Cycle Length: 57.9
	Natural Cycle: 60
	Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord

Splits and Phases: 3: Dixie Road & Old School Road ↓ Ø2 55 ↓ Ø4 30 s ↓ Ø6 ↓ Ø3

HCM Signalized In 3: Dixie Road & Ol	tersection d School	on Cap I Road	acity /	Analys	is				F	uture E W	Backgr eekday P	ound M Peak
	٨	1	1	1	ł	•	•	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ħ			ħ			ħ			f,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	97	10	83	250	18	25	537	41	6	247	15
Future Volume (vph)	14	97	10	83	250	18	25	537	41	6	247	15
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1566	1804		1767	1829		1785	1832		1785	1756	
FIt Permitted	0.55	1.00		0.69	1.00		0.60	1.00		0.35	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	911	1804		1280	1829		1123	1832		648	1756	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adi, Flow (vph)	14	97	10	83	250	18	25	537	41	6	247	15
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	7	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	3	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	100	0	83	264	0	25	574	0	6	259	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	3%	0%	1%	1%	11%	0%	2%	27%	0%	9%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	- / -	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	1 Unit	8		1 Unit	4		1 Unit	6		1 01111	2	
Permitted Phases	8	Ŭ		4			6	Ŭ		2	-	
Actuated Green G (s)	15.2	15.2		15.2	15.2		29.6	29.6		29.6	29.6	
Effective Green, a (s)	15.2	15.2		15.2	15.2		29.6	29.6		29.6	29.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.26		0.26	0.26		0.51	0.51		0.51	0.51	
Clearance Time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Grn Can (ynh)	230	474		336	/180		575	038		331	800	
v/s Ratio Prot	200	0.06		000	c0 14		515	c0 31		001	0.15	
v/s Patio Porm	0.02	0.00		0.06	0.14		0.02	00.01		0.01	0.15	
v/c Ratio	0.02	0.21		0.00	0.55		0.04	0.61		0.01	0.20	
Uniform Delay, d1	15.00	16.6		16.8	18.3		7.0	10.0		6.0	8.1	
Progression Eactor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1 00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.5		0.8	23		0.1	3.0		0.1	0.8	
Delay (s)	16.2	17.1		17.6	20.6		7.2	13.0		7.0	8.0	
Level of Service	10.2 R	B		B	20.0		Δ	R		Δ	Δ	
Approach Delay (s)	U	17.0		U	19.9		~	12.8		~	8.8	
Approach LOS		17.0 B			10.0 B			12.0 B			Δ	
Approach 200		D			D			D			~	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.2	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.59									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			57.8	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		55.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

02-22-2021

Synchro 11 Report Page 5

02-22-2021

HCM Unsignalized 4: Heart Lake Road	Interse d & Old	ction C Schoo	Capacit I Roac	y Anal I	ysis				F	uture E We	Backgr eekday P	ound M Peak
	٨	+	1	•	ł	•	1	t	1	*	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4.			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	8	120	12	26	245	4	25	43	15	1	43	14
Future Volume (vph)	8	120	12	26	245	4	25	43	15	1	43	14
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	128	13	28	261	4	27	46	16	1	46	15
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	150	293	89	62								
Volume Left (vph)	9	28	27	1								
Volume Right (vph)	13	4	16	15								
Hadj (s)	0.00	0.03	-0.05	-0.09								
Departure Headway (s)	4.6	4.5	5.0	5.0								
Degree Utilization, x	0.19	0.37	0.12	0.09								
Capacity (veh/h)	741	768	658	650								
Control Delay (s)	8.7	10.1	8.7	8.4								
Approach Delay (s)	8.7	10.1	8.7	8.4								
Approach LOS	А	В	А	А								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			9.4									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		39.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

# APPENDIX D

Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Future Background Conditions (Optimized)

Queues							I	Future	Backg	ground	(optim	ized)
2: Dixie Road & Ma	ayfield F	Road								N	leekday Pl	/ Peak
	٨	<b>→</b>	7	•	←	1	Ť	1	6	ţ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	1	***	1		**1	2	1	1		1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	289	246	38	36	311	351	
Future Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	289	246	38	36	311	351	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1196	289	246	38	36	311	351	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4			8		
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		4		4	8		8	
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	4	4	4	8	8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	
Total Split (s)	21.0	57.0	57.0	10.0	46.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	
Total Split (%)	17.5%	47.5%	47.5%	8.3%	38.3%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
v/c Ratio	0.90	0.47	0.23	0.30	0.71	0.95	0.35	0.06	0.11	0.47	0.46	
Control Delay	57.1	24.8	3.8	18.2	37.4	79.1	28.9	0.2	24.9	31.4	6.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	57.1	24.8	3.8	18.2	37.4	79.1	28.9	0.2	24.9	31.4	6.6	
Queue Length 50th (m)	30.4	37.3	0.0	4.5	54.2	37.6	23.9	0.0	3.2	31.8	3.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	#61.5	45.1	7.8	8.9	64.3	#69.0	36.1	0.0	7.5	46.7	16.2	
Internal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6		
Turn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	342	2157	810	227	1687	320	737	651	356	703	785	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.90	0.47	0.23	0.30	0.71	0.90	0.33	0.06	0.10	0.44	0.45	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 120												
Offset: 32 (27%), Reference	d to phase	2:EBTL	and 6:WE	TL, Start	of Green							
Natural Cycle: 105												
Control Type: Actuated-Coc	rdinated											
# 95th percentile volume e	exceeds ca	ipacity, qi	leue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	o cycles.										
Splits and Phases: 2: Dix	ie Road &	Mayfield	Road									12
🖌 Ø1 😽 Ø2 (R)						. ¶e	4				-	
						53 5						
- Ø5 🕴	Ø6 (R)					1 7 2	8					

02-18-2021

Synchro 11 Report Page 3 HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Dixie Road & Mayfield Road

### Future Background (optimized) Weekday PM Peak

	٨	+	7	*	←	•	1	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	***	1	٦	**1		7	1	۲	٦	1	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	20	289	246	38	36	311	351
Future Volume (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	20	289	246	38	36	311	351
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1700	4768	1566	1342	4887		1750	1921	1551	1653	1830	1551
FIt Permitted	0.12	1.00	1.00	0.27	1.00		0.45	1.00	1.00	0.53	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	207	4768	1566	384	4887		834	1921	1551	929	1830	1551
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	307	1008	186	68	1176	20	289	246	38	36	311	351
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	103	0	1	0	0	0	24	0	0	196
Lane Group Flow (vph)	307	1008	83	68	1195	0	289	246	14	36	311	155
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	10%	2%	33%	7%	10%	2%	0%	3%	8%	5%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	62.6	53.7	53.7	47.3	41.4		43.6	43.6	43.6	43.6	43.6	43.6
Effective Green, g (s)	62.6	53.7	53.7	47.3	41.4		43.6	43.6	43.6	43.6	43.6	43.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.52	0.45	0.45	0.39	0.34		0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	334	2133	700	198	1686		303	697	563	337	664	563
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.14	0.21		0.02	0.24			0.13			0.17	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.34		0.05	0.12			c0.35		0.01	0.04		0.10
v/c Ratio	0.92	0.47	0.12	0.34	0.71		0.95	0.35	0.02	0.11	0.47	0.28
Uniform Delay, d1	30.7	23.2	19.3	23.2	34.1		37.2	27.9	24.5	25.3	29.3	27.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	30.4	0.8	0.3	2.2	2.5		39.9	0.6	0.0	0.3	1.1	0.6
Delay (s)	61.1	24.0	19.7	25.4	36.6		77.1	28.5	24.6	25.6	30.4	27.6
Level of Service	E	С	В	С	D		E	С	С	С	С	С
Approach Delay (s)		31.0			36.0			52.8			28.7	
Approach LOS		С			D			D			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			35.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of \$	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.96									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		93.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

02-18-2021

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

### **APPENDIX E**

**Detailed TTS Data** 

### Incoming AM

Fri Dec 11 2020 16:55:15 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2467ms

Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2016 v1.1

Row: 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06\_orig Column: 2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06\_dest

Filters: Start time of trip - start\_time In 600-900 and Trip purpose - trip\_purp In 1 and Primary travel mode of trip - mode\_prime In d, m and 2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06\_dest In 3012,3013,3014,3015,3016,3191

Trip 2016 Table:

i able.													
	То					1			2118	35 2	21211		
From	3013	3014	3015	3016	3191	Sum	%	PD	Gateway	Gateway2		Notes	
72	0	0	0	0	43	43	0.9%	1	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
124	0	0	0	0	12	12	0.2%	2	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
150	0	19	0	0	0	19	0.4%	3	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
160	0	0	0	0	21	21	0.4%	3	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
163	0	0	0	0	34	34	0.7%	3	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
173	0	0	0	0	24	24	0.5%	3	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
178	0	0	0	0	23	23	0.5%	3	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
194	0	0	0	0	11	11	0.2%	4	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
222	0	0	0	0	12	12	0.2%	4	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
223	0	0	0	0	17	17	0.4%	5	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
255	0	0	0	0	13	13	0.3%	6	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
261	0	0	0	0	15	15	0.3%	6	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
294	0	17	0	0	0	17	0.4%	7	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
295	0	0	0	0	6	6	0.1%	7	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
326	0	0	0	0	13	13	0.3%	8	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
365	0	0	0	0	52	52	1.1%	9	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
366	0	0	20	0	0	20	0.4%	9	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
371	0	0	0	0	17	17	0.4%	9	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
376	0	0	0	0	25	25	0.5%	9	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
382	0	0	0	0	20	20	0.4%	9	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
384	0	0	0	0	10	10	0.2%	10	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
385	0	0	0	0	8	8	0.2%	10	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
396	0	0	0	0	8	8	0.2%	10	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
413	0	0	0	0	18	18	0.4%	10	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
443	0	0	0	0	17	17	0.4%	11	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
459	0	0	0	0	27	27	0.6%	11	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
568	0	0	0	0	16	16	0.3%	15	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
1063	0	0	0	7	0	7	0.1%	21	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
1180	0	0	0	0	21	21	0.4%	23	Mayfield EB	Mayfield E	В		410
2014	0	0	0	0	11	11	0.2%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2017	0	0	0	0	13	13	0.3%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2020	0	0	0	0	21	21	0.4%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2022	0	0	0	0	31	31	0.6%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2023	0	0	0	0	53	53	1.1%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2024	0	20	0	0	0	20	0.4%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2027	0	0	0	0	13	13	0.3%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2057	0	0	0	0	32	32	0.7%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2132	0	0	0	0	16	16	0.3%	33	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	
2241	0	0	0	0	22	22	0.5%	29	Dixie NB	Dixie NB		from NE on Mayfield/Dixie	

Feb 26, 2021

	2245	0	0	0	0	33	33	0.7%	29	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from NE on Mayfield/Dixie
	2258	0	0	0	0	18	18	0.4%	29	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	2402	55	0	0	0	0	55	1.1%	31	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	2427	0	0	0	0	16	16	0.3%	31	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
-	2434	0	0	0	0	45	45	0.9%	31	Mavfield EB	Mavfield EB	410
	2554	0	17	0	0	0	17	0.4%	28	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from NE on Mavfield/Dixie
-	2558	0	0	0	0	14	14	0.3%	28	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from NE on Mayfield/Dixie
-	2652	0	0	0	0	15	15	0.3%	32	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Divie
ŀ	2650	0	0	0	0	18	18	0.076	32			
ŀ	2000	0	0	0	0	27	27	0.4%	25	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	
-	2000	0	0	0	0	110	110	0.076	20			from NIM on Divio
-	3002	0	0	0	0	110	110	2.4%	34	Dixie SD Maufiald ED		
-	3008	0	26	0	0	0	26	0.5%	34	Mayfield EB	Old School EB	very close to sites
-	3010	0	37	0	0	16	53	1.1%	34	Mayfield EB		very close to sites
-	3011	0	4	0	0	0	4	0.1%	34	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	very close to sites
-	3100	0	0	0	0	//	//	1.6%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
	3104	0	0	0	0	26	26	0.5%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
	3153	0	0	0	0	148	148	3.1%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
	3189	0	0	0	0	73	73	1.5%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
	3190	0	0	0	0	50	50	1.0%	34	Mayfield WB	Old School WB	from NE on Mayfield
	3192	0	0	0	0	194	194	4.0%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
	3193	0	0	0	0	151	151	3.1%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
	3194	0	10	0	0	96	106	2.2%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
-	3197	0	0	0	0	9	9	0.2%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
-	3199	0	0	0	0	50	50	1.0%	34	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
-	3337	0	0	0	0	60	60	1.2%	35	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from NE on Mayfield/Dixie
-	3338	0	0	0	0	39	39	0.8%	35	Mayfield FB	Mayfield FB	410
ŀ	3351	0	0	0	0	53	53	1 1%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
ŀ	3352	0	0	0	0	50	50	1.170	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
-	2260	0	4	0	0	7	11	0.20/	25	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
-	3300	0	4	0	0	10	10	0.2%	30			410
-	3362	0	0	0	0	12	12	0.2%	30			410
-	3363	0	0	0	0	//	//	1.6%	35			from SE on Dixie
-	3364	0	0	0	0	85	85	1.8%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	3367	0	0	0	0	42	42	0.9%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
-	3373	0	0	0	0	13	13	0.3%	35	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from SE on Dixie
-	3375	0	0	0	0	41	41	0.9%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
	3379	0	0	0	0	63	63	1.3%	35	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from SE on Dixie
	3380	0	0	57	0	45	102	2.1%	35	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from SE on Dixie
	3386	0	26	66	0	59	151	3.1%	35	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	from NE on Mayfield/Dixie
	3417	13	0	0	0	0	13	0.3%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	3419	0	8	0	0	38	46	1.0%	35	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	from SE on Dixie
	3432	0	0	10	0	0	10	0.2%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
	3434	14	0	0	29	51	94	1.9%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
	3442	0	0	0	0	14	14	0.3%	35	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	from NE on Mayfield/Dixie
	3443	0	0	38	0	0	38	0.8%	35	Mavfield WB	Mavfield WB	from NE on Mavfield
ľ	3448	0	0	0	0	14	14	0.3%	35	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	from NE on Mavfield/Dixie
ł	3456	0	0	0	0	14	14	0.3%	35	Mavfield FB	Mavfield FB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
ł	3460	24	0	0	0	0	24	0.5%	35	Mavfield FB	Mavfield FB	from SW on Mavfield
ł	3466	0	0	0	0	18	18	0.0%	35	Mayfield FR	Mayfield FB	from SW on Mayfield
ł	3468	0	0	0	0	27	27	0.4%	35	Dixie NR		from NE on Mayfield/Divie
-	3/85	0	0	0	0	26	21	0.07%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	
-	2405	0	0	24	0		24	0.7 /0	25	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
ŀ	2545	0	0	24	0	22	24	0.5%	35	Mayfield ED	Nayfield ED	410
ŀ	3515	0	0	0	0	23	23	0.5%	35			410
ŀ	3516	0	0	0	0	13	13	0.3%	35	IVIAVIIEIO VVB	Mayrield WB	
	3517	0	0	17	0	46	63	1.3%	35	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	from NE on Mayfield/Dixie
	3518	0	0	0	0	129	129	2.7%	35	Mayfield WB	Maytield WB	trom NE on Mayfield/Dixie
	3519	0	0	0	0	16	16	0.3%	35	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	trom NE on Mayfield/Dixie
	3602	0	0	0	0	14	14	0.3%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	3603	0	0	0	0	18	18	0.4%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	3606	0	0	0	0	6	6	0.1%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	3607	0	0	0	0	27	27	0.6%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	3615	0	0	0	0	18	18	0.4%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
	3617	0	0	0	0	14	14	0.3%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410

#### Feb 26, 2021

3629	0	0	0	0	58	58	1.2%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3638	0	0	0	0	7	7	0.1%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3644	0	0	0	0	10	10	0.2%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3645	0	0	0	0	22	22	0.5%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3664	0	0	0	48	0	48	1.0%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3671	0	0	0	0	19	19	0.4%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3681	0	0	0	0	12	12	0.2%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3686	0	0	0	0	28	28	0.6%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3688	0	0	0	0	8	8	0.2%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3714	0	0	0	0	15	15	0.3%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
3877	0	0	0	0	41	41	0.9%	36	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
4084	0	0	0	0	32	32	0.7%	40	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
4110	0	0	0	0	69	69	1.4%	38	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
4119	0	0	27	0	0	27	0.6%	38	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
4123	0	0	0	0	23	23	0.5%	38	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	410
4159	0	0	0	0	55	55	1.1%	37	Mayfield EB	Old School EB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
4160	0	0	0	0	15	15	0.3%	37	Mayfield EB	Old School EB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
4162	0	0	0	26	0	26	0.5%	37	Mayfield EB	Old School EB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
4164	0	47	0	0	0	47	1.0%	37	Mayfield EB	Old School EB	from SW on Mayfield/Old School
4175	0	0	0	0	6	6	0.1%	37	Old School EB	Old School EB	from SW on Old School
4176	0	18	0	0	0	18	0.4%	37	Old School EB	Old School EB	from SW on Old School
8380	15	0	0	17	0	32	0.7%	79	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8402	0	0	23	0	0	23	0.5%	80	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8403	0	0	0	0	45	45	0.9%	80	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8405	0	0	0	0	13	13	0.3%	80	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8412	0	11	0	0	0	11	0.2%	141	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8415	0	0	21	0	0	21	0.4%	144	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8553	0	0	0	0	107	107	2.2%	85	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8559	0	0	0	0	21	21	0.4%	86	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8562	0	0	0	0	14	14	0.3%	84	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8563	0	0	0	0	43	43	0.9%	84	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8585	0	0	0	0	56	56	1.2%	85	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8595	0	0	0	0	33	33	0.7%	82	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8596	0	0	0	0	68	68	1.4%	84	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
8597	0	0	0	0	24	24	0.5%	84	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	from NW on Dixie
0662	0	0	0	0	92	92	1 9%	84	Divio SB	Divie SB	from NW on Divie

Outgoing AM Fri Dec 11 2020 17:01:04 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2545ms

Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2016 v1.1

Row: 2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06\_dest Column: 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06\_orig

Filters: Start time of trip - start\_time In 600-900 and Trip purpose - trip\_purp In 1 and Primary travel mode of trip - mode\_prime In D, M and 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06\_orig In 3012,3013,3014,3015,3016,3191

Trip 2016

Table:									
	From						21185	21211	
То	3012	3015	3191	Sum	%	PD	Gateway	Gateway	Notes
421	0	30	0	30	11.2%	10	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	to 410
2070	0	30	0	30	11.2%	33	Dixie SB	Dixie SB	to NE on Dixie
3005	19	0	0	19	7.1%	34	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	to SW on Mayfield/Old School
3192	0	14	0	14	5.2%	34	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	to NW on Dixie
3376	0	26	0	26	9.7%	35	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	to SW on Mayfield
3448	16	0	0	16	6.0%	35	Mayfield EB	Mayfield EB	to NE on Dixie/Mayfield
3816	0	35	0	35	13.1%	36	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	to 410
8663	0	0	48	48	17.9%	84	Dixie NB	Dixie NB	to NW on Dixie
8904	0	50	0	50	18.7%	147	Mayfield WB	Mayfield WB	to 410

OWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

## **APPENDIX F**

Intersection Capacity Analysis – Future Total Conditions

Queues 1: Dixie Road & Me	erchant	Road								Future Total Weekday AM Peak
	۲	-	•	+	1	t	1	ţ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4		4	7	ħ	1	Ť	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	0	17	0	49	639	56	557	2	
Future Volume (vph)	1	0	17	0	49	639	56	557	2	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	18	0	108	49	711	224	557	2	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6		
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		6	
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	6	
Switch Phase										
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	
Total Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1	
Total Split (%)	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
Lead/Lag										
Lead-Lag Optimize?										
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Мах	Мах	Max	
v/c Ratio		0.10		0.52	0.09	0.56	0.47	0.42	0.00	
Control Delay		6.2		36.0	5.0	8.5	10.1	6.7	0.0	
Queue Delav		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		6.2		36.0	5.0	8.5	10.1	6.7	0.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)		0.0		7.7	1.3	30.3	8.7	20.4	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)		0.0		1.7	3.9	55.8	3.4	37.1	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		280.5		138.1		472.6		1500.1		
Turn Bay Length (m)					60.0		60.0		60.0	
Base Capacity (vph)		493		558	537	1264	473	1315	796	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.04		0.19	0.09	0.56	0.47	0.42	0.00	
Intersection Summary										
Cycle Length: 120										
Actuated Cycle Length: 101										
Natural Cycle: 110										
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	oord									
Splits and Phases: 1: Dixi	ie Road & I	Merchant	Road							
							A	5		
102								04		
/5.15							44,9 S	81. 		
06							10	18		
75.14							44.9 s			

HCM Signalized Int <u>1: Dixie Road &amp; Me</u>	tersectio erchant	on Cap Road	acity /	Analysi	S					F Wi	<sup>E</sup> uture eekday A	Total M Peak
	٨	+	1	1	ł	•	1	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			ħ			1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	0	17	17	0	10	49	639	18	56	557	2
Future Volume (vph)	1	0	17	17	0	10	49	639	18	56	557	2
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.87			0.95		1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected		1.00			0.97		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		1275			1730		1623	1708		1785	1779	1065
FIt Permitted		0.98			0.80		0.43	1.00		0.34	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		1255			1424		727	1708		640	1779	1065
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	1	0	17	68	0	40	49	639	72	224	557	2
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	16	0	0	31	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	2	0	0	77	0	49	709	0	224	557	1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	100%	0%	24%	0%	0%	0%	10%	12%	0%	0%	8%	50%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)		12.5			12.5		74.7	74.7		74.7	74.7	74.7
Effective Green, g (s)		12.5			12.5		74.7	74.7		74.7	74.7	74.7
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.12			0.12		0.74	0.74		0.74	0.74	0.74
Clearance Time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		155			176		537	1263		473	1315	787
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.42			0.31	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.00			c0.05		0.07			0.35		0.00
v/c Ratio		0.01			0.44		0.09	0.56		0.47	0.42	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1		38.8			41.0		3.7	5.9		5.3	5.0	3.4
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.1			3.6		0.3	1.8		3.4	1.0	0.0
Delay (s)		38.9			44.6		4.0	7.7		8.6	6.0	3.4
Level of Service		D			D		А	А		А	А	A
Approach Delay (s)		38.9			44.6			7.4			6.7	
Approach LOS		D			D			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	citv ratio		0.54									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			101.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.8			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		66.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 2: Dixie Road & Ma	ayfield F	Road								N W	Future <sup>·</sup> leekday Al	To M P
	٨	+	7	•	+	1	t	1	6	ţ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	2	***	1	1	**1	×	1	1	1	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	441	1474	364	64	619	121	220	54	27	175	358	
uture Volume (vph)	441	1474	364	64	619	121	220	54	27	175	358	
ane Group Flow (vph)	441	1474	364	64	667	121	220	54	27	175	358	
furn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4			8		
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		4		4	8		8	
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	4	4	4	8	8	8	
Switch Phase												
Vinimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
dinimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	
Fotal Split (s)	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	60.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	
Fotal Split (%)	8.3%	50.0%	50.0%	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	
rellow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
_ead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag							
ead-Lag Optimize?			_									
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
//c Ratio	0.70	0.50	0.34	0.25	0.33	0.62	0.67	0.16	0.21	0.50	0.70	
Control Delay	15.8	15.6	2.5	10.0	22.0	57.0	54.1	8.0	41.6	46.8	17.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Delay	15.8	15.6	2.5	10.0	22.0	57.0	54.1	8.0	41.6	46.8	17.9	
Queue Length 50th (m)	20.8	41.2	0.0	2.3	21.3	15.7	28.8	0.0	3.2	22.1	9.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)	#50.3	60.4	8.6	5.9	27.0	25.3	40.4	4.8	7.6	31.9	26.5	
nternal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6		
Furn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	627	2973	1074	261	1996	357	600	547	238	638	696	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.70	0.50	0.34	0.25	0.33	0.34	0.37	0.10	0.11	0.27	0.51	
ntersection Summarv												
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 120												
Offset: 44 (37%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBTI	and 6:WF	BTL. Start	of Green							
Vatural Cycle: 105				_, •								
Control Type: Actuated-Coc	ordinated											
95th percentile volume	exceeds ca	pacity, qu	Jeue mav	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximu	im after two	o cycles.	,									
Splits and Phases: 2: Dix	ie Road &	Mayfield	Road									
Ø1 02 (R)					-	4	Tø4					_
10 S 60 S						50	8					
Ø5 V Ø6 (R)						*	Ø8					
10 s 60 s						50	5					

Synchro 11 Report Page 3

HCM Signalized In 2: Dixie Road & M	itersectio ayfield F	on Cap Road	bacity	Analys	is					F We	Future eekday A	Tota M Peal
	ار ا	<b>→</b>	7	1	←	•	1	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations	1	***	7	2	**1		2	1	7	2	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	441	1474	364	64	619	48	121	220	54	27	175	358
Future Volume (vph)	441	1474	364	64	619	48	121	220	54	27	175	358
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.8
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1566	4902	1536	1653	4497		1716	1671	1413	1405	1779	1439
FIt Permitted	0.34	1.00	1.00	0.17	1.00		0.55	1.00	1.00	0.45	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	563	4902	1536	290	4497		996	1671	1413	665	1779	1439
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adi, Flow (vph)	441	1474	364	64	619	48	121	220	54	27	175	358
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	145	0	7	0	0	0	43	0	0	226
Lane Group Flow (vph)	441	1474	219	64	660	0	121	220	11	27	175	132
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	7%	4%	8%	14%	33%	4%	15%	13%	27%	8%	11%
Turn Type	nm+nt	NA	Perm	nm+nt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2	1 GIIII	1	6		1 GIIII	4	1 GIIII	1 Gilli	8	1 UIII
Permitted Phases	2	-	2	6	v		4		4	8	Ū	8
Actuated Green, G (s)	82.6	72.2	72.2	60.5	53.1		23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23 6
Effective Green, a (s)	82.6	72.2	72.2	60.5	53.1		23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6	23.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.69	0.60	0.60	0.50	0 44		0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.0	3.0	69		69	6.9	6.9	69	6.9	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5 (
Lano Grn Can (ynh)	600	2040	0.0	230	1080		105	328	277	130	340	283
v/s Patio Prot	c0 16	2949	324	0.02	0.15		190	c0 13	211	150	0.10	200
v/s Ratio Prot	0.10	0.30	0.14	0.02	0.15		0.12	0.15	0.01	0.04	0.10	0.00
vis Ralio Ferri	0.72	0.50	0.14	0.12	0.22		0.12	0.67	0.01	0.04	0.50	0.03
V/C RallO	0.72	12.6	11 1	15.2	0.00		0.02	0.07	20.04	40.4	42.0	12.41
Dragrossion Faster	1.00	1.00	1 00	10.0	21.9		44.1	44.0	1.00	40.4	43.0	42.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.1	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, dz	12.0	14.0	0.0	1.4	0.4		0.4 50.5	0.9	20.1	1.7	Z.4	Z.: 45.0
Delay (S)	13.9	14.2	11.7	10.7	22.3		52.5 D	51.5	39.1	42.0	45.5	45.4
Level of Service	В	40.0	В	В	01.0		U	D	U	U	45 A	L
Approach Delay (s)		13.8			21.8			50.1			45.1	
Approach LOS		В			C			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			23.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of \$	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.73									
ctuated Cycle Length (s) 120.0			S	um of <b>l</b> ost	time (s)			16.8				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		76.3%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

02-22-2021

Queues									Future Total
3: Dixie Road & Old	Scho	ol Roa	d						Weekday AM Peak
			83	100.00	1970		1	312	· · · · · ·
	/	-	1	1000	1	T	*	ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	1	f,	2	f,	2	ħ		ef.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	17	227	45	88	24	231	33	694	
Future Volume (vph)	17	227	45	88	24	231	33	694	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	17	273	45	96	24	275	33	740	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		8		4		6		2	
Permitted Phases	8		4		6		2		
Detector Phase	8	8	4	4	6	6	2	2	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	23.6	23.6	26.0	26.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	57.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.59	0.18	0.21	0.10	0.29	0.06	0.74	
Control Delay	17.3	24.5	19.4	17.8	10.3	9.3	8.9	18.7	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	17.3	24.5	19.4	17.8	10.3	9.3	8.9	18.7	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.9	15.2	2.4	4.7	0.7	8.5	1.0	34.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.2	26.7	6.4	10.4	3.4	20.0	3.8	#84.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		189.2		445.0		436.1		428.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)	35.0		40.0		40.0		35.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	382	677	360	664	234	946	566	997	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.40	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.29	0.06	0.74	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 70									
Actuated Cycle Length: 63.6									
Natural Cycle: 60									

Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
 Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 3: Dixie Road & Old School Road

Ø2	€ Ø4	
40 s	30 s	
1 a6	A-28	
40 s	30 s	

Synchro 11 Report Page 5

HCM Signalized In 3: Dixie Road & OI	tersection d Schoo	on Cap ol Road	acity A	Analys	is					F W	<sup>-</sup> uture eekday A	Total M Peak
	٠	<b>→</b>	7	1	+	•	1	t	1	6	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		ħ			ħ			f,			ħ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	17	227	46	45	88	8	24	231	44	33	694	46
Future Volume (vph)	17	227	46	45	88	8	24	231	44	33	694	46
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1405	1793		1785	1774		1785	1730		1684	1834	
FIt Permitted	0.69	1.00		0.52	1.00		0.23	1.00		0.59	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1028	1793		968	1774		434	1730		1046	1834	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adi, Flow (vph)	17	227	46	45	88	8	24	231	44	33	694	46
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	12	0	0	5	0	0	9	0	0	3	C
Lane Group Flow (vph)	17	261	0	45	91	0	24	266	0	33	737	C
Heavy Vehicles (%)	27%	2%	3%	0%	5%	0%	0%	10%	0%	6%	4%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	1 Unit	8		1 Unit	4		1 Unit	6		1 01111	2	
Permitted Phases	8	Ŭ		4			6	Ŭ		2	-	
Actuated Green G (s)	16 1	16 1		16 1	16 1		34.4	34.4		34 4	34.4	
Effective Green, a (s)	16.1	16.1		16.1	16.1		34.4	34.4		34.4	34.4	
Actuated o/C Ratio	0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25		0.54	0.54		0.54	0.54	
Clearance Time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	66	
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	260	454		245	110		235	0.0		566	0.0	
v/s Ratio Prot	200	c0 15		240	0.05		200	0.15		500	c0.40	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.02	00.10		0.05	0.00		0.06	0.15		0.03	0.40	
v/c Ratio	0.02	0.58		0.00	0.20		0.00	0.28		0.06	0.74	
Uniform Delay, d1	18.0	20.7		18.6	18.6		7.1	7 9		6.0	11 1	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	2.8		0.8	0.5		0.9	0.8		0.2	5.0	
	18.2	23.5		10.3	10.0		7.0	8.6		7.1	16.1	
Level of Service	10.2 B	20.0		10.5 B	13.1 B		Δ	Δ		Δ	10.1 B	
Approach Delay (s)	U	23.2		U	19.2		л	86		~	15.8	
Approach LOS		20.2			13.2 B			Δ			10.0 B	
		U			U			~			U	
Intersection Summary			16.4		CM 2000	lovalafi	Conviso					
HCM 2000 Volume to Const	oitu rotia		10.1	Н		revel of a	Service		В			
Actuated Cycle Length (a)	icity ratio		0.09	<u> </u>	um of loss	time (c)			12.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)	tion		03.0	5		une (S)			13.0			
Analysis Daried (min)	1001		10.9%	IC.	O Level (	n Service			U			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

02-22-2021

HCM Unsignalized 4: Heart Lake Road	Interse d & Old	ction C Schoo	apacit I Roac	y Anal I	ysis					F	<sup>E</sup> uture eekday A	Total <sup>M Peak</sup>
	٨	+	1	1	ł	•	•	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	260	13	9	94	8	11	47	11	8	64	25
Future Volume (vph)	13	260	13	9	94	8	11	47	11	8	64	25
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	15	306	15	11	111	9	13	55	13	9	75	29
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	336	131	81	113								
Volume Left (vph)	15	11	13	9								
Volume Right (vph)	15	9	13	29								
Hadj (s)	-0.02	0.03	0.13	-0.10								
Departure Headway (s)	4.6	4.9	5.3	5.0								
Degree Utilization, x	0.43	0.18	0.12	0.16								
Capacity (veh/h)	751	693	611	644								
Control Delay (s)	10.9	8.9	9.0	9.0								
Approach Delay (s)	10.9	8.9	9.0	9.0								
Approach LOS	В	А	А	А								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			10.0									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	Intersection Capacity Utilization 30.8%			IC	U Level o	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Queues 5: Dixie Road & Fa	ast Site	Acces	s 1		Future Weekday A
	۶	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	NBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		4	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	65	450	574	
Future Volume (vph)	7	65	450	574	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	27	0	515	614	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	4		2	6	
Permitted Phases		2			
Detector Phase	4	2	2	6	
Switch Phase					
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Split (s)	25.4	44.6	44.6	44.6	
Total Split (%)	36.3%	63.7%	63.7%	63.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4		6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.11		0.33	0.34	
Control Delay	16.2		2.2	2.2	
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	16.2		2.2	2.2	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.4		0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.4		24.9	29.0	
nternal Link Dist (m)	123.0		233.4	436.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)					
Base Capacity (vph)	523		1582	1790	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.05		0.33	0.34	
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 70					
Actuated Cycle Length: 62	В				
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Semi Act-Uno	coord				

	▶ <sub>Ø4</sub>	
44.6 s	25.4s	
26		

Synchro 11 Report Page 7

02-22-2021

HCM Signalized In 5: Dixie Road & Ea	tersectio		Future Total Weekday AM Peak					
	٨	1	•	t	ţ	~		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	Y			1	Ţ,			
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	20	65	450	574	40		
Future Volume (vph)	7	20	65	450	574	40		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5		
Total Lost time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.90			1.00	0.99			
Fit Protected	0.99			0.99	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1669			1909	1904			
FIt Permitted	0.99			0.88	1.00			
Satd, Flow (perm)	1669			1683	1904			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Adi Flow (vph)	7	20	65	450	574	40		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	20	0	0	0	2	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	-*	Ő	Ő	515	612	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Turn Type	Prot	-,-	Perm	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	4		1 Unit	2	6			
Permitted Phases			2	-	Ŭ			
Actuated Green G (s)	16		-	53 5	53 5			
Effective Green, a (s)	16			53.5	53.5			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.02			0.79	0.79			
Clearance Time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Grn Can (ynh)	39			1322	1495			
v/s Ratio Prot	00.00			TOLL	c0.32			
v/s Ratio Perm	00.00			0.31	00.02			
v/c Ratio	0.19			0.39	0.41			
Uniform Delay, d1	32.6			23	23			
Progression Eactor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	5.0			0.9	0.8			
Delay (s)	37.6			3.1	3.1			
Level of Service	07.0			Δ	Δ			
Approach Delay (s)	37.6			31	31			
Approach LOS	D			A	A			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			3.9	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	А	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.40					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			68.1	S	um of lost	time (s)	13.0	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		82.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service	E	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

On Derived Call Leter Circle / Vocced L           Lane Group         EBL         NBL         NBT         SBT           Lane Configurations         Y	Queues 6: Dixie Road & Fa	ast Site	Acces	s 2		Future 1 Weekday Alv
Lane Group         EBL         NBL         NBT         SBT           Lane Configurations         73         515         594           Traffic Volume (vph)         0         73         515         594           Lane Group Flow (vph)         32         0         588         594           Turn Type         Prot         Perm         NA         NA           Permited Phases         4         2         6           Permited Phases         4         2         6           Winimum Split (s)         25.4         25.6         25.6           Total Split (s)         25.4         44.6         44.6           Vellow Time (s)         4.0         4.6         4.6           Vellow Time (s)         6.4         6.6         6.6           Lead/Lag         Carue Calug Optimize?         Recal Mode         None           Recall Mode         None         Max         Max         Max           Vic Ratio         0.07         0.39         0.35         Control Delay         0.0           Oueue Length Sth (m)         0.0         0.0         0.0         Oueue Length Sth (m)         0.0         Sit           Oueue Length Sth (m)         0.0		<u>/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / </u>	1	1	ţ	
Lane Configurations       ✓	Lane Group	EBL	NBL	NBT	SBT	
Traffic Volume (vph)       0       73       515       594         Future Volume (vph)       0       73       515       594         Lane Group Flow (vph)       32       0       588       594         Turn Type       Prot Perm       NA       NA         Protected Phases       2       0       588       594         Detector Phase       4       2       2       6         Switch Phase       2       2       6         Minimum Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       24.6       44.6       44.6         All-Red Time (s)       4.0       4.6       4.6       4.6         Vellow Time (s)       4.0       4.6       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag Optimize?       Recall Mode       None       Max       Max         v(c Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1       Queue Length 95th (m)       0.0       Queue Length 95th (m)	Lane Configurations	¥		4	î.	
Future Volume (vph)       0       73       515       594         Lane Group Flow (vph)       32       0       588       594         Tum Type       Prot       Perm       NA       NA         Protected Phases       2       6       6         Detector Phase       4       2       2       6         Winimum Initial (s)       8.0       8.0       8.0       8.0         Minimum Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6       100         Total Split (s)       25.4       24.6       44.6       44.6         AlR-Red Time (s)       4.0       4.6       44.6       44.6         AlR-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0       2.0       2.0         Lost Time (s)       0.4       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag       Dptimize?       Recall Mode       None       Max       Max       V/V       Ratio       0.0       1	Traffic Volume (vph)	0	73	515	594	
Lane Group Flow (vph) 32 0 588 594 Tum Type Prot Perm NA NA Protected Phases 4 2 6 Permitted Phases 2 Detector Phase 4 2 2 6 Switch Phase 6 Minimum Initial (s) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 25.4 25.6 25.6 25.6 Total Split (%) 36.3% 63.7% 63.7% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.6 4.6 4.6 All-Red Time (s) 4.0 4.6 4.6 4.6 Lead-Lag Optimize? Recall Mode None Max Max Max v/c Ratio 0.07 0.39 0.35 Control Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Queue Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 0.0 27.2 25.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 99.2 309.0 233.4 Tum Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 662 1492 1703 Storation Cap Reductin 0 0 Storateg Cap Reductin 0 Storateg C	Future Volume (vph)	0	73	515	594	
Turn Type       Prot       Perm       NA       NA         Protected Phases       4       2       6         Permitted Phases       2       2         Detector Phase       4       2       2         Switch Phase       4       2       2         Minimum Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       24.6       44.6         At 6       4.6       4.6       4.6         All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0         Load Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead-Lag Optimize?       Recall Mode       None       Max       Max         Vic Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1       Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       7.2       25.0         Internal Link Dist (m)       99.2       309.0       23.4       7.03       Staration Cap Reductn       0       0       0       0       0       0       Staration Cap Reductn       0       0       0	Lane Group Flow (vph)	32	0	588	594	
Protected Phases 4 2 6 Permitted Phases 2 Detector Phase 4 2 2 6 Winimum Initial (s) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 Minimum Split (s) 25.4 25.6 25.6 Total Split (s) 25.4 44.6 44.6 44.6 Total Split (s) 25.4 44.6 44.6 44.6 Total Split (s) 2.4 4.6 44.6 4.6 All-Red Time (s) 4.0 4.6 4.6 4.6 All-Red Time (s) 2.4 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.4 6.6 6.6 Lead-Lag Ueue Delay Ueue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 0.0 27.2 25.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 99.2 309.0 233.4 Tum Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 662 1492 1703 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 Storage	Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	NA	
Permitted Phases       2         Detector Phase       4       2       2         Switch Phase       Minimum Initial (s)       8.0       8.0       8.0         Minimum Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       44.6       44.6       44.6         Total Split (s)       26.4       44.6       44.6         All-Red Time (s)       4.0       4.6       4.6         All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0       2.0         Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag       Eadel Mode       None       Max       Max         V/c Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       0.0         Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1       Queue Delay       0.0       0.0         Queue Length S0th (m)       0.0       27.2       25.0       0.0 </td <td>Protected Phases</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>6</td> <td></td>	Protected Phases	4		2	6	
Detector Phase       4       2       2       6         Switch Phase       8.0       8.0       8.0       8.0         Minimum Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       44.6       44.6       44.6         Vallow Time (s)       4.0       4.6       44.6       44.6         All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0       2.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Split (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0       2.0         Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6       2.4         Lead/Lag       2       2       2.0       2.0       2.0         Lead/Lag (bottome Max Max Max       Max       Max       Max       Max         Vic Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       0.0       0.0         Outree Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1       0.0       0.0       0.0         Queue Length 95th (m)       0.0       27.2       25.0       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1       10.1	Permitted Phases		2	_	-	
Switch Phase       8.0       8.0       8.0         Minimum Initial (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       44.6       44.6         Total Split (s)       26.4       44.6       44.6         Just Time Adjust (s)       0.0       4.6       4.6         Lead Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead-Lag Optimize?       Recall Mode       None       Max       Max         Vic Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       0.1       Outoutoutoutoutoutoutoutoutoutoutoutoutou	Detector Phase	4	2	2	6	
Minimum Initial (s)       8.0       8.0       8.0         Minimum Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       44.6       44.6         Total Split (%)       36.3%       63.7%       63.7%         Vellow Time (s)       4.0       4.6       4.6         All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag	Switch Phase		_	_	-	
Minimum Split (s)       25.4       25.6       25.6         Total Split (s)       25.4       44.6       44.6         Total Split (%)       36.3%       63.7%       63.7%         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.6       4.6         All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag       Lead-Lag Optimize?       Recall Mode       None       Max       Max         Vic Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Delay       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       Queue Delay       0.0       0.0         Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       0.0       0.0       Queue Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       0.0       0.0       Queue Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Length 95th (m)       0.0       27.2       25.0       1       1       1       1         Starvation Cap Reductn       0       0       0       0       1       1 </td <td>Minimum Initial (s)</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>8.0</td> <td></td>	Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Total Split (s)       25.4       44.6       44.6         Total Split (%)       36.3%       63.7%       63.7%       63.7%         Vellow Time (s)       4.0       4.6       4.6       A.6         All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0       Locat Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       Total Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag       Lad/Lag       Lead/Lag       Lad/Lag       Lad/L	Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Split (%)       36.3%       63.7%       63.7%       63.7%         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.6       4.6       4.6         All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0       2.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead-Lag Optimize?       Recall Mode       None       Max       Max         Recall Mode       None       Max       Max       Max         v/c Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       0.0         Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1       Queue Delay       0.0       0.0         Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       <	Total Split (s)	25.4	44.6	44.6	44.6	
Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.6 4.6 4.6 All-Red Time (s) 2.4 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.4 6.6 6.6 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Recall Mode None Max Max Max v/c Ratio 0.07 0.39 0.35 Control Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.0 27.2 25.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 99.2 309.0 233.4 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 662 1492 1703 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 Stor	Total Split (%)	36.3%	63.7%	63.7%	63.7%	
All-Red Time (s)       2.4       2.0       2.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag       Lead-Lag Optimize?       Lead-Lag Optimize?       Lead-Lag Optimize?         Recall Mode       None       Max       Max       Max         V/c Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35       Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Delay       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1       Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       0.0         Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       0.0       0.0       Queue Length 95th (m)       9.2       309.0       23.4         Turn Bay Length (m)       Base Capacity (vph)       662       1492       1703       Starvation Cap Reductn       0       0       0         Storage Cap Reductn       0       0       0       0       0       0       Storage Cap Reductn       0       0       0       0       Costeage Capacity (vph)       662       None       None       Storage Cap Reductn       0       0 </td <td>Yellow Time (s)</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>4.6</td> <td></td>	Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)         0.0         0.0         0.0           Total Lost Time (s)         6.4         6.6         6.6           Lead/Lag         Lead-Lag Optimize?         Itelad-Lag Optimize?         Itelad-Lag Optimize?           Recall Mode         None         Max         Max         Max           v/c Ratio         0.07         0.39         0.35         Octatol Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1           Queue Delay         0.0         0.0         0.0         Octatol Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1           Queue Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1         Queue Length 95th (m)         0.0         0.0           Internal Link Dist (m)         0.0         27.2         25.0         Internal Link Dist (m)         99.2         309.0         233.4           Turn Bay Length (m)         Base Capacity (vph)         662         1492         1703           Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0         O         O         O         O         Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O         O	All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)       6.4       6.6       6.6         Lead/Lag       Ead/Lag Optimize?       Recall Mode       None       Max       Max       Max         Recall Mode       None       Max       Max       Max       Max       Vic Ratio       0.07       0.39       0.35         Control Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1       Queue Delay       0.0       0.0       Total Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       Queue Length 95th (m)       0.0       23.4       Total Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Length 95th (m)       0.0       27.2       25.0       Internal Link Dist (m)       99.2       309.0       23.4         Turm Bay Length (m)       99.2       309.0       23.4       Total Delay       Starvation Cap Reductn       0       0       0       Starvation Cap Reductn       0       0       0       Starvation Cap Reductn       0       0       0       Storage Cap Reductn       0       0       0       Cot	Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Recall Mode None Max Max Max v/c Ratio 0.07 0.39 0.35 Control Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 0.0 0.0 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.0 27.2 25.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 99.2 309.0 233.4 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 662 1492 1703 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.05 0.39 0.35 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Spilts and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Total Lost Time (s)	6.4		6.6	6.6	
Lead-Lag Optimize? Recall Mode None Max Max Max v/c Ratio 0.07 0.39 0.35 Control Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.0 27.2 25.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 99.2 309.0 233.4 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 662 1492 1703 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0	Lead/Lag					
Recall Mode         None         Max         Max         Max           v/c Ratio         0.07         0.39         0.35           Control Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1           Queue Delay         0.0         0.0         0.0           Total Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1           Queue Length 50th (m)         0.0         0.0         0.0           Queue Length 95th (m)         0.0         27.2         25.0           Internal Link Dist (m)         99.2         309.0         233.4           Turn Bay Length (m)         Base Capacity (vph)         662         1492         1703           Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35         Intersection Summary           Cycle Length: 70         Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4         Natural Cycle: 60         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord           Splits and Phases:         6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord	Lead-Lag Optimize?					
vic Ratio 0.07 0.39 0.35 Control Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 0.3 3.5 3.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 0.0 0.7.2 25.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 99.2 309.0 233.4 Turm Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 662 1492 1703 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.05 0.39 0.35 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Spilts and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	
Control Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1           Queue Delay         0.0         0.0         0.0           Total Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1           Queue Length 50th (m)         0.0         0.0         0.0           Queue Length 95th (m)         0.0         27.2         25.0           Internal Link Dist (m)         99.2         309.0         233.4           Turn Bay Length (m)         Base Capacity (vph)         662         1492         1703           Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0         Spillback Cap Reductn         0         0           Spillback Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0         Reduced vic Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35           Intersection Summary         Cycle Length: 70         Actuated Cycle: 60         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord         Spilts and Phases:         6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	v/c Ratio	0.07		0.39	0.35	
Queue Delay         0.0         0.0         0.0           Total Delay         0.3         3.5         3.1           Queue Length 50th (m)         0.0         0.0         0.0           Queue Length 95th (m)         0.0         27.2         25.0           Internal Link Dist (m)         99.2         309.0         233.4           Turn Bay Length (m)         Base Capacity (vph)         662         1492         1703           Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0         5           Spillback Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35         0.35           Intersection Summary         Cycle Length: 65.4         Natural Cycle: 60         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord           Splits and Phases:         6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord	Control Delay	0.3		3.5	3.1	
Total Delay       0.3       3.5       3.1         Queue Length 50th (m)       0.0       0.0       0.0         Queue Length 95th (m)       0.0       27.2       25.0         Internal Link Dist (m)       99.2       309.0       233.4         Turn Bay Length (m)       Base Capacity (vph)       662       1492       1703         Starvation Cap Reductn       0       0       0       Storage Cap Reductn       0       0         Storage Cap Reductn       0       0       0       0       Reduced v/c Ratio       0.05       0.39       0.35         Intersection Summary       Cycle Length: 70       Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4       Natural Cycle: 60       Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord         Splits and Phases:       6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2       Image: Star Site Access 2       Image: Star Site Access 2	Queue Delav	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)         0.0         0.0         0.0           Queue Length 95th (m)         0.0         27.2         25.0           Internal Link Dist (m)         99.2         309.0         233.4           Turn Bay Length (m)         Base Capacity (vph)         662         1492         1703           Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0         Splitback Cap Reductn         0         0           Splitback Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0         Storage Cap Reductn         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0         Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35           Intersection Summary         Cycle Length: 70         Acturated Cycle Length: 65.4         Natural Cycle: 60         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord           Splits and Phases:         6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2         Intersection Summary         Splits and Phases:         6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Total Delay	0.3		3.5	3.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)         0.0         27.2         25.0           Internal Link Dist (m)         99.2         309.0         233.4           Turn Bay Length (m)         Base Capacity (vph)         662         1492         1703           Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Spillback Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0         0           Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35         0.35           Intersection Summary         Cycle Length: 65.4         Natural Cycle: 60         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord           Splits and Phases:         6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2         Intersection Summary         Intersection Summary	Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m) 99.2 309.0 233.4 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 662 1492 1703 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.05 0.39 0.35 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0		27.2	25.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)         662         1492         1703           Base Capacity (vph)         662         1492         1703           Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35           Intersection Summary	Internal Link Dist (m)	99.2		309.0	233.4	
Base Capacity (vph)       662       1492       1703         Starvation Cap Reductn       0       0       0         Spillback Cap Reductn       0       0       0         Spillback Cap Reductn       0       0       0         Storage Cap Reductn       0       0       0         Reduced v/c Ratio       0.05       0.39       0.35         Intersection Summary	Turn Bay Length (m)					
Starvation Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Spillback Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35           Intersection Summary         Cycle Length: 70	Base Capacity (vph)	662		1492	1703	
Spillback Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35           Intersection Summary         Cycle Length: 70	Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn         0         0         0           Reduced v/c Ratio         0.05         0.39         0.35           Intersection Summary         Cycle Length: 70         Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4           Natural Cycle: 60         Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord         0           Splits and Phases:         6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2         0	Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.05 0.39 0.35 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Reduced v/c Ratio	0.05		0.39	0.35	
Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Intersection Summary					
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Cycle Length: 70					
Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Actuated Cycle Length: 65.	4				
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Natural Cycle: 60					
Splits and Phases: 6: Dixie Road & East Site Access 2	Control Type: Semi Act-Une	coord				
	Splits and Phases: 6: Div	xie Road &	East Site	Access 2		
						•

1 Ø2	→ <sub>Ø4</sub>	
44.6 s	25.4 s	

Synchro 11 Report Page 9

02-22-2021

HCM Signalized In 6: Dixie Road & Ea	tersectionst Site A		Future Total Weekday AM Peak					
	٨	1	1	1	ţ	4		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	Y			4	ţ,			
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	32	73	515	594	0		
Future Volume (vph)	0	32	73	515	594	0		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5		
Total Lost time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.86			1.00	1.00			
Fit Protected	1.00			0.99	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1625			1909	1921			
FIt Permitted	1.00			0.88	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1625			1683	1921			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	32	73	515	594	0		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	31	0	0	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	1	0	0	588	594	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Turn Type	Prot		Perm	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	4			2	6			
Permitted Phases			2					
Actuated Green, G (s)	2.8			53.6	53.6			
Effective Green, g (s)	2.8			53.6	53.6			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.04			0.77	0.77			
Clearance Time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	65			1299	1483			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00				0.31			
v/s Ratio Perm				c0.35				
v/c Ratio	0.02			0.45	0.40			
Uniform Delay, d1	32.0			2.8	2.6			
Progression Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3			1.1	0.8			
Delay (s)	32.2			3.9	3.4			
Level of Service	С			А	А			
Approach Delay (s)	32.2			3.9	3.4			
Approach LOS	С			А	А			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			4.4	Н	CM 2000	Level of Service	А	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.43				,,	
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	,		69.4	Si	um of lost	time (s)	13.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		85.4%	ICU Level of Service				
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

Queues 7: Divie Road & Ea	ast Sita	Acces	Future Tot Weekday AM Pe		
		1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	NBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		4	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	5	67	583	590	
Future Volume (vph)	5	67	583	590	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	30	0	650	626	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	4		2	6	
Permitted Phases		2			
Detector Phase	4	2	2	6	
Switch Phase					
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Split (s)	25.4	44.6	44.6	44.6	
Total Split (%)	36.3%	63.7%	63.7%	63.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4		6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.13		0.43	0.37	
Control Delay	15.7		4.1	3.4	
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	15.7		4.1	3.4	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.3		0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.5		35.1	29.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)	152.2		1500.1	309.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)					
Base Capacity (vph)	500		1511	1682	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.06		0.43	0.37	
ntersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 70					
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.	8				
Natural Cycle: 65					
Control Type: Semi Act-Un	coord				
Splits and Phases: 7: Div	kie Road &	East Site	Access 3		
d.					1 Aug
102					04

02-22-2021

Synchro 11 Report Page 11

02-22-2021

HCM Signalized In 7: Dixie Road & Ea	itersections ast Site <i>i</i>		Future Tota Weekday AM Peał					
	٨	7	1	t	ţ			
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	¥			4	ţ,			
Traffic Volume (vph)	5	25	67	583	590	36		
Future Volume (vph)	5	25	67	583	590	36		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5		
Total Lost time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.89			1.00	0.99			
Fit Protected	0.99			0.99	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1654			1911	1906			
Fit Permitted	0.99			0.89	1.00			
Satd, Flow (perm)	1654			1714	1906			
Peak-hour factor PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Adi Flow (vnh)	1.00	25	67	583	590	36		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	24	20	0	000	2	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	6	0	0	650	624	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	000	024	0%		
Turn Turn	Prot	070	Porm	N/A	N/0	070		
Distinct of Disason	PIOL		Penn	1NA 2	N/A 6			
Protected Phases	4		2	2	0			
Actuated Crean C (a)	2.2		2	E0 7	E2 7			
Effective Creen, g (s)	3.2			53.7	53.7			
Actuated a/C Datia	0.05			0.77	0.77			
	0.05			0.77	0.77			
Clearance Time (s)	6.4 5.0			0.0	0.0			
venicle Extension (s)	5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	/5			1316	1464			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00				0.33			
v/s Ratio Perm				c0.38				
v/c Ratio	0.08			0.49	0.43			
Uniform Delay, d1	31.9			3.0	2.8			
Progression Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	1.0			1.3	0.9			
Delay (s)	32.9			4.4	3.7			
Level of Service	С			A	A			
Approach Delay (s)	32.9			4.4	3.7			
Approach LOS	С			А	A			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			4.7	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	А	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.47					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			69.9	Si	um of <b>l</b> ost	time (s)	13.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		90.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service	E	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

HCM Unsignalized 8: North Site Acces	l Interse ss 1 & C	Future Total Weekday AM Peak					
	+	1	1	ţ	•	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ţ,			4	Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	268	11	22	101	0	7	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	268	11	22	101	0	7	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	268	11	22	101	0	7	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			279		418	274	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			279		418	274	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			98		100	99	
cM capacity (ven/h)			1295		585	770	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1				
Volume Total	279	123	7				
Volume Left	0	22	0				
Volume Right	11	0	7				
cSH	1700	1295	770				
Volume to Capacity	0.16	0.02	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.2	0.1				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	1.5	9.7				
Lane LOS		A	A				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.5	9.7				
Approach LOS			A				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.6				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		34.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

HCM Unsignalized 9: North Site Acces	l Interse ss 2 & C	Future Total Weekday AM Peak					
	+	1	1	Ŧ	•	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ţ,			4	¥		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	275	0	12	123	0	2	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	275	0	12	123	0	2	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	275	0	12	123	0	2	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			275		422	275	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vo							
vCu, unblocked vol			275		422	275	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			99		100	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1300		587	769	
Direction. Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1				
Volume Total	275	135	2				
Volume Left	0	12	0				
Volume Right	0	0	2				
cSH	1700	1300	769				
Volume to Capacity	0.16	0.01	0.00				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.1	0.0				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.8	9.7				
Lane LOS		A	A				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.8	9.7				
Approach LOS			А				
Intersection Summarv							
Average Delay			0.3				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		26.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

HCM Unsignalized	Interse ess 3 &	Future Total Weekday AM Peak					
	+	1	1	Ŧ	1	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ħ			4	¥		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	277	0	23	135	0	7	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	277	0	23	135	0	7	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	277	0	23	135	0	7	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)				213			
pX, platoon unblocked			077		150		
vC, conflicting volume			277		458	277	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
VC2, stage 2 cont vol			077		450	077	
VCu, unbiocked vol			211		458	2//	
tC, single (s)			4.1		0.4	0.2	
tC, Z stage (s)			2.2		2 5	2.2	
r (s)			2.2		3.5	0.0	
p0 queue nee %			1209		100	99	
civi capacity (ven/n)			1290		555	101	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1				
Volume Total	277	158	7				
Volume Left	0	23	0				
Volume Right	0	0	7				
cSH	1700	1298	767				
Volume to Capacity	0.16	0.02	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.2	0.1				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	1.3	9.7				
Lane LOS		А	A				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.3	9.7				
Approach LOS			A				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.6				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		36.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Queues     Future Tota       1: Dixie Road & Merchant Road     Weekday PM Per											
	۲	<b>→</b>	1	←	1	t	1	ţ	~		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		4		4	1	ħ		Ť	1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	0	22	0	11	689	10	883	3		
Future Volume (vph)	4	0	22	0	11	689	10	883	3		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	38	0	328	11	785	40	883	3		
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm		
Protected Phases		4		8		2		6			
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	6		
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0		
Minimum Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9		
Total Split (s)	44.9	44.9	44.9	44.9	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1	75.1		
Total Split (%)	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	37.4%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%	62.6%		
Yellow Time (s)	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6		
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3		
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)		6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9		
Lead/Lag											
Lead-Lag Optimize?											
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Мах	Max	Мах	Мах	Max		
v/c Ratio		0.10		0.78	0.05	0.66	0.13	0.75	0.00		
Control Delay		11.7		37.4	9.9	16.1	10.4	19.3	0.0		
Queue Delav		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay		11.7		37.4	9.9	16.1	10.4	19.3	0.0		
Queue Length 50th (m)		0.3		24.1	0.4	51.2	1.6	64.7	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)		0.0		0.3	2.2	103.2	1.4	130.8	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)		280.5		138.1		472.6		1500.1			
Turn Bay Length (m)					60.0		60.0		60.0		
Base Capacity (vph)		599		628	222	1183	311	1183	1031		
Starvation Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.06		0.52	0.05	0.66	0.13	0.75	0.00		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 120											
Actuated Cycle Length: 105											
Natural Cycle: 100											
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	oord										
Splits and Phases: 1: Dixi	ie Road & I	Merchant	Road								
							A	5			
Ø2								04			
75.1s							44.9 s	<u>1</u>			
06							1	18			
25.1.6							44.9 6	~			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis     Future Total       1: Dixie Road & Merchant Road     Weekday PM Peak												
	٠	<b>→</b>	7	1	←	×	1	t	1	6	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			\$			ţ,		1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	0	34	22	0	60	11	689	24	10	883	3
Future Volume (vph)	4	0	34	22	0	60	11	689	24	10	883	3
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.98
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.88			0.90		1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected		0.99			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		1643			1671		1637	1807		1785	1812	1561
Fit Permitted		0.96			0.90		0.20	1.00		0.25	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		1587			1517		342	1807		478	1812	1561
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	4	0	34	88	0	240	11	689	96	40	883	3
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	27	0	0	94	0	0	3	0	0	0	1
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	11	0	0	234	0	11	782	0	40	883	2
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)							2					2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)		22.6			22.6		68.6	68.6		68.6	68.6	68.6
Effective Green, g (s)		22.6			22.6		68.6	68.6		68.6	68.6	68.6
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.22			0.22		0.65	0.65		0.65	0.65	0.65
Clearance Time (s)		6.9			6.9		6.9	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)		5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		341			326		223	1180		312	1183	1019
v/s Ratio Prot								0.43			c0.49	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.01			c0.15		0.03			0.08		0.00
v/c Ratio		0.03			0.72		0.05	0.66		0.13	0.75	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1		32.5			38.2		6.5	11.1		6.9	12.3	6.3
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.1			9.0		0.4	2.9		0.8	4.3	0.0
Delay (s)		32.6			47.3		6.9	14.1		7.7	16.6	6.3
Level of Service		С			D		А	В		A	В	A
Approach Delay (s)		32.6			47.3			14.0			16.2	
Approach LOS		С			D			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			20.5	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.74									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			105.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		67.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

02-22-2021

Queues     Future Total       2: Dixie Road & Mayfield Road     Weekday PM Peak												
	٨	<b>→</b>	7	1	←	1	Ť	1	6	ţ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		***	1	2	**	×	1	1	×	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	289	255	38	67	361	508	
Future Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	289	255	38	67	361	508	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1202	289	255	38	67	361	508	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4			8		
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		4		4	8		8	
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	4	4	4	8	8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	
Total Split (s)	21.0	57.0	57.0	10.0	46.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	
Total Split (%)	17.5%	47.5%	47.5%	8.3%	38.3%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	44.2%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
v/c Ratio	1.25	0.49	0.24	0.32	0.75	1.00	0.35	0.06	0.19	0.51	0.65	
Control Delay	167.2	25.8	3.8	18.9	39.7	91.9	27.9	0.2	26.4	31.6	15.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	167.2	25.8	3.8	18.9	39.7	91.9	27.9	0.2	26.4	31.6	15.5	
Queue Length 50th (m)	~61.0	37.3	0.0	4.5	54.5	~39.9	25.0	0.0	6.1	38.2	21.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	#97.3	45.1	7.8	8.9	64.6	#73.6	37.5	0.0	12.5	55.1	44.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6		
Turn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	326	2069	785	215	1593	288	737	651	355	703	785	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.25	0.49	0.24	0.32	0.75	1.00	0.35	0.06	0.19	0.51	0.65	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 120												
Offset: 32 (27%), Reference	d to phase	2:EBTL	and 6:WE	BTL, Start	of Green							
Natural Cycle: 125												
Control Type: Actuated-Cool	rdinated											
~ Volume exceeds capacit	y, queue i	s theoreti	ca <b>ll</b> y infin	ite.								
Queue shown is maximur	m after two	cycles.										
# 95th percentile volume e	xceeds ca	pacity, qu	leue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximur	m after two	cycles.										
Solits and Phases: 2: Dixie Road & Mavfield Road												
		.,										10

V Ø1	102 (R)	1 Ø4	
10 s 57 s		53 s	
. ≁ ø₅	06 (R)	\$ øs	
21 s	46.5	53 s	
02-22-2021			Page 3

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Future Total 2: Dixie Road & Mayfield Road Weekday PM Peak												
	٨	†	1	4	t	•	•	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	***	1	1	<b>**</b>			1	1		1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	26	289	255	38	67	361	508
Future Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	26	289	255	38	67	361	508
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1700	4768	1566	1342	4883		1750	1921	1551	1653	1830	1551
FIt Permitted	0.10	1.00	1.00	0.27	1.00		0.41	1.00	1.00	0.53	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	187	4768	1566	384	4883		751	1921	1551	926	1830	1551
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj. Flow (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	26	289	255	38	67	361	508
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	106	0	2	0	0	0	23	0	0	190
Lane Group Flow (vph)	409	1008	80	68	1200	0	289	255	15	67	361	318
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	10%	2%	33%	7%	10%	2%	0%	3%	8%	5%	3%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6			4		4	8		8
Actuated Green, G (s)	60.1	51.5	51.5	44.7	39.1		46.1	46.1	46.1	46.1	46.1	46.1
Effective Green, g (s)	60.1	51.5	51.5	44.7	39.1		46.1	46.1	46.1	46.1	46.1	46.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.43	0.43	0.37	0.33		0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	320	2046	672	187	1591		288	737	595	355	703	595
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.19	0.21		0.02	0.25			0.13			0.20	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.45		0.05	0.12			c0.38		0.01	0.07		0.21
v/c Ratio	1.28	0.49	0.12	0.36	0.75		1.00	0.35	0.02	0.19	0.51	0.53
Uniform Delay, d1	34.8	24.8	20.6	24.9	36.2		37.0	26.2	23.0	24.5	28.3	28.6
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	147.1	0.9	0.4	2.5	3.4		53.9	0.6	0.0	0.5	1.3	1.7
Delay (s)	182.0	25.6	21.0	27.4	39.5		90.9	26.8	23.0	25.1	29.6	30.3
Level of Service	F	С	С	С	D		F	С	С	С	С	С
Approach Delay (s)		65.0			38.9			58.4			29.7	
Approach LOS		E			D			Е			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			49.0	Н	ICM 2000	Level of \$	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		1.18									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			16.8			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		101.6%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues	Future Total								
3: Dixie Road & Old	Schoo	ol Roa	d						Weekday PM Peak
	٨	1	1	+	•	t	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	7	T.	7	T.	7	T.	7	Þ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	51	98	83	250	68	611	6	260	
Future Volume (vph)	51	98	83	250	68	611	6	260	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	51	118	83	268	68	653	6	281	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		8		4		6		2	
Permitted Phases	8		4		6		2		
Detector Phase	8	8	4	4	6	6	2	2	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.4	25.4	25.4	23.6	23.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Total Split (%)	46.2%	46.2%	46.2%	46.2%	53.8%	53.8%	53.8%	53.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.21	0.24	0.25	0.55	0.12	0.69	0.02	0.31	
Control Delay	17.9	14.9	17.8	22.0	9.5	17.2	9.2	10.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	17.9	14.9	17.8	22.0	9.5	17.2	9.2	10.2	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.4	4.7	3.9	13.5	2.0	27.2	0.2	8.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.5	10.5	9.1	24.1	6.4	#67.3	1.2	20.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)		189.2		445.0		436.1		428.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)	35.0		40.0		40.0		35.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	374	743	519	/54	563	940	2/1	899	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.36	0.12	0.69	0.02	0.31	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 65									
Actuated Cycle Length: 57.7									

Adutal Cycle: 60
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
 Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 3: Dixie Road & Old School Road

₽ø2	<b>1</b> 04	
35 s	30 s	
₫ Ø6		
35 s	30 s	

02-22-2021

Synchro 11 Report Page 5

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Dixie Road & Old School Road										F	F <b>uture</b> eekday P	Total M Peak
	٨	1	1	1	ł	•	•	t	1	1	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	ħ		2	ħ		1	ţ,		7	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	51	98	20	83	250	18	68	611	42	6	260	21
Future Volume (vph)	51	98	20	83	250	18	68	611	42	6	260	21
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
Total Lost time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1566	1787		1767	1829		1785	1836		1785	1754	
FIt Permitted	0.55	1.00		0.68	1.00		0.59	1.00		0.28	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	914	1787		1267	1829		1103	1836		531	1754	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adi, Flow (vph)	51	98	20	83	250	18	68	611	42	6	260	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	13	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	4	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	51	105	0	83	264	0	68	650	0	6	277	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	3%	0%	1%	1%	11%	0%	2%	27%	0%	9%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA	- / -	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	1 01111	8		1 Unit	4		1 Unit	6		1 0111	2	
Permitted Phases	8	Ŭ		4			6	Ŭ		2	-	
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.2	15.2		15.2	15.2		29.4	29.4		294	29.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	15.2	15.2		15.2	15.2		29.4	29.4		29.4	29.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.26		0.26	0.26		0.51	0.51		0.51	0.51	
Clearance Time (s)	6.4	6.4		6.4	6.4		6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6	
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	2/1	471		33/	/82		562	0.0		271	895	
v/s Patio Prot	271	0.06		004	c0 14		502	c0 35		211	0.16	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.06	0.00		0.07	0.14		0.06	0.55		0.01	0.10	
v/c Ratio	0.00	0.22		0.25	0.55		0.00	0.69		0.01	0.31	
Uniform Delay, d1	16.5	16.6		16.7	18.2		7.4	10.7		7.0	8.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1 00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.00	0.5		0.8	2.00		0.4	1.00		0.2	1.00	
	17.4	17.1		17.5	20.5		7.8	1/ 0		7.1	0.5 Q 1	
Level of Service	17. <del>4</del> B	17.1 B		I7.5 B	20.0		1.0	14.5 B				
Approach Delay (s)	D	17.2		U	10.8		~	14.2		~	01	
Approach LOS		17.2 B			13.0 B			14.2 R			Δ	
Approach 200		D			U			U			~	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.9	H	CM 2000	Level of \$	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.64						10.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			57.6	S	um of lost	time (s)			13.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		81.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

02-22-2021

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: Heart Lake Road & Old School Road											Future Total Weekday PM Peak		
	٨	+	1	1	Ļ	•	1	t	1	1	ţ	~	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$		
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop		
Traffic Volume (vph)	8	120	12	26	262	4	25	43	15	1	43	14	
Future Volume (vph)	8	120	12	26	262	4	25	43	15	1	43	14	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	128	13	28	279	4	27	46	16	1	46	15	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1									
Volume Total (vph)	150	311	89	62									
Volume Left (vph)	9	28	27	1									
Volume Right (vph)	13	4	16	15									
Hadj (s)	0.00	0.03	-0.05	-0.09									
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.5	5.0	5.0									
Degree Utilization, x	0.19	0.39	0.12	0.09									
Capacity (veh/h)	736	768	650	642									
Control Delay (s)	8.8	10.3	8.7	8.5									
Approach Delay (s)	8.8	10.3	8.7	8.5									
Approach LOS	А	В	А	А									
Intersection Summary													
Delay			9.5										
Level of Service			А										
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		40.4%	IC	U Level	of Service			A				
Analysis Period (min)			15										

Queues 5 <sup>.</sup> Dixie Road & Fa	ast Site	Acces	s 1		Future Weekday PM
	٩	1	t	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	NBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		1	ħ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	43	21	712	675	
Future Volume (vph)	43	21	712	675	
ane Group Flow (vph)	113	0	733	682	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	4		2	6	
Permitted Phases		2	_	-	
Detector Phase	4	2	2	6	
Switch Phase		_	_		
Vinimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Vinimum Split (s)	25.4	25.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Solit (s)	25.4	44.6	44.6	44.6	
Total Split (%)	36.3%	63.7%	63.7%	63.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4 0	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4		6.6	6.6	
ead/Lag			0.0	0.0	
ead-Lag Ontimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	
//c Ratio	0.37	man	0.54	0.49	
Control Delay	14.8		8.3	7.5	
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	14.8		8.3	7.5	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.9		24.3	21.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)	93		48.5	42.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)	123.0		233.4	436.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	120.0		200.1	100.1	
Rase Canacity (vnh)	541		1354	1387	
Starvation Can Reductn	0		1004	1307	
Snillhack Can Reducto	0		0	0	
Storage Can Reducto	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.21		0.54	0.49	
ntersection Summarv					
Cycle Length: 70					
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.	6				
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Semi Act-Un	coord				

Splits and Phases: 5: Dixie Road & East Site Access 1 ↓ 06 ↓ 06

Synchro 11 Report Page 7

02-22-2021

HCM Signalized In 5: Dixie Road & Ea		Future Total Weekday PM Peak						
	٨	1	•	t	ţ	∢		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	ţ,			
Traffic Volume (vph)	43	70	21	712	675	7		
Future Volume (vph)	43	70	21	712	675	7		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5		
Total Lost time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.92			1.00	1.00			
Fit Protected	0.98			1.00	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1690			1918	1918			
FIt Permitted	0.98			0.98	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1690			1873	1918			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	70	21	712	675	7		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	62	0	0	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	51	0	0	733	682	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Turn Type	Prot		Perm	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	4			2	6			
Permitted Phases			2					
Actuated Green, G (s)	7.9			46.0	46.0			
Effective Green, g (s)	7.9			46.0	46.0			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12			0.69	0.69			
Clearance Time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	199			1287	1318			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.03				0.36			
v/s Ratio Perm				c0.39				
v/c Ratio	0.26			0.57	0.52			
Uniform Delay, d1	26.8			5.4	5.1			
Progression Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	1.4			1.8	1.5			
Delay (s)	28.3			7.2	6.5			
Level of Service	С			А	А			
Approach Delay (s)	28.3			7.2	6.5			
Approach LOS	С			А	А			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			8.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of Service	А	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.52					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	,		66.9	Si	um of lost	time (s)	13.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		71.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service	C	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

Lane Group		•			···,··.,
Lane Group	FRI	1	T	ŧ	
		NBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		្ដ	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	24	733	745	
Future Volume (vph)	0	24	733	745	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	88	0	757	745	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	4		2	6	
Permitted Phases		2	_		
Detector Phase	4	2	2	6	
Switch Phase		-	-	, in the second s	
Minimum Initial (s)	8,0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Split (s)	25.4	44.6	44.6	44.6	
Total Split (%)	36.3%	63.7%	63.7%	63.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4 0	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	24	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4		6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.25		0.54	0.52	
Control Delay	1.8		7.1	6.7	
Queue Delav	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	1.8		7.1	6.7	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0		23.9	23.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.5		40.6	38.2	
nternal Link Dist (m)	99.2		309.0	233.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)					
Base Capacity (vph)	600		1395	1439	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	Ő		Ő	0 0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.15		0.54	0.52	
Intersection Summarv					
Cycle Lenath: 70					
Actuated Cycle Length: 66					
Natural Cycle: 65					
Control Type: Semi Act-Unc	coord				
Splits and Phases: 6: Dix	ie Road &	East Site	Access 2		

<b>√1</b> ø2	● Ø4	
44.6 s	25.4s	
▼ Ø6		
44.6 s		

Synchro 11 Report Page 9

02-22-2021
HCM Signalized Ir 6: Dixie Road & E	ntersectio ast Site /		Future Total Weekday PM Peak					
	٨	7	1	t	ţ	4		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	¥			1	ţ,			
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	88	24	733	745	0		
Future Volume (vph)	0	88	24	733	745	0		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5		
Total Lost time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.86			1.00	1.00			
Fit Protected	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1625			1918	1921			
FIt Permitted	1.00			0.97	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1625			1861	1921			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	88	24	733	745	0		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	80	0	0	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	8	0	0	757	745	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Turn Type	Prot		Perm	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	4			2	6			
Permitted Phases			2					
Actuated Green, G (s)	6.3		_	48.0	48.0			
Effective Green, g (s)	6.3			48.0	48.0			
Actuated q/C Ratio	0.09			0.71	0.71			
Clearance Time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	152			1327	1370			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.01			1021	0.39			
v/s Ratio Perm	00.01			c0 41	0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.05			0.57	0.54			
Uniform Delay d1	27.8			4 7	4.5			
Progression Factor	1 00			1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3			1.8	16			
Delay (s)	28.1			6.5	61			
Level of Service	C			A	A			
Approach Delay (s)	28.1			6.5	61			
Approach LOS	C			A	A			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			7.5	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	А	
HCM 2000 Volume to Cap	acity ratio		0.51					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			67.3	Su	um of <b>l</b> ost	time (s)	13.0	
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		75.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service	D	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

Queues 7: Divie Road & Ea	ast Site	Acces	s 3		Future Tota Weekday PM Pe
		1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	NBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		1	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	39	35	718	827	
Future Volume (vph)	39	35	718	827	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	108	0	753	833	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	4		2	6	
Permitted Phases		2			
Detector Phase	4	2	2	6	
Switch Phase					
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	25.4	25.6	25.6	25.6	
Total Split (s)	25.4	44.6	44.6	44.6	
Total Split (%)	36.3%	63.7%	63.7%	63.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.4		6.6	6.6	
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.35		0.57	0.60	
Control Delay	14.4		8.8	9.1	
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	14.4		8.8	9.1	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.7		25.8	29.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.9		52.0	58.6	
Internal Link Dist (m)	152.2		1500.1	309.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)					
Base Capacity (vph)	538		1315	1393	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.20		0.57	0.60	
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 70					
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.	8				
Natural Cycle: 70					
Control Type: Semi Act-Un	coord				
Splits and Phases: 7: Div	kie Road &	East Site	Access 3		
					1 Aug
102					04

		▶ Ø4	
44.6 s	25	4s	
Ø6			

02-22-2021

Synchro 11 Report Page 11

02-22-2021

HCM Signalized In 7: Dixie Road & Ea	itersection Ast Site /		Future Tot Weekday PM Pe					
	٨	1	•	t	ţ			
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	¥			1	ţ,			
Traffic Volume (vph)	39	69	35	718	827	6		
Future Volume (vph)	39	69	35	718	827	6		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5		
Total Lost time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.91			1.00	1.00			
Fit Protected	0.98			1.00	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1686			1917	1919			
Fit Permitted	0.98			0.94	1.00			
Satd, Flow (perm)	1686			1811	1919			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Adi Flow (vph)	39	69	35	718	827	6		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	61	0	0	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	47	Ő	Ő	753	833	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Turn Type	Prot	0,0	Perm	NA	NA	0,0		
Protected Phases	4		1 Unit	2	6			
Permitted Phases			2	-	Ŭ			
Actuated Green G (s)	78		-	46.3	46.3			
Effective Green, a (s)	7.8			46.3	46.3			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12			0.69	0.69			
Clearance Time (s)	6.4			6.6	6.6			
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Grn Can (ynh)	195			1249	1324			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.03			1240	c0 43			
v/s Ratio Perm	00.00			0.42	00.10			
v/c Ratio	0.24			0.60	0.63			
Uniform Delay, d1	27.0			5.5	5.7			
Progression Factor	1.00			1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	13			22	23			
Delay (s)	28.3			7.7	8.0			
Level of Service	20.0 C			Δ	Δ			
Approach Delay (s)	28.3			77	80			
Approach LOS	C			A	A			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.1	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	А	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.57					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			67.1	S	um of <b>l</b> ost	time (s)	13.0	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		83.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service	E	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

HCM Unsignalized 8: North Site Acces	Interse ss 1 & C	Future Total Weekday PM Peak					
	<b>→</b>	7	1	+	1	۲	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	Ţ.			4	¥		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	136	0	21	295	12	17	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	136	0	21	295	12	17	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	136	0	21	295	12	17	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			136		473	136	
vC1, stage 1 cont vol							
vC2, stage 2 cont vol			100			400	
vCu, unblocked vol			136		4/3	136	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)			0.0		2.5	2.2	
			2.2		3.5	3.3	
pu queue free %			99		98	98	
civi capacity (ven/n)			1401		545	910	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1				
Volume Total	136	316	29				
Volume Left	0	21	12				
Volume Right	0	0	17				
cSH	1700	1461	716				
Volume to Capacity	0.08	0.01	0.04				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.2	0.6				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.6	10.2				
Lane LOS		A	В				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.6	10.2				
Approach LOS			В				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			1.0				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		37.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

02-22-2021

HCM Unsignalized 9: North Site Acces	Interse ss 2 & C	Future Total Weekday PM Peak					
	+	1	1	ł	•	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ħ			4	Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	153	0	2	316	0	12	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	153	0	2	316	0	12	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	153	0	2	316	0	12	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			153		473	153	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vo							
vCu, unblocked vol			153		473	153	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			100		100	99	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1440		553	898	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1				
Volume Total	153	318	12				
Volume Left	0	2	0				
Volume Right	0	0	12				
cSH	1700	1440	898				
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.00	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.2				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	9.1				
Lane LOS		А	А				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	9.1				
Approach LOS			Α				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.3				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		28.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

HCM Unsignalized 10: North Site Acce	Interse	Future Total Weekday PM Peak					
	<b>→</b>	7	1	-	1	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	T.			4	¥.		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	165	0	21	318	0	19	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	165	0	21	318	0	19	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	165	0	21	318	0	19	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)				213			
pX, platoon unblocked					0.92		
vC, conflicting volume			165		525	165	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			165		438	165	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			99		100	98	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1426		524	885	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1				
Volume Total	165	339	19				
Volume Left	0	21	0				
Volume Right	0	0	19				
cSH	1700	1426	885				
Volume to Capacity	0.10	0.01	0.02				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.2	0.3				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.6	9.2				
Lane LOS		А	А				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.6	9.2				
Approach LOS			Α				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.7				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		39.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service	Α
Analysis Period (min)			15				

02-22-2021

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

# APPENDIX G

Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Future Total Conditions (Optimized)

Queues     Future Total (optimized)       2: Dixie Road & Mayfield Road     Weekday PM Peak												
	٨	<b>→</b>	7	1	+	1	Ť	1	6	ţ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	1	***	1	2	**1	1	1	1	2	4	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	289	255	38	67	361	508	
Future Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	289	255	38	67	361	508	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1202	289	255	38	67	361	508	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	7	4			8		
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		4		4	8		8	
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	7	4	4	8	8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	44.9	44.9	8.0	44.9	9.5	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	47.9	
Total Split (s)	26.5	63.4	63.4	8.0	44.9	15.7	63.6	63.6	47.9	47.9	47.9	
Total Split (%)	19.6%	47.0%	47.0%	5.9%	33.3%	11.6%	47.1%	47.1%	35.5%	35.5%	35.5%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.6	3.0	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9	3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?									Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
v/c Ratio	0.96	0.46	0.23	0.35	0.87	0.95	0.35	0.06	0.25	0.76	0.77	
Control Delay	73.4	27.1	3.9	25.5	54.3	71.0	30.7	0.2	39.9	56.0	22.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	73.4	27.1	3.9	25.5	54.3	71.0	30.7	0.2	39.9	56.0	22.6	
Queue Length 50th (m)	55.1	42.4	0.0	5.0	66.3	31.5	28.2	0.0	8.3	52.0	24.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)	#104.4	50.3	8.3	10.3	77.3	#56.0	39.0	0.1	15.3	69.6	49.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		1129.7			662.0		456.4			472.6		
Turn Bay Length (m)	140.0		75.0	105.0		75.0		45.0	35.0		135.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	426	2191	820	192	1376	305	806	697	317	555	708	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.96	0.46	0.23	0.35	0.87	0.95	0.32	0.05	0.21	0.65	0.72	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 135												
Actuated Cycle Length: 135	i											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	EBTL an	d 6:WBTL	., Start of	Green							
Natural Cycle: 135												
Control Type: Actuated-Coc	ordinated											
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds ca	ipacity, qι	leue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximu	im after two	o cycles.										
Splits and Phases: 2: Dix	ie Road &	Mayfield I	Road									
🖌 Ø1 👉 Ø2 (R)					_	1ø4						
8s 63.4s					6	3.6 s						
	1 Ø6 (	2)				107	4	Ø8				
26.5.0	44.9 e				1	5.7 4	47.9	1.0				

Synchro 11 Report Page 3

2: Dixie Road & M	ayfield F	Road	Jacity	-naiyə	15			Weekday PV					
	٨	+	7	•	+	L	1	t	1	1	ţ	~	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI	
Lane Configurations	3	***	1	2	**		1	1	1	2	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	26	289	255	38	67	361	50	
Future Volume (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	26	289	255	38	67	361	50	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190	
Lane Width	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.	
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.91		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0	
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.8	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.0	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1700	4768	1566	1342	4883		1750	1921	1551	1653	1830	155	
FIt Permitted	0.10	1.00	1.00	0.27	1.00		0.24	1.00	1.00	0.60	1.00	1.0	
Satd. Flow (perm)	175	4768	1566	384	4883		451	1921	1551	1046	1830	155	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0	
Adi, Flow (vph)	409	1008	186	68	1176	26	289	255	38	67	361	50	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	101	0	1	0	0	0	24	0	0	25	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	409	1008	85	68	1201	Ő	289	255	14	67	361	25	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	10%	2%	33%	7%	10%	2%	0%	3%	8%	5%	39	
Turn Tyne	nm+nt	NΔ	Perm	nm+nt	NΔ	1070	nm+nt	NΔ	Perm	Perm	NΔ	Perr	
Protected Phases	5	2	1 GIIII	1	6		7	4	1 UIII	1 Gilli	8	1 011	
Permitted Phases	2	-	2	6	Ŭ		4		4	8	Ŭ	1	
Actuated Green G (s)	70.2	61.4	614	43.8	38.0		51.0	51.0	51.0	35.3	35.3	35	
Effective Green a (s)	70.2	61.4	61.4	43.8	38.0		51.0	51.0	51.0	35.3	35.3	35	
Actuated o/C Ratio	0.52	0.45	0.45	0.32	0.28		0.38	0.38	0.38	0.26	0.26	0.2	
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.9	6.9	3.0	6.9		3.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6	
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	420	2168	712	165	137/		202	725	585	273	/78	40	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0 21	0.21	112	0.02	0.25		c0.09	0.13	505	215	0.20	-0-	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.21	0.21	0.05	0.02	0.25		c0.28	0.15	0.01	0.06	0.20	0.1	
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.46	0.00	0.12	0.87		0.00	0.35	0.07	0.00	0.76	0.0	
Uniform Delay, d1	41.3	25.4	21.2	32.4	46.2		38.3	30.1	26.4	39.3	45.9	44	
Progression Factor	1.0	1 00	1 00	1.00	1 00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	10	
Incremental Delay, d2	37.2	0.7	0.3	3.5	8.0		19.6	0.6	0.0	1.00	7.0	1.0	
Delay (s)	78.5	26.2	21.5	35.0	54.2		87.0	30.8	26.4	/0.3	53.8	/8	
Level of Service	70.5 F	20.2	21.0	00.0 D	04.2 D		07.5	0.00	20.4	-0.5 D	00.0 D	40. I	
Approach Delay (s)	L.	30.0	0	U	53.2			58.8	0	U	50.0		
Approach LOS		D			D			E			D		
Intersection Summary													
HCM 2000 Control Delay			48.1	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		D				
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		1.02										
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	· .		135.0	S	um of <b>l</b> ost	time (s)			19.8				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		99.1%	IC	U Level	of Service			F				
Analysis Period (min)			15										
c Critical Lane Group													

02-11-2021

# **APPENDIX H**

### Signal Warrant Analysis Results

Feb 26, 2021

East Access

#### Table 21 - Justification 7 - Projected Volumes

Table ET Sastineati									
		Minimum Boqui	romont 1 Lano Highways	Minimum Doquir	comont 3 or more lanes	(			
Justification	Description	Minimum Kequi	inement i Lane nigriways	winnin Kequi	ement 2 of more lanes	Sectio	Entire 0/		
		Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Numerical	%	Entile %	
	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches	100	720	600	000				
1. Minimum (av Vehicular Volume B.	(average hour)	400	720	000	900				
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets	120	170	120	170				
	(average hour)*	120	170	120	170				
	A. Vehicle volume, major street	100	720	600	000				
2 Delay to Cross	(average hour)	400	720	000	900				
Traffic CLOSS E	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume								
	crossing artery from minor streets (average	50	75	120	170				
	hour)								

\*Note: For \*T\* intersections, these values should be increased by 50%. \*\* Note: For analysis using AHV, a 20% increase over the required volumes for an existing intersection.

#### Table 21 - Justification 7 - Projected Volumes (Expanded as per Table 22)

Justification	Description	Minimum Requ	irement 1 Lane Highways	Minimum Requir	rement 2 or more lanes	FT 2026 Vo	Compliance		
		Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	amPHV	pmPHV	AHV <sup>2</sup>	Entire %
1. Minimum	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	576	864	720	1080	1156	1528	671	100%
Vehicular Volume	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	216	306	216	306	27	113	35	16%
2 Delay to Cross	A. Vehicle volume, along major streets (average hour)	576	864	720	1080	1129	1415	636	100%
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	<ul> <li>B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)</li> </ul>	60	90	144	204	7	43	13	21%

<sup>2</sup>AHV = (amPHV+pmPHV)/4

hus	stification 7 (Projected Volumes)	Compliance	Signal Justified?		
Justification 7 (Fr0jected Volumes)		compliance	YES	NO	
1. Minimum	A Total Volume (Average Hour)	100%		v	
Vehicular	B Crossing Volume (Average Hour)	16%		^	
2. Delay to	A Main Road (Average Hour)	100%		v	
Cross	B Crossing Road (Average Hour)	21%		^	

#### East Access 2

#### Table 21 - Justification 7 - Projected Volumes

		Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highways		Minimum Requirement 2 or more lanes		Compliance		
Justification	Description					Sectional		Entire 9/
		Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Numerical	%	Entile //
1. Minimum	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900			
Vehicular Volume	<ul> <li>B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)*</li> </ul>	120	170	120	170			
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volume, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900			
	<ul> <li>B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)</li> </ul>	50	75	120	170			

\*Note: For \*T" intersections, these values should be increased by 50%. \*\* Note: For analysis using AHV, a 20% increase over the required volumes for an existing intersection.

#### Table 21 - Justification 7 - Projected Volumes (Expanded as per Table 22)

Justification	Description	Minimum Requ	imum Requirement 1 Lane Highways Minimum Requirement 2 or more lanes		FT 2026 Volumes		Compliance		
		Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	amPHV	pmPHV	AHV <sup>2</sup>	Entire %
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	576	864	720	1080	1214	1590	701	100%
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	216	306	216	306	32	88	30	14%
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volume, along major streets (average hour)	576	864	720	1080	1182	1502	671	100%
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	60	90	144	204	0	0	0	0%

<sup>2</sup>AHV = (amPHV+pmPHV)/4

Justification 7 (Projected Volumes)		Compliance	Signal Justified?		
		compliance	YES	NO	
1. Minimum	A Total Volume (Average Hour)	100%		v	
Vehicular	B Crossing Volume (Average Hour)	14%		^	
2. Delay to	A Main Road (Average Hour)	100%		v	
Cross	B Crossing Road (Average Hour)	0%		^	

### Feb 26, 2021

East Access

#### Table 21 - Justification 7 - Projected Volumes

dbie 21 Sdstinidation 7 Projected Volanies									
Justification		Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highways		Minimum Requirement 2 or more lanes		Compliance			
	Description					Sectional		Eastine 0/	
		Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Numerical	%	EIIIIFe %	
	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches	490	720	400	000				
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	(average hour)	460	720	000	900				
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets	120	170	120	170				
	(average hour)*	120	170	120	170				
	A. Vehicle volume, major street	490	720	400	000				
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	(average hour)	480 720	000	900					
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume								
	crossing artery from minor streets (average	50	75	120	170				
	hour)								

\*Note: For "T" intersections, these values should be increased by 50%. \*\* Note: For analysis using AHV, a 20% increase over the required volumes for an existing intersection.

#### Table 21 - Justification 7 - Projected Volumes (Expanded as per Table 22) Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highways Minimum Requirement 2 or more lanes FT 2026 Volumes Compliance Justification Description Free Flow Restricted Flow Free Flow Restricted Flow amPHV pmPHV AHV<sup>2</sup> Entire % A. Vehicle volume, all approaches 576 864 720 1080 1307 1695 751 100% (average hour) B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets 1. Minimum Vehicular Volume 216 306 216 306 31 109 35 16% (average hour) A. Vehicle volume, along major streets 576 864 1246 720 1080 1586 708 100% (average hour) B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume 2. Delay to Cross Traffic 60 90 5 39 144 204 18% crossing artery from minor streets (average 11 hour)

<sup>2</sup>AHV = (amPHV+pmPHV)/4

Justification 7 (Projected Volumes)		Compliance	Signal Justified?		
	Jusi	incation / (Frojected volumes)	compliance	YES	NO
1. Minimum		A Total Volume (Average Hour)	100%		v
Vehicular		B Crossing Volume (Average Hour)	16%		^
2. Delay to		A Main Road (Average Hour)	100%		v
Cross	ſ	B Crossing Road (Average Hour)	18%		^

TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

## **APPENDIX I**

### Functional Review Drawings





TOWN OF CALEDON PLANNING RECEIVED Feb 26, 2021

