

**Final Report** 

# FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT PHASE 2

12304 Heart Lake Road, Caledon



Prepared for Broccolini by IBI Group April 22, 2022

# **Table of Contents**

1	Introd	uction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Site Description	1
	1.3	Site Proposal	2
2	Terms	of Reference and Methodology	2
	2.1	Terms of Reference	2
	2.2	Methodology: Sanitary Discharge	2
	2.3	Methodology: Water Usage	3
3	Groun	dwater Discharge	3
	3.1	Groundwater Quality	3
	3.2	Short-term Groundwater Discharge	4
	3.3	Long-term Groundwater Discharge	4
4	Sanita	ry Drainage System	4
	4.1	Existing Sanitary Drainage System	4
	4.2	Proposed Sanitary Drainage System	4
	4.3	Post-Development Population	5
	4.4	Post-Development Sanitary Design Flow	5
	4.5	Sanitary Service Connection	5
	4.6	Sanitary Sewer (Abbotside Way Extension)	6
	4.7	Sanitary Sewer (Spiers Giffen Sanitary Sewer Extension)	6
5	Water	Supply System	6
	5.1	Existing Water Supply System	6
	5.2	Proposed Water Supply System	7
	5.3	Domestic Water Supply Demands	7
	5.4	Fire Supply Demands	7
	5.5	System Pressure Under Normal Operation	8
	5.6	System Pressure Under Fire Flow	8
	5.7	Water Service Connections	9
	5.8	Hydrant Coverage	9
6	Concl	usions and Recommendations	.10
Figu	re 1 – V	icinity Map	1

# Table of Contents (continued)

Figure 2 – Aerial Plan	1
Appendix A – Background Information	2
Appendix B – Groundwater	3
Appendix C – Sanitary Analysis	4
Appendix D – Water Analysis	5

## **Enclosures:**

<u>Site Plan Drawings</u>
SG-01 – Phase 2 Site Grading Plan
SG-02 – Phase 2 Site Grading Plan
SG-03 – Phase 2 Site Grading Plan
SG-04 – Phase 2 Site Grading Plan
SS-01 – Phase 2 Site Servicing Plan
SS-02 – Phase 2 Site Servicing Plan
SS-03 – Phase 2 Site Servicing Plan
SS-04 – Phase 2 Site Servicing Plan
EC-01 – Phase 2 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
EC-02 – Phase 2 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
EC-03 – Phase 2 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
EC-04 – Phase 2 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
DD-01 – Phase 2 Detail Drawing
DD-02 – Phase 2 Detail Drawing
DD-03 – Phase 2 Detail Drawing
DD-04 – Phase 2 Detail Drawing

Spiers Giffen Sanitary Sewer Extension Drawing Package

# 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Background

IBI Group Canada (IBI) has been retained by Broccolini (the "Owner") to prepare a set of engineering drawings for the extension of Abbotside Way as part of a Development Agreement, and to prepare a Functional Servicing Report to support the Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBA) and Site Plan Application (SPA) processes for Phase 2 of a proposed industrial development located at 12304 Heart Lake Road. The site is located in the Town of Caledon (the "Town") and the Region of Peel (the "Region"). The purpose of this report is to provide a municipal servicing strategy for both sanitary discharge, and water supply. More specifically, the report will present the following:

- Evaluate groundwater quantity and quality parameters from the hydrogeological report and develop a strategy to manage groundwater under both short- and long-term conditions
- Identify sanitary servicing opportunities and constraints and evaluate the capacity of the receiving municipal sewer.
- Identify water servicing opportunities and constraints, calculate the proposed domestic water and firefighting supply needs; and evaluate the capacity of the municipal infrastructure.

The following documents have been obtained from various sources:

- Approved Town of Caledon plan and profile drawings for Abbotside Way, prepared by SCS Consulting Group Ltd. (SCS), dated August 2016;
- Mayfield West Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Study (Mayfield West FSR), prepared by David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd., dated November 2007;
- Region of Peel 2041 Wastewater Capital Program, dated June 2020;
- Speirs Giffen Avenue Ultimate Sanitary Area Drainage Plan, prepared by IBI Group, dated July 2019;
- Approved Spiers Giffen Avenue Drawing Set, prepared by IBI Group, approved on October 16, 2019.
- Topographic Survey prepared by R-PE Surveying Ltd., dated September 2021; and,
- Architectural plans and site statistics prepared by Ware Malcomb.

# 1.2 Site Description

Located at 12304 Heart Lake Road in the Town of Caledon and Region of Peel, the overall subject site is approximately 37 ha in size, however, it should be noted that this report will only consider Phase 2 of the development. Phase 2 consists of a 6.53 ha lot at the southeast of the site, bounded by the Abbotside Way extension to the north, Heart Lake Road to the east, Highway 410 to the south, and Phase 1 of the same development to the west. A vicinity map and an aerial exhibit can be found as **Figure 1** and **Figure 2** respectively following the report.

The Phase 2 site is currently comprised of agricultural land and slopes in a southwesterly and southeasterly direction with a drainage split running north – south through the centre of the Phase 2 site. There is a change in elevation starting at  $\pm$  274 m at the site's high point, falling to  $\pm$  272.25 m at the west property line. There is a change in elevation starting at  $\pm$  274 m at the site's high point, falling to  $\pm$  269.5 m at the east property line. A copy of the topographic survey can be found in **Appendix A** for reference.

The site is located within the Mayfield West Study Area for which a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Study was completed in November 2007.

# 1.3 Site Proposal

As previously noted, this report will only consider Phase 2 of the development, which includes a  $29,830 \text{ m}^2$  building (Building 2) within a 6.53 ha lot at the southeast corner of the site. Construction will be slab on grade, with no underground levels. Sample architectural drawings can be found in **Appendix A** for reference.

It should also be noted that Abbotside Way will be extended in an easterly direction to Heart Lake Road and is to be conveyed to the Town through a Development Agreement.

# 2 Terms of Reference and Methodology

# 2.1 Terms of Reference

The terms of reference used for the scope of this report are based on the Region's Design, Specifications, and Procedures Manual for Linear Infrastructure, dated March 2017; the Town's Engineering design criteria; and the aforementioned background studies and reports.

# 2.2 Methodology: Sanitary Discharge

Peak sanitary sewer flows, excluding infiltration, will be based on Region of Peel Sewage Flows (Excluding Infiltration) Std. Dwg. 2-9-2 as outlined in the Region of Peel Sanitary Sewer Design Criteria dated March 2017. This standard detail drawing is based on the sanitary design parameters outlined in **Table 2.1** below, in accordance with the Region's sanitary design criteria. Based on the calculated peak flows, the adequacy of the existing infrastructure to support the proposed development will be discussed.

Criteria	Unit	Source
Industrial Population	70 pp/ha	Peel
Average Flow	302.8 L/cap/day	Peel
Infiltration	0.0002 m³/s/ha	Peel

## Table 2.1 Sanitary Design Parameters

2.3

# 2.4 Methodology: Water Usage

The domestic water usage will be calculated based on **Table 2.2** below, in accordance with the Region's design criteria. Pressure and flow testing to determine the adequacy of the existing watermain to support the development with fire suppression in accordance with the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Guidelines will be discussed in the subsequent sections.

Criteria	Unit	Source						
ICI Average Consumption	300 L/Employee/day	Peel						
Maximum Day Factor	1.4	Peel						
Peak Hour Factor	3.0	Peel						
ICI Average Consumption Maximum Day Factor Peak Hour Factor	300 L/Employee/day 1.4 3.0	Peel Peel Peel						

Table 2.2	Water	Design	Parameters
	vvalei	Design	r ai airietei s

# 3 Groundwater Discharge

# 3.1 Groundwater Quality

A hydrogeological assessment was carried out by EXP Services Inc. (EXP) to assess existing groundwater conditions from both quality and quantity perspectives. The following table is a summary of the observed groundwater quality parameters compared to the Region's limits for discharge:

Parameter	Storm By-Law Criteria (µg/L)	Sanitary By-Law Criteria (µg/L)	Measured Reading (µg/L)
Total Manganese	50	5,000	78
Chloroform	2	40	2.8

### Table 3.1 Groundwater Quality Exceedances

Per the hydrogeological assessment, observed levels of Total Manganese and Chloroform exceed the City's and Region's threshold for discharge to storm sewer but meet the threshold for discharge to sanitary sewers. Despite this, as noted in the Hydrogeological Report, during construction it is anticipated that TSS levels and some other parameters may become elevated and exceed both sanitary and storm use by-law limits. It is recommended that a suitable treatment method be implemented during construction dewatering activities to discharge to the applicable sewer system. For more information, please see **Appendix B** for an excerpt copy of the hydrogeological assessment.

# 3.2 Short-term Groundwater Discharge

The anticipated average short-term groundwater discharge has been estimated by EXP as shown in the table below. At the time of this report, a dewatering plan was not made available. It is therefore assumed that groundwater pumping will operate for 16 hours per day resulting in a corresponding maximum pumping rate as shown:

Building	Average Discharge <sup>1</sup>	Average Discharge	Hours of Operation	Peak Discharge	Connection Outlet	Treatment Required
Building 2	483,000 L/day	5.6 L/s	16 hrs	8.4 L/s	Sanitary	None

Table 3.2 Short-Term Groundwater Disc	harge
---------------------------------------	-------

It should be noted that a Permit to Take Water (PTTW) application must be submitted to the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) if dewatering rates exceed 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day.

# 3.3 Long-term Groundwater Discharge

Per the hydrogeological assessment, a Private Water Drainage System (PWDS) will not be required, as the building will utilize slab on grade construction. Please see **Appendix B** for an excerpt copy of the hydrogeological assessment.

# 4 Sanitary Drainage System

# 4.1 Existing Sanitary Drainage System

Per the proposed Abbotside Way extension plan and profiles, prepared by SCS Consulting attached in **Appendix A**, proposed sanitary infrastructure within the Abbotside Way extension will consist of 300 mm sanitary sewers which flow in an easterly direction across Heart Lake Road. These sewers connect to the proposed sanitary infrastructure included as part of the future Spiers Giffen extension (as prepared by IBI Group, dated July 2019) and convey flows to 525 mm sanitary trunk sewers on Spiers Giffen and Dixie Road. Further west of the Phase 2 subject site, existing 250 mm sanitary sewers convey flow in a westerly direction to a 525mm sanitary trunk sewer within Kennedy Road.

# 4.2 Proposed Sanitary Drainage System

As illustrated in the sanitary drainage area plans prepared by SCS, the overall site has been identified as industrial lands. The Phase 2 portion in the southeast corner has been allocated to the approved 300 mm sanitary sewers which have been identified as part of the plan and profiles (#409 and #410) for the **Abbotside Way Extension**. These sanitary sewers will convey flows in an easterly direction to the Spiers Giffen Avenue sanitary sewer system east of Heart Lake Road which is approved but has not yet been extended to Heart Lake Road. The Spiers Giffen sanitary sewer system was previously designed by IBI Group and has since been approved on October 16, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes short-term groundwater discharge with a safety factor of 2.0, and stormwater removal from a 15 mm precipitation event

It is therefore proposed to install this 300 mm sanitary sewer which will convey flows in an easterly direction to Heart Lake Road as part of proposed **Abbotside Way Extension**. Furthermore, the remaining length of sanitary sewer east of Heart Lake Road, which is part of the Spiers Giffen Phase 2 extension is also proposed to be constructed and drawing sets for both the Abbotside Way Extension and the Spiers Giffen sanitary sewer extension have been included in this submission package.

Copies of the approved plan and profile drawings prepared by SCS for the **Abbotside Way Extension** can be found in **Appendix A**. Copies of the originally designed and approved **Spiers Giffen Avenue** have also been included in **Appendix A**. Excerpt copies of the Mayfield West FSR, Speirs Giffen sanitary drainage area plan, and the Livingston Estates drainage area plan prepared by SCS can be found in **Appendix C** for reference.

# 4.3 Post-Development Population

The following post-development population will be used to size the sanitary service connection:

Building Area		Population Density	Pop.			
Building 2	6.53 ha	70 pp/ha/day	457			

 Table 4.1
 Post-Development Populations

Please see **Appendix C** for the detailed design sheet.

# 4.4 Post-Development Sanitary Design Flow

Based on the criteria set in **Section 2.2** and based on the Region of Peel Sewage Flows Std. Dwg. 2-9-2, the post-development sanitary sewage flow is **13** L/s, with and additional **1.3** L/s allotted for infiltration. The total peak post-development sanitary design flow is **14.3** L/s.

# 4.5 Sanitary Service Connection

A 300 mm sanitary service will need to be installed as part of the proposed **Abbotside Way Extension**.

The following table illustrates the peak flow and corresponding capacity of the proposed service:

Building	From	То	Service Size (mm)	Service Slope	Peak Flow (L/s)	Capacity (L/s)	Percent of Full Flow
Bldg. 2	Cntrl.MH	300mm Mainline San. Sewer	300	1.0 %	14.3	100.9	14 %

 Table 4.2
 Sanitary Service Performance

As shown above, the sanitary service will convey the post-development peak sanitary flow while operating at 14% of full flow capacity.

Please see the approved plan and profile drawings prepared by SCS which can be found in **Appendix A**, the detailed design sheet which can be found in **Appendix C**, and the enclosed **Abbotside Way Extension** drawing set.

#### Sanitary Sewer (Abbotside Way Extension) 4.6

As previously mentioned, the Phase 2 development will rely on new, proposed 300 mm sanitary sewers which are to be installed within the Abbotside Way extension at a 0.4% slope as outlined in the approved Livingston Estates Plan and Profiles prepared by SCS. This new municipal sewer is to be connected to the proposed Speirs Giffen sanitary sewer extension, east of Heart Lake Road which is also to be constructed within the same timeline as this development. Please see approved plan and profile drawings prepared by SCS which can be found in Appendix A for reference, and the new Spiers Giffen Sanitary Sewer Extension drawings enclosed for reference.

#### 4.7 Sanitary Sewer (Spiers Giffen Sanitary Sewer Extension)

The Phase 2 development will also rely on the extension of sanitary sewers along future Spiers Giffen Avenue. The existing sanitary infrastructure on Spiers Giffen Avenue currently terminates at a cul-de-sac, several hundred meters east of Heart Lake Road. In order to bridge the gap between the proposed sanitary sewers which are to be installed as part of the Abbotside Way Extension previously noted in Section 4.6, and the existing sanitary sewers on Spiers Giffen Avenue, the sanitary sewer infrastructure must be extended as per the approved Spiers Giffen Avenue Phase 2 plans prepared by IBI Group and approved in October 2019. The sanitary sewer extension has been designed in detail and included under a separate cover as part of this submission.

#### Water Supply System 5

#### 5.1 Existing Water Supply System

Per the proposed Abbotside Way extension plan and profiles, prepared by SCS Consulting attached in Appendix A, proposed water infrastructure within Abbotside Way consists of a 300 mm watermain, a local 400 mm watermain within Heart Lake Road, and both a 900 mm and a 1200 mm feedermain within Heart Lake Road.

Hydrant flow testing was performed at existing fire hydrants along Abbotside Way to confirm the available water supply's flow-pressure response curve. These tests were performed on November 18, 2021 and were conducted in accordance with NFPA 291. The results are summarized as follows:

Abbotside Way						
Flow (gpm)	Flow (L/s)	Pressure (psi)	Pressure (kPa)			
0	0	81	558			
1,126	71.0	74	510			
1,838	116.0	73	503			

Table 5.1	Hydrant Response Curve

As shown above, static pressure within the system is expected to be approximately 81 psi. A copy of the hydrant flow test can be found in Appendix D for reference.

#### 5.2 Proposed Water Supply System

As part of the Mayfield West FSR, the existing 300 mm watermain within Abbotside Way shall be extended within the proposed Abbotside Way extension and connected to the existing 400 mm watermain within Heart Lake Road. Please refer to the water distribution plan as part of the Mayfield West FSR which can be found in Appendix D for reference.

#### 5.3 **Domestic Water Supply Demands**

The Average Day Demand (ADD), Peak Hour Demand (PHD), and Max Day Demand (MDD) for the overall site have been calculated using the criteria set in Section 2.3, and are summarized as follows:

Building	Population	ADD (L/s)	PHD (L/s)	MDD (L/s)
Building 2	458	1.6	4.8	2.2

Table 5.1 Demostic Water Demonde

The domestic supply line for the building will be designed based on PHD while maintaining a minimum available pressure of 40 psi (275 kPa) at the face of the building. Please see Appendix D for the detailed calculations.

#### 5.4 Fire Supply Demands

29.830

Building 2

The recommended fire flow demand for the building has been calculated using the design criteria outlined in the Water Supply for Public Fire Protection Manual, 1999 by the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS). As the building will constructed using fire resistive materials, the effective floor area is taken as the largest floor area plus 25 % of the two adjacent floors. The corresponding floor area and FUS factors will be applied as follows:

Т	able 5.2 Effect	tive Floor Area a	and Fire Underwrite	ers Survey Fact	tors
Building	Floor Area	Construction	Building	Sprinkler	Proximit
	(m <sup>2</sup> )	Coefficient	Occupancy	Adjustment	Factor

0.6 (resistive)

Using	the	effective	e floor	area	for the	building	and	the	appropriate	FUS	factors,	the	required	fire
flow is	cal	culated a	as follo	ows:										

-15% (limited)

-30%

+15%

Fire Flow (F) Calculation	Applying FUS factors	Adjusted Fire Flow	Total Demand (TD)
F = 220 · 0.6 √Area	F <sub>1</sub> =F·0.85 = 19,550 L/min	Fire Flow = $F_1 - F_2 + F_3$	TD= FF + MDD
F = 220 · 0.6 √29,830 m <sup>2</sup>	F <sub>2</sub> =F <sub>1</sub> ·0.30 = 5,865 L/min	FF= 17,000 L/min (rnd'd)	TD= 283.3 L/s + 2.2 L/s
F = 23,000 L/min <i>(rnd'd)</i>	F <sub>3</sub> =F <sub>1</sub> ·0.15 = 2,933 L/min	FF = 283.3 L/s	TD= 285.6 L/s

Table 5.3 Fire Demand Calculations

The fire supply line for the building will be designed based on Total Demand (Fire Flow + MDD) while maintaining a minimum available pressure of 20 psi (140 kPa) at the face of the building. Please see Appendix D for the detailed calculations.

# 5.5 System Pressure Under Normal Operation

As previously mentioned, the domestic service for the building shall be sized to convey domestic demands under normal system operating conditions (PHD) while maintaining a minimum available pressure of 40 psi (275 kPa). The residual pressure at the building is calculated by first interpolating the PHD residual pressure within the existing watermain, and then subtracting head losses within the system using the Hazen-Williams formula. The following table summarizes the residual pressure for the proposed domestic service:

Flow	PHD	Domestic Service	Residual I	Pressure @ Main	Residual Pressure @ Bldg.		
Conditions	(L/S)	(mm)	(psi)	(kPa)	(psi)	(kPa)	
PHD	4.8	150	81	558	81	558	

Table 5-2	Residual	Pressure	under	PHD	Conditions
-----------	----------	----------	-------	-----	------------

As shown above, there is no appreciable head loss within the system, and the residual pressure at the building face is above the minimum acceptable pressure of 40 psi (275 kPa) under PHD conditions. Please see **Appendix E** for the detailed design calculations.

# 5.6 System Pressure Under Fire Flow

As previously mentioned, the fire service shall be sized to convey the total fire demand (Fire + MDD) while maintaining a minimum available pressure of 20 psi (140 kPa). The residual pressure at the building is calculated by first interpolating the residual pressure within the existing watermain, and then subtracting head losses within the system using the Hazen-Williams formula. The following table summarizes the residual pressure for the proposed fire service:

Flow	FF+MDD	Fire Service	Residual	Pressure @ Main	Residual Pressure @ Bldg.	
Conditions	(L/S)	(mm)	(psi)	(kPa)	(psi)	(kPa)
FF+MDD	285.6	300	39	266	34	238

# Table 5-3 Residual Pressure under Fire + MDD Conditions

As shown above, the residual pressure at the building face for the fire service is above the minimum acceptable pressure of 20 psi (140 kPa) under fire demand conditions (Fire + MDD). Please see **Appendix D** for the detailed design calculations.

# 5.7 Water Service Connections

To service the proposed building, a new 300 mm fire service is proposed to be looped around the building with two connections to the proposed 300 mm watermain within the Abbotside Way Extension. A separate 150 mm domestic service will tee off from the fire line, and a new valve and box shall be installed at the property line for each incoming service.

Each incoming 300 mm fire service shall be installed with a detector check valve placed in a 1800 mm precast chamber per Peel Dwg. 1-3-1, and the incoming 150 mm water service shall be installed with a meter placed in a 1500 mm precast chamber per Peel Dwg. 1-4-4.

The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) considers any building over 23 m in height to be classified as a high-rise building and thus requires a remotely located secondary siamese connection for each zone. As the proposed building is less than 23 m in height, one siamese connection will suffice, however additional siamese connections may be required for multiple fire zones, and shall be confirmed at the Building Permit stage. Two Siamese connections have been provided along the north face of Building 2. All siamese connections are placed within 45 m of a hydrant.

Please see enclosed servicing drawings **SS-01** through **SS-04**, and the enclosed **Abbotside Way Extension** drawing set for reference.

# 5.8 Hydrant Coverage

Existing hydrants are located on the north side of Abbotside Way and on either side of Heart Lake Road. Four new municipal hydrants are proposed within the north boulevard of the **Abbotside Way Extension**. Additional private hydrants shall be installed around the permitter of Building 2, and as previously mentioned all proposed siamese connections shall be strategically placed within 45 m of a hydrant to satisfy OBC requirements.

Please see Drawings **SS-01** through **SS-04** and the enclosed **Abbotside Way Extension** drawing set for the location of all existing and proposed water infrastructure.

# 6 Conclusions and Recommendations

## **Sanitary Sewers**

The receiving sanitary system within the Abbotside Way Extension, which was designed as part of the Mayfield West FSR, and detailed as part of the Livingston Estates FSR, has been sized to accommodate sanitary flows from the subject site.

## Water Supply

The existing watermain network has been designed in accordance with the Mayfield West FSR. It is noted that additional hydrant testing will be conducted shortly, and it is expected that the watermain network will easily support the proposed fire and domestic water demands for the proposed development.

## Summary

In summary, it can be concluded that both the Zoning By-Law Amendment and Site Plan Application can be supported from a municipal site servicing perspective subject to further fire flow testing.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully Submitted,

## IBI Group Canada Inc.



Jason Jenkins, P.Eng, P.E. Associate Manager - Land Engineering

Tel: +1.905.763.2322 x 63542

E-Mail: Jason.Jenkins@ibigroup.com

https://ibigroup.sharepoint.com/sites/projects1/135636/internal documents/6.0\_technical/6.04\_civil/03\_tech-reports/phase 1/zba and spa/revision 1/functional servicing/135636 - functional servicing report (revision 1).docx

# Figure 1 – Vicinity Map



Figure 2 – Aerial Plan



PROJECT NAME INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMEN 12304 HEART LAKE CALEDON, ONTAF	IT - PHASE 2 E ROAD RIO	IBI GROUP Unit 300 – 8133 Warde Markham ON L6G 1B tel 905 763 2322 fax 6 ibigroup.com	en Avenue 3 Canada 105 763 9983	
SCALE: N.T.S.	DATE: MAR 2022	FIGURE NAME AERIAL PLAN	FIGURE NO.	REVISION
PROJECT ENG:	DRAWN BY: NDS			
CHECKED BY:	APPROVED BY:		FIG-2	
PROJECT NO: 135636				

1 in In

# Appendix A – Background Information

Sample Architectural Drawings (Ware Malcomb) Topographic Survey (R-PE) Plan and Profile Drawings (Town of Caledon) Approved Spiers Giffen Avenue Drawings (IBI Group)



->

	1				ANY ∨				
		TOR21-0032-00 HEART LAKE ROAD	OVERALL SITE		INT OF 1				
		Zoning Category	MP/I	MS	ENCEME				
		Building Classification	Group F2 (O.B.C	C. A- 3.1.2.1.(1))	COMM				
Bit Park     10					11 12 12				
		GROSS SITE AREA	65,226.64m <sup>2</sup>	702,093.03 ft <sup>2</sup>	PRIOR				
		Zone Permitted Use (CALEDON BY-LAW NO. 2006-50	0)		ILCOMB				
		Proposed Use Regulations (Prestige Industrial, Exceptions - 462)	Wareh	nouse	ARE M				
			Proposed	Required	Е ОF ×				
		Min. Lot Area Min. Lot Frontage (m)	65,226.64m <sup>2</sup>	0.8ha 30.0m min	DITON .				
		Min. Front Yard Building Setback (m)		15.0(W), 6.0(E)	11 11 12				
		Min. Interior Side Yard Building Set back (m)		NIC 7.5	OUGHT				
		Min.Rear Yard Building Setback (m)		14.0	BEBR				
		Min.Landscape Buffer		3.0					
		BUILDING HEIGHT	12.5	18m max	E ANCY	RE N	IALC	OME	}
					Leading	Jesign for	Commercial	Real Estate	•
		BUILDING FLOOR AREA Warehouse			A architectu A planning interiors	re	180 ba: vaugh p 905.7	ss pro mills an. ontario. 760.1221	s drive. uni . L4K 5W9
		Future Accessory Office			graphics civil engi	neering	f 905.2 a busine	48.3344 rss name of V	VMA Inc.
Building GLUMERADIT       RECORDS CONTRACT       Records Statute Retring         Building GLUMERADIT       RECORDS CONTRACT       RECORDS CONTRACT         Bu		TOTAL BUILDING GFA	29,876.29m²		THE T				
Investing engagines in the of Paring Space       100         New Yorks of Diversity 2000 Diversitys Diversity 2000 Diversitys Diversit		BUILDING COVERAGE	45.80%	max 50%	IED ON				
Image: Second Linear control (1997)       Products (2)       227       227         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       227       227         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       227       227         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       227       227         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       227       227         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       3 Gin X 14 Cm       3 Gin X 14 Cm         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       3 Gin X 14 Cm       3 Gin X 14 Cm         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       3 Gin X 14 Cm       3 Gin X 14 Cm         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       Status (2)       Status (2)       Status (2)         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)       Status (2)       Status (2)       Status (2)       Status (2)         Image: Second Linear control (2)       Status (2)					E VERIF				
Image: Source 1. So			PROPOSED	REQUIRED	HALL B				
	r	Warehouse			AND S				
		1st /000m² @ 1.0/90 m² 7000m² - 20,000m² @ 1.0/145 m² OVER 20.000m² @ 1.0/168 m²		78 90 59	SNOIS				
		Total No. of Parking Spaces	227	227	DIME				
ACCESSING SPACE     A		Total No. of Accessible Parking Spaces	7 STANDARD -	7 2.75m X 6.0m	SCALE				
Librating Space       4       4         Librating Space       3.5m X 160m         Dock High Doors       57         Dock High Doors       7         New HEAVY DUTY PAKEMENT (HATCHED)         New HEAVY DUTY PAKEMENT		Parking Stall Dimensions	ACCESSIBLE T 5.2 TYPE B - 27	YPE A - 3.4m X m 75m X 6.0m	CE OVER				
		Loading Space Loading Space Dimensions	4 3.5m X	4 14.0m	ECEDEN				
					AKE PR				
				INVA I	F -				
		Drive-In Doors	2		HAL		$\frown$		
SITE LEGEND         NEW HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (HATCHED)         NEW LANDSCAPED AREA (HATCHED)         FIRE ACCESS ROUTE MIN. 12.0M TURNING RADIUS         MAN DOOR ENTRY         TRUCK LOADING DOCK DOOR         DRIVE IN DOOR         PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT (VERIFY LOCATION W/CIVIL DWGS)         EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT VERIFY LOCATION W/CIVIL DWGS)         FIRE ROUTE SIGNAGE 30CM X 45CM - AS PER TOWN OF         CALEDON BY-LAW 2015 - REFER TO DETAIL 2/A1.0         RC-93 BARRIER-FREE PARKING SIGNS FOR ALL ACCESSIBLE         PARKING SPACES         SIAMESE CONNECTION (VERIFY LOCATION W/CIVIL DWGS)         DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DENOTES MANHOLE (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DC       DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DC       DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DC       DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DC       DENOTES MANHOLE (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DC       DENOTES MANHOLE (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DC       DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DC       DENOTES MANHOLE (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         DC       EXTERIOR WALL LIGHTS POLES (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         DC       EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         DENOL:       TOR21-0032-00 <th></th> <th>Drive-In Doors Landscape area</th> <th>2</th> <th>min 10%</th> <th>WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SHAI</th> <th></th> <th>ROAD</th> <th>KE ROAD</th> <th>NO</th>		Drive-In Doors Landscape area	2	min 10%	WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SHAI		ROAD	KE ROAD	NO
NEW LANDSCAPED AREA (HATCHED)         FIRE ACCESS ROUTE MIN. 12.0M TURNING RADIUS         MAN DOOR ENTRY         TRUCK LOADING DOCK DOOR         PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT (VERIFY LOCATION W/CIVIL DWGS)         FIRE ROUTE SIGNAGE 30CM X 45CM - AS PER TOWN OF         CALEDON BY-LAW 2015 - REFER TO DETAIL 2/A1.0         RC-93 BARRIER-FREE PARKING SIGNS FOR ALL ACCESSIBLE         PARKING SPACES         SIAMESE CONNECTION (VERIFY LOCATION W/CIVIL DWGS)         DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVIL DWGS)         DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         C       EXTERIOR MALL LIGHTS (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         BICYCLE PARKING		Drive-In Doors Landscape area	2	min 10%	N ANY OTHER WORK EXCEPT BY AGREEMENT WTH WARE MALCOMB. WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SHAI	XXXXX	HEART LAKE ROAD	XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD	CALEDON, ON
DC DEPRESSED CURB.(SEE DETAIL 2/A1.0) LS LOADING SPACE (3.5m X 9.0m) TYP. (#) NO. OF PARKING SPACES OH EXTERIOR WALL LIGHTS (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS) EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS) BICYCLE PARKING SHEET		Drive-In Doors	2 MATCHED)	min 10%	NOT BE USED ON ANY OTHER WORK EXCEPT BY AGREEMENT WITH WARE MALCOMB. WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SHAI		HEART LAKE ROAD	XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD	CALEDON, ON
(#) NO. OF PARKING SPACES   (+) EXTERIOR WALL LIGHTS (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)   (+) EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)   (+) DRAWN BY:   JOB NO.: TOR21-0032-00   SHEET		Drive-In Doors Landscape area  SITE LEGEND  New HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H NEW LANDSCAPED AREA (HATCH FIRE ACCESS ROUTE MIN. 12.0M MAN DOOR ENTRY TRUCK LOADING DOCK DOOR DRIVE IN DOOR PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT VERIFY EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT VERIFY FIRE ROUTE SIGNAGE 30CM X 4 CALEDON BY-LAW 2015 - REFE CALEDON BY-LAW 2015 - REF	2 ATCHED) HED) TURNING RADIU FY LOCATION W/ LOCATION W/CI SCM – AS PER ER TO DETAIL 2, SIGNS FOR ALL OCATION W/CIVI L DWGS)	min 10% min 10% JS /CIVIL DWGS) VIL DWGS) TOWN OF /A1.0 . ACCESSIBLE L DWGS)	CVERALL SITE DLAN		DATE DATE ROAD HEART LAKE ROAD	XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD	CALEDON, ON
<ul> <li>EXTERIOR WALL LIGHTS (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         </li> <li>EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PHOTOMETRICS DWGS)         </li> </ul> <ul> <li>BICYCLE PARKING</li> </ul> <ul> <li>BICYCLE PARKING</li> <li>BICYCLE PARKINE</li> <li>BICYCLE PARKINE PARKINE PARKINE PARKINE PARKINE PARKINE PARKINE PAR</li></ul>		Drive-In Doors	2 ATCHED) HED) TURNING RADIU FY LOCATION W/CI SCM – AS PER ER TO DETAIL 2, SIGNS FOR ALL OCATION W/CIVI L DWGS) 2/A1.0) TYP	min 10% min 10% JS /CIVIL DWGS) VIL DWGS) TOWN OF /A1.0 . ACCESSIBLE L DWGS)	COVERALL SITE DLAN		DATE DATE ROAD HEART LAKE ROAD	XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD	CALEDON, ON
BICYCLE PARKING BICYCLE PARKING SHEET		Drive-In Doors Landscape area Landscape area SITE LEGEND NEW HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H NEW LANDSCAPED AREA (HATCH FIRE ACCESS ROUTE MIN. 12.0M MAN DOOR ENTRY TRUCK LOADING DOCK DOOR PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT (VERI EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT (VERI EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT (VERI FIRE ROUTE SIGNAGE 30CM X 4 CALEDON BY-LAW 2015 - REFE RC-93 BARRIER-FREE PARKING PARKING SPACES SIAMESE CONNECTION (VERIFY L DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVI DC DEPRESSED CURB.(SEE DETAIL 2 LS LOADING SPACE (3.5m X 9.0m) W NO. OF PARKING SPACES	2 ATCHED) HED) TURNING RADIL FY LOCATION W/ LOCATION W/CIVI SCM – AS PER R TO DETAIL 2, SIGNS FOR ALL OCATION W/CIVI L DWGS) DWGS) 2/A1.0) TYP.	min 10% min 10% JS /CIVIL DWGS) VIL DWGS) TOWN OF /A1.0 . ACCESSIBLE L DWGS)	RE THE PROPERTY AND COPYRICHT OF WARE MALCOMB AND SHALL NOT BE USED ON ANY OTHER WORK EXCEPT BY AGREEMENT WTH WARE MALCOMB. WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SHALL         Hold         Hold <t< td=""><td></td><td>DATE     REART     LAKE     ROAD</td><td>XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD</td><td>CALEDON, ON</td></t<>		DATE     REART     LAKE     ROAD	XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD	CALEDON, ON
B SHEET 물		Drive-In Doors  Landscape area  SITE LEGEND  NEW HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H NEW LANDSCAPED AREA (HATCH FIRE ACCESS ROUTE MIN. 12.0M MAN DOOR ENTRY  ITRUCK LOADING DOCK DOOR RIVE IN DOOR ROPOPOSED FIRE HYDRANT VERIFY EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT VERIFY FIRE ROUTE SIGNAGE 30CM X 4 CALEDON BY-LAW 2015 - REFE ARKING SPACES SIAMESE CONNECTION (VERIFY L DENOTES CATCHBASIN (SEE CIVIL D DC DENOTES MANHOLE (SEE CIVIL D C DEPRESSED CURB.(SEE DETAIL 2 LS LOADING SPACE (3.5m X 9.0m) # NO. OF PARKING SPACES OH EXTERIOR WALL LIGHTS (SEE PH EXTERIOR WALL LIGHTS (SEE PH	2 ATCHED) HATCHED) HED) TURNING RADIU FY LOCATION W/ LOCATION W/CIVI SCM – AS PER ER TO DETAIL 2, SIGNS FOR ALL OCATION W/CIVI L DWGS) 2/A1.0) TYP. OTOMETRICS DW HOTOMETRICS DW	min 10% min 10% JS /CIVIL DWGS) VIL DWGS) TOWN OF /A1.0 . ACCESSIBLE L DWGS)	In the property and copyright of ware malcome and shall not be used on any other work except by agreement with ware malcome. Written dimensions shall be a subsequent of the malcome and the m		DATE     REART     LAKE     ROAD	XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD	
		Drive-In Doors Landscape area SITE LEGEND NEW HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H NEW LANDSCAPED AREA (HATCH FIRE ACCESS ROUTE MIN. 12.0M A MAN DOOR ENTRY TRUCK LOADING DOCK DOOR PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT (VERII EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT (VERII NO. OF PARKING SPACES A EXTERIOR WALL LIGHTS (SEE PH EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PH EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PH EXTERIOR LIGHTS POLES (SEE PH	2 ATCHED) HED) TURNING RADIU FY LOCATION W/CI FY LOCATION W/CI SCM – AS PER ER TO DETAIL 2, SIGNS FOR ALL OCATION W/CIVI L DWGS) 2/A1.0) TYP. OTOMETRICS DW HOTOMETRICS DW	min 10% min 10% JS /CIVIL DWGS) VIL DWGS) TOWN OF /A1.0 . ACCESSIBLE L DWGS) GS) WGS)	CELECATIONS ARE THE PROPERTY AND COPYRIGHT OF WARE MALCOMB AND SHALL NOT BE USED ON ANY OTHER WORK EXCEPT BY AGREEMENT WITH WARE MALCOMB. WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SHALL ON THE ADDARS AND ADDAR		DATE     REART     LAKE     ROAD       Image: state st	XXXXX HEART LAKE ROAD	CALEDON, ON

вхв 🖸

FOR ЕD ISSI -24  $\overline{}$ 2021







Plac 9/\US51 Linegelan KalanarQoonings/Plasa 1\proj\US53-950F-952-9624-9624abg - Ranad by Kalair - Bu, an 16 208 - 12 Mar.





Project No: 166965

# MAYFIELD WEST INDUSTRIAL LANDS SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE - PHASE 2



# TOWN OF CALEDON REGION OF PEEL PROJECT No: C-06-302

FOR CONSTRUCTION

2019-03-07- ISSUED FOR TRCA PERMIT APPLICATION 2019-06-11 - REVISED PER TOWN, REGION & TRCA COMMENTS 2019-07-24 -ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION 2019-08-15 - REVISED PER TRCA COMMENTS 2019-09-18 - REVISED PER TRCA COMMENTS, ISSUED FOR TOWN SIGNATURE



B

CANADIAN COMMERICAL CAPITAL 20 BAY ST. STE. 1100 TORONTO, ON, M5J 2N8 Tel: 416-203-3798 Fax: 416-203-3799

**IBI GROUP** 

200 East Wing-360 James Street North Hamilton ON L8L 1H5 Canada tel 905 546 1010 fax 905 546 1011 ibigroup.com

> RECEIVED SEP 1 9 2019 PLANNING DEPARTMENT

**DESCRIPTION:** 

26.0m INDUSTRIAL COLLECTOR, TOTAL RIGHT OF WAY AREA = 3.5 ha (1.9 ha Proposed, 1.6 ha Future) INCLUDING ROAD CONSTRUCTION, WATERMAIN, SANITARY SEWER, STORM SEWER AND RIGHT OF WAY GRADING.

No:	SHEET	DESCRIPTIONS
1	116965-ESC1	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN
2	116965-ESC2	EROSION AND SEDIMENT NOTES & DETAILS
3	116965-STM1	STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN (0+000.00 - 0+760.00)
4	116965-STM2	STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN (0+760.00 - 1+430.00)
5	116965-GRD1	GRADING PLAN (0+000.00 - 0+740.00)
6	116965-GRD2	GRADING PLAN (0+740.00 - 1+430.00)
7	116965-GRD3	GRADING PLAN (SURPLUS FILL DISPOSAL AREA)
8	116965-SER1	SERVICING PLAN (0+000.00 - 0+770.00)
9	116965-SER2	SERVICING PLAN (0+770.00 - 1+090.00)
10	116965-SER3	SERVICING PLAN (1+090.00 - 1+430.00)
11	116965-SER4	OVERALL UNDERGROUND SERVICING PLAN
12	116965-TA1	TOWN ASSET PLAN
13	116965-SAN1	ULTIMATE SANITARY AREA DRAINAGE PLAN
14	116965-WAT1	WATER DISTRIBUTION & COMMISSIONING PLAN
15	116965-PP1	SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE - PLAN & PROFILE (0+000.00 - 0+340.00)
16	116965-PP2	SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE - PLAN & PROFILE (0+340.00 - 0+650.00)
17	116965-PP3	SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE - PLAN & PROFILE (0+650.00 - 0+920.00)
18	116965-PP4	SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE - PLAN & PROFILE (0+920.00 - 1+200.00)
19	116965-PP5	SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE - PLAN & PROFILE (1+200.00 - 1+420.00)
20	116965-PH1	PHASING PLAN
21	116965-EL1	PHOTOMETRICS PLAN
22	116965-EL2	STREET LIGHTING LAYOUT
23	116965-CUP	COMPOSITE UTILITY PLAN
24	116965-EL3	STREET LIGHTING DETAILS
25	116965-L1	LANDSCAPE PLAN
26	116965-L2	LANDSCAPE DETAILS
27	116965-TPP	TREE PRESERVATION PLAN
28	116965-LB1	LANDSCAPE BUFFER PLAN
29	116965-NDP1	NOTES AND DETAILS
30	116965-NDP2	DETAILS
31	116965-NDP3	DETAILS
32	116965-NDP4	DETAILS
33	116965-NDP5	DETAILS
34	116965-NDP6	DETAILS INCLUDING ESC NOTES & DETAILS
35	116965-PM	PAVEMENT MARKING PLAN

FILE:S:\116965\_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Drawings\59civil\ayouts\116965\_Title-Page.dwg LAYOUT:COVER LAST SAVED BY:Chloe.cao, Thursday, August 15, 2019 10:31:06 AM PLOTTED BY:Michael Bucci Thursday, September 19, 2019 10:55:47 AM









FILE:J:\116965\_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Drawings\59civil\layouts\116965-STM-760-1430.dwg LAYOUT:STM-AREA-760-1430 LAST SAVED BY:Thomas.watkins, Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:57:42 AM PLOTTED BY:Chloe Cao Thursday, August 15, 2019 10:39:20 AM



LAST SAVED BY: John.iezzi, Friday, September 27, 2019 3:35:27 PM PLOTTED BY: Michael Bucci Friday, September 27, 2019 4:10:47 PM





![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

FILE:\\caneast.ibigroup.com\J\HM\116965\_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Drawings\59civil\current\116965-Nwrk.dwg\_LAYOUT:SERV-7 LAST SAVED BY:John.iezzi, Friday, September 27, 2019 3:37:08 PM\_PLOTTED BY:Michael Bucci\_Friday, September 27, 2019 4:05:28 PM

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **GENERAL NOTES**

- ALL WORK INVOLVED IN THE CONSTRUCTION, RELOCATION, REPAIR OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT SHALL BE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AND COMPLY WITH THE MOST CURRENT VERSION OF THE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, POLICIES AND GUIDELINES. PREPARED BY THE TOWN OF CALEDON AND REGION OF PEEL'S
- ALL PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.
- ALL DRAINAGE SHALL BE SELF CONTAINED AND DISCHARGE TO A LOCATION APPROVED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS AND ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT AND CONSERVATION AUTHORITY PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT.
- ANY CHANGES TO GRADES OR SERVICING FROM THE ORIGINAL APPROVED SITE PLAN MUST BE
- FIRE ROUTE SIGNS AND 3-WAY FIRE HYDRANTS SHALL BE ESTABLISHED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AT THE EXPENSE OF THE OWNER.
- 7. MAIN DRIVEWAY DIMENSIONS AT THE PROPERTY LINE BOUNDARIES ARE PLUS OR MINUS 7.5m UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.
- 8 DRIVEWAY ENTRANCE LOCATIONS SHALL INDICATE THE LOCATION AND TYPE OF CURBING, ENTRANCE RADIUS (TO BE 12.2m MINIMUM, MEASURED AT THE CURB LINE) DRIVEWAY WIDTH (MINIMUM OF 9.0m AND A MAXIMUM OF 12.5m IN WIDTH).
- 9. THE APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN DOES NOT EXEMPT THE OWNER'S BONDED CONTRACTOR FROM THE REQUIREMENTS TO OBTAIN THE VARIOUS PERMITS/APPROVALS NORMALLY REQUIRED TO COMPLETE A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, SUCH AS, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING: - ROAD CUT PERMITS - APPROACH APPROVAL PERMITS
  - COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT
- 10. ABANDONED ACCESSES MUST BE REMOVED AND THE CURB AND BOULEVARD RESTORED WITH SOD AT THE OWNER'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON, TRANSPORTATION, OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT.
- 11. 3 METRE BY 3 METRE VISIBILITY TRIANGLES IN WHICH THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF ANY OBJECTS OR MATURE VEGETATION IS NOT TO EXCEED A HEIGHT OF 0.60 METRES ABOVE THE CORRESPONDING PERPENDICULAR CENTRELINE ELEVATION OF THE ADJACENT STREET.
- 12. SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES AND MEASURES TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION ON THE SITE AND SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN AND APPLICABLE CONSERVATION AUTHORITIES.
- 13. A MINIMUM OF 1.2m CLEARANCE IS TO BE PROVIDED FROM THE LIMITS OF ALL SIDEWALKS AND DRIVEWAYS TO EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES WITH THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT OF WAY. IF THIS CLEARANCE IS NOT MAINTAINED THEY SHALL BE RELOCATED AT THE APPLICANT'S EXPENSE.
- 14. STREET CURBS ARE TO BE CONTINUOUS THROUGH THE PROPOSED ENTRANCE.
- 15. THE SUB-GRADE SOILS EXPOSED AFTER EXCAVATION SHALL BE INSPECTED AND CERTIFIED BY A QUALIFIED REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SOILS ENGINEER AND A COPY OF THE REPORT SHALL BE FORWARDED TO THE TOWN OF CALEDON BUILDING DIVISION. WHERE THE FOOTING WILL BE SITUATED ON FILL MATERIAL, THE FOOTINGS SHALL BE DESIGNED AND APPROVED BY QUALIFIED REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
- 16. ALL FILL PLACED ON THE SITE SHALL BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 95% SPMDD FOR STORM & 100% SPMDD FOR SANITARY. A SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF TESTS SHALL BE TAKEN AT VARIOUS LEVELS SATISFACTORY TO THE DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING. TEST RESULTS SHALL BE SENT TO THE CITY WITH A LETTER, SIGNED AND STAMPED BY THE SOILS ENGINEER, STATING THAT A SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF TESTS HAVE BEEN TAKEN AND THE MINIMUM DEGREE OF COMPACTION HAS BEEN REACHED.
- 17. APPROVAL OF THIS DRAWING IS FOR MATERIAL ACCEPTABILITY AND COMPLIANCE WITH MUNICIPAL AND PROVINCIAL SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS ONLY. APPROVAL AND INSPECTION BY THE TOWN DOES NOT CERTIFY THE LINE AND GRADE OF THE WORKS AND IT IS THE OWNER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO HAVE THEIR ENGINEER CERTIFY THIS ACCORDINGLY
- 18. STORM SEWERS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH BEDDING AS PER OPSD 802.030 FOR RIGID PIPE OR OPSD 802.010 WITH GRANULAR 'A' FOR FLEXIBLE PIPE UNLESS APPROVED OTHERWISE BY THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS AND ENGINEERING
- 19. MAINTENANCE HOLE TOPS (FRAMES) AND CATCHBASIN (FRAMES) ARE TO BE SET TO BASE COURSE ASPHALT AND THEN ADJUSTED TO FINAL GRADE WHEN THE TOP LIFT OF ASPHALT IS PLACED.
- STANDARD A 252.2, CLASS 140-D OR PVC CERTIFIED TO C.S.A. STANDARDS 182.2 AND 182.4. MAX. PVC PIPE DIA, IS 400mm BIG O BOSS 2000 POLYETHYLENE PIPE WITH GASKETED BELL AND SPIGOT JOINTS CERTIFIED C.S.A. B182.6 FOR STORM SEWERS UP TO 900mm DIA. WHERE ONLY CONNECTION STD CATCHBASINS ARE CONSIDERED.
- 21. ALL PIPE AND BEDDING MUST CONFORM TO OPSD MAXIMUM COVER TABLE. NO FLEXIBLE PIPE SEWERS WILL BE INSTALLED WITH A DEPTH COVER GREATER THAN 6m UNLESS SPECIFICALLY APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS AND ENGINEERING.
- 22. ALL PIPE HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS MUST BE IN STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH MANUFACTURERS INSTALLATION GUIDES AND THE OCPA OR UNIBELL GUIDELINES.
- 23. ALL BOULEVARDS TO BE RESTORED WITH 300mm MINIMUM OF TOPSOIL AND SOD.

	MERCHANT ROAD	ABBOTSIDE WAY SPEIRS GIFFEN AVE	REC
HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, OPSS 1150 DFC	40mm	40mm	as pe
HOT MIX ASPHALT BINDER COURSE, OPSS 1150 HDBC	90mm	110mm	as p
BASE COURSE OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A'	150mm	150mm	a mi perc
SUBBASE COURSE, OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B'	450mm	450mm	Den
	DRIVEWAY / FIRE	COMMERCIAL DRIVEWAY/FIRE	
HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, OPSS 1150 HL 3	40mm	40mm	as pe
HOT MIX ASPHALT BINDER COURSE, OPSS 1150 HL 8	100mm	80mm	as p
BASE COURSE OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A'	150mm	150mm	100 Stan
SUBBASE COURSE, OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B'	450mm	350mm	Den

PROJECT STATISTICS: REGION	An
	THIS
Sanitary Sewer Length	36
Number of Sanitary Manholes	
Watermain Length	32
Number of Hydrants	

![](_page_32_Figure_40.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 2. EXISTING SERVICES ALONG MERCHANT ROAD AND SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE WERE DERIVED FROM IBI GROUP'S PLAN & PROFILE AS-CONSTRUCTED ENGINEERING DRAWINGS INDEXED AS 30969-PF 30969-PP5 (INCLUSIVE). ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND MUST BE FIELD VERIFIED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE BROUGHT TO THE DESIGN ENGINEERS ATTENTION IMMEDIATELY.
- 3. SERVICES ALONG DIXIE ROAD WERE DERIVED FROM PLAN & PROFILE ENGINEERING DRAWINGS (NOT MARKED AS AS-CONSTRUCTED) RECIEVED BY THE REGION OF PEEL, INDEXED AS 58322-D\_WMN\_SMN\_INSP, 62161-D\_IFC, AND 20551-D. ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND MUST BE FIELD VERIFIED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE BROUGHT TO THE DESIGN ENGINEERS ATTENTION IMMEDIATELY.

# NOTE TO CONTRACTOR

THE POSITION OF POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER UNDERGROUND AND OVERGROUND UTILTIES AND STRUCTURES ARE NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THIS CONTRACT DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES IS NOT GUARANTEED. BEFORE STARTING WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND SHALL ASSUME ALL LIABLITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM.

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

and we have a second state of the					and the second						-
	SITE SUBJECT TO SITE	E 2 Plan Appr	OVAL							OLD SCH Not To Scale	HOOL ROAD
	PROP WATER S	MW) SERVICING LAYOUT AS	GGED TRAFFIC WAY TRAFFC TAINED AT A RING CONSTRU	C AREA. C TO BE LL TIMES JCTION.		Ex. Dwelling #12190		CONSTRUCTION NORTH	Ex. Edge of Shoulder Ex. Contre line of Road Ex. Contre line of Road Ex. Edge of Pavenent Ex. Edge of Societies	KENNEDY ROAD HEART LAKE ROAD WAALI	D SPEIRS AVENUE ELD ROAD
BLDG IG LIGHT STANI CCTED AND SUP REQUIRED (	SAN. PLUG	7) JG & AND FF AS 0. 1-7-2 7) 0.50% SA 182.2 DR-35 18' 15"E R.C.	CONTRACT USE TREN TO MINIMI REMOVALS EXISTING LIGHT RELOCK 12.49m-600mm¢ STM @ 0.50% C.S.A. 257.2 65-D	TOR TO CH BOX ZE S (TYP.) STANDARD TO BE ATED (BY OTHERS) STM. PLUG INV.=260.74		CONNECT T PVC WM REG	MOVE PLUG & 0 Ex. 400mm AS PER PEEL . STD. 1-7-8	Ex. Volve Chømber	EX. JODINS CP.P., AWWA-C-JOJ WA	KEY M LEGEND OMH1 - PROPOSE DICB - PROPOSE CB - PROPOSE CBMH - PROPOSE Ex. CB - EXISTING Ex. DCB - EXISTING Ex. 1 - EXISTING CEx. 1 - EXISTING CMH 1A - PROPOSE	AP - N.T.S. ED STORM MANHOLE ED DITCH INLET CATCH BASIN ED CATCH BASIN ED CB MANHOLE ED STORM SEWER CATCH BASIN COUBLE CATCH BASIN COUBLE CATCH BASIN STORM MANHOLE RM SEWER WITH RECTION ED SANITARY MANHOLE
	x. CB EX LS 400 V&B 400mmø 75. 0m-600mm¢ CONC. SÄN. INV.=259.60 MH 24 Ex. Conc. Curb & Gutter	P.V.C. DR-18, AWWA	C900-16 WM		EX. LS         400mmø         P.V.C. DR–18, AWWA         C900–16 <u>B</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>MH</u> <u>1/5.</u> <u>+</u> <u>MH</u> <u>1/4</u> <u>GIFFEN</u>	6 WM 6 WM 6 WM 6 WM 6 0.50% 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	b & GutterHyd.  &	V Ex. CB Ex. dd mmp pvc MH <sup>+</sup> 1 Ex. CB	1140 	PROPOSI Ex. MH A1 - EXISTING FLOW DIF FLOW DIF HYD - PROPOSI WV - PROPOSI I'I - PROPOSI	ED SANITARY SEWER S SANITARY MANHOLE TARY SEWER WITH RECTION ED FIRE HYDRANT ED WATER VALVE ED WATERMAIN TEE
Ex. (	CB <u>Fr. 1.5m Wille Char Sulfmalk</u>	BREAK INTO EXIS AND CONNECT REBENCH, PA COMPI	EX. LS STING MANHOLE NEW STORM, RGE, REPAIR, LETE.	Ex. CB	PROJECT STATISTICS: REGION PROJECT STATISTICS: REGION THIS SH Sanitary Sewer Length Number of Sanitary Manholes Watermain Length Number of Hydrants 3	ROPOSED         FUTURE           HEET         TOTAL         THIS SHEET         TOTAL           n         4.31m         0         685m           6         0         7           m         1.346m         0         0           8         0         5	EX. LS	W34 X3 X3 EX Diloh Ek. 525mm	<ul> <li>Ex Centre Ine of Rood</li> <li>Ex Edge of Shoulder</li> <li>Ex Edge of Shoulder</li> </ul>	$I \not I = PROPOSI I \not I = PROPOSI = PROPOS$	ED 45° BEND ED 11 ‡° BEND ED WATERMAIN ED WATERMAIN VERTICAL BEND & HYDRANT & WATER VALVE & VALVE CHAMBER & CURB STOP & WATERMAIN & HYDRO TRANSFORMER & GAS VALVE
	<ol> <li>GENERAL NOTES</li> <li>ALL WORK INVOLVED IN THE CO MOST CURRENT VERSION OF TH SPECIFICATIONS.</li> <li>ALL PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION</li> <li>ALL DRAINAGE SHALL BE SELF CO</li> <li>ANY CHANGES TO GRADES OR S</li> <li>FIRE ROUTE SIGNS AND 3-WAY F</li> <li>STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE FI</li> </ol>	NSTRUCTION, RELOCAT IE DEVELOPMENT STAN I SHALL BE CARRIED OU CONTAINED AND DISCHA SERVICING FROM THE O FIRE HYDRANTS SHALL E RE ROUTE IS REQUIRTE	TION, REPAIR OF MUNIF IDARDS, POLICIES AND JT IN ACCORDANCE WIT ARGE TO A LOCATION A PRIGINAL APPROVED SI BE ESTABLISHED TO TI ED TO SUPPORT AN 18	CIPAL SERVICES FOR THE PE GUIDELINES, PREPARED BY TH THE REQUIREMENTS OF T PPROVED BY THE TOWN AN TE PLAN MUST BE SUBMITTE HE SATISFACTION OF THE CI TONNE VEHICLE.	ROJECT SHALL BE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TO THE TOWN OF CALEDON AND REGION OF PEEL'S IN THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND D CONSERVATION AUTHORITY PRIOR TO ISSUANCE D BY THE ENGINEER TO THE TOWN FOR APPROVAL TY FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AT THE EXPENSE OF TH	OWN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT IFRASTRUCTURE DEPARTMENTS, ONTARIO PROVIN REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. OF A BUILDING PERMIT. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. HE OWNER.	AND COMPLY WITH THE ICIAL STANDARDS AND	FUTURE SERVICES ARE APPROXIM AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE IN THE FINAL DETAIL DESIGN OF THE WES HALF OF SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE.	E: ATE ST	Image: Second state of the second s	WATER METER DETECTOR CHECK VALVE BACK FLOW PREVENTOR BURIED GAS LINE OF ROAD RESTORATION BURIED UTILITY CORRIDOR BURIED HYDRO ONE CORRIDOR ED FROST PROTECTION ED STREET LIGHT LOCATION STREET LIGHT LOCATION ED LIMIT OF RIGHT OF WAY
1 TO THE	<ol> <li>MAIN DRIVEWAY DIMENSIONS AT</li> <li>DRIVEWAY ENTRANCE LOCATION WIDTH).</li> <li>THE APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN DO SUCH AS, BUT NOT LIMITED TO T - ROAD CUT PERMITS - APPROACH APPROVAL I - COMMITTEE OF ADJUST</li> </ol>	T THE PROPERTY LINE E NS SHALL INDICATE THE OES NOT EXEMPT THE ( THE FOLLOWING: - SEWEF PERMITS - RELOCATION MENT - ENCRO (IF REC	BOUNDARIES ARE PLUS E LOCATION AND TYPE OWNER'S BONDED COU R PERMITS N OF SERVICES DACHMENT AGREEMEN QUIRED)	S OR MINUS 7.5m UNLESS OT OF CURBING, ENTRANCE RA NTRACTOR FROM THE REQU TS	THERWISE STATED. DIUS (TO BE 12.2m MINIMUM, MEASURED AT THE CU IREMENTS TO OBTAIN THE VARIOUS PERMITS/APPF	JRB LINE) DRIVEWAY WIDTH (MINIMUM OF 9.0m AND ROVALS NORMALLY REQUIRED TO COMPLETE A CO	O A MAXIMUM OF 12.5m IN			- EXISTING FOR CONS BENCHMARK J1-313, 252.147M, SOUTH FACE WHITE SIDE BUNGALOW, NO. 1 SOUTH OF MAYFIELD ROAD.	OF CONCRETE PORCH DECK ON 1575 DIXIE ROAD, BEING 0.55KM
	<ol> <li>ABANDONED ACCESSES MUST E</li> <li>3 METRE BY 3 METRE VISIBILITY ELEVATION OF THE ADJACENT S</li> <li>SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES A APPLICABLE CONSERVATION AU</li> <li>A MINIMUM OF 1.2m CLEARANCE BE RELOCATED AT THE APPLICA</li> </ol>	BE REMOVED AND THE C TRIANGLES IN WHICH T TREET. ND MEASURES TO BE IN ITHORITIES. IS TO BE PROVIDED FR INT'S EXPENSE.	CURB AND BOULEVARD THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT ( INSTALLED PRIOR TO AM ROM THE LIMITS OF ALL	RESTORED WITH SOD AT TH DF ANY OBJECTS OR MATUR NY CONSTRUCTION ON THE S SIDEWALKS AND DRIVEWAY	HE OWNER'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE E VEGETATION IS NOT TO EXCEED A HEIGHT OF 0.60 SITE AND SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE YS TO EXISTING UTILITY STRUCTURES WITH THE MU	HE TOWN. 0 METRES ABOVE THE CORRESPONDING PERPEND E CONSTRUCTION PERIOD TO THE SATISFACTION O UNICIPAL RIGHT OF WAY. IF THIS CLEARANCE IS NO	ICULAR CENTRELINE F THE TOWN AND IT MAINTAINED THEY SHALL			8 08/15/19 J.P. REVISED P 7 07/24/19 J.P. ISSUED FO REV# DATE BY IBI GROU 200 East Hamilton tel 905 5	ER TRCA COMMENTS R CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS JP Wing-360 James Street North ON L8L 1H5 Canada 46 1010 fax 905 546 1011
	<ol> <li>STREET CURBS ARE TO BE CON</li> <li>THE SUB-GRADE SOILS EXPOSE CALEDON BUILDING DIVISION. W</li> <li>ALL FILL PLACED ON THE SITE S DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING. TE DEGREE OF COMPACTION HAS E</li> <li>APPROVAL OF THIS DRAWING IS AND GRADE OF THE WORKS AND</li> </ol>	TINUOUS THROUGH THE D AFTER EXCAVATION S HERE THE FOOTING WI HALL BE COMPACTED T ST RESULTS SHALL BE BEEN REACHED. FOR MATERIAL ACCEP D IT IS THE OWNER'S RE	E PROPOSED ENTRANC SHALL BE INSPECTED A LL BE SITUATED ON FIL TO A MINIMUM OF 95% S SENT TO THE TOWN W TABILITY AND COMPLIA ESPONSIBILITY TO HAVI	CE. AND CERTIFIED BY A QUALIFI L MATERIAL, THE FOOTINGS SPMDD FOR STORM & 100% S ITH A LETTER, SIGNED AND S ANCE WITH MUNICIPAL AND F E THEIR ENGINEER CERTIFY	ED REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SOILS ENGINEER A SHALL BE DESIGNED AND APPROVED BY QUALIFIED SPMDD FOR SANITARY. A SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF T STAMPED BY THE SOILS ENGINEER, STATING THAT A PROVINCIAL SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS ONLY THIS ACCORDINGLY.	AND A COPY OF THE REPORT SHALL BE FORWARDE D REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. TESTS SHALL BE TAKEN AT VARIOUS LEVELS SATIS A SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF TESTS HAVE BEEN TAKE Y. APPROVAL AND INSPECTION BY THE TOWN DOE	ED TO THE TOWN OF FACTORY TO THE IN AND THE MINIMUM			TOWN O	
	<ol> <li>STORM SEWERS SHALL BE CONE ENGINEERING.</li> <li>MAINTENANCE HOLE TOPS (FRA 20. PIPE MATERIAL TO BE REINFORG 2000 POLYETHYLENE PIPE WITH</li> <li>ALL PIPE AND BEDDING MUST CO WORKS AND ENGINEERING.</li> </ol>	STRUCTED WITH BEDDI MES) AND CATCHBASIN CED CONCRETE WITH A GASKETED BELL AND S ONFORM TO OPSD MAXI	NG AS PER OPSD 802.0 I (FRAMES) ARE TO BE STRENGTH OF 50 N/m/ SPIGOT JOINTS CERTIFI	30 FOR RIGID PIPE OR OPSD SET TO BASE COURSE ASPH mm CERTIFIED TO C.S.A. STA ED C.S.A. B182.6 FOR STORM IO FLEXIBLE PIPE SEWERS W	802.010 WITH GRANULAR 'A' FOR FLEXIBLE PIPE UN ALT AND THEN ADJUSTED TO FINAL GRADE WHEN T INDARD A 252.2, CLASS 140-D OR PVC CERTIFIED TO IN SEWERS UP TO 900mm DIA. WHERE ONLY CONNEC WILL BE INSTALLED WITH A DEPTH COVER GREATER	ILESS APPROVED OTHERWISE BY THE DIRECTOR O THE TOP LIFT OF ASPHALT IS PLACED. O C.S.A. STANDARDS 182.2 AND 182.4. MAX. PVC PIP CTION STD CATCHBASINS ARE CONSIDERED.	E DIA. IS 400mm BIG O BOSS			Region of Peel working with you	I CALLDON
	22. ALL PIPE HANDLING INSTRUCTIO 23. ALL BOULEVARDS TO BE RESTO 24. THE MINIMUM PAVEMENT DESIG	DNS MUST BE IN STRICT RED WITH 300mm MINIM N SHALL BE AS FOLLOW <u>MERCHANT ROAD</u>	COMPLIANCE WITH MA	COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS	DN GUIDES AND THE OCPA OR UNIBELL GUIDELINES BEFORE STARTING WORK 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY TH	S. HE TOWN OF CALEDON AND IBI GROUP AT LEAST 4	8 HOURS PRIOR		I	<b>ECEIVED</b> SEP 1 9 2019	AUG 15, 2019 J. R. R. PERKS
	HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, OPSS 1150 DFC HOT MIX ASPHALT BINDER COURSE, OPSS 1150 HDBC BASE COURSE OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A'	40mm 90mm 150mm	40mm 110mm 150mm	as per OPSS 310 as per OPSS 310 a minimum of 100 percent Standard Proctor Maximum Dry	TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION V CONTACT THE FOLLOWING: THE TOWN OF CALEDON FIN THE REGION OF PEEL HYDRO ONE ROGERS CABLE ENBRIDGE CONSUMERS GA BELL CANADA FIRE AND EMERGENCY SER	MITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY RIGHT OF WAY. THE CO NANCE & INFRASTRUCTURE (905)-584-2272 AS RVICES.	NTRACTOR MUST		PLAN	TITLE: SPEIRS GIFFEI	SEAL NAVENUE - PH 2
E 08	SUBBASE COURSE, OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B' HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, OPSS 1150 HL 3	450mm DRIVEWAY / FIRE 40mm	450mm <u>COMMERCIAL</u> <u>DRIVEWAY/FIRE</u> 40mm	Density (ASTM D698) as per OPSS 310	<ol> <li>2. THE POSITION OF THE POLE LINES, O STRUCTURES ARE NOT NECESSARIL ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SU</li> <li>3. PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF AND GRADES MUST BE CHECKED BY ENGINEER.</li> <li>4. ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LITUT</li> </ol>	CONDUITS, WATERMAINS, SEWERS, AND OTHER U LY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WI JCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANT CONSTRUCTION, ALL BENCHMARKS, ELEVATIONS Y THE CONTRACTOR AND ANY DISCREPANCIES RE	TILITIES AND HERE SHOWN, THE EED. DIMENSIONS, PORTED TO THE	Town of C APPRC AS NO This approval consti review and does not accuracy.	aledon DVED TED itutes a general t certify dimensional	SERVIC (1+090.00	ING PLAN - 1+430.00)
<u>S.</u> 1	BASE COURSE OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A' SUBBASE COURSE, OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B'	150mm 150mm 450mm	350mm	100 percent of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (ASTM D698)	MARKED AND PROTECTED. ANY UT BE REPAIRED OR REPLACED TO THE EXPENSE. 5. AT LEAST TWO DIFFERENT BENCHM 6. REPRODUCED PLANS SHALL AT NO	TILITIES DAMAGED OR DISTURBED DURING CONSTR E SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER, AT THE CONTR MARKS MUST BE REFERRED TO AT ALL TIMES. TIMES BE SCALED AND ASSUMED ACCURATE.	RUCTION SHALL RACTOR'S	This approval is sub of the "as recorded" Engineer of the Prov Dat Approved B Print Nam	works by a Professional works by a Professional wince of Ontario. $12 \cdot 560 + 19/19$ 19/19 + 19/19 19/19 + 19/19 19/19 + 19/19 19/19 + 19/19 19/19 + 19/19 19/19 + 19/19 19/19 + 19/19	DATE: 2018-06-0 SCALE: 1:500 FILE NO. 11696 10 of 35	DESIGNED BY: M.E.S. DRAWN BY: M.E.S. CHECKED BY: J.P./D.R. SFREG OF PEEL PROJECT NO. C-06-302 DWG NO. 116965-SER3

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)








LAST SAVED BY:Michael.bucci, Wednesday, September 18, 2019 4:34:13 PM PLOTTED BY:Michael Bucci Friday, September 27, 2019 4:14:37 PM



LAST SAVED BY:Chloe.cao, Wednesday, August 14, 2019 4:12:29 PM PLOTTED BY:Chloe Cao Thursday, August 15, 2019 11:09:09 AM











FILE:\\caneast.ibigroup.com\UHM116965\_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Drawings\59electricaf\\ayouts\ELECTRICAL LAYOUT.dwg LAYOUT:E2 LAST SAVED BY:Thomas.watkins, Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:42:14 AM PLOTTED BY:Roberto Wong Friday, October 04, 2019 9:42:39 AM



FILE:\\caneast.ibigroup.com\J\HM\116965\_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Drawings\59electrical\layouts\Electrical\116965-CUP.dwg LAYOUT:CU1 LAST SAVED BY:Roberto.wong, Friday, October 04, 2019 9:11:39 AM PLOTTED BY:Roberto Wong Friday, October 04, 2019 9:17:13 AM



NO.

REVISION

APR'D:	C.C.	DATE: JUNE 08
DRAWN:		SCALE: N.T.S.
57		PD No. 211
31	ANDA	ND NO. 211





FILE:J:\116965\_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Drawings\59\sc\current\116965Lr.dwg LAYOUT:L1 - LANDSCAPE PLAN LAST SAVED BY:Tim.obrien, Thursday, August 01, 2019 1:10:32 PM PLOTTED BY:Tim O'Brien Thursday, August 01, 2019 1:12:26 PM



SPECIFICATIONS									_
A. GENERAL			B. PLANT MAT	ERIAL					C.
<ul> <li>These Specifications are to be read in conjunction Conditions of the contract, as prepared by and available of <u>IBI GROUP</u>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All plants shall be installed true to specified names, sizes, grades, etc., and shall conform to the standards of the Canadian Nursery Landscapes Association.</li> </ul>						i)		
<ul> <li>ii) Prior to commencing work, the Contractor shall:</li> <li>1. Become familiar with the plans, despecifications of this project,</li> <li>2. Visit the site to essential and take</li> </ul>	etails, and	4	ii) All plants sh site condition Plant Hardin	all be nurs ns, as pub ess Zones	ery grown i ished by A in Canada	n a hardiness zo griculture Canac ' .	one appropriate to la, titled 'Map of		
<ol> <li>visit the site to ascertain and take existing conditions and any deviat plans in work by others, and</li> <li>Finalize all design alternatives in others</li> </ol>	tions from	the	iii) In the event Plan and the	of a discre Plant List	pancy in pl , the Plantir	ant quantity betong Plan shall gov	ween the Planting vern.		
with the Landscape Architect.			iv) The Contrac Landscape	tor shall m Architect a	ake plants	available for ins	pection by the ative prior to		ii)
<li>iii) Prior to excavating, the Contractor shall verify the underground utilities. In the event of a conflict between the event of a conflict between the ev</li>	location of ween a pr	f all oposed	shipping to t Architect an	he site. The	wn's repres	t limit the right of sentative to later	r reject plant	ateng ing navis (19-1959)	D.
tree location and an underground service, the exact shall be determined on site by the landscape archi Town's representative.	ct location itect and/o	of the tree or the	a tree       material that is of poor quality, damaged during shipping or installation, performing poorly while the guarantee period is still in effect, or otherwise does not conform to the specifications.         ge to of       v) Plant substitutions must be approved in writing by the Town and the Landscape Architect prior to delivery of the material to the site.						i)
<li>iv) The Contractor shall, at his or her own expense, re existing utilities, structures, facilities, etc. done in t his work.</li>	epair any o he perform	damage to nance of							ii)
v) All site work shall conform to the Canadian Nation	al Master		vi) The Contrac trees and sh	tor shall us rubs. Tree	se standaro es shall be	d industry metho turned to give th	ds for planting e best		
Construction Specifications, a copy of which can b Construction Specifications Canada, 100 Lombard Toronto, Ontario M5C 1M3; Tel. (416) 777-2198; It is the responsibility of the Contractor to be thoro these specifications and their implications for this p	e obtained I St., Suite Fax (416) ughly fam project.	d from 200, 777-2197. iliar with	appearance planting and	they shall as detaile	also be gu d on the dr	yed or staked in awings.	nmediately after		iii)
TOWN OF CALEDON						APRTD: C.C.	DATE: JUNE 08		
		STANDARDA	1170.01 NOW 712		UNE 08	DRAWN abal	SCALE: NTS		_
STREETSCAPE SPECIFICATIONS	1	CHANGES TO	D NOTES VI & VII.		MARCH 08	under	Contact 1110	1 1 1	
OT LUD LOD LOTTO	1	CHANGES TO	D NOTES VI & VII.		MARCH 08		14 N 12 N		

			APR'D: C.C.	DATE: APRIL 2000
			DRAWN: BJM	SCALE: NTS
STANDARD No. 130 NOW 103		JUNE 08		
REVISION	APR'D	DATE	STAND	ARD No. 103



REE NO.	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	DBH (cm)	CONDITION (2)	COMMENTS (3)	IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT (4)	RECOMMENDATION (5)
470	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	30	F		IMPACTED: ACCESS TRAIL	REMOVE
471	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	25	F		IMPACTED: PIPE CROSSING & GRADING	REMOVE
G1	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	85	F	4 UNITS	IMPACTED: ACCESS TRAIL	REMOVE
472	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	20	F	1	IMPACTED: GRADING	REMOVE
473	JUGLANS NIGRA	BLACK WALKNUT	45	F		IMPACTED: FUTURE SIDEWALK	REMOVE
474	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	50	F		IMPACTED: PIPE CROSSING & GRADING	REMOVE
475	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	35	Р		IMPACTED: FUTURE ROADWAY	REMOVE
476	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	30	F		NONE	PRESERVE
477	JUGLANS NIGRA	BLACK WALNUT	35	F		NONE	PRESERVE
479	PRUNUS SEROTINA	BLACK CHERRY	45	F		IMPACTED: FUTURE GRADING	REMOVE
480	CARYA CORDIFORMIS	BITTERNUT HICKORY	70	F		IMPACTED: ACCESS TRAIL	REMOVE
481	MALUS SPP.	CRABAPPLE TREE	30	F		IMPACTED: ACCESS TRAIL	REMOVE
482	OSTRYA VIRGINIANA	IRONWOOD	30	F		IMPACTED: ACCESS TRAIL	REMOVE
222	ACER SACCHARUM	SUGAR MAPLE	65	Р		IMPACTED: ACCESS TRAIL & GRADING	REMOVE
483	TILIA AMERICANA	BASSWOOD	60	F		IMPACTED: GRADING	REMOVE
484	FRAXINUS PENNSYLVANICA	GREEN ASH	35	Р		NONE: SELECTIVE PRUNING MAY BE REQUIRED	PRESERVE
225	TILIA AMERICANA	BASSWOOD	90	Р		NONE: SELECTIVE PRUNING MAY BE REQUIRED	PRESERVE
485	TILIA AMERICANA	BASSWOOD	45	F		NONE	PRESERVE
486	TILIA AMERICANA	BASSWOOD	45	F		NONE	PRESERVE
487	FRAXINUS PENNSYLVANICA	GREEN ASH	85	Р		NONE	PRESERVE
G2	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	15	G	3 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G3	RHAMNUS CATHARTICA	COMMON BUCKTHORN	10	G	3 UNITS; INVASIVE	NONE	PRESERVE
488	OSTRYA VIRGINIANA	HOP HORNBEAM	30	F		NONE	PRESERVE
G4	ACER SACCHARUM	SUGAR MAPLE	10	G	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
489	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	15	F	3 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G5	ACER SACCHARINUM	SUGAR MAPLE	15	G	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G6	FRAXINUS PENNSYLVANICA	GREEN ASH	40	D	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G7	RHAMNUS CATHARTICA	COMMON BUCKTHORN	25	F	8 UNITS; INVASIVE	NONE	PRESERVE
G8	BETULA SPP.	BIRCH TREE	10	D	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
490	JUGLANS NIGRA	BLACK WALNUT	25	F	Contraction of the second	NONE	PRESERVE
491	ACER SACCHARUM	SUGAR MAPLE	15	F		NONE	PRESERVE
G9	ACER SACCHARUM	SUGAR MAPLE	20	G	3 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G10	BETULA SPP.	BIRCH TREE	15	Р	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G11	FAGUS GRANDIFOLIA	AMERICAN BEECH	20	G	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G12	JUGLANS NIGRA	BLACK WALNUT	70	G	3 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G13	FAGUS GRANDIFOLIA	AMERICAN BEECH	30	G	4 UNITS; (0206)	NONE	PRESERVE
495	ACER SACCHARUM	SUGAR MAPLE	20	G		NONE	PRESERVE
G14	BETULA SPP.	BIRCH TREE	15	D	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G15	RHAMNUS CATHARTICA	COMMON BUCKTHORN	40	F	MULTISTEM; INVASIVE	NONE	PRESERVE
207	OSTRYA VIRGINIANA	IRONWOOD	30	G		NONE	PRESERVE
208	CARYA CORDIFORMIS	BITTERNUT HICKORY	20	F	The second second second	NONE	PRESERVE
G16	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	15	F	5 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G17	RHUS TYPHINA	STAGHORN SUMAC	10	G	6 UNITS	IMPACTED: ROADWAY, CULVERT	REMOVE
498	RHAMNUS CATHARTICA	COMMON BUCKTHORN	10	F	INVASIVE	LIMITED: CULVERT	PRESERVE
0214	PRUNUS SEROTINA	BLACK CHERRY	30	G	and the second	NONE	PRESERVE
G18	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	20	F		NONE	PRESERVE
G19	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	20	G	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE
G20	ACER NEGUNDO	MANITOBA MAPLE	15	F	2 UNITS; (478)	NONE	PRESERVE
499	BETULA SPP.	BIRCH TREE	25	Р		IMPACTED: ROADWAY	REMOVE
G21	TILIA AMERICANA	BASSWOOD	15	F	2 UNITS	NONE	PRESERVE

Fair (F) -10-30% dead branches; size or occurrence of wounds present some concerns; minor structural defects Poor (P) - more than 30% dead branches; weak compartmentalization; early leaf drop; presence of insects or disease; major structural defects Dead (D) - tree shows no signs of life

(3) Comments: additional information on specimen (4) Impacts: NONE - no construction activity occurs at or within the dripline of a tree.

<u>LIMITED: XXX</u> - construction activity occurs at or within the dripline of a tree, but is not likely to lead to tree death in the short term (5-10 years) if precautionary measures are taken; this may require root and/or canopy pruning. <u>IMPACTED: XXX</u> - construction activity (XXX = structures, fencing, trenching, grading, etc) which requires the direct removal of a tree or occurs within a significant portion of the canopy/root zone, such that the activity will significantly affect tree health leading to death in the short term.

5) Recommendation: Preserve, Remove, Transplant (6) Any trees located on the property line or on the adjacent property that are proposed to be removed or pruned, will require written consent from the adjacent landowner. All correspondence is to be forwarded to the Town prior to any removals. (7) 2:1 tree compensation will be required for all tree removals. Tree compensation planting is in addition to the standard required planting. In the event that tree compensation cannot be accommodated for in the planting design, financial compensation shall be collected at a rate (per tree) as determined by the Town. (8) Removals should occur outside of the breeding bird season (April 1- August 1). If this is not possible, clearance with an ecologist should occur prior to construction to ensure no loss of bird nest, egg or unfledged young.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION OF EXISTING VEGETATION:

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE BUILDING PERMIT, ALL EXISTING TREES THAT ARE TO BE PRESERVED SHALL BE FULLY PROTECTED WITH HOARDING (IE SNOW FENCING) OUTSIDE THEIR 'DRIPLINES', TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN.
 GROUPS OF TREES AND OTHER EXISTING PLANTINGS TO BE PROTECTED SHALL BE TREATED IN A LIKE MANNER WITH HOARDING AROUND THE ENTIRE CLUMP(S).
 AREAS WITHIN THE PROTECTIVE FENCING SHALL REMAIN UNDISTURBED AND SHALL NOT BE USED FOR THE STORAGE OF BUILDING MATERIALS OR EQUIPMENT.NO CONTAMINANTS SHALL BE DUMPED OR FLUSHED WHERE FEEDER ROOTS OF TREES EXIST.

STAKES SHALL BE MADE OF BIODEGRADABLE WOOD AND TREE TIES MADE OF NON-FUNGICIDE TREATED BIODEGRADABLE BINDER TWINK

JUNE 08

MARCH 08

APR'D DATE

C.C.

wn: abal

SCALE: NTS

STANDARD No. 707 (MODIFIED)





FILE:J:\116965\_AbbotsideWay\10.0 Reports\Arborist Report\dwgs\Layout\116965TPP.dwg LAYOUT:TPP-TREE PRESERVATION PLAN LAST SAVED BY:Zara.brown, Tuesday, July 30, 2019 5:06:01 PM PLOTTED BY:Zara Brown Thursday, August 01, 2019 5:22:49 PM

10

OLD SCHOOL ROAD



1 BALLED AND BURLAPPED/POTTED SHRUB

CALE-

## **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. ALL WORK INVOLVED IN THE CONSTRUCTION, RELOCATION, REPAIR OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT SHALL BE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN.
- 2. THE APPLICANT, APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE, CONSULTANT, CONTRACTOR AND SUB CONTRACTORS ARE RESPONSIBLE TO ENSURE THAT THEIR DESIGN MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES CONFORM TO THE LATEST REGION, TOWN, MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, TORONTO REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY'S DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, POLICIES, SPECIFICATIONS, MATERIALS, DESIGN CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES AS POSTED ON THEIR RESPECTIVE WEBSITES. IN THE ABSENSE OF REGION AND OR TOWN SPECIFICATIONS. THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS) SHALL APPLY.
- 3. ALL WORKS SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT". THE 2 GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE CONSTRUCTOR AS DEEMED IN THE ACT.
- 4. THE LOCATION, DIMENSION AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES ARE TO BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, BY THE CONTRACTOR, AT THEIR EXPENSE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE RESTORATION AND THE REPAIR OF EXISTING UTILITIES DISTRUBED DURING CONSTRUCTION. ALL AREA'S BEYOND THE PLAN OF SUBDIVISION THAT ARE DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE REGION OF PEEL AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- 5. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METERS UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. ALL BOREHOLES SHOWN ON THE DRAWING ARE FOR INFORMATION ONLY. REFER TO THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
- 7. ALL SUPPORT OF ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION INCLUDING THE DECOMMISSIONING AND RECOMMISSIONING OF THE EXISTING LIGHT STANDARDS ALONG EXISTING SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE.
- 8. ALL BACKFILL FOR SEWERS, WATERMAINS AND UTILITIES ON THE ROAD ALLOWANCE MUST BE MECHANICALLY COMPACTED.
- 9. FIRE ROUTE SIGNS AND 3-WAY FIRE HYDRANTS SHALL BE ESTABLISHED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AT THE EXPENSE OF THE OWNER.
- 10. DRIVEWAY ENTRANCES AND DROP CURBS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TOWN OF CALEDON STANDARD DRAWING 402 AND THE MOST RECENT DRAWING STANDARD DRAWINGS FOR THIS PURPOSE (SEE SHEET 19).
- 11. BOULEVARD DRIVEWAY SLOPES SHOULD BE A MAXIMUM OF 6.0% AND A MINIMUM OF 2.0% WHEREVER POSSIBLE. 12. A MINIMUM CLEAR DISTANCE OF 1.5m IS REQUIRED BETWEEN THE EDGE OF THE DRIVEWAY AND A UTILITY STRUCTURE OR HYDRANT.
- 13. THE APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN DOES NOT EXEMPT THE OWNER'S BONDED CONTRACTOR FROM THE REQUIREMENTS TO OBTAIN THE VARIOUS PERMITS/APPROVALS NORMALLY REQUIRED TO COMPLETE A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, SUCH AS, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING::
- ROAD CUT PERMITS - SEWER PERMITS
- APPROACH APPROVAL PERMITS - RELOCATION OF SERVICES COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT
  - ENCROACHMENT AGREEMENTS (IF REQUIRED)
- 14. 3 METER BY 3 METER VISIBILITY TRIANGLES IN WHICH THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF ANY OBJECTS OR MATURE VEGETATION IS NOT TO EXCEED A HEIGHT OF 0.60 METERS ABOVE THE CORRESPONDING PERPENDICULAR CENTERLINE ELEVATION OF THE ADJACENT STREET.
- 15. SILTATION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO WORKS COMMENCING ON THE SITE AND SHALL BE MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE TOWN.
- 16. THE SUB-GRADE SOILS EXPOSED AFTER EXCAVATION SHALL BE INSPECTED AND CERTIFIED BY A QUALIFIED REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SOILS ENGINEER AND A COPY OF THE REPORT SHALL BE FORWARDED TO THE TOWN OF CALEDON BUILDING DIVISION. WHERE THE FOOTING WILL BE SITUATED ON FILL MATERIAL, THE FOOTINGS SHALL BE DESIGNED AND APPROVED BY QUALIFIED REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
- 17. ALL PROPOSED SEWERS, THROUGHOUT THEIR LENGTH FROM THE MAIN SEWER TO THE BUILDING OR PLACE TO BE DRAINED IS TO BE LAID, AS NEARLY AS PRACTICAL, IN A STRAIGHT LINE IN A TRENCH AT A RIGHT ANGLE TO THE MAIN SEWER.

## **REGIONAL ROAD (DIXIE ROAD):**

- 1. ALL CONSTRUCTION SIGNAGE MUST CONFORM TO MTO MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES. 2. ASPHALT PRESERVATIVE SEALER SUCH AS RE-CLIMATEA OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT SHALL BE APPLIED AFTER
- 3. ALL TEMPORARY SIGNAGE AND TRAFFIC CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF ONTARIO TRAFFIC MANUAL, BOOK 7 TEMPORARY CONDITION.
- 4. ACCESS TO EXISTING ENTRANCES AND SIDE STREETS SHALL BE MAINTAINED.

THE ONE-YEAR MAINTENANCE PERIOD FOR THE TOP COARSE ASPHALT.

- 5. WORK OPERATIONS THAT REQUIRE DIVERTING TRAFFIC TO ONE LANE SUBJECT TO TIME RESTRICTIONS AND/OR NIGHT TIME OPERATIONS AS SPECIFIED IN ROAD OCCUPANCY PERMIT.
- LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES TO BE ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACTOR, ALL EXISTING UTILITY ELEVATIONS (SEWERS AND WATERMAIN) INCLUDING CENTRE LINE OF THE ROAD ELEVATIONS HAVE TO BE VERIFIED BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK ON SITE. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE DESIGN ENGINEER AND THE REGION IMMEDIATELY.
- THE CONTRACTOR(S) SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING, SUPPORTING AND PROTECTING ALL UNDERGROUND AND OVERHEAD UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES EXISTING AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION IN THE AREA OF HIS WORK, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, AND FOR ALL REPAIRS AND CONSEQUENCES RESULTING FROM DAMAGE TO SAME.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR(S) SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO GIVE 72 HOURS WRITTEN NOTICE TO UTILITY AUTHORITY PRIOR TO CROSSING SUCH UTILITIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF INSPECTION. THIS INSPECTION WILL BE FOR THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION WITH THE CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS ARISING FROM SUCH INSPECTIONS.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY IN ADVANCE, AS REQUIRED, THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION FOR THE ROAD PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK AND SHALL ACQUIRE AND SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF APPROPRIATE PERMITS (FEES, INSPECTIONS, SIGNAGE, TRAFFIC, MAINTENANCE, **DIVERSION, ETC.)**
- 10. ALL EXISTING PAVEMENTS, CURBS, SIDEWALKS, AND BOULEVARDS AND OTHER AREAS DISTURBED BY THE WORK, TO BE REINSTATED EQUAL OR BETTER TO EXISTING AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF APPLICABLE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION OVER THE ROAD ALLOWANCE. EXISTING PAVEMENTS AND CURBS TO BE SAW-CUT TO PROVIDE A SMOOTH JOINT.
- 11. DIXIE ROAD, ROAD BASE SHALL BE AS PER REGION OF PEEL STD. DWG. 5-1-1 AND 5-1-2.

ADWORKS:				WAT	ERMAINS:	7	7.6. ALL CONNECTIONS TO PVC PIPES TO BE MADE USING AN APPROVED WIDE BRANCH SE		
GENERAL					NERAL	SADDLE. DIRECT TAPPING IS NOT ALLOWED TO PVC WATERMAINS. TRACER WIRE TO INSTALLED AS PER STD. DWG. 1-7-1.			
CONSTRUCTION OF ROADWA	AYS & RELATED WO SPECIFICATIONS (L	ORKS SHALL BE IN ACCORDAN ATEST EDITION).	NCE WITH TOWN OF	1.1.	CONSTRUCTION OF WATERMAINS AND PRIVATE SERVICES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REGION OF PEEL PUBLIC WORKS DESIGN, SPECIFICATIONS AND PROCEDURES MANUAL	8.	HYDRANTS		
FOLLOWING THE INSTALLATI SUBGRADE FOR THE INSTAL	TION OF SEWERS, AL	L ROADWAYS SHALL BE ROU MAINS AND UTILITIES.	JCH GRADED TO A	12	(LATEST EDITION) AND MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT (MOE) GUIDELINES (LATEST EDITION).	ž	3.1. FIRE HYDRANTS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER REGION STD. DWG 1-6-1 (SEE SHEET 17) AN WITH FLANGE SET BETWEEN 50mm AND 150mm ABOVE FINISHED GRADE.		
PRELIMINARY ROADS				1.2.	TWU STRANDED COPPER, LIGHT COLOURED PLASTIC COATED TRACER WIRE MUST BE INSTALLED WITH AND ALONG THE PIPE AND BROUGHT TO THE SURFACE AT EACH VALVE	8	3.2. ALL HYDRANTS SHALL HAVE 150mm BRANCH VALVES AND BOXES. HYDRANT BRANCH FROM BE AS PER STD. DWG. 1-6-1 (SEE SHEET 17) AND 1-6-2.		
. SPEIRS GIFFEN AVENUE EXT CONSIDERED A PRELIMINAR	TENSION FROM THE	CUL-DE-SAC TO HEARTLAKE SUBJECT TO FUTURE MUNICI	ROAD SHALL BE IPAL REVIEW.		BOX/CHAMBER AND HYDRANT (AROUND PORT). TRACER WIRE IS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE PIPE AND OUTSIDE OF EACH VALVE BOX BY MEANS OF TAPE.	8	3.3. ALL HYDRANTS SHALL HAVE MINIMUM 1.2m MINIMUM HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE FROM UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES MEASURED FROM THE NEAREST POINT OF THE STRUCTURES		
THE PRELIMINARY ROAD RIGHT OF WAY (GRAVEL ROAD, FROM THE CUL-DE-SAC TO THE APPROACH ON HEARTLAKE ROAD) SHALL BE GRADED TO BASE COURSE ELEVATIONS (SEE INTERIM GRAVEL				1.3.	ALL FITTINGS SHALL BE RESTRAINED WHERE REQUIRED BY THE DESIGN OR BY THE REGION.		HYDRANTS NEAR DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE LOCATED A MINIMUM OF 1.25m CLEAR FROM T PROJECTED GARAGE OR EDGE OF DRIVEWAY, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.		
ACCESS ROAD DETAIL, SHEE	ET 16)			1.4.	STAINLESS STEEL BOLTS AND NUTS ARE TO BE USED ON ALL FITTINGS AND JOINT RESTRAINTS.	8	3.4. THE HYDRANT SAFETY BREAKAWAY FLANGE MUST BE LOCATED 50mm TO 150mm ABO FINISHED GRADE AND FIELD ADJUSTED IF REQUIRED.		
. CATCH BASIN CONNECTIONS	S TO BE 250mm DIA.	PVC PIPE, CSA 182.2, SDR-35	5 UNLESS OTHERWISE	1.5.	CATHODIC PROTECTION IS REQUIRED ON ALL METALLIC FITTINGS, VALVES AND JOINT RESTRAINTS MUST BE WRAPPED END TO END WITH AN APPROVED CORROSION PROTECTION SYSTEM THAT INCLUDES RETROLATION REINFORMED (PASTE) RETROLATION MOULDING, AND LOW	9.	THRUST BLOCKS		
NOTED.	ATCH BASINS AS PE	R OPSD 705.010 / 705.020 RE	SPECTIVELY WITH GOSS		TEMPERATURE PETROLATUM TAPE.	ç	9.1. THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERMAIN TO BE INSTALLED AS PER STD. 1-5-4 (SEE SHEET 1 1-5-5 (SEE SHEET 16), AND 1-5-7 (SEE SHEET 17).		
TRAPS.				1.6.	ALL SYSTEM COMPONENTS ARE TO BE EITHER TO THE REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS OR ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWING (OPSD). WHERE A REGION STANDARD EXISTS, IT SHALL BE LISED IN PLACE OF THE OPSD STANDARD	10	AIR VALVES AND DRAIN VALVES		
EET CB GRATES AS PER OPSD 40 FINAL ROADWAYS	00.100			1.7.	ALL LIVE TAPPING AND OPERATION OF EXISTING REGIONAL WATER VALVES SHALL BE	1	10.1. FOR WATERMAINS 400mm DIAMETER AND LARGER, PROVISION FOR AIR RELEASE AND DRAINAGE IS REQUIRED AT THE HIGH AND LOW POINTS RESPECTIVELY. THIS PROVISI MAY BE INCORPORATED WITH THE LINE VALVE CHAMBER OR IN SEPERATE CHAMBER		
. ROAD DESIGN TO ADHERE TO 16) FOR A 26.0m INDUSTRIAL	TO TOWN OF CALEDO COLLECTOR (14.5m	ON STANDARD No: 211 (SEE D ROADWAY, 13.9m PAVEMEN	DETAIL SEE DETAIL, SHEE IT).	Т	WATER DIVISION.		REFER TO STD. DWG 1-3-5 (AIR VALVE)		
. MANHOLES AND CATCH BASI (HL8).	SINS SHALL BE INSTA	ALLED FLUSH WITH THE BIND	ER COURSE ASPHALT	1.8.	THE NEW WATERMAIN MUST BE ISOLATED FROM THE EXISTING WATERMAIN TO MAINTAIN PRESSURE IN THE NEW MAIN DURING INSTALLATION OF SERVICES. PROPER SIZE BY-PASS WITH THE APPROVED DIFFERENTIAL BACKELOW PREVENTER TO BE INSTALLED ABOUND THE	1	BEDDING     I.1. BEDDING FOR WATERMAINS SHALL BE PER REGION STD. DWG. 1-5-1 (SEE SHEET 16) A		
MANHOLES TO BE ADJUSTED	D TO MATCH FINAL L	LIFT OF ASPHALT.			CLOSED OPERATING VALVE.	SAN			
SIDEWALKS		00 040 /SEE SHEET 20) Min 2	A MDA OTDENIOTULIA FO	1.9.	ANY JOINT DEFLECTION SHALL BE 50% OF MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS. PIPER BARREL DEFLECTION IS PROHIBITED WHEN USING PVC PIPE.	1.	GENERAL		
mm KEY IS REQUIRED FOR A	ALL LOCATIONS.	00.040 (SEE SHEET 20), Mill. S	UMPASIRENGIN. A SU	2. <u>LO</u>	CATIONS	1.1.	ALL SYSTEM COMPONENTS ARE TO BE EITHER TO THE REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS OF		
. 1.5m WIDE CONCRETE SIDEV MPa STRENGTH WITH GRANU CONCRETE AT DRIVEWAYS	WALK AS PER OPSD IULAR 'A' BASE AS RE	310.010 (SEE SHEET 20) (125) EQUIRED TO PROVIDE A LEVE	mm THICKNESS, Min. 30 ELING COURSE FOR THE	2.1.	MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF CALEDON STANDARD No. 211 (SEE DETAIL, SHEET 16) AND HAVE A MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPERATION OF 2.5m, AS PER THE REGION OF PEEL.		SHALL BE USED IN PLACE OF THE OPSD STANDARD.		
. WHEELCHAIR RAMPS REQUI	IRED AT ALL INTERS	ECTIONS AS PER OPSD 310.0	030 (SEE SHEET 20).		VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS THAT CROSS TO BE 500mm BETWEEN THE OUTSIDE OF THE WATERMAIN AND OUTSIDE OF THE SEWER AS PER MOE	GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO LAYING OF PIPE.			
WHEELCHAIR ACCESS SHALL	L BE PROVIDED AT	ALL DRIVEWAY INTERSECTIO	DNS.	2.2.	THE MINIMUM LATERAL DISTANCE BETWEEN WATER SERVICES AND OTHER UTILITIES SHALL	1.3.	PROPOSED SANITARY MAINLINE SEWER'S SHALL BE REINFORCED CONCRETE, CSA 257.2 140-D.		
ASPHALT RAMPING SHALL BE ASPHALT IS NOT INSTALLED	E PLACED TO SUIT T AT THE SAME TIME.	THE WHEELCHAIR RAMPS IF S . THESE RAMPS ARE TO BE F	SURFACE COURSE REMOVED JUST PRIOR TO	) 3 DE	BE 1.2m. PTH	1.4.	FLOW VELOCITIES SHALL BE DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GUIDELINES OUTLINED THE REGION OF PEEL PUBLIC WORKS DESIGN CRITERIA MANUAL.		
ROAD SUBDRAINS	OURSE ASHPALI.			3.1.	ALL WATER SERVICES TO BE INSTALLED WITH A MINIMUM OF 2.4m COVER.	1.5.	DEFORMATION GAUGE TEST (PIG) IS REQUIRED ON ALL PIPE WORKS PRIOR TO MAINTEN		
100mm FILTER WRAPPED CO		ED P.E. PLASTIC PIPE SUBDR	AINS TO BE INSTALLED	3.2.	REFER TO STD DWG 1-5-8 FOR INSULATION REQUIREMENTS.		THE PRELIMINARY AND ASSUMPTION INSPECTIONS.		
CALEDON STANDARD No. 219	9 (SEE STANDARD S	SHEET 19).	SBS. AGTER TOWN OF	4. <u>CR</u>	OSSINGS	2.	MAINTENANCE HOLES		
AS PER THE GEOTECHNICAL REP	PORT. THE MINIMUM	PAVEMENT DESIGN SHALL E	BE AS FOLLOWS:	4.1.	WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS UNDER A CREEK, THE MINIMUM COVER OVER THE WATERMAIN BELOW THE CREEK BOTTOM SHALL BE AS PER MUNICIPAL CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL	2.1.	FRAME AND COVERS SHALL BE AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-5-13 (SEE SHEET 17).		
	MERCHANT ROA	AD SPEIRS GIFFEN AVE			ASSESSMENT AND CONSERVATION AUTHORITIES REQUIREMENTS. GENERALLY, WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS OVER UTILITIES, A 0.3m MINIMUM CLEARANCE SHALL BE PROVIDED. WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS UNDER LITUITIES. THE MINIMUM CLEARANCE SHALL BE 0.5m	2.2.	ELEVATION IS GREATER THAN 0.90m. THE DROP PIPE SHALL BE ONE SIZE SMALLER THE THE SEWER LINE (MINIMUM 250mm). (SEE STANDARD 2-5-26, SHEET 18).		
HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE	40mm	40mm	as per OPSS 310	4.2.	FOR A WATERMAIN CROSSING A SANITARY SEWER, WATERMAIN JOINTS ARE TO BE OFFSET	2.3.	ALL MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT MANUFACTURER'S APPRO		
COURSE, OPSS 1150 DFC	90mm	110mm	as ner OPSS 310	5. CC	A MINIMUM OF 2.5m HORIZONTALLY FROM THE CENTERLINE OF THE SANITARY SEWER.		DRAWING 2-5-3, 2-5-4 (REFER TO STANDARDS ON SHEET 17).		
COURSE, OPSS 1150 HDBC				5.1.	"NO WATERMAIN SHALL BE LAID ON FILL UNTIL DENSITY TEST REPORTS HAVE BEEN	2.3.	WHERE DEPTH FROM INVERT TO TOP OF A MAINTENANCE HOLE EXCEEDS 5.0m, A SAFET PLATFORM IS TO BE PROVIDED AS PER PEEL REGIONAL STANDARD 2-6-13 TO 2-6-15 (SEE STANDARD ON SHEET 18).		
OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A'	150mm	150mm	a minimum of 100 percent Standard Proctor Maximum Dry		TO 0.6m MINIMUM ABOVE THE TOP OF WATERMAIN GRADES AND COMPACTED TO THE MINIMUM OF 100% STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMDD) IN 0.3m LIFTS.	2.4.	MAXIMUM SPACING OF MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE 120m FOR SANITARY SEWERS U		
SUBBASE COURSE, OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B'	450mm	450mm	Density (ASTM D698)		TESTS SHALL BE TAKEN ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE PROPOSED WATERMAIN. ALL FITTINGS AND BRANCH VALVES IN FILL AREAS SHALL BE TIED WITH TIE RODS IN ADDITION TO CONCRETE BLOCKING ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING:		SPACING SHALL BE 170m.		
	DRIVEWAY / FIRE	E COMMERCIAL DRIVEWAY/FIRE				3.	SIZING		
HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, OPSS 1150 HL 3	40mm	40mm	as per OPSS 310		CONCRETE THRUST BLOCKS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT ALL TEES, HORIZONTAL BENDS,	3.3.	MAINLINE SANITARY SEWER PIPE SIZE SHALL BE MINIMUM 375mm DIAMETER.		
HOT MIX ASPHALT BINDER	100mm	80mm	as per OPSS 310		HYDRANTS END OF MAINS AND CONNECTIONS 100mm TO 300mm DIAMETER AS PER REGIONAL STANDARDS. ALL 400mm DIAMETER WATERMAINS AND LARGER SHALL HAVE RESTAINED JOINTS. CALCULATIONS WILL BE REQUIRED FROM THE CONSULTANT TO	3.4.	SHALL BE 2.0m IF BOTH SEWERS ARE AT THE SAME RELATIVE ELEVATION. IF THE SEWERS INVERTS VARY MORE THAN 1.0m, A MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION OF 3.0m SHALL E		
BASE COURSE	150mm	150mm	100 percent of	5.00	DETERMINE THE M NUMBER OF JOINTS TO BE RESTRAINED BEYOND THE BEND.	3.5.	MAINTAINED. MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS SHALL BE 2.5 VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS THAT CROSS TO BE 500mg		
OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A'			Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (ASTM D698)	, i .	GROUND EXISTS BEHIND THE THRUST BLOCK, THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL PROCEDURE SHALL BE FOLLOWED:		BETWEEN THE OUTSIDE OF THE WATERMAIN AND OUTSIDE OF THE SEWER. THE LENGTH WATER PIPE SHOULD BE CENTERED AT THE POINT OF CROSSING SUCH THAT JOINTS IN		
OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B'	450mm	350mm	,	1	ALL SEGMENTS OF THE FITTING AND THE WATERMAIN AT THE THRUST BLOCK LOCATION		WATERMAIN WILL BE EQUIDISTANT AND AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM THE SEWER, CROSS PERPENDICULAR IF POSSIBLE.		
					THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS (TIE RODS AND CLAMPS SHALL BE PROTECTED USING CATHODIC PROTECTION AND CORROSION PREVENTION TAPE).	4.	DEPTH		
ERINI S.OIII WIDE GRAN	IULAR AUCES	S MAINTENANCE I	KAIL.		IMPORTED GRANULAR FILL (OPS GRANULAR "B" OR EQUIVALENT) IS TO BE USED BEHIND THE THRUST BLOCK AND FOR A MINIMUM DISTANCE OF 2m EACH SIDE OF THE THRUST BLOCK.	4.1.	THE OBVERT OF THE SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 2.5m BELOW THE CENTRELINE OF ROAD.		
AS PER THE REGION OF PEELS RI	RECOMMENDATIONS				THIS IMPORTED GRANULAR FILL SHALL BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OS 100% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY. PRIOR TO CONSTURCTING THE THRUST BLOCKS, THE CONTRACTOR	4.2.	IN ALL CASES, THE PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE INSTALLED AT SUFFICIENT D TO SERVICE LANDS EXTERNAL TO THE SITE AS DETERMINED BY THE REGION OF PEEL		
	DEPIN	REQUIREMENTS	4		ENGINEER."				
50mm CRUSHER LIMESTONE ON TOP OF TENSAR GEOGRID AND TERAFIX FILTER CLOTH	350mm	"IN THIN LIFTS" COMPACTED TO 100% SPD.		6. <u>LIN</u> 6.1.	ALL VALVE BOXES TO BE SET TO SURFACE GRADE.	5.1.	IN VALLEYS , THE SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE A MINIMUM 1.4m BELOW THE CREEK BOTT		
TYPE 270R OR APPROVED EQUA	AL.	and the second second second		6.2.	CORROSION PROTECTION TAPE AND ZINC ANODE CAPS SHALL BE APPLIED TO ALL VALVES	5.2.	A PERMIT FROM THE TORONTO REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY IS REQUIRED FO		
				6.3.	ALL VALVES 300mm AND SMALLER SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH VALVE BOXES AND				
	ENTS			6.4	RESTRAINED.	6.1.	SPECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR WATER TIGHT JOINTS IS TO BE APPLIED WHEN PIPE IS		
REPORT.	TO MEET THE RE		IN THE GEOTECHNICAL	0.1.	MUST BE INSTALLED WITH AND ALONG THE PIPE AND BROUGHT TO THE SURFACE AT EACH VALVE BOX/CHAMBER. TRACER WIRE IS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE PIPE OUTSIDE OF EACH	6.2	BURIED TO A DEPTH WHERE SIGNIFICANT HYDROSTATIC PRESSURES ARE ANTICIPATED		
ALL BEDDING AND BACKFILL MATERIAL, ROAD SUB-GRADES AND GENERALLY ALL MATERIALS USED FOR LOT GRADING AND FILL SECTIONS, ETC., SHALL BE COMPACTED TO MIN. 95% SPMDD, WHILE THE UPPER ZONE (WITHIN 1.2m OF THE DESIGN SUBGRADE) SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 98% SPMDD				6.5.	VALVE BOX BY MEANS OF TAPE. TRACER WIRE IS TO BE LOOPED THROUGH A HOLE IN THE SIDE OF THE VALVE BOX AS PER STD DWG 1-3-11 (SEE DETAIL SHEET 20).	0.2.	COVERS, EXTENDED VENTS WILL BE REQUIRED AT EVERY THIRD MAINTENANCE HOLE AS PER PEEL REGIONAL STANDARD DRAWING 2-5-22, SEE STANDARD, SHEET 18).		
THE PAVEMENT SUBGRADE SHOU A GRADER) AND ANY LOOSE, SOF BACKELLED WITH CLEAN EARTH	OULD BE PROOF-ROL OFT, WET OR UNSTAB	LED WITH A HEAVY RUBBER BLE AREAS SHOULD BE SUB-	TIRE VEHICLE (SUCH AS EXCAVATED, AND SS) AND COMPACTED TO	6.6.	ALL VALVE BOXES AND HYDRANTS ARE TO BE PROTECTED DURING CONSTRUCTION.	7.	BEDDING AND COMPACTION		
A MINIMUM OF 100% SPMDD.				6.7.	WATERTIGHT BOLT DOWN COVERS SHALL BE PROVIDED ON ALL CHAMBERS THAT ARE SUSCEPTIBLE TO FLOODING OR VANDALISM.	7.1.	ALL SANITARY SEWER BEDDING AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-3-1 (REFER TO STANDARD SHEET 18).		
APSHALT MATERIALS SHALL BE ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PER OPSS 310. THE GRANULAR AND ASPHALT PAVEMENT MATERIALS AND THEIR PLACEMENT SHOULD CONFORM TO OPSS FORMS 310, 501, 1010, AND 1150 AND THE TOWN ( REGION SPECIFICATIONS				6.8.	EACH CHAMBER WILL REQUIRE EXTENDED VENTS. THE ELEVATIONS OF THE VENTS SHALL BE ABOVE REGIONAL FLOOD LINES AS DETERMINED BY THE APPROPRIATE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY.	7.2.	GRANULAR BEDDING MATERIAL SHOULD CONSIST OF WELL GRADED, FREE DRAINING SC SUCH AS OPSS GRANULAR 'A' OR 19mm CRUSHER RUN LIMESTONE OR ITS EQUIVALENT PER THE PERTINENT TOWN / REGION SPECIFICATIONS.		
FOR ALL SEWERS AND WATERMA	AINS IN FILL SECTION	NS, THE COMPACTION SHALL	BE CERTIFIED BY A	7. <u>SE</u>	RVICES	7.3.	THE BEDDING MATERIALS SHOULD BE PLACED IN 150mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 100% SPMDD, SEE REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 2-3-1 (REFER TO STANDARD		
WHERE DEWATERING MEASURES	S ARE TO BE IMPLE	MENTED IN SECTIONS OF SEV	WER INSTALLATION,	7.1.	WATERMAIN SERVICES ARE TO BE INSTALLED PERPENDICULAR TO THE PROPOSED WATERMAIN AND STRAIGHT INTO THE BUILDING.		SHEET 18).		
GLAY PLUGS SHOULD BE INSTALI BACKFILL MATERIAL TO HELP PRI DRAINING BEDDING MATERIAL.	LED WITHIN GRANU	LAK BEDDING AND THE GRAN OF GROUND WATER ALONG	NULAR ZONES OF THE RELATIVELY FREE	7.2.	ALL SERVICES SHALL HAVE CURB STOPS AND BOXES INSTALLED AT THE STREET LINE, BE FLUSH WITH GRADE AND ACCESSIBLE AT ALL TIMES. REDUCING CURB STOPS SHALL NOT BE	8.	IN INDUSTRIAL AREAS THE MINIMUM SIZE OF SANITARY LATERALS SHALL BE ASS		
CLAY PLUGS SHOULD BE PLACED	D IN TRENCHES AT 5	50m INTERVALS (OR LESS) AL	ONG THE FULL LENGTH	7.3.	USED. ALL WATER SERVICES SHALL HAVE THE SAME SIZE MAINSTOP AS THE SERVICE PIPE.	0.1.	INSTALLED WITH A MINIMUM GRADE OF 1% AND A MAXIMUM GRADE OF 2%.		
SHOULD BE AT LEAST 1.0m THICK REPLACE THE BEDDING AND REL TO A MINIMUM OF 95% SPAND	K (MEASURED ALON LATIVELY PERVIOUS	IG THE PIPE) AND SHOULD	HOULD COMPLETELY S MUST BE COMPACTED		MAINSTOPS ARE NOT REQUIRED ON WATER SERVICES OFF 50mm DIAMETER COPPER WATERMAINS.	8.2.	THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM COVER OF SANITARY LATERALS SHALL BE 2.00m AND 2.75m RESPECTIVELY, UNLESS CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRE OTHERWISE.		
STANDED.				7.4.	SERVICE CONNECTIONS SHALL BE AS PER STD. DWG 1-8-6 (SEE DETAIL SHEET 17).	8.3. 8.4	THE MAXIMUM DROP ACROSS A PROPERTY LINE SHALL BE 0.03m.		
				7.5.	DEAD-ENDED LONG (GREATER THAN 10m) INDUSTRIAL WATER SERVICES. UNLESS OTHER		THAN HALF THE DIAMETER OF THE MAIN SEWER LINE. EXCEPT FOR A 150mm DIAMETER		

METHODS ARE AVAILABLE FOR BLEEDING OFF, CHARGING AND FLUSHING OF THE SERVICE

## INT

OADWORKS:				WAT	ERMAINS:	7.6.	ALL CONNECTIONS TO PVC PIPES TO BE MADE USING AN APPROVED WIDE BRANCI
GENERAL				1. <u>G</u>	ENERAL		SADDLE. DIRECT TAPPING IS NOT ALLOWED TO PVC WATERMAINS. TRACER WIRE T INSTALLED AS PER STD. DWG. 1-7-1.
.1. CONSTRUCTION OF ROADV CALEDON STANDARDS AND	WAYS & RELATED WO D SPECIFICATIONS (L	ORKS SHALL BE IN ACCORDA ATEST EDITION).	NCE WITH TOWN OF	1.1.	CONSTRUCTION OF WATERMAINS AND PRIVATE SERVICES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REGION OF PEEL PUBLIC WORKS DESIGN, SPECIFICATIONS AND PROCEDURES MANUAL	8. <u>H</u>	
2. FOLLOWING THE INSTALLA SUBGRADE FOR THE INSTA	TION OF SEWERS, AL	LL ROADWAYS SHALL BE ROU MAINS AND UTILITIES.	UCH GRADED TO A	1.2.	(LATEST EDITION) AND MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT (MOE) GUIDELINES (LATEST EDITION). WHERE NON-METALLIC PIPE (PVC. CONCRETE PRESSURE PIPE) IS INSTALLED. A 12-GAUGE	8.1.	WITH FLANGE SET BETWEEN 50mm AND 150mm ABOVE FINISHED GRADE.
PRELIMINARY ROADS	KTENSION FROM THE	E CUL-DE-SAC TO HEARTLAK	E ROAD SHALL BE		TWU STRANDED COPPER, LIGHT COLOURED PLASTIC COATED TRACER WIRE MUST BE INSTALLED WITH AND ALONG THE PIPE AND BROUGHT TO THE SURFACE AT EACH VALVE BOX/CHAMBER AND HYDRANT (AROUND PORT). TRACER WIRE IS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE	8.2.	ALL HYDRANTS SHALL HAVE 150mm BRANCH VALVES AND BOXES. HYDRANT BRAN FROM BE AS PER STD. DWG. 1-6-1 (SEE SHEET 17) AND 1-6-2.
CONSIDERED A PRELIMINAL	RY ROAD AND STILL	SUBJECT TO FUTURE MUNIC	SAC TO THE APPROACH	1.3.	PIPE AND OUTSIDE OF EACH VALVE BOX BY MEANS OF TAPE. ALL FITTINGS SHALL BE RESTRAINED WHERE REQUIRED BY THE DESIGN OR BY THE REGION.	0.0.	UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES MEASURED FROM THE NEAREST POINT OF THE STRU HYDRANTS NEAR DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE LOCATED A MINIMUM OF 1.25m CLEAR FRO
ON HEARTLAKE ROAD) SHALL BE GRADED TO BASE COURSE ELEVATIONS (SEE INTERIM GRAVEL ACCESS ROAD DETAIL, SHEET 16)			SEE INTERIM GRAVEL	1.4.	STAINLESS STEEL BOLTS AND NUTS ARE TO BE USED ON ALL FITTINGS AND JOINT RESTRAINTS.	8.4.	THE HYDRANT SAFETY BREAKAWAY FLANGE MUST BE LOCATED 50mm TO 150mm A
				1.5.	CATHODIC PROTECTION IS REQUIRED ON ALL METALLIC FITTINGS, VALVES AND JOINT RESTRAINTS MUST BE WRAPPED END TO END WITH AN APPROVED CORROSION PROTECTION	9. 1	THRUST BLOCKS
NOTED.	CATCH BASING AS DE	ER OPSD 705 010 / 705 020 RE			SYSTEM THAT INCLUDES PETROLATUM PRIMER (PASTE), PETROLATUM MOULDING, AND LOW TEMPERATURE PETROLATUM TAPE.	9.1.	THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERMAIN TO BE INSTALLED AS PER STD. 1-5-4 (SEE SHEE 1-5-5 (SEE SHEET 16), AND 1-5-7 (SEE SHEET 17).
TRAPS.	400.100			1.6.	ALL SYSTEM COMPONENTS ARE TO BE EITHER TO THE REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS OR ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWING (OPSD). WHERE A REGION STANDARD EXISTS, IT SHALL BE USED IN PLACE OF THE OPSD STANDARD.	10. <u>/</u>	
FINAL ROAD DESIGN TO ADHERE		ON STANDARD No: 211 (SEE	DETAIL SEE DETAIL SHEE	1.7. T	ALL LIVE TAPPING AND OPERATION OF EXISTING REGIONAL WATER VALVES SHALL BE ARRANGED THROUGH THE REGIONAL INSPECTOR ASSIGNED OR BY CONTACTING THE	10.1	DRAINAGE IS REQUIRED AT THE HIGH AND LOW POINTS RESPECTIVELY. THIS PRO MAY BE INCORPORATED WITH THE LINE VALVE CHAMBER OR IN SEPERATE CHAMB
16) FOR A 26.0m INDUSTRIA MANHOLES AND CATCH BAS	SINS SHALL BE INST	ALLED FLUSH WITH THE BIN	NT).	1.8.	WATER DIVISION. THE NEW WATERMAIN MUST BE ISOLATED FROM THE EXISTING WATERMAIN TO MAINTAIN	11. <u>E</u>	BEDDING
(HL8).	ED TO MATCH FINAL	LIFT OF ASPHALT.			PRESSURE IN THE NEW MAIN DURING INSTALLATION OF SERVICES. PROPER SIZE BY-PASS WITH THE APPROVED DIFFERENTIAL BACKFLOW PREVENTER TO BE INSTALLED AROUND THE CLOSED OPERATING VALVE.	11.1	<ol> <li>BEDDING FOR WATERMAINS SHALL BE PER REGION STD. DWG. 1-5-1 (SEE SHEET 10 1-5-2.</li> </ol>
SIDEWALKS				1.9.	ANY JOINT DEFLECTION SHALL BE 50% OF MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS. PIPER BARREL DEFLECTION IS PROHIBITED WHEN USING PVC PIPE.	SANIT	ARY SEWERS:
.1. CONCRETE CURB AND GUT mm KEY IS REQUIRED FOR	ITER AS PER OPSD 6 ALL LOCATIONS.	00.040 (SEE SHEET 20), Min. 3	30 MPa STRENGTH. A 50	2. <u>LC</u>	DCATIONS	1. <u>GE</u> 1.1.	ALL SYSTEM COMPONENTS ARE TO BE EITHER TO THE REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS
.2. 1.5m WIDE CONCRETE SIDE MPa STRENGTH WITH GRAN	EWALK AS PER OPSD NULAR 'A' BASE AS R	310.010 (SEE SHEET 20) (125 EQUIRED TO PROVIDE A LEV	5mm THICKNESS, Min. 30 /ELING COURSE FOR THE	2.1.	MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF CALEDON STANDARD No. 211 (SEE DETAIL, SHEET 16) AND HAVE A MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPERATION OF 2.5m AS BER THE RECION OF REF.		ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWING (OPSD). WHERE A REGION STANDARD E SHALL BE USED IN PLACE OF THE OPSD STANDARD.
.3. WHEELCHAIR RAMPS REQL	S, CONCRETE DEPTH	H TO BE Min. 175mm. SECTIONS AS PER OPSD 310.	030 (SEE SHEET 20).		VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS THAT CROSS TO BE 500mm BETWEEN THE OUTSIDE OF THE WATERMAIN AND OUTSIDE OF THE SEWER AS PER MOE	1.2.	SANITARY SEWERS IN FILL SECTIONS, THE COMPACTION SHALL BE CERTIFIED BY A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO LAYING OF PIPE.
.4. WHEELCHAIR ACCESS SHA	LL BE PROVIDED AT	ALL DRIVEWAY INTERSECTION	ONS.	2.2.	THE MINIMUM LATERAL DISTANCE BETWEEN WATER SERVICES AND OTHER UTILITIES SHALL	1.3.	PROPOSED SANITARY MAINLINE SEWER'S SHALL BE REINFORCED CONCRETE, CSA 2 140-D.
.5. ASPHALT RAMPING SHALL I ASPHALT IS NOT INSTALLED PLACEMENT OF SURFACE C	BE PLACED TO SUIT D AT THE SAME TIME COURSE ASHPALT.	THE WHEELCHAIR RAMPS IF THESE RAMPS ARE TO BE	SURFACE COURSE REMOVED JUST PRIOR TO	3. <u>D</u> E	BE 1.2m. PTH	1.4.	FLOW VELOCITIES SHALL BE DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GUIDELINES OUTLI THE REGION OF PEEL PUBLIC WORKS DESIGN CRITERIA MANUAL.
ROAD SUBDRAINS				3.1.	ALL WATER SERVICES TO BE INSTALLED WITH A MINIMUM OF 2.4m COVER.	1.5.	DEFORMATION GAUGE TEST (PIG) IS REQUIRED ON ALL PIPE WORKS PRIOR TO MAIN AND ACCEPTANCE. ALL PIPE WORKS SHALL HAVE A VIDEO TAPING COMPLETE AS PA
.1. 100mm FILTER WRAPPED CO CONTINUOUSLY BELOW TH	ORRUGATED SLOTTI	ED P.E. PLASTIC PIPE SUBDR R AND CONNECTED TO THE (	CB'S. AS PER TOWN OF	3.2.	REFER TO STD DWG 1-5-8 FOR INSULATION REQUIREMENTS.		THE PRELIMINARY AND ASSUMPTION INSPECTIONS.
CALEDON STANDARD NO. 2	19 (SEE STANDARD S	SHEET 19).		4. <u>CF</u>	ROSSINGS	2. <u>MA</u>	EPAME AND COVERS SHALL BE AS DEP REGION STD. DWG 2.5.13 (SEE SHEET 17)
AS PER THE GEOTECHNICAL RE	EPORT, THE MINIMUN	I PAVEMENT DESIGN SHALL	BE AS FOLLOWS:	4.1.	WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS UNDER A CREEK, THE MINIMUM COVER OVER THE WATERMAIN BELOW THE CREEK BOTTOM SHALL BE AS PER MUNICIPAL CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND CONSERVATION AUTHORITIES REQUIREMENTS. GENERALLY, WHERE	2.2.	DROP MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE PROVIDED WHERE THE DIFFERENCE IN INVER
	MERCHANT RO	AD SPEIRS GIFFEN AVE		4.2	WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS OVER OTILITIES, A 0.311 MINIMON CLEARANCE SHALL BE PROVIDED. WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS UNDER UTILITIES, THE MINIMUM CLEARANCE SHALL BE 0.5m.	23	THE SEWER LINE (MINIMUM 250mm). (SEE STANDARD 2-5-26, SHEET 18).
HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, OPSS 1150 DFC	40mm	40mm	as per OPSS 310	4.2. 5 CC	A MINIMUM OF 2.5m HORIZONTALLY FROM THE CENTERLINE OF THE SANITARY SEWER.	2.0.	PRODUCTS LIST, SANITARY SEWER AND APPERTENANCES, REGION OF PEEL STANDA DRAWING 2-5-3, 2-5-4 (REFER TO STANDARDS ON SHEET 17).
HOT MIX ASPHALT BINDER COURSE, OPSS 1150 HDBC	90mm	110mm	as per OPSS 310	5.1.	"NO WATERMAIN SHALL BE LAID ON FILL UNTIL DENSITY TEST REPORTS HAVE BEEN	2.3.	WHERE DEPTH FROM INVERT TO TOP OF A MAINTENANCE HOLE EXCEEDS 5.0m, A SA PLATFORM IS TO BE PROVIDED AS PER PEEL REGIONAL STANDARD 2-6-13 TO 2-6-15 ( STANDARD ON SHEET 18).
DPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A'	150mm	150mm	a minimum of 100 percent Standard Proctor Maximum Dry		TO 0.6m MINIMUM ABOVE THE TOP OF WATERMAIN GRADES AND COMPACTED TO THE MINIMUM OF 100% STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMDD) IN 0.3m LIFTS. 2. TESTS SHALL BE TAKEN ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE PROPOSED WATERMAIN. ALL		MAXIMUM SPACING OF MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE 120m FOR SANITARY SEWER: 600mm IN SIZE, FOR SANITARY SEWERS GREATER THAN 600mm IN SIZE, THE MAXIMU
OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B'	450mm	450mm	Density (ASTM D698)		FITTINGS AND BRANCH VALVES IN FILL AREAS SHALL BE TIED WITH TIE RODS IN ADDITION TO CONCRETE BLOCKING ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING:		SPACING SHALL BE 170m.
	DRIVEWAY / FIR	<u>COMMERCIAL</u> <u>DRIVEWAY/FIRE</u>			THRUST BLOCKING	3. <u>SIZ</u>	<u>ING</u>
HOT MIX ASPHALT SURFACE COURSE, OPSS 1150 HL 3	40mm	40mm	as per OPSS 310		CONCRETE THRUST BLOCKS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT ALL TEES, HORIZONTAL BENDS, HYDRANTS END OF MAINS AND CONNECTIONS 100mm TO 300mm DIAMETER AS PER	3.3. 3.4.	MAINLINE SANITARY SEWER PIPE SIZE SHALL BE MINIMUM 375mm DIAMETER. MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SANITARY SEWERS AND STORM SEWER
HOT MIX ASPHALT BINDER COURSE, OPSS 1150 HL 8	100mm	80mm	as per OPSS 310		REGIONAL STANDARDS. ALL 400mm DIAMETER WATERMAINS AND LARGER SHALL HAVE RESTAINED JOINTS. CALCULATIONS WILL BE REQUIRED FROM THE CONSULTANT TO DETERMINE THE M NUMBER OF JOINTS TO BE RESTRAINED BEYOND THE BEND.	The second	SHALL BE 2.0m IF BOTH SEWERS ARE AT THE SAME RELATIVE ELEVATION. IF THE SEV INVERTS VARY MORE THAN 1.0m, A MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION OF 3.0m SHA MAINTAINED.
BASE COURSE OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'A'	150mm	150mm	100 percent of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry		ALL THRUST BLOCK LOCATIONS, WHERE COMPACTED FILL RATHER THAN UNDISTURBED GROUND EXISTS BEHIND THE THRUST BLOCK, THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL PROCEDURE	3.5.	MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS SHALL BE VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS THAT CROSS TO BE 50 BETWEEN THE OUTSIDE OF THE WATERMAIN AND OUTSIDE OF THE SEWER. THE LEN
SUBBASE COURSE, OPSS 1010 GRANULAR 'B'	450mm	350mm	Density (ASTM D698)		SHALL BE FOLLOWED: ALL SEGMENTS OF THE FITTING AND THE WATERMAIN AT THE THRUST BLOCK LOCATION		WATER PIPE SHOULD BE CENTERED AT THE POINT OF CROSSING SUCH THAT JOINTS WATERMAIN WILL BE EQUIDISTANT AND AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM THE SEWER, CRO PERPENDICULAR IF POSSIBLE.
					SHALL BE TIED USING APPROVED RESTRAINING DEVIES INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS (TIE RODS AND CLAMPS SHALL BE PROTECTED USING CATHODIC PROTECTION AND CORROSION PREVENTION TAPE).	4. <u>DE</u>	РТН
TERIM 3.0m WIDE GRA	NULAR ACCES	SS MAINTENANCE I	RAIL:		IMPORTED GRANULAR FILL (OPS GRANULAR "B" OR EQUIVALENT) IS TO BE USED BEHIND THE THRUST BLOCK AND FOR A MINIMUM DISTANCE OF 2m EACH SIDE OF THE THRUST BLOCK	4.1.	THE OBVERT OF THE SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 2.5m BELOW THE CENTRELINE OF ROAD.
AS PER THE REGION OF PEELS F			Т		THIS IMPORTED GRANULAR FILL SHALL BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OS 100% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY. PRIOR TO CONSTURCTING THE THRUST BLOCKS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN WRITTEN APPROVAL FOR BACKELL FROM A LICENSDED GEOTECHNICAL	4.2.	IN ALL CASES, THE PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE INSTALLED AT SUFFICIEN TO SERVICE LANDS EXTERNAL TO THE SITE AS DETERMINED BY THE REGION OF PEE
		REQUIREMENTS	4		ENGINEER."	E CB	EEK CROSSINGS
50mm CRUSHER LIMESTONE ON TOP OF TENSAR GEOGRID AND TERAFIX FILTER CLOTH	350mm	"IN THIN LIFTS" COMPACTED TO 100% SPD		6. <u>LI</u> 6.1.	ALL VALVES ALL VALVE BOXES TO BE SET TO SURFACE GRADE.	5.1.	IN VALLEYS , THE SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE A MINIMUM 1.4m BELOW THE CREEK BO
TYPE 270R OR APPROVED EQU	JAL.			6.2.	CORROSION PROTECTION TAPE AND ZINC ANODE CAPS SHALL BE APPLIED TO ALL VALVES LOCATED WITHIN CHAMBERS.	5.2.	A PERMIT FROM THE TORONTO REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY IS REQUIRED CREEK CROSSING.
MPACTION REQUIREM	IENTS			6.3.	ALL VALVES 300mm AND SMALLER SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH VALVE BOXES AND RESTRAINED.	6. <u>SP</u>	ECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
	NTS TO MEET THE RE	EQUIREMENTS AS OUTLINED	IN THE GEOTECHNICAL	6.4.	A 12-GAUGE TWU STRANDED COPPER, LIGHT COLOURED PLASTIC COATED TRACER WIRE MUST BE INSTALLED WITH AND ALONG THE PIPE AND BROUGHT TO THE SURFACE AT EACH	6.1.	SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR WATER TIGHT JOINTS IS TO BE APPLIED WHEN PIPE BURIED TO A DEPTH WHERE SIGNIFICANT HYDROSTATIC PRESSURES ARE ANTICIPAT
ALL BEDDING AND BACKFILL MA	ATERIAL, ROAD SUB- NS, ETC., SHALL BE (	GRADES AND GENERALLY AL COMPACTED TO MIN. 95% SP	LL MATERIALS USED FOR MDD, WHILE THE UPPER		VALVE BOX/CHAMBER. TRACER WIRE IS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE PIPE OUTSIDE OF EACH VALVE BOX BY MEANS OF TAPE.	6.2.	WHERE SIGNIFICANT SECTIONS OF SANITARY SEWERS ARE PROVIDED WITH WATER COVERS, EXTENDED VENTS WILL BE REQUIRED AT EVERY THIRD MAINTENANCE HOL
ZONE (WITHIN 1.2m OF THE DESIGN SUBGRADE) SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 98% SPMDD.			MINIMUM OF 98% SPMDD. R TIRE VEHICLE (SUCH AS	6.5.	TRACER WIRE IS TO BE LOOPED THROUGH A HOLE IN THE SIDE OF THE VALVE BOX AS PER STD DWG 1-3-11 (SEE DETAIL SHEET 20).	-	PER PEEL REGIONAL STANDARD DRAWING 2-3-22, SEE STANDARD, SHEET TO).
A GRADER) AND ANY LOOSE, SOFT, WET OR UNSTABLE AREAS SHOULD BE SUB-EXCAVATED, AND BACKFILLED WITH CLEAN EARTH FILL MATERIAL PLACED IN 150mm LIFTS (OR LESS) AND COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 100% SPMDD.				6.6. 6.7.	ALL VALVE BOXES AND HYDRANTS ARE TO BE PROTECTED DURING CONSTRUCTION. WATERTIGHT BOLT DOWN COVERS SHALL BE PROVIDED ON ALL CHAMBERS THAT ARE	7.1.	ALL SANITARY SEWER BEDDING AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-3-1 (REFER TO STANDA
APSHALT MATERIALS SHALL BE ROLLED AND COMPACTED AS PER OPSS 310.				6.8.	SUSCEPTIBLE TO FLOODING OR VANDALISM. EACH CHAMBER WILL REQUIRE EXTENDED VENTS. THE ELEVATIONS OF THE VENTS SHALL	7.2.	GRANULAR BEDDING MATERIAL SHOULD CONSIST OF WELL GRADED, FREE DRAINING
THE GRANULAR AND ASPHALT F OPSS FORMS 310, 501, 1010, AN	PAVEMENT MATERIA ID 1150 AND THE TOV	LS AND THEIR PLACEMENT S WN / REGION SPECIFICATION	SHOULD CONFORM TO S.		BE ABOVE REGIONAL FLOOD LINES AS DETERMINED BY THE APPROPRIATE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY.	70	PER THE PERTINENT TOWN / REGION SPECIFICATIONS.
FOR ALL SEWERS AND WATERN GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIC	MAINS IN FILL SECTIO OR TO LAYING OF PIE	ONS, THE COMPACTION SHAL PE.	L BE CERTIFIED BY A	7. <u>SE</u> 7.1.	WATERMAIN SERVICES ARE TO BE INSTALLED PERPENDICULAR TO THE PROPOSED	7.3.	MINIMUM OF 100% SPMDD, SEE REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 2-3-1 (REFER TO STAND/ SHEET 18).
WHERE DEWATERING MEASURE CLAY PLUGS SHOULD BE INSTAI BACKFILL MATERIAL TO HELP PI	ES ARE TO BE IMPLE ILLED WITHIN GRANU REVENT MIGRATION	MENTED IN SECTIONS OF SE JLAR BEDDING AND THE GRA OF GROUND WATER ALONG	EWER INSTALLATION, NULAR ZONES OF THE RELATIVELY FREE	7.2.	WATERMAIN AND STRAIGHT INTO THE BUILDING. ALL SERVICES SHALL HAVE CURB STOPS AND BOXES INSTALLED AT THE STREET LINE, BE	8. <u>SAI</u>	NITARY SERVICES
CLAY PLUGS SHOULD BE PLACE	ED IN TRENCHES AT	50m INTERVALS (OR LESS) A	LONG THE FULL LENGTH	7.0	USED.	8.1.	IN INDUSTRIAL AREAS, THE MINIMUM SIZE OF SANITARY LATERALS SHALL BE 150mm, INSTALLED WITH A MINIMUM GRADE OF 1% AND A MAXIMUM GRADE OF 2%.
OF THE WATER TRENCH, WHER SHOULD BE AT LEAST 1.0m THIC REPLACE THE BEDDING AND RE	CE THE INVERT OF THE CK (MEASURED ALON ELATIVELY PERVIOUS	NG THE PIPE) AND SHOULD S S BACKFILL. THE CLAY PLUC	HOULD COMPLETELY SS MUST BE COMPACTED	1.3.	MAINSTOPS ARE NOT REQUIRED ON WATER SERVICES OFF 50mm DIAMETER COPPER WATERMAINS.	8.2.	THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM COVER OF SANITARY LATERALS SHALL BE 2.00m AND 2. RESPECTIVELY, UNLESS CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRE OTHERWISE.
TO A MINIMUM OF 95% SPMDD.				7.4.	SERVICE CONNECTIONS SHALL BE AS PER STD. DWG 1-8-6 (SEE DETAIL SHEET 17).	8.3. 8.4	THE MAXIMUM DROP ACROSS A PROPERTY LINE SHALL BE 0.03m.
				1.5.	JUNIN DIAMETER BLOW-UPPS ARE TO BE INSTALLED AT THE STREET LINE FOR ALL		TIALLIAL FILE DIAL STORE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE FLAT IN EXCAL TO ON GRE

## CO

	an a gun na chuinn an an a sha		annoning and a second second second	19 Marcell Control of	
ALL CONNECTIONS TO PVC PIPES TO BE MADE USING AN APPROVED WIDE BRANCH SERVICE	STOP		Ø		
SADDLE. DIRECT TAPPING IS NOT ALLOWED TO PVC WATERMAINS. TRACER WIRE TO BE INSTALLED AS PER STD. DWG. 1-7-1.	1. G	ENERAL		OLD SCH	DOL ROAD
	1.1.	STORM SEWER TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MOST RECENT REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE TOWN OF CALEDON	Not To Scale		
WITH FLANGE SET BETWEEN 50mm AND 150mm ABOVE FINISHED GRADE.	1.2.	STORM SEWERS SHALL BE PROVIDED ON ALL ROADS WITH CURB AND GUTTER.	AD	CUE	FOT
FROM BE AS PER STD. DWG. 1-6-1 (SEE SHEET 17) AND 1-6-2.	1.3.	RADIUS PIPE SHALL BE ALLOWED FOR STORM SEWERS 975mm IN DIAMTER AND LARGER PROVIDED THAT A MANHOLE IS LOCATED AT THE BEGINNING OR AT THE	DY RO		PERTY
ALL HYDRANTS SHALL HAVE MINIMUM 1.2m MINIMUM HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE FROM ALL UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES MEASURED FROM THE NEAREST POINT OF THE STRUCTURE. HYDRANTS NEAR DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE LOCATED A MINIMUM OF 1.25m CLEAR FROM THE PROJECTED GARAGE OR EDGE OF DRIVEWAY. WHICHEVER IS GREATER.	1.4.	END OF THE RADIAL SECTION. NO DECREASE OF PIPE SIZE FROM A LARGER UPSTREAM TO A SMALLER DOWNSTREAM WILL BE ALLOWED REGARDLESS OF THE INCREASE IN GRADE.	KENNE	LAKE RO	DIXIER
THE HYDRANT SAFETY BREAKAWAY FLANGE MUST BE LOCATED 50mm TO 150mm ABOVE THE FINISHED GRADE AND FIELD ADJUSTED IF REQUIRED.	1.5.	DEFORMATION GAUGE TEST (PIG) IS REQUIRED ON ALL PIPE WORKS PRIOR TO MAINTENANCE AND ACCEPTANCE. ALL PIPE WORKS SHALL HAVE A VIDEO TAPING COMPLETE AS PART OF THE PRELIMINARY AND ASSUMPTION INSPECTIONS. ALL SEMERS WILL BE FLUSHED DRIVED IN VIDEO INSPECTION.		HEART HEART	SPEIRS ENUE ENUE GIFFEN AVENUE
THRUST BLOCKS THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERMAIN TO BE INSTALLED AS PER STD. 1-5-4 (SEE SHEET 16),	1.6.	MAINTENANCE HOLE TOPS (FRAMES) AND CATCHBASIN (FRAMES) ARE TO BE SET		MAYFIE	
1-5-5 (SEE SHEET 16), AND 1-5-7 (SEE SHEET 17). AIR VALVES AND DRAIN VALVES	17	TO BASE COURSE ASPHALT AND THEN ADJUSTED FINAL GRADE WHEN THE TOP OF ASPHALT IS PLACED.	1	KEY MA	P - N. J.S.
FOR WATERMAINS 400mm DIAMETER AND LARGER, PROVISION FOR AIR RELEASE AND DRAINAGE IS REQUIRED AT THE HIGH AND LOW POINTS RESPECTIVELY. THIS PROVISION	1.7.	OR APPROVED FACTORY TEE CONNECTION AS PER OPSD 708.01 OR 708.03.			
REFER TO STD. DWG 1-3-5 (AIR VALVE)	1.9.	MANUFACTURERS INSTALLATION GUIDES. THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOW VELOCITY FOR CIRCULAR STORM SEWERS SHALL			
. BEDDING FOR WATERMAINS SHALL BE PER REGION STD. DWG. 1-5-1 (SEE SHEET 16) AND 1-5-2.	1.10.	BE 4.0m/sec AND THE MINIMUM ALLOWABLE VELOCITY SHALL BE 0.75m/Sec. STORM SEWERS TO HAVE A MINIMUM COVER OF 2.0m AS PER TOWN OF CALEDON	S 8		
ARY SEWERS:		STANDARD DRAWING No: 211.			
	2. <u>SI</u> 2.1.	ZING STORM SEWERS TO BE MINIMUM 300mm DIAMETER WITH JOINTS CONFORMING TO			
ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWING (OPSD). WHERE A REGION STANDARD EXISTS, IT SHALL BE USED IN PLACE OF THE OPSD STANDARD.	2.2.	C.S.A. STANDARD A 257.3. THE STORM SEWERS SHALL BE LOCATED AS SHOWN ON THE TOWN OF CALEDON			
SANITARY SEWERS IN FILL SECTIONS, THE COMPACTION SHALL BE CERTIFIED BY A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO LAYING OF PIPE.		STANDARD INDUSTRIAL ROAD CROSS SECTION NO 211 (SEE STANDARD ON SEE DETAIL, SHEET 16). THE STANDARD LOCATION IS GENERALLY 1.5m METERS FROM THE CENTER LINE OF ROAD.			
PROPOSED SANITARY MAINLINE SEWER'S SHALL BE REINFORCED CONCRETE, CSA 257.2, 140-D.	3. <u>D</u> I	<u>EPTH</u>	6. s 1		
FLOW VELOCITIES SHALL BE DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GUIDELINES OUTLINED IN THE REGION OF PEEL PUBLIC WORKS DESIGN CRITERIA MANUAL.	3.1.	MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS SHALL BE 2.5m. VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS THAT CROSS TO BE 500mm BETWEEN THE OUTSIDE OF THE WATERMAIN AND OUTSIDE			
DEFORMATION GAUGE TEST (PIG) IS REQUIRED ON ALL PIPE WORKS PRIOR TO MAINTENANCE AND ACCEPTANCE. ALL PIPE WORKS SHALL HAVE A VIDEO TAPING COMPLETE AS PART OF THE PRELIMINARY AND ASSUMPTION INSPECTIONS.		OF THE SEWER. THE LENGTH OF WATER PIPE SHOULD BE CENTERED AT THE POINT OF CROSSING SUCH THAT JOINTS IN THE WATERMAIN WILL BE EQUIDISTANT AND AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM THE SEWER, CROSSING PERPENDICULAR IF POSSIBLE.			
INTENANCE HOLES	4. <u>Pl</u>	PE CLASSIFICATION, BEDDING AND COMPACTION	1.1		
FRAME AND COVERS SHALL BE AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-5-13 (SEE SHEET 17). DROP MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE PROVIDED WHERE THE DIFFERENCE IN INVERT	4.1.	ALL STORM SEWER PIPES SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CANADIAN STANDARDS ASSOCIATIOIN (CSA).			
ELEVATION IS GREATER THAN 0.90m. THE DROP PIPE SHALL BE ONE SIZE SMALLER THEN THE SEWER LINE (MINIMUM 250mm). (SEE STANDARD 2-5-26, SHEET 18).	4.2.	GRANULAR BEDDING MATERIAL SHOULD CONSIST OF WELL GRADED, FREE DRAINING SOIL, SUCH AS OPSS GRANULAR 'A' OR 19mm CRUSHER RUN LIMESTONE OR ITS FOUNDAL ENT AS PER THE PERTINENT TO AN UPECIDAL SPECIFICATIONS			
ALL MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT MANUFACTURER'S APPROVED PRODUCTS LIST, SANITARY SEWER AND APPERTENANCES, REGION OF PEEL STANDARD DRAWING 2-5-3, 2-5-4 (REFER TO STANDARDS ON SHEET 17).	4.2.	THE BEDDING MATERIALS SHOULD BE PLACED IN 150mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED	Town	of Caledon	
WHERE DEPTH FROM INVERT TO TOP OF A MAINTENANCE HOLE EXCEEDS 5.0m, A SAFETY PLATFORM IS TO BE PROVIDED AS PER PEEL REGIONAL STANDARD 2-6-13 TO 2-6-15 (SEE STANDARD ON SHEET 19)	4.3.	FOR ALL SEWERS AND WATERMAINS IN FILL SECTIONS, THE COMPACTION SHALL BE CERTIFIED BY A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO LAYING OF PIPE.	APP AS N	ROVED	
MAXIMUM SPACING OF MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE 120m FOR SANITARY SEWERS UP TO 600mm IN SIZE, FOR SANITARY SEWERS GREATER THAN 600mm IN SIZE, THE MAXIMUM	4.4.	STORM SEWERS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH BEDDING AS PER OPSD 802.030 FOR RIGID PIPE OR OPSD 802.010 WITH GRANULAR 'A' FOR FLEXIBLE PIPE UNLESS	This approval c review and doe accuracy.	onstitutes a general s not certify dimensiona	1
SPACING SHALL BE 170m.	4.5.	APPROVED OTHERWISE BY THE DIRECTOR. PIPE MATERIAL TO BE REINFORCED CONCRETE SHALL BE CERTIFIED TO C.S.A. STANDARD 4247 2 1982 CLASS 55 D OR BVC CERTIFIED C S A. STANDARDS 182 2	This approval is of the "as record Engineer of the	s subject to further certi ded" works by a Profes Province of Ontario.	ication sional
ING MAINLINE SANITARY SEWER PIPE SIZE SHALL BE MINIMUM 375mm DIAMETER.	4.6.	AND 182.4 MAX. ALL PIPE BEDDING MUST CONFORM TO OPSD MAXIMUM COVER TABLE OPSD	Approve	Date: Sept 19/	19/
MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SANITARY SEWERS AND STORM SEWERS SHALL BE 2.0m IF BOTH SEWERS ARE AT THE SAME RELATIVE ELEVATION. IF THE SEWER INVERTS VARY MORE THAN 1.0m A MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION OF 3.0m SHALL BE	P-15pertulaet	807.010. NO FLEXIBLE PIPE SEWERS WILL BE INSTALLED WITH A DEPTH COVER GREATER THAN 6m UNLESS SPECIFICALLY APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR.	Print	Name: Palo Aug	<u>ks</u>
MAINTAINED. MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS SHALL BE 2.5m. VERTICAL OLEADANCE BETWEEN SEWERS AND WATERMAINS THAT OPOSS TO BE 500mm	4.7.	SEWER BEDDING, COVER AND BACKFILL FOR FLEXIBLE PIPE TO BE AS PER OPSD 802.010 WITH GRANULAR "A" FOR BOTH THE BEDDING AND COVER. REFERENCE HOWEVER SHOULD BE MADE TO THE OPSD STANDARDS FOR ALTERNATE BEDDING	FOI	PCONS	TRUCTION
BETWEEN THE OUTSIDE OF THE WATERMAIN AND OUTSIDE OF THE SEWER. THE LENGTH OF WATER PIPE SHOULD BE CENTERED AT THE POINT OF CROSSING SUCH THAT JOINTS IN THE WATERMAIN WILL BE EQUIDISTANT AND AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM THE SEWER. CROSSING		AND BACKFILL SPECIFICATIONS AS DETERMINED BY THE PROPOSED PIPE MATERIAL AND EXCAVATION CONDITIONS.	BENCHMAR	ĸ	
PERPENDICULAR IF POSSIBLE.	5. <u>M</u>	AINTENANCE HOLES	J1-313, 252.14 WHITE SIDE E	7M, SOUTH FACE C BUNGALOW, NO. 11	F CONCRETE PORCH DECK ON 575 DIXIE ROAD, BEING 0.55KM
THE OBVERT OF THE SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 2.5m BELOW THE	5.1.	MANHOLES MAY BE EITHER PRECAST OR POURED IN PLACE AND SHALL BE DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MOST RECENT ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS SPECIFICATIONS.	8 08/15/19	J.P. REVISED PER	R TRCA COMMENTS
CENTRELINE OF ROAD. IN ALL CASES, THE PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE INSTALLED AT SUFFICIENT DEPTH	5.2.	MANHOLES SHALL BE LOCATED AT EACH CHANGE IN ALIGNMENT, GRADE OR PIPE MATERIAL, AT ALL PIPE JUNCTIONS, AT THE BEGINNING AND END OF RADIUS PIPE	7 07/24/19 REV# DATE	J.P. ISSUED FOR	CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS
TO SERVICE LANDS EXTERNAL TO THE SITE AS DETERMINED BY THE REGION OF PEEL.	5.3.	MAINTENANCE TO THE SEWER. MAXIMUM SPACING OF MANHOLES SHALL BE 120m FOR SEWERS 600mm OR LEASS		IBI GROUI 200 East V	/ing-360 James Street North
IN VALLEYS , THE SANITARY SEWER SHALL BE A MINIMUM 1.4m BELOW THE CREEK BOTTOM.	5.4.	IN DIAMETER AND 150m FOR SEWERS 675mm OR GREATER IN DIAMETER. MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE 1200mm DIA. AND 1500mm DIA. AS PER OPSD	IR	Hamilton C tel 905 54	N L8L 1H5 Canada 6 1010 fax 905 546 1011
A PERMIT FROM THE TORONTO REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY IS REQUIRED FOR A CREEK CROSSING.	5.5.	701.010 AND OPSD 701.011 (RESPECTIVELY). (SEE OPSD'S SHEET 19). MANHOLE CHAMBER OPENINGS SHALL BE LOCATED ON THE SIDE OF THE		ibigroup.c	om
ECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS		MANHOLE PARALLEL TO THE FLOW FOR STRAIGHT RUN MANHOLES, OR ON THE UPSTREAM SIDE OF THE MANHOLE AT ALL JUNCTIONS.		11/2	
SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR WATER TIGHT JOINTS IS TO BE APPLIED WHEN PIPE IS BURIED TO A DEPTH WHERE SIGNIFICANT HYDROSTATIC PRESSURES ARE ANTICIPATED.	5.6.	CHANGE IN DIRECTION OF FLOW IN ANY MANHOLES SHALL NOT BE GREATER THAN 90 DEGREES PERPENDICULAR TO THE FLOW.	A	33	
WHERE SIGNIFICANT SECTIONS OF SANITARY SEWERS ARE PROVIDED WITH WATERTIGHT COVERS, EXTENDED VENTS WILL BE REQUIRED AT EVERY THIRD MAINTENANCE HOLE AS PER PEEL REGIONAL STANDARD DRAWING 2-5-22, SEE STANDARD, SHEET 18).	5.7.	THE MANHOLE EXCEEDS 5.0m. THE MAXIMUM SPACING BETWEEN SAFETY GRATINGS SHALL NOT EXCEED 4.5m, AS PER OPSD 404.020, (SEE OPSD, SHEET 19).	TO	WN OF	CALEDON
DDING AND COMPACTION	5.6.	THAN THE OBVERT OF THE OUTLET PIPE.		edion	
ALL SANITARY SEWER BEDDING AS PER REGION STD. DWG. 2-3-1 (REFER TO STANDARD ON SHEET 18).	5.9.	OUTLET PIPES EXCEED 1.2m, A DROP PIPE AS INDICTATED ON OPSD 1003.010 SHALL BE PLACED ON THE INLET PIPE, (SEE OPSD, SHEET 18).		f Peel	
GRANULAR BEDDING MATERIAL SHOULD CONSIST OF WELL GRADED, FREE DRAINING SOIL, SUCH AS OPSS GRANULAR 'A' OR 19mm CRUSHER RUN LIMESTONE OR ITS EQUIVALENT AS PER THE PERTINENT TOWN / REGION SPECIFICATIONS.	5.10.	STORM SEWER MANHOLES SHALL BE BENCHED TO THE OBVERT OF THE OUTLET PIPE ON THE VERTICAL PROJECTION FROM THE SPRING LINE OF THE SEWER.	working	with you	
THE BEDDING MATERIALS SHOULD BE PLACED IN 150mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 100% SPMDD, SEE REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 2-3-1 (REFER TO STANDARD ON SHEET 10)	5.11.	MANHOLES SHALL BE LOCATED, WHEREVER POSSIBLE, A MINIMUM OF 1.5m AWAY FROM THE FACE OF THE CURB AND/OR ANY OTHER SERVICE.			OROFESSIONA
SHEET 18).	5.12.	THE MINIMUM DROPS ACROSS MANHOLES SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS, <u>CHANGE IN DIRECTION</u> <u>0°</u> <u>30</u> <u>30</u>	ECEIVE	D	AUG 15, 2019
IN INDUSTRIAL AREAS, THE MINIMUM SIZE OF SANITARY LATERALS SHALL BE 150mm,		1° TO 45° 50 45° TO 90° 80	SEP 1 9 2019		J. R. R. PERKS
THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM COVER OF SANITARY LATERALS SHALL BE 2.00m AND 2.75m	6. <u>C/</u>	ATCH BASINS	ING DEPART	MENT	BUINCE OF ONTIN
THE MAXIMUM DROP ACROSS A PROPERTY LINE SHALL BE 0.03m.	6.1.	CATCH BASINS MAY BE EITHER PRECAST OR POURED AND SHALL BE DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MOST RECENT OPSD AND OPSS REQUIREMENTS.			SEAL
A MAINTENANCE HOLE IS REQUIRED IF THE LATERAL DIAMETER IS EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN HALF THE DIAMETER OF THE MAIN SEWER LINE. EXCEPT FOR A 150mm DIAMETER PIPE CONNECTING TO A 250mm DIAMETER MAINLINE OR A 200mm DIAMETER PIPE CONNECTING TO A 375mm MAINLINE.	6.2.	ROADWAY CATCH BASIN COVERS SHALL BE "BICYCLE PROOF" AS PER OPSD 400.010. CATCH BASINS WITH THE TRAVELLED PORTION OF A ROADWAY, SHALL HAVE THE FRAME ELEVATION FLUSH WITH THE SURFACE OF THE BASE COURSE ASPHALT. THE ADJUSTMENT AND SETTING OF THE FRAME AND COVER SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DETAILS PROVIDED IN THE OPSD STANDARDS.	TITLE: SPEIF MAYFIEL	RS GIFFEN D WEST IN	AVENUE - PH 2 NDUSTRIAL LANDS
	6.3.	CATCH BASINS SHALL BE AS PER OPSD 701.010 (SEE OPSD, SHEET 19).	NC	TES AND	DETAILS #1
	6.4.	DUAL CATCH BASINS SHALL BE AS PER OPSD 705.020 (SEE OPSD, SHEET 19).			
	6.6.	ALL CATCH BASIN LATERALS SHALL BE PLACED AT 2% GRADE UNLESS OTHERWISE	DATE:	2018-06-08	DESIGNED BY: M.E.S.
	6.7.	MAXIMUM SPACING FOR CATCHBASINS SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS:	SCALE:	N/A	CHECKED BY: J.P./D.R.
		ROAD GRADE @ 0.75% to 3.0% - 90 m ROAD GRADE GREATER THAN 3% - 70 m	20 -	f 25	C-06-302
			29 0	0 30	116965-NDP1
		LAST SAVED BY Chine can V	FILE:J:\1169	65_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Draw	ngs\59civil\layouts\116965-DNP.dwg LAYOUT:NDP1



FILE:J:\116965\_AbbotsideWay\5.9 Drawings\59civil\ayouts\116965-DNP.dwg LAYOUT:NDP2 LAST SAVED BY:Chloe.cao, Wednesday, August 14, 2019 4:00:39 PM PLOTTED BY:Chloe Cao Thursday, August 15, 2019 11:12:55 AM

















File: P:\1551 Livingston Estates\Dramings\Phage 1\As-tuilts\Pro\1551AB-PR0F-405.des - Revised by <TMCHEL> : Mon. Oct 04 2021 - 11:10am

# Appendix B – Groundwater

Excerpt Hydrogeological Investigation (EXP)



# 12304 Heart Lake Road, Caledon, Ontario

L7C 2J2 Updated Hydrogeological Investigation and Water Balance Assessment Report

### **Client:** Broccolini Limited Partnership No. 6 2680 Skymark Avenue, Suite 800, Mississauga, Ontario L4W 5L6

Attention: Mr. Ben Wilson

**Type of Document:** Final

Project Name: 12304 Heart Lake Road, Caledon, Ontario

Project Number: BRM-21004344-D0

EXP Services Inc. 1595 Clark Boulevard Brampton, ON, L6T 4V1 t: 905.793.9800 f: 905.793.0641

Date Submitted: 2022-03-16

# **Table of Contents**

1	Introd	luction 4	
	1.1	Project Description	4
	1.2	Project Objectives	4
	1.3	Scope of Work	5
	1.4	Review of Previous Reports	6
2	Hydro	geological Setting7	
	2.1	Regional Setting	7
	2.1.1	Regional Physiography	7
	2.1.2	Regional Geology and Hydrogeology	7
	2.1.3	Existing Water Well Survey	8
	2.2	Site Setting	8
	2.2.1	Site Topography	8
	2.2.2	Local Surface Water Features	8
	2.2.3	Vulnerability Mapping	8
	2.2.4	Local Geology and Hydrogeology	9
3	Result	ts	
	3.1	Monitoring Well Details	. 11
	3.2	Water Level Monitoring	. 11
	3.3	Hydraulic Conductivity Testing	. 13
	<mark>3.4</mark>	Groundwater Quality	<mark>. 13</mark>
	<mark>3.5</mark>	Infiltration Testing	<mark>. 15</mark>
4	Wate	r Balance Study 16	
	4.1	Background Information	. 16
	4.2	Methodology	. 16
	4.3	Meteorological Data	. 17
	4.4	Pre- and Post-Development Site Characteristics	. 17
	4.4.1	Pre-Development Site Characteristics	. 17
	4.4.2	Post-Development Site Characteristics	. 18



		EXP Services Inc. 12304 Heart Lake Road, Caledon, Ontario Updated Hydrogeological Investigation and Water Balance Assessment Report BRM-21004344-D0 March 16, 2022	2
	4.5	Pre-Development Water Balance Estimates	18
	4.5.1	Climate Data Analysis	18
	4.5.2	Infiltration	19
	4.5.3	Pre-Development Water Balance Analysis	19
	4.6	Post-Development Water Balance Estimates	20
	4.6.1	Post-Development Water Balance	20
	4.7	Impact and Proposed Mitigation Measures	21
5	Dewa	tering Assessment	
	5.1	Dewatering Flow Rate Estimate and Zone of Influence	23
	5.2	Cooper-Jacob's Radius of Influence	23
	5.3	Stormwater	23
	5.4	Results of Dewatering Rate Estimates	24
		Construction Downtoring Bate Estimate	24
	<mark>5.4.1</mark>	Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate	24
	5.4.1 5.4.2	Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate	24 25
	5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5	Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate	24 25 25
	5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1	Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         MECP Water Taking Permits         Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase)	24 25 25 25
6	5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro	Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate MECP Water Taking Permits Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase)	24 25 25 25
6	5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1	Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate MECP Water Taking Permits Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase) onmental Impacts Surface Water Features	24 25 25 25 27
6	5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1 6.2	Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate MECP Water Taking Permits Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase) onmental Impacts Surface Water Features Groundwater Sources	24 25 25 25 27 27
6	5.4.1 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1 6.2 6.3	Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate MECP Water Taking Permits Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase) onmental Impacts Surface Water Features Groundwater Sources Geotechnical Considerations	24 25 25 25 27 27 27 27
6	5.4.1 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate MECP Water Taking Permits Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase) onmental Impacts Surface Water Features Groundwater Features Geotechnical Considerations Groundwater Quality	24 25 25 25 27 27 27 27 27
6	5.4.1 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         MECP Water Taking Permits         Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase)         onmental Impacts         Surface Water Features         Groundwater Sources         Groundwater Quality         Well Decommissioning	24 25 25 25 27 27 27 27 27 27
6	5.4.1 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 Concl	Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         MECP Water Taking Permits         Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase)         onmental Impacts         Surface Water Features         Groundwater Sources         Geotechnical Considerations         Groundwater Quality         Well Decommissioning         usions and Recommendations	24 25 25 25 27 27 27 27 27 27
6 7 8	5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 Concl Limita	Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         MECP Water Taking Permits         Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase)         onmental Impacts         Surface Water Features         Groundwater Sources         Geotechnical Considerations         Groundwater Quality         Well Decommissioning         usions and Recommendations         29         ations	24 25 25 25 27 27 27 27 27 28
6 7 8 9	5.4.1 5.5 5.5 5.5.1 Enviro 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 Concl Limita Refer	Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate         MECP Water Taking Permits         Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase)         onmental Impacts         Surface Water Features         Groundwater Sources         Geotechnical Considerations         Groundwater Quality         Well Decommissioning         usions and Recommendations         29         ntions       31         ences       32	24 25 25 25 27 27 27 27 27 28



One (1) map was created for the Site to show groundwater contours of the overburden water-bearing zone (Figure 6). Accordingly, the groundwater flow directions in overburden interpreted to be southeast and southwest of the Site.

Groundwater levels are expected to show seasonal fluctuations and vary in response to prevailing climate conditions. This may also affect the direction and rate of flow. It is recommended to conduct seasonal groundwater level measurements to provide more information on seasonal groundwater level fluctuations.

## 3.3 Hydraulic Conductivity Testing

Four (4) Single Well Response Tests (SWRT's) were completed on monitoring wells BH/MW 1, BH/MW 9, BH/MW 16, and BH/MW 25 on October 7 and 12, 2021. The tests were completed to estimate the saturated hydraulic conductivity (K) of the soils at the well screen depths. Please note that SWRT was not possible to conduct for BH/MW 30 since the well was dry during the monitoring period.

The static water level within each monitoring well was measured prior to the start of testing. In advance of performing SWRTs, each monitoring well underwent development to remove fines introduced into the screens following construction. The development process involved purging of the monitoring wells to induce the flow of fresh formation water through the screen. Each monitoring well was permitted to fully recover prior to performing SWRTs.

Hydraulic conductivity values were calculated from the SWRT and constant rate test data as per Hvorslev's solution included in the Aqtesolv Pro. V.4.5 software package. The semi-log plots for normalized drawdown versus time are included in Appendix C.

A summary of the hydraulic conductivities (K-values) estimated from the SWRTs are provided in Table 3-2.

Monitoring Well	Well Depth	Screen Inter	rval (mbgs)	Soil Formation Screened	Estimated Hydraulic	
	(mbgs)	from	to	·	Conductivity (m/s)	
BH/MW 1	7.60	4.60	7.60	Clayey Silt Till and Sandy Silt Till	3.1E-06	
BH/MW 9	7.57	4.57	7.57	Clayey Silt Till and Sandy Silt Till	4.0E-07	
BH/MW 16	7.49	4.49	7.49	Clayey Silt Till	3.3E-07	
BH/MW 25	7.55	4.55	7.55	Clayey Silt Till	3.9E-07	
				Highest Estimated K Value	3.1E-06	
Geometric Mean of Estimated K values					6.3E-07	

#### Table 3-2: Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity Testing

SWRTs provide K-estimates of the geological formation surrounding the well screens and may not be representative of bulk formation hydraulic conductivity. As shown in Table 3-2, the highest K-value of the tested water-bearing zone is 3.1E-06 m/s, and the geometric mean of the K-values is 6.3E-07 m/s.

### 3.4 Groundwater Quality

To assess the suitability for discharging pumped groundwater into the sewers owned by the Regional Municipality of Peel / City of Mississauga during dewatering activities, one (1) groundwater sample was collected from monitoring well BH/MW 1 on October 12, 2021, using a peristaltic pump.



EXP Services Inc. 14 12304 Heart Lake Road, Caledon, Ontario Updated Hydrogeological Investigation and Water Balance Assessment Report BRM-21004344-D0 March 16, 2022

Prior to collecting the noted water sample, approximately three (3) standing well volumes of groundwater were purged from the referred well. The samples were collected unfiltered and placed into pre-cleaned laboratory-supplied vials and/or bottles provided with analytical test group specific preservatives, as required. Dedicated nitrile gloves were used during sample handling. The groundwater samples were submitted for analysis to Bureau Veritas Laboratory, a CALA certified independent laboratory in Mississauga, Ontario. Analytical results are provided in Appendix D.

Table 3-3 summarizes exceedance(s) of the Sanitary (Table 1) and Storm (Table 2) Sewer Use By-Law parameters.

When comparing the chemistry of the collected groundwater samples to the Regional Municipality of Peel Sanitary and Combined Sewer Discharge Criteria (By-Law Number 53-2010, Table 1), there were no parameter exceedances to be reported.

When comparing the chemistry of the collected groundwater samples to the Regional Municipality of Peel Storm Sewer Discharge Criteria (By-Law Number 53-2010, Table 2) the following parameters reported an exceedance: Total manganese and Chloroform

**Table 3-3: Summary of Analytical Results** 

Parameter	Units	City of Mississauga / Regional Municipality of Peel Sanitary and Combined Sewer Discharge Limit (Table 1)	City of Mississauga / Regional Municipality of Peel Storm Sewer Discharge Limit (Table 2)	Concentration BH/MW 1 12-Oct-21
Total Manganese (Mn)	μg/L	5,000	50	<mark>78</mark>
Chloroform	μg/L	40	2	2.8

Reporting detection limits (RDLs) were below the Sewer Use By-Law parameter criteria of Tables 1 and 2.

### Bold – Exceeds City of Mississauga / Regional Municipality of Peel Storm Sewer Discharge Limit (Table 2).

For the short-term dewatering system (construction phase), it is anticipated that TSS levels and some other parameters (for example, Total Metals) in the pumped groundwater may become elevated and exceed both, Sanitary and Storm Sewer Use By-Law limits. To control the concentration of TSS and associated metals, it is recommended that a suitable treatment method be implemented (filtration or decantation facilities and/ or any other applicable treatment system) during construction dewatering activities to discharge to the applicable sewer system. The specifications of the treatment system will need to be adjusted to the reported water quality results by the treatment contractor/process engineer.

The water quality results presented in this report may not be representative of the long-term condition of groundwater quality onsite. As such, regular water quality monitoring is recommended for the post-construction phase, as required by the City.

An agreement to discharge into the sewers owned by the City of Mississauga / Regional Municipality of Peel will be required prior to releasing dewatering effluent.

The Environmental Site Assessment Report(s) shall be reviewed for more information on the groundwater quality conditions at the Site.



### 3.5 Infiltration Testing

EXP completed four (4) infiltration rate tests (INF 1, INF 9, INF 25 and INF 30) within the Site area on October 7 and 12, 2021. These tests were conducted in proximity of selected monitoring wells: September 7, 2021, at BH/MW 1 (INF 1), BH/MW 9 (INF 9), BH/MW 30 (INF 30) and BH/MW 25 (INF 25).

Infiltration tests were conducted at depths ranged from 0.6 mbgs to 0.9 mbgs. The reported water levels at these monitoring wells are; 4.24 mbgs (BH/MW 1), 5.92 mbgs (BH/MW 9), and <7.58 (BH/MW 30) on October 7, 2021, and 6.66 mbgs (BH/MW 25) on October 12 15, 2021 (Table 3.2).

The stratigraphy of the shallow subsurface comprises a silt/sand with some pebbles. Table 3.5 below shows a summary of field saturated hydraulic conductivity (Kfs) testing and design infiltration rates, as per the Low Impact Development (LID) Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide, CVC – TRCA, 2010, Appendix G. The estimated field saturated hydraulic conductivities were correlated to infiltration rates based on the relationship provided in Appendix D of the guideline.

Infiltration rate testing locations are shown on Figure 4 and infiltration rate analysis is provided in Appendix E.

Infiltration Test Location/ MW ID	Depth of Hole (mbgs)	Formation tested	Field Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity, Kfs (cm/s)	(Infiltration Rate) (mm/hr)
INF 1 (BH/MW 1)	0.60	Clayey Silt Till	3.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	19
INF 9 (BH/MW 9)	0.75	Clayey Silt Till	3.5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	19
INF 25 (BH/MW 25)	0.90	Clayey Silt Till	2.7 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	18
INF 30 (BH/MW 30)	0.70	Clayey Silt to Sandy Silt (Fill)	9.0 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	24
		Geometric Mean	4.12 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	20
			Design Infiltration Rate*	8 (20/2.5)

#### **Table 3.4: Summary of Infiltration Testing Results**

#### Notes:

\*Safety Factor of 2.5 was applied to calculate the design infiltration rate (Low Impact Development (LID) Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide, CVC – TRCA, 2010, Appendix D).

The estimated design infiltration rate based on percolation rate testing for the Site is 8 mm/hr.



A 15 mm precipitation event was utilized for estimating the stormwater volume. The calculation of the stormwater volume is included in Appendix G.

The estimate of the stormwater volume only accounts for direct precipitation into the excavation. The dimensions of the excavation are considered in the dewatering calculations. Runoff from outside of the excavation's footprint is excluded and it should be directed away from the excavation.

During precipitation events greater than 15 mm (ex: 100-year storm), measures should be taken by the contractor to retain stormwater onsite in a safe manner to not exceed the allowable water taking and discharge limits, as necessary. A two (2) and a one hundred (100) year storm events over a 24-hour period are 57.3 and 125.2 mm, respectively, which would produce 2,419 and 5,286 L of water within Building 1 footprint area.

### 5.4 Results of Dewatering Rate Estimates

#### 5.4.1 Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate

For this assessment, it was assumed that the proposed construction plans include an excavation without shoring system. EXP should be retained to review the assumptions outlined in this section, should a shoring system be included.

Short-term (construction) dewatering calculations are presented in Appendix G.

Based on the assumptions provided in this report, the results of the dewatering rate estimate can be summarized as follows:

Description	Building 1 (Phase 1) (L/day)	Building 2 (Phase 2) (L/day)	Total <mark>(L/day)</mark>
Estimated Short Term Dewatering Rate (without safety factor or precipitation)	39,000	22,000	61,000
With Factor of Safety of 1.5 (excluding precipitation) for permit	58,000	33,000	91,000
From Precipitation Event of 15 mm in one day for whole building footprint	630,000	450,000	1,080,000
(With Factor of Safety of 2.0 (including precipitation) for designs, and budgeting	688,000	<mark>483,000</mark>	1,171,000
Radius of Influence from sides of excavation (m)	24	25	

#### Table 4-2 Summary of Construction Dewatering Rate (Without Basement)

It should be noted that the construction dewatering is required mainly to remove rainwater from the excavation after rainfall events. The MECP regulates the groundwater taking and since the estimated flow rate is less than 50,000 L/day then no MECP water taking permit (EASR or PTTW) is required.

The peak dewatering flow rates does not account for flow from utility beddings and variations in hydrogeological properties beyond those encountered during this investigation. Local dewatering may be required for pits (elevator pits, sump pits, raft) and for localized areas with permeable, soft, or wet soil conditions. Local dewatering is not considered to be part of this assessment, but contractor should be ready to install additional system to manage such conditions. Dewatering estimates should be reviewed once the pit dimensions are available.



All grading around the perimeter of the excavation should be graded away from the excavation and ramp/site access to redirect runoff away from excavation.

The contractor is responsible for the design of the dewatering system to ensure that dry conditions are always maintained within the excavation at all costs.

As shown on Table 4.2, more than 90% of the dewatering volume is expected to be required after rainfall events. As such it is suggested to revise the construction plan to reduce the area of excavation kept open at any given point of time.

### 5.4.2 Post-Construction Dewatering Rate Estimate

As per preliminary Site drawings, it is our present understanding that the proposed Buildings 1 and 2 will be constructed without basements. Therefore, no long-term dewatering requirements are anticipated for the proposed Building 1.

#### 5.5 MECP Water Taking Permits

#### 5.5.1 Short-Term Discharge Rate (Construction Phase)

In accordance with the Ontario Water Resources Act, if the water taking for the construction dewatering is more than 50,000 L/day but less than 400,000 L/day, then an online registration in the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) with the MECP will be required. If groundwater dewatering rates onsite exceed 400,000 L/day, a Category 3 Permit to Take Water (PTTW) will be required from the MECP.

As of July 1, 2021, an amendment of O. Reg. 63/16 has come into effect and replaced the former subsection 7 (5) such that the water taking limit of 400,000 L/day would apply to groundwater takings of each dewatered work area only, excluding stormwater.

The dewatering estimates including a safety factor and excluding precipitation is stated below. The MECP construction dewatering rate excludes the precipitation amount and is the rate used for the permit application.

#### Table 4-4: MECP Construction Dewatering Flow Rate

Scenario	Flow Rate Building 1 (Phase 1) (L/day)	Flow Rate Building 2 (Phase 2) (L/day)	Total Flow Rate Buildings 1 & 2 (L/day)
MECP Construction Dewatering Flow Rate with Safety Factor of 1.5 (excluding rainwater collection)	58,000	33,000	91,000

Based on the estimated construction dewatering rates, an EASR from the MECP will be required to facilitate the construction dewatering program of the Site.

A Discharge Plan (dewatering sketch, sewer discharge agreement) must be developed and applied for any discharges from the Site. Monitoring of both water quantity and water quality must be carried out for the entire duration of the construction dewatering phase. During this phase, the Discharge Plan and the daily water taking records must be available onsite.

The EASR, Discharge Plan, hydrogeological investigation report, and geotechnical assessment of settlements must also be available at the construction Site during the entire construction dewatering. EXP should be notified immediately about any changes to the construction dewatering schedule or design, since the EASR will need to be updated to reflect these



# Appendix C – Sanitary Analysis

Excerpt Mayfield West Functional Servicing Study Speirs Giffen Avenue Ultimate Sanitary Area Drainage Plan Livingston Estates Sanitary Drainage Area Plan Sanitary Demand Calculations

# FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY

FOR

# **MAYFIELD WEST COMMUNITY**

IN THE

# **TOWN OF CALEDON**

**NOVEMBER 2007** 

<u>Section</u>	ion	<u>Page No.</u>
1.0		1
2.0	PLANNING CONTEXT	2
3.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	
	<ul> <li>3.2 Existing Wastewater Services</li></ul>	3 3 4 5
4.0	WATER SERVICING	6
	<ul> <li>4.2 Existing Watermains</li></ul>	6 7 s7
5.0	STORM DRAINAGE	8
	<ul><li>5.1 Background Information</li><li>5.2 Existing Features and Drainage Patterns</li></ul>	
6.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	9
	<ul> <li>6.1 Etobicoke Creek Watershed Stormwater Management Re</li> <li>6.2 West Humber River Watershed Stormwater Management</li> <li>6.3 Selection of Stormwater Management Controls</li> <li>6.4 Preliminary Pond locations</li> </ul>	equirements 9 Requirements . 9 10 14
7.0	POND OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS	15
8.0	POND COMPONENTS	
	<ul> <li>8.1 Sediment Forebay</li> <li>8.2 Permanent Pool</li> <li>8.3 Extended Detention Storage</li> <li>8.4 Extended Detention Outlet</li> <li>8.5 Access Road</li> <li>8.6 Emergency Overflows</li> <li>8.7 Thermal Mitigation</li> </ul>	
9.0	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	20
10.0	STORM DRAINAGE	21

# TABLE OF CONTENTS
	10.1 10.2	Conveyance of Minor System Flows Conceptual Storm Trunk Sewers	. 21 . 21
	10.3	Conveyance of Major Storm Flows	. 21
11.0	CREE	K AND UTILITY CROSSINGS	. 22
12.0	REGI	ONAL STORM CONVEYANCE AND FLOODPLAIN STORAGE	. 22
13.0	CONS	STRUCTION PRACTICES	. 23
14.0	PREL	IMINARY GRADING AND SERVICING	. 23
15.0	ROAD	O ALLOWANCE DESIGN	. 23
16.0	COST	SHARING	. 24
	16.1	Region of Peel Development Charge Infrastructure	. 24
	16.2	Town of Caledon Development Charge Infrastructure	. 24
	16.3	Developers Group Cost Sharing Agreement	. 24
17.0	RESP	ONSE TO COMMENTS FROM AGENCIES	. 25

#### 3.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

#### 3.1 Background Information

Town of Caledon commissioned various studies in order to define the servicing requirements for Mayfield West. The following studies were used to define the preliminary wastewater servicing requirements:

- Mayfield West Community Development Plan Study, Existing Water Supply and Sanitary Sewage System, CG&S, November 1996 (Background Studies)
- Mayfield West Community Development Plan Study, Water Supply and Sanitary Sewage System Function Servicing, CG&S, February 1997 (Background Studies)
- Region of Peel Sewer and Watermain Maps
- Region of Peel Design Criteria, October 2000

The Background Studies commissioned by Town of Caledon for water and wastewater are included in *Appendix A.* 

The above documents form the basis of this report.

#### 3.2 Existing Wastewater Services

An existing 525 mm diameter sanitary sewer is located at the intersection of Mayfield Road and Inder Heights Drive. The Background Studies determined that the sewer is able to accommodate sanitary flows from 10,000 people.

An existing 750 mm diameter sanitary sewer is located on Dixie Road, terminating approximately 1,800 metres south of Mayfield Road. Discussions with Region of Peel have confirmed that the capacity of the existing sewer is not a constraint to the development of this community.

The location of the existing sanitary sewers is illustrated in *Figure 3*.

#### 3.3 **Population Assumptions**

#### Residential

In accordance with population projections provided by Town of Caledon, the following residential population is currently being considered:

Mayfield West> 8,500 peopleSnells Hollow> 2,000 people

### Employment

As of this writing, employment populations have not been finalized. As such, an assumption of 70 people per hectare has been applied in accordance with Region of Peel Design Criteria.

#### 3.4 Proposed Sanitary Servicing

Region of Peel has included an allowance for the ultimate development of Mayfield West in the planning of the existing infrastructure. Accordingly, existing sewer pipes have been sized to convey the predicted Mayfield West flows. Furthermore, major infrastructure such as treatment plants and pump stations has sufficient existing and planned capacity to accommodate the development of the new community.

The Mayfield West community will be serviced by a network of new gravity sewers designed in accordance with Region of Peel design criteria. Two sanitary outlets will be used to service the Mayfield West Community, as follows:

#### Inder Heights Drive

An existing 525 mm diameter sanitary sewer is located at the intersection of Mayfield Road and Inder Heights Drive. The Background Studies determined that the sewer is able to accommodate sanitary flows from 10,000 people.

It is proposed that the entire residential population in Mayfield West will be directed to the Inder Heights sewer. The total population contribution to Inder Heights is calculated as follows:

Community	Population
Snells Hollow	1,400
Mayfield Residential	8,500
Mayfield Employment	600
Total	10,500

# Table 1Population Assumptions

It should be noted that the total Snells Hollow population of 2,000 people has been split between the Inder Heights and Dixie sewers in accordance with the Background Studies. The split has been estimated as 1,400 / 600 (Inder Heights / Dixie).

It should also be noted that since the time of the Background Studies per capita flow generation rates have been reduced, due in large part to recent Building Code amendments which require water conservation fixtures in all new residential construction. As such, it is expected that the additional population can be

accommodated in the Inder Heights sewer. It is recommended that sanitary flows be monitored during buildout of the community to ensure that the capacity of the receiving sewers in not exceeded.

Consideration should also be included for the potential future extension of the Mayfield West urban boundary up to Old School Road. Assuming the same residential land use and population density as the existing community, there is the potential for approximately 25.8 hectares of residential development which will drain to the Inder Heights sewer. This corresponds to 258 units and an additional population of 902. As stated previously, flow monitoring is recommended to ensure that the capacity of the receiving sewers in not exceeded.

#### Dixie Road

An existing 750 mm diameter sanitary sewer is located on Dixie Road, terminating approximately 1,800 metres south of Mayfield Road. Discussions with Region of Peel have confirmed that the capacity of the existing sewer is not a constraint to the development of this community.

The employment lands and the research campus will be directed to the Dixie Road sewer.

Consideration should also be included for the potential future extension of the Mayfield West urban boundary up to Old School Road. Assuming the same residential and employment land use and population density as the existing community, there is the potential for approximately 18.0 hectares of residential development and 90.6 hectares of employment development which will drain to the Dixie sewer. For the residential component, this corresponds to 180 residential units and an additional residential population of 630. For the employment component, this corresponds to an additional residential population of 4,530.

The conceptual sanitary sewer plan is illustrated in *Figure 3*. The conceptual sanitary profiles are illustrated in *Appendix B*.

#### 3.5 Permanent Sanitary Pump Station

A permanent pump station is required in order to convey sanitary flows from approximately 65.7 hectares originating in the north-west portion of the residential lands (between Kennedy Road and Hwy 10). A gravity sewer cannot provide service to this area for the following reasons:

- The elevation of Etobicoke Creek precludes a gravity crossing on Hwy 10 to the west, as the valley is several metres lower than the lowest possible sewer invert.
- The low grades in this area cannot be serviced to the new internal trunk sewer to the east.

The peak sanitary flow generated from this area is estimated as 43 l/s.

The pump station will discharge by forcemain to the new internal trunk sewers located to the east.

As mentioned previously, the sewer design has regard for the possible expansion of Mayfield West up to Old School Road. If the Inder Heights sewer is found to be constrained by the expansion population, it is recommended that the pump station flows be redirected to Hwy 10 via a new forcemain. The flows will be pumped under Etobicoke Creek and drained by a new gravity sewer ultimately connecting to the Valleywood Subdivision. The redirection of flows would be a requirement of the future expansion area, and would only be triggered by a limitation in downstream capacity as identified through flow monitoring.

#### 4.0 WATER SERVICING

#### 4.1 Background Information

Town of Caledon commissioned various studies in order to define the servicing requirements for Mayfield West. The following studies were used to define the preliminary water servicing requirements:

- Mayfield West Community Development Plan Study, Existing Water Supply and Sanitary Sewage System, CG&S, November 1996 (Background Studies)
- Mayfield West Community Development Plan Study, Water Supply and Sanitary Sewage System Function Servicing, CG&S, February 1997 (Background Studies)
- The Region of Peel, Development Charges, March 27, 2007 (Background Studies)
- Region of Peel Sewer and Watermain Maps
- Region of Peel Design Criteria

The above documents form the basis of this report.

#### 4.2 Existing Watermains

Existing watermains are currently available in the vicinity of Mayfield West as shown in *Figure 4.* 

The Region of Peel will be constructing the Mayfield West elevated tank at the corner of Kennedy Road and King Street, located to the north of the study area. The project will also include the construction of a new 600 mm transmission main and a new 400 mm distribution main on Kennedy Road.



AUGUST 2006 SCALE: 1:15000 PROJECT No .: 3





12304 Heart Lake	12304 Heart Lake Road - PH2 Peel Region Design Criteria for Sanitary Sewers											9	Sanitary	Sewer D	Design Sheet						
Industrial Development		-	De Popula Minir Maxir	omestic Flow = ation Density = Infiltration= Mannings= num Velocity = num Velocity =	302.8 70 0.20 0.013 0.75 3.50	L/cap/day pp/ha L/s/ha m/s m/s	Industrial     Industrial     Industrial     Industrial     Industrial     Industrial     For tight industrial areas, use an equivalent population of 70 persons per     hectare. Refer to Standard Drawing 3-5-2 -24-2 for sanitary sewage flows,     induvidual studies are to be made for special industries and major industrial     areas.						Pi	Project Name: roject Number: Date: Designed By:	12304 Heart I 135636 29-Mar-22 Nicolas Di Ste	Lake Road - PH efano, P.Eng.	2				
	From	То	Area (ha)	Density (pp/ha)	Population	Cumulative Area (ha)	DESIGN F Cumulative Population	FLOW CALCU Peaking Factor	JLATIONS Sewage Flow (L/s) (1)	Infiltration Flow (L/s) (2)	Comm. Flow (L/s) (3)	Ground Water (L/s) (4)	Total Flow, Qd (L/s) (1) thru (4)	Nominal Diameter (mm)	Pipe Slope (%)	SEWE Pipe Length (m)	Full Flow Capacity, Qf (L/s)	Full Flow Velocity (m/s)	Actual Velocity (m/s)	Percent of Full Flow (%)	Notes
Phase 2	Serv	/ices																			
Building 2	CTRL. MH3A	MH28A	6.53	70	457	6.5	457	3.99	13.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	300	1.0%	15.3	100.9	1.38	0.98	14%	San. Service

### Appendix D – Water Analysis

Excerpt Mayfield West Functional Servicing Study Heart Lake Road - Capital Works Projects (Region of Peel) Watermain Plan and Profile Drawings (Region of Peel) Water Demand Calculations

# FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY

FOR

## **MAYFIELD WEST COMMUNITY**

IN THE

## **TOWN OF CALEDON**

**NOVEMBER 2007** 

The peak sanitary flow generated from this area is estimated as 43 l/s.

The pump station will discharge by forcemain to the new internal trunk sewers located to the east.

As mentioned previously, the sewer design has regard for the possible expansion of Mayfield West up to Old School Road. If the Inder Heights sewer is found to be constrained by the expansion population, it is recommended that the pump station flows be redirected to Hwy 10 via a new forcemain. The flows will be pumped under Etobicoke Creek and drained by a new gravity sewer ultimately connecting to the Valleywood Subdivision. The redirection of flows would be a requirement of the future expansion area, and would only be triggered by a limitation in downstream capacity as identified through flow monitoring.

#### 4.0 WATER SERVICING

#### 4.1 Background Information

Town of Caledon commissioned various studies in order to define the servicing requirements for Mayfield West. The following studies were used to define the preliminary water servicing requirements:

- Mayfield West Community Development Plan Study, Existing Water Supply and Sanitary Sewage System, CG&S, November 1996 (Background Studies)
- Mayfield West Community Development Plan Study, Water Supply and Sanitary Sewage System Function Servicing, CG&S, February 1997 (Background Studies)
- The Region of Peel, Development Charges, March 27, 2007 (Background Studies)
- Region of Peel Sewer and Watermain Maps
- Region of Peel Design Criteria

The above documents form the basis of this report.

#### 4.2 Existing Watermains

Existing watermains are currently available in the vicinity of Mayfield West as shown in *Figure 4.* 

The Region of Peel will be constructing the Mayfield West elevated tank at the corner of Kennedy Road and King Street, located to the north of the study area. The project will also include the construction of a new 600 mm transmission main and a new 400 mm distribution main on Kennedy Road.

Construction of the elevated tank and Zone 7 watermains is scheduled for fall of 2007.

#### 4.3 **Proposed Water Servicing**

The Mayfield West community will be serviced by a network of new watermains designed in accordance with Town of Caledon design criteria and M.O.E. guidelines.

The conceptual watermain requirements were defined through the Background Studies.

The primary water distribution network will be comprised primarily of 300 mm mains, with the exception of the following 400 mm watermains:

- East / west industrial collector from the north / south industrial collector to Dixie Road.
- > North / south industrial collector
- > Dixie Road from Mayfield Road to East / west industrial collector
- > East/ west residential collector from Main Street (Hwy 10) to Kennedy Road

The water distribution requirements were verified through watermain modeling for Mayfield West based on the proposed Secondary Plan. The watermain analysis conducted by MacViro Consultants in included in *Appendix C*.

The conceptual watermain plan is illustrated in *Figure 4*.

Final watermain sizing will be completed at the detailed design stage based on the actual development characteristics. Furthermore, the water distribution should be looped in order to provide system security.

#### 4.4 Mayfield West Elevated Tank and Associated Watermains

It is noted that the proposed Secondary Plan requires the realignment of Kennedy Road from it current location to a new corridor located to the east. Based on the Region's construction schedule of the Kennedy Road watermains for fall of 2007, the watermains may be placed in their final location along the Mayfield West community's realignment of Kennedy Road.

In the event that the Region's elevated tank and watermain proceed in advance of the community approvals and construction, portions of the new Kennedy Road watermains will have to be relocated to conform with the new road alignment. Satisfactory construction and financial arrangement would be made between the owners' and Region of Peel for the relocation of the watermains. Furthermore, there would be limited development in the area prior to triggering the need to relocate the Kennedy Road watermains. As such, opportunities to reduce the size of the watermains during

the initial construction should be explored such that the throw-away costs are minimized. Further analysis and discussions with the Region are required to implement an interim design solution.

#### 5.0 STORM DRAINAGE

#### 5.1 Background Information

The following studies were used to define the preliminary drainage and stormwater management requirements:

- "DRAFT" Etobicoke Creek Headwaters Subwatershed Background Report, Surface Water Quality, December 2004, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
- A Report Card on the Health of the Humber River Watershed, July 2000, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
- 2003 Humber Watershed Progress Report, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
- Greening our Watersheds: Revitalization Strategies for Etobicoke and Mimico Creeks, May 2002, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
- Etobicoke Creek Flood Control Study, Watershed Management Strategy, Final Report, May 1995, Revised September 1996, Fred Schaeffer & Associates Ltd. (*Etobicoke Creek Study*)
- West Humber River Subwatershed Study, February 1996, Aquafor Beech Limited (West Humber Study)
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, March 2003, Ministry of Environment (SWMP Design Manual)
- Town of Caledon Development Standards, Policies and Guidelines, Ver. 3, January 2005

The above documents form the basis of this report.

#### 5.2 Existing Features and Drainage Patterns

The Mayfield West community is largely defined by the major drainage features which frame the community. To the south west, the main branch of Etobicoke Creek forms a well defined development limit. An unnamed tributary of Etobicoke Creek creates the north-west limit of the community. The H3 tributary of the West Humber River creates



AUGUST 2006 SCALE: 1:15000 PROJECT No.: 4





PUBLIC WORKS 10 PEEL CENTRE DRIVE - SUITE B, 4th FLOOR, BRAMPTON ON L6T 4B9 (905) 791-7800



30	274.61	274.59	274.53	274.45	274.40	274.32	274.15	2
00	8+220	8+240	8+260	8+280	8+300	8+320	8+340	8



### HYDRANT FLOW TESTING

NOTE:Hydrants tested according to NFPA 291: Recommended Practice for Fire Flow Testing and Marking of Hydrants

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **General Information**

Г

Date of Testing Project Number: Site Location / Address: Region / Municipality Hydrants Opened By: Tested by: 18-Nov-21 135636 12304 Heart Lake Peel Region Peel Region Daniel S Val V

### HYDRANT TEST INFORMATION

Hydrant Test Location - Residual Hydrant=R, Flow Hydrant=F (North at Top)



st Data	
Time of Test	8:14 AM
Pipe Size (mm)	300
Flow Hydrant Test Location (description)	101 Abbotside Way and Learmont Ave
Residual Hydrant Test Location (description)	First hydrant east of 101 Abbotside Way and Learmon Ave
Static Pressure(PSIG)	81

Q1 Test Data (1 Orifice)				
# OUTLETS	ORIFICE SIZE(IN)	PITOT PRESSURE(PSIG)	FLOW(USGPM)	RESIDUAL PRESSURE(PSIG)
1	2.5	45	1126	74
QT Test Data (2 Ori	ifices)			
# OUTLETS	ORIFICE SIZE(IN)	PITOT PRESSURE(PSIG)	FLOW(USGPM)	RESIDUAL PRESSURE(PSIG)
2	2.5	30	1838	73
Calculations				
FORMULA: Q= 29	.83 cd^2√p	Where: c- cc	pefficient of discharge d- pipe dia p- pitot rea	(1 in smooth pipe) meter (inches) ding (psig)
Q1 - 1 Orifice(s)		Q1= (29	.83)(0.9)(2.5)^2 √45=11	26
QT - 2 Orifice(s)		QT= 2(2	29.83)(0.9)(2.5)^2 √30=1	838
Static Pressure(P	SIG)	81		
Test Results - Plot				
145 140 130 125 120 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 90 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	0			

1750 1800

FLOW U.S. G.P.M.

2000 2050

1900 1950 1850



E 1

2150 2200

2100

#### 12304 Heart Lake Road - PH2

Industrial Development



#### DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

Project Name: 12304 Heart Lake Road - PH2 Project Number: 135636 Date: 18-Mar-22 Designed By: Nicolas Di Stefano, P.Eng.

1. ADD = 300 L/cap/day per Region of Peel standards		Peaking Factors	
2. Population Densities per Region of Peel standards	Land Use	Peak Hour	Maximum Day
3. Peaking factors per Region of Peel standards	ICI	3.00	1.40

					(ADDxP.F.)	(ADDxP.F.)
	Units / Area	Density	Population	ADD (L/s)	PHD (L/s)	MDD (L/s)
Building 2	6.53 ha	70 pp/ha	458	1.6	4.8	2.2
		Totals	458	1.6	4.8	2.2

12304 Heart Lake Road - PH2 Industrial Development	FIRE FLOW DEMAND CALCULATIONS
IBI	Project Name: 12304 Heart Lake Road - PH2 Project Number: 135636 Date: 18-Mar-22 Designed By: Nicolas Di Stefano, P.Eng.
Based on ta Step 1: Calculate Fire Flow (based on area)	he Water Supply for Public Fire Protecetion Manual, 1999 by the Fire Underwriters Survey
Construction Coefficient = 0.6 Largest Floor Area = 29,830 m2 Floor Above = 0 m2 Floor Below = 0 m2 Area = 29,830 m2 L/min * If vertical openings are inadequately protected, consider two largest two largest adjoining * If vertical openings are adequately protected (one hour rating), consider largest floor area	$F = required fire flow (L/min) F = 220C\sqrt{A}$ $C = coefficient related to type of construction 0.6 for fire resistive (fully protected, 3-hr ratings) 0.8 for non combustable (i.e. unprotected metal buildings) 1.0 for ordinary construction 1.5 for wood frame construction A = total floor area excluding basements 50% below grade g floors plus 50% of each of any floors above up to eight floors. a + 25% of two immediately floors.$
Step 2: Adjustment for Building Occupancy (shall not be less than 2000 L/s)	
Occupancy Adjustment = $-0.15$ F <sub>1</sub> = Fire Flow x Adjustment = <b>19,550</b> L/min	Non-Combust25%Free Burning15%Limited Comb15%Rapid Burning25%CombustableNo change
Step 3: Adjust F1 for Fire Supression System	
Sprinkler Adjustment = $\frac{30\%}{F_2 = F_1 \times Adjustment} = \frac{5,865}{L/min}$	Automatic Sprinklers (monitored)-50%Adequatly Designed System-30%
Step 4: Adjust F1 for Exposure / Proximity (shall not exceed 75%)	
Proximity Adjustment = $\frac{15\%}{F_3}$ (max 75%) $F_3 = F_1 x Factor = 2,933$ L/min	Separation         Adjustment         Separation         Adjustment           0m to 3m         25%         20.1m to 30m         10%           3.1m to 10m         20%         30.1m to 45m         5%           10.1m to 20m         15%         5%         5%
Step 5: Calculate Adjusted Fire Flow (shall not be less than 2000 L/min or gre	ater than 45,000 L/min)
$F_1 = 19,550$ L/min - $F_2 = 5,865$ L/min + $F3 = 2,933$ L/min Fire Flow = 17.000 L/min	Fire Flow = $F_1 - F_2 + F_3$
Fire Flow = 283.3 L/s Total Demand (Fire Flow + MDD) = 285.6 L/s	Fire Flow greater than 2000 L/min Fire Flow less than 45,000 L/min

#### 12304 Heart Lake Road - PH2

Industrial Development



#### **HEAD LOSS CALCULATIONS**

Project Name: 12304 Heart Lake Road - PH2

Project Number: 135636 Date: 18-Mar-22

Designed By: Nicolas Di Stefano, P.Eng.

#### Hydrant Flow Test - Abbotside Way

Flow (gpm)	Flow (L/s)	Flow (L/min)	Pressure (psi)	Pressure (kPa)
0	0.0	0	81	558
1,126	71.0	4,262	74	510
1,838	116.0	6,958	73	503

#### Residual Pressure at Main

Source: Walski, Thomas M. (2007): Advanced Water Distribution Modeling and Management

Q= D= C= hL= h<sub>l</sub> : h<sub>L</sub>= h<sub>L</sub>=

$Q_{\rm R} = Q_{\rm F} \times \frac{hr^{0.5}}{hf^{0.5}}$	4 4		where:	$Q_R$ = flow predicte $Q_F$ = total flow mean $h_r$ = pressure drop $h_f$ = pressure drop	d at desired residu asured during test to desired residua to measured durin	al pressure I pressure ig test
	Flow	Flow	Flow	Residual Pre	ssure @ Main	7
	(gpm)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(psi)	(kPa)	
Domestic (PHD)	76	4.8	286	81	558	Ī
Fire Flow (Fire+MDD)	4,527	285.6	17,134	39	266	Projecting Curve to Fire Flow
To 20 psi	5,505	347.3	20,839	20	138	Projecting Curve to 20 psi
	(1 gal = 3.785 L)			(Goal Seek)		
Residual Pressure at Building	I					
$h_{\rm L} = \frac{10.675 * L * Q^1}{C^{1.85} * D^{4.865}}$		where:	$h_L$ = Pressure Dro L = Length of Sen Q = Flow Rate (m D = Pipe Diamete C = Roughness C	op (m) vice (m) <sup>3</sup> /s) r (m) coefficient		
	PHD Conditions			Fire	e + MDD Condit	ions
					<b>-</b>	

Domestic	
40.0	m
0.005	m³/s
150	mm
100	
0.0	m
1.7	in
0.1	psi
0.4	kPa

Fire	+ MDD Condi	tions
	Fire Service	
L=	55.0	m

L=	55.0	m
Q=	0.286	m³/s
D=	300	mm
C=	120	
h <sub>L</sub> =	2.9	m
h <sub>L</sub> = h <sub>L</sub> =	2.9 113.4	m in
h_= h_= h_=	2.9 113.4 4.1	m in psi

	Flow	Flow	Flow	Residual Pressure @ Bldg.	
	(gpm)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(psi)	(kPa)
Domestic	76	4.8	286	81	558
Fire	4,527	285.6	17,134	34	238

Residual Pressure (DOMESTIC) at building is greater than 40 psi (275 kPa). Residual Pressure (FIRE) at building is greater than 20 psi (140 kPa).