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## Executive Summary

## Content

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited (Paradigm) has been retained by Carringwood Homes to prepare this Transportation Impact Study (TIS), and Access and Circulation Review for a proposed residential development at 10249 Hunsden Sideroad in the Town of Caledon.

The TIS provides an assessment of the existing transportation network and analyzes existing and future traffic conditions (with and without the proposed development). It also includes an Access and Circulation Review (ACR) to assess planned site access and circulation conditions.

## Development Concept

The subject site is located at 10249 Hunsden Sideroad in the Town of Caledon. The site is currently an agricultural lot with a small amount of low-density residential housing. The surrounding area is also predominantly agricultural, along with some low-density residential housing. Highway 9 is located north of the subject site and provides access to nearby areas. There is a large retail centre in Bolton approximately 18 minutes driving distance from the subject site.

The property owner is planning to redevelop a part of the existing lot into 13 new estate residential lots. Vehicle access is planned via the public road network (Street ' $A$ ' and Street ' $B$ '). Street ' $A$ ' is to connect to Hunsden Sideroad, while Steet ' $B$ ' is to connect to Stinson Street to the west of the subject site.

The access via Street ' B ' is planned for a future date and is not considered in this analysis as accurate details to model its impacts are not yet known. The intersection of Hunsden Sideroad and Street ' $A$ ' is planned to operate as a full-moves intersection, with the minor road under stop control. Plans include vehicle parking at each individual lot. The build-out year is estimated to be 2024.

## Conclusions

Based on the investigations carried out, it is concluded that:

- Existing Traffic Conditions: The study intersections operate with acceptable levels of service and within capacity during both the AM and PM peak hours.
- Development Trip Generation: The development is estimated to generate 16 trips in the AM peak hour and 21 trips in the PM peak hour. The addition of new trips from the site will, in part, be applying trips that were generated by the previous residential houses.
- Roadway Improvements: No roadway improvements are identified.
- Background Traffic Conditions: The study area intersections are forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and within capacity under both 2024 and 2029 analysis scenarios.
- Total Traffic Conditions: The redevelopment of the subject site is forecast to have a negligible impact on traffic operations. The study intersections are forecast to operate at very similar levels of service as under background traffic conditions. All traffic movements are forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and within capacity.
- Site Circulation: The site circulation assessment indicates that a TAC Heavy Single Unit truck, Pumper Firetruck and a Town of Caledon Snow Plough can enter, exit, and traverse Street ' $A$ ' without conflict.
- Sight Access Assessment: The site access assessment indicates adequate corner clearance, access spacing and throat length at the intersection of Street ' $A$ ' and Hunsden Sideroad. Clear unimpeded sight distances are available and provided at each approach. The exception includes the departure sight distance for vehicles exiting the site, which can be addressed by installing a Wa-13R intersection sign along with a Wa-18t hidden intersection tab sign on Hunsden Sideroad, 225 metres west of Street ' $A$ '.


## Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that:

- The contents of the report be considered;
- A Wa-13R intersection sign be installed on Hunsden Sideroad, 225 metres west of Street ' $A$ '; and
- The development proceeds without further updates to the studied transportation network at this time.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

Carringwood Homes retained Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited (Paradigm) to prepare this Transportation Impact Study (TIS) and Access and Circulation Review for a proposed residential development in the Town of Caledon.

Paradigm submitted a finalized TIS in December 2022, based on plans for the site at that time. Since the submission, the site plan has been modified. The Draft Plan has been prepared by Mackitecture Inc. and is dated 26 October 2023. The traffic impacts related to the site modifications are observed to be very minor and there are no tangible impacts expected to study results and conclusions. The reduction of units on the site results in slightly less traffic impacts than initially concluded in this study. As such, the traffic analysis for this study has not been updated to account for the modifications. Other aspects, including dates, the site plan Figure 3.1, and the site circulation analysis have been updated to reflect the updated site conditions.

Figure 1.1 illustrates the site location. The subject site is located at 10249 Hunsden Sideroad in the Town of Caledon, with Ms. Suzanne Wilson being the owner of the property. The site is currently an agricultural lot with a small amount of low-density residential housing. The surrounding area is also predominantly agricultural, along with some low-density residential houses. Highway 9 is located north of the subject site and provides access to nearby areas. There is a large retail centre in Bolton at approximately 18 minutes driving distance from the subject site.

### 1.2 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to identify and assess the potential transportation impacts, if any, resulting from the proposed development. This study has been completed in accordance with the 2017 Town of Caledon Transportation Impact Studies Terms of Reference and Guidelines. ${ }^{1}$

The scope of this study, developed in consultation with the Town of Caledon in October 2022, includes the following:

[^0]- A review and description of the existing transportation network, including roads, intersection control and active transportation;
- A review and description of the proposed development;
- Vehicular trip generation estimates for the proposed development based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition), land use code (LUC) 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing); ${ }^{2}$
- An assessment of current traffic and site conditions within the following study area:
- Mount Pleasant Road and Hunsden Sideroad (unsignalized);
- Mount Wolfe Road and Hunsden Sideroad (unsignalized); and
- Hunsden Sideroad and Street 'A' (planned).
- An estimate of background traffic growth for the build-out year of the development, and five years after build-out;
- An analysis of the impacts of future traffic on the surrounding road network;
- Identification of off-site road improvements, if required, to mitigate the site generated trips in a satisfactory manner;
- A review of site access operations, including sight distance, corner clearance and access spacing requirements in accordance with the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (GDGCR) ${ }^{3}$; and
- A review of site circulation to ensure design vehicles can navigate through the site without conflicts.

A pre-study consultation was undertaken with Town of Caledon staff during the month of October 2022. The consultation established the work plan, general assumptions, and requirements for the study.

Appendix A contains the pre-study consultation material and comments provided by the Town staff.

[^1]
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## Subject Site Location

## 2 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Roads

The characteristics of the roadways in the vicinity of the subject site are described in Table 2.1 below.

TABLE 2.1: ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Characteristics | Mount <br> Pleasant Road | Mount Wolfe <br> Road | Hunsden <br> Sideroad |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direction | North-south | North-south | East-west |
| Jurisdiction | Town of <br> Caledon | Town of <br> Caledon | Town of <br> Caledon |
| Road <br> Classification | Collector | Collector | Local |
| Cross-Section | Two-lane rural | Two-lane rural | Two-lane rural |
| Posted Speed <br> Limit | 60 km/h | 60 km/h | 60 km/h |
| Surrounding <br> Land Use | Farmland and <br> low-density <br> residential | Farmland and <br> low-density <br> residential | Farmland and <br> low-density <br> residential |

Figure 2.1 illustrates the existing lane configuration and traffic control at the study area intersections.


* paradign

Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control

### 2.2 Alternate Modes of Transportation

### 2.2.1 Public Transit

There is currently no public transit operating in the study area. It is expected that if the subject area develops with higher density, public transit operators will consider deploying transit routes to serve users in the area.

### 2.2.2 Walking

Pedestrian access and mobility are important to provide safe and effective access to and from the site for non-vehicle users. The 2017 Caledon Transportation Master Plan (TMP) identifies a recreation trail on Hunsden Sideroad between Mount Pleasant Road and Mount Wolfe Road. ${ }^{4}$ In addition to this trail, there is a roadside trail along Mount Wolfe Road.

There are no sidewalks in the study area. Mount Pleasant Road has narrow unpaved shoulders on both sides of the road (approximately 1.2 metres in width), thereby making it less feasible for walking. Mount Wolfe Road has narrow 1.2 metre paved shoulders on both sides of the road. The shoulders can provide some refuge for stopping vehicles but is not an entirely desirable space to walk. There are no shoulders on Hunsden Sideroad.

The site is located at a fair distance from any major employment, retail, cultural and recreational destinations, thus reducing the demand for commuter walking trips. Some recreational and non-commuter pedestrian trips may occur. Most of the prospective residents of the planned development are expected to use a motor vehicle to access their respective destinations.

Figure 2.2 illustrates the existing pedestrian network as depicted in the 2017 Caledon TMP.

[^2]Existing Pedestrian Facilities

### 2.2.3 Cycling

The Town of Caledon promotes healthy living through active transportation. The Town has developed a designated Active Transportation Task Force to create a safer community through the development of infrastructure such as sidewalks, cycling lanes, crosswalks and complete streets. ${ }^{5}$ The Town is also developing a Active Transportation Master Plan to provide a framework for developing and managing a more physically active transportation community in a cost-effective manner. The network is planned to connect, integrate, enhance, and expand on existing facilities. It is expected that the initiatives taken by the Town will provide safe, accessible, and connected active transportation throughout the Town of Caledon.

The provision of cycling infrastructure allows trips to be made via the cycling mode rather than automobile. The 2017 Caledon TMP identifies a roadside trail suitable for biking along Mount Wolfe Road. ${ }^{5}$ Mount Wolfe Road has 1.2 metre narrow paved shoulders on both sides of the road which can be used by cyclists. However, there are no designated cycling lanes in any of the study area roads. Hunsden Sideroad does not have any shoulders which makes it less feasible for cycling. Mount Pleasant Road has a narrow unpaved shoulder, making it less feasible for cycling.

The site is located far from any major employment, retail, cultural and recreational opportunities, thus reducing the demand for commuter cycling trips. Most of the prospective residents of the planned development are expected to drive to their respective destinations.

Figure 2.3 illustrates the existing cycling network as depicted in the 2017 Caledon TMP.

[^3]

## Existing Cycling Facilities

### 2.3 Existing Land Use

The existing property is an agricultural lot with a one residential home, spread across a total area of 20.37 hectares. The existing residential home on the lot is planned to be maintained in its current form. In addition to the existing home, plans include the development of 13 new estate residential lots at the subject site.

Redevelopment of the site would modify the existing land uses and trips to and from the site. To estimate traffic generated by current land uses, the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition) was utilized. ${ }^{6}$

The two residential houses are estimated to generate 2 vehicular trips during the AM peak hour and 3 vehicular trips during the PM peak hour. Table 2.2 summarizes the trip generation estimates for the existing land use based on the ITE data.

## TABLE 2.2: EXISTING SITE TRIP GENERATION - VEHICLE TRIPS

| Land Use | Units/ <br> GFA | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| LUC 210 Single- <br> Family Detached <br> Housing |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |

### 2.4 Traffic Volumes

To assess intersection operations, turning movement counts (TMCs) are used to quantify the movement of vehicles, pedestrians, trucks, buses, and cyclists through an intersection. Existing traffic data at an intersection or on a road section forms the foundation for operational analyses. The counts are usually collected during peak periods to complete level of service (LOS) analysis under its worst-case operating conditions.

Paradigm collected the TMCs at all study area intersections on 01 November 2022. The data was counted in 15 -minute intervals and vehicles were classified by type. The 2022 counts were reviewed and are considered suitable to use as base year volumes for this study.

Appendix B contains the raw TMC data. Figure 2.4 and Figure 2.5 illustrate the base year traffic volumes, with and without the existing

[^4]land use traffic, respectively. Figure 2.6 illustrates the estimated site traffic to and from the existing land uses.
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Existing Site Traffic Volumes

### 2.5 Traffic Operations

Intersection level of service (LOS) is a recognized method of quantifying the average delay experienced by drivers at intersections. It is based on the delay experienced by individual vehicles executing the various movements. The delay is related to the number of vehicles intending to make a particular movement, compared to the estimated capacity for that movement. The capacity is based on several criteria related to opposing traffic flows, intersection geometry, and at signalized intersections, signal timing.

The highest possible rating is LOS A, under which the average total delay is equal or less than 10 seconds per vehicle. When the average delay exceeds 80 seconds for signalized intersections, 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections or when the volume to capacity ratio is greater than 1.00, the movement is classed as LOS F, and remedial measures are usually implemented if they are feasible.

The 2017 Town of Caledon Transportation Impact Studies Terms of Reference and Guidelines identifies critical movements at unsignalized intersections as those that operate with a LOS E or worse. ${ }^{7}$

To assess the existing peak hour automobile conditions, an operational analysis was conducted for the weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the study area intersections using Synchro software, which implements the methods of the Highway Capacity Manual $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The key parameters used in the analysis include:

- Existing lane configurations;
- Calculated intersection peak hour factors (PHF), which facilitates an assessment of the busiest 15-minute period within the peak hour where data was available; and
- Synchro default values for all other inputs.

Table 2.3 summarizes the operational analysis results including the level of service (LOS), average delay in seconds, volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length in metres for the AM and PM peak hours. Any critical movements (if any) are highlighted in yellow in the result table. Appendix C contains the Synchro analysis outputs for reference.

The analysis of existing conditions indicates all intersections and vehicle movements are currently operating at acceptable levels of

[^5]service. The subject site is located in a rural area with stop control intersections. The majority of traffic volume is present on Mount Wolfe Road and direct towards Highway 9. The volume of traffic on Hunsden Sideroad and Mount Pleasant Road was observed to be very low. Hence, there is no major conflict or hindrance to traffic movement at the intersections in the study area.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths were reviewed for all turning and through movements. No spillback issues were found. The Level of Service (LOS) is calculated as LOS A for all the approaches under the existing conditions. The only exception includes the eastbound shared left/right movement operating at a LOS B for Hunsden Sideroad. A maximum delay of 12 seconds was observed for all the approaches.

The analyses indicate that all study area intersections are operating with overall acceptable levels of service and within capacity during the peak hours.

TABLE 2．3：EXISTING TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \\ \hline \frac{0}{\sigma} \\ \frac{0}{\omega} \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{\omega}{\omega} \\ \frac{\sim}{\omega} \\ \frac{\pi}{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Intersection | Control Type | MOE | Direction／Movement／Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{I}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { ob } \\ & \text { 륵 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \frac{\square}{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { む }}{\square}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$ |  | さ灬 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A <br> 7 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A <br> 7 <br> 0.03 <br> 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.06 <br> 0.2 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 12 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | $A$ <br> 0 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 |  | A 0 |  | A <br> 0 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline A \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A |
|  | Driveway \＆Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A 0 0.00 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A <br> 0 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 |  | A 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 8 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ＜ ＜ ＜ ＜ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 7 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | ＜ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.08 <br> 0.2 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.03 <br> 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 10 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | B 10 |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A |
|  | Driveway \＆Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ Q \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A <br> 0 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 8 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A |  |  |  |  |
| MOE－Measure of Effectiveness <br> LOS－Level of Service <br> Delay－Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds <br> V／C－Volume to Capacity Ratio |  |  |  |  | Q－95th Percentile Queue Length（m） TWSC－Two－Way Stop Control ＜／＞－Shared with through movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3 Development Concept

### 3.1 Development Description

The subject site is located at 10249 Hunsden Sideroad in the Town of Caledon. The site is located north of community of Bolton and directly south of Highway 9. The surrounding area is predominantly farmland, along with some low-density residential housing. There is a large retail centre in Bolton approximately 18 minutes driving distance from the subject site.

The existing property is an agricultural lot with a small amount of lowdensity residential housing, spread across a total area of 20.509 hectares. The property owner proposes to develop 13 estate residential lots across 7.707 hectares. There is an existing lot (Wilson Dwelling Parcel) on the northeast corner of the property that will be maintained as is. The remaining area would be used as buffer and open space.

Vehicle access is proposed via an all-moves access at Hunsden Sideroad. A secondary access via Street ' B ' is also planned that would connect to Mount Pleasant Road. As the plans for Street ' $B$ ' and the associated development are unconfirmed at the time of writing this report, access via Street ' $B$ ' is currently not in the scope of the review. The Hunsden Sideroad and Street ' $A$ ' intersection is planned to operate unsignalized with the minor road (Street ' $A$ ') leg operating under stop control. Plans include vehicle parking at each individual lot. The build-out year is estimated to be 2024.

Figure 3.1 illustrates the proposed development concept. The Draft Plan has changed slightly, based on the plan prepared by Mackitecture Inc., dated 26 October 2023. An additional open space of 0.939 hectares has been added in front of Street ' $A$ ', on the east side. There are no tangible impacts expected to the existing study results and conclusions.


## Proposed Site Plan

### 3.2 Site Trip Forecasts

### 3.2.1 Trip Generation

The analysis remains unchanged and is based on the 19 residential lots that were included in the previous site plan prepared by Glen Schnarr \& Associates Inc., dated 27 June 2022. There are no tangible impacts expected from the updated site plan.

The planned development consists of 19 estate residential lots across the existing lot. This information was used to assess the trips generated by the site. The estimates are based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (11 th Edition) Land Use Code (LUC) 210, Single-Family Detached Housing. ${ }^{8}$ The addition of new trips from the site will, in part, be applying trips that were generated by the previous residential houses.

Trip generation was found to be 16 trips in AM peak hour (7:00 AM9:00 AM) and 21 trips in the PM peak hour (4:00 PM-6:00 PM). It is expected that $26 \%$ of the trips generated will be inbound during the AM peak hour and $74 \%$ will be outbound. During the PM peak hour, it was found that $63 \%$ of the trips will be inbound, and $37 \%$ will be outbound trips. These estimates are in line with what is expected, as majority of residents would be returning home from work during the PM peak hour.

Table 3.1 summarizes the number of trips forecast to be generated by the planned development. The site is expected to generate approximately 16 trips during AM peak hour and 21 trips during PM peak hour.

TABLE 3.1: TRIP GENERATION

| Land Use | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| 19 Proposed estate <br> residential lots | 4 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 13 | 8 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |

Appendix D contains the ITE trip generation reports.

[^6]
### 3.2.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The site trip distribution of vehicle trips is based on existing traffic patterns as evidenced through the collected TMC data.

Table 3.2 summarizes the estimated trip distribution. The distribution reflects the route choice within the study area (derived from the existing travel patterns).

TABLE 3.2: ESTIMATED TRIP DISTRIBUTION

| Origin/Destination | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | In | Out |
| North via Mount Wolfe Road | $68 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| North via Mount Pleasant Road | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| South via Mount Wolfe Road | $18 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| South via Mount Pleasant Road | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| West via Hunsden Sideroad | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

The site trips were assigned to the study area roads based on logical route choice in accordance with the above trip distribution. The following is noted:

- The majority of the site generated trips are assigned to Mount Wolfe Road because it provides a continuous connection to Highway 9 as well as nearby activity centres; and
- A relatively smaller number of site generated trips are assigned to Mount Pleasant Road. Mount Pleasant Road is a narrow two-lane rural road which is further from Highway 9 compared to Mount Wolfe Road, which makes it less likely route for any trips.

Figure 3.2 illustrates the site generated traffic assignments for the weekday AM and PM peak hours.


## paradigm

## Site Generated Traffic Volumes

## 4 Future Conditions

### 4.1 Horizon Years

Consistent with the 2017 Town of Caledon Transportation Impact Studies Terms of Reference and Guidelines and the established terms of reference, traffic forecasts and analyses have been completed for 2024 and 2029, representing the estimated build-out year and five years beyond the build-out year. ${ }^{9}$

### 4.2 Future Roadway Improvements

Through pre-study consultation, Town staff confirmed that there are no road network improvements planned within the study area under the future horizon years. Therefore, the existing road network and intersection lane configurations are applied to all future traffic operational analyses.

### 4.3 General Background Growth

General background traffic reflects increases in traffic unrelated to development within the immediate vicinity of the subject site. This background traffic growth has been estimated using a compounded per annum growth rate.

A conservative estimated growth rate of 2\% per annum has been applied to the initial traffic volumes. This growth rate also takes into account any potential background developments that were not captured at the time of writing this report. The growth rate was confirmed by Town staff during pre-study consultation (see Appendix A).

### 4.4 Background Development

As per the direction received from Town staff, there are no background developments in the vicinity of the study area that would impact the planned development.

Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2 illustrate the future background traffic forecasts accounting for general background growth for the 2024 and 2029 horizon years, respectively.

[^7]
PM PEAK HOUR



paradigm
2029 Background Traffic Volumes

### 4.5 Forecast Total Traffic

The forecast total traffic volumes are estimated as the summation of the forecast site generated traffic volumes and the forecast background traffic volumes.

Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4 illustrate the 2024 and 2029 forecast total traffic volumes in the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.
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### 4.6 Future Background Traffic Operations

The study area intersections under the 2024 and 2029 background traffic conditions (without the subject development) were analyzed using Synchro.

Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 summarize the background peak hour traffic intersection operations including level of service (LOS), average vehicle delay in seconds, volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio, and 95th percentile queue length in metres for the 2024 and 2029 horizon years, respectively. Any movements identified as critical movements (if any) are highlighted within the results table. Appendix E contains the detailed analysis reports for reference.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths were reviewed for all turn and through movements. No spillback issues were identified for the existing conditions. The Level of Service (LOS) is estimated as LOS A for all the approaches for all the future background years. The only exception includes the eastbound shared left/right movement operating at a LOS B for Hunsden Sideroad Road. A maximum delay of 13 seconds was observed for all the approaches.

Analysis of background conditions (without the subject development) indicates that all intersection movements would operate at acceptable level of service and within capacity. There is no significant change in traffic volume expected in the future horizon years as the subject site is located in a rural area with farmland and low-density residential housing.

TABLE 4．1： 2024 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

|  | Intersection | Control Type | MOE | Direction／Movement／Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | さّ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \text { Co } \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$ |  | さ灬 |  | $\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{a}}}$ |  | さ. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 른 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{r}}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\mathbf{c}} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | むむ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{I}} \end{aligned}$ | 皆 |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A <br> 7 <br> 7 <br> 0.03 <br> 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A 7 0.06 0.2 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \mathrm{Q} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 12 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | B 12 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 8 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \mathrm{Q} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 7 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ＞ | A 7 | $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | ＜ ＜ ＜ ＜ | A 7 7 0.08 0.3 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ | A 7 7 0.03 0.1 | $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \mathrm{Q} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $B$ <br> 10 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 |  | ＞ | B 10 |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| MOE－Measure of Effectiveness <br> LOS－Level of Service <br> Delay－Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds <br> V／C－Volume to Capacity Ratio |  |  |  |  | Q－95th Percentile Queue Length（m） TWSC－Two－Way Stop Control ＜／＞－Shared with through movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4．2： 2029 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

|  | Intersection | Control Type | MOE | Direction／Movement／Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | さむ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { ob } \\ & \text { ob } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{\mathbf{r}} \end{aligned}$ |  | さむ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { ob } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ً} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 든 을 른 | む |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \dot{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\left.\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{y} \\ & \frac{0}{1} \\ & \underline{y} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | LOS Delay V／C Q | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A <br> 7 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.03 <br> 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 7 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$ | $>$ | A 7 |
| $\frac{0}{\frac{0}{8}}$ | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | LOS Delay V／C Q | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 13 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $>$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline B \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 8 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A 0 0.00 0 |  | A |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | LOS Delay V／C Q | ＜ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ |  <br>  <br> 7 <br> 0.02 <br> 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \gg \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \ll \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \ll \\ & \ll \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.09 <br> 0.3 | $\overline{>}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 7 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ＞ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | LOS <br> Delay <br> V／C <br> Q | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 10 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $>$ $>$ $>$ | B 10 |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | A <br> 0 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 |  | A |  | A 0 0.00 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A |
| MOE－Measure of Effectiveness <br> LOS－Level of Service <br> Delay－Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds <br> V／C－Volume to Capacity Ratio |  |  |  |  | ＜／＞－Shared with through movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.7 Future Total Traffic Operations

To assess the traffic operating conditions for the future weekday AM and PM total traffic forecasts, an operational analysis was undertaken using the same methodology, parameters, lane arrangements and traffic control devices as in the analysis of background conditions.

Table 4.3 and Table 4.4 summarize the peak hour total traffic intersection operations including level of service (LOS), average vehicle delay in seconds, volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio, and 95th percentile queue length in metres for the 2024 and 2029 horizon years, respectively. Any movements identified as critical movements (if any) are highlighted within the results table. Appendix F contains the detailed analysis reports for reference.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths were reviewed for all turn and through movements. No spillback issues were identified for the existing conditions. The Level of Service (LOS) is estimated as LOS A for all the approaches for all the future total years. The only exception includes the eastbound shared left/right movement operating at a LOS B for Hunsden Sideroad. A maximum delay of 12 seconds was observed for all the approaches.

Analysis of total conditions (with the subject development) indicates that the study area intersections would continue to operate at acceptable conditions, albeit slightly exacerbated with the inclusion of the site generated traffic. All intersection movements are forecast to continue operating at acceptable levels of service and within capacity. The addition of site traffic to the nearby intersections would result in a nominal volume increase. The additional traffic would be less than the daily traffic variations typically experienced at these locations. Given the relatively low trip generation rates, the subject development would result in imperceptible impacts to the studied transportation network.

TABLE 4．3： 2024 TOTAL TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

|  | Intersection | Control Type | MOE | Direction／Movement／Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | さّ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 尔 } \\ & \text { ob } \\ & \stackrel{\text { b }}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{I}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 气 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { ob } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  | さّ |  |  |  | تّ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}}$ |  |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A <br> 7 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { > } \\ & \text { > } \\ & \text { > } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $>$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A <br> 7 <br>  <br> 0.03 <br> 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | ＜ $<$ $<$ $<$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $>$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \text { Q } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { B } \\ 11 \\ 0.02 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | A 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | A 0 |
|  | Driveway \＆Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{A} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | A 8 0.01 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ll \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.02 <br> 0.1 | ＞ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ll \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.08 <br> 0.3 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | ＜ $<$ $<$ $<$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 11 \\ 0.03 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{A} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A 0 |
|  | Driveway \＆Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A <br> 0 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | A <br> 0 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | A 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A 6 | A <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 |  | $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | A 8 |  |  |  |  |

MOE－Measure of Effectiveness<br>LOS－Level of Service<br>Delay－Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds<br>V／C－Volume to Capacity Ratio<br>Q－95th Percentile Queue Length（m）<br>TWSC－Two－Way Stop Control<br>＜／＞－Shared with through movement

TABLE 4．4： 2029 TOTAL TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

|  | Intersection | Control Type | MOE | Direction／Movement／Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -ّ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}{\frac{0}{\mathrm{O}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{5}{0} \\ & \text { 历oㄹ } \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{ـ}{\text { 士. }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 菏 } \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 呙 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 志 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{J}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underline{\sim}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{5}{0} \\ & \text { 历oㄹ } \\ & \text { 를 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \mathrm{Q} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } \\ & \text { < } \\ & \text { < } \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 7 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A 7 0.03 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | A 7 0.07 0.2 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \mathrm{Q} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 12 \\ 0.02 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline B \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 0.00 <br> 0 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | A |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { A } \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A |
|  | Driveway \＆Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { VIC } \\ \mathrm{Q} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A 6 | A <br> 8 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mt Pleasant Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | AWSC | LOS Delay V／C Q | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.02 <br> 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { > } \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { > } \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | ＜ ＜ ＜ ＜ |  <br> $A$ <br> 7 <br> 0.09 <br> 0.3 | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | A 7 | $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & < \\ & < \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | A 7 |
|  | Mt Wolfe Road \＆ Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \text { Q } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 11 \\ \hline 0.03 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | A <br> 8 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A 0 |
|  | Driveway \＆Hunsden Sideroad | TWSC | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { V/C } \\ \mathrm{Q} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | A 0 0.00 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7 \\ 0.01 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | A 6 | A <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 0.01 <br> 0 |  | $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ | A |  |  |  |  |

MOE－Measure of Effectiveness<br>LOS－Level of Service<br>Delay－Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds V／C－Volume to Capacity Ratio<br>Q－95th Percentile Queue Length（m）<br>TWSC－Two－Way Stop Control<br>＜／＞－Shared with through movement

### 4.8 Alternate Modes of Transportation

### 4.8.1 Public Transit

There is currently no public transit operating in the study area. Hence, no changes to the transit service or routes are required to accommodate the forecast site generated trips. It is expected that if the study area develops with higher density, the Town may consider deploying a dedicated transit route connecting the area to the nearby activity centres.

### 4.8.2 Walking

Pedestrian facilities connected to the site are essential to helping ensure safe and effective access and mobility to and from the site. Given the rural location, there are no dedicated pedestrian sidewalks connecting to the subject site. The 2017 Caledon Transportation Master Plan (TMP) identifies plans to upgrade Mount Wolfe Road and construct paved shoulders between Highway 9 and Castlederg Side Road. ${ }^{10}$ Once these wider shoulders are provided, pedestrians may use this space to walk to and from Hunsden Sideroad. However, the planned development is not expected to generate a significant number of pedestrian trips.

Figure 4.5 illustrates the planned pedestrian network as depicted in the 2017 Caledon TMP.
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### 4.8.3 Cycling

There are currently no dedicated cycling facilities connecting to the site. Cyclists are currently required to share the road with motor vehicles. This is a common practice given the low volume and rural nature of Hunsden Sideroad. The 2017 Caledon Transportation Master Plan (TMP) identifies plans to develop a shared on-road cycling route along Hunsden Sideroad between Mount Hope Road and Mount Wolfe Road. ${ }^{11}$ This would enable the cyclists access the site safely and efficiently. However, the planned development is not expected to generate a significant number of cycling trips.

Figure 4.6 illustrates the planned cycling network as depicted in the 2017 Caledon TMP.
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## 5 Remedial Measures

Overall, the incremental impact of the proposed development site trips is considered minor. The additional vehicle volumes generated by the site would be less than the daily traffic variations (approximately 3\%) typically experienced on the transportation network. The additional vehicle volumes can be managed by the existing/future planned transportation network without the need for any modifications to the existing transportation network. The addition of new trips from the site will, in part, be reapplying trips that were generated by the previous residential houses.

No off-site modifications such as geometric roadway or intersection improvements are required to support the proposed residential apartment redevelopment based on the following reasons:

- All study area intersections are reported to operate with good levels of service and within capacity under existing and future conditions; and
- The site generated traffic is minor and not expected to materially impact the existing road network.


## 6 Access and Circulation Review

The site circulation assessment has been carried out using AutoTURN swept path analysis software. The following vehicle types are considered as they apply to the land use:

- A TAC Heavy Single Unit (HSU);
- A Pumper Firetruck; and
- A Town of Caledon Snow Plough.

The analysis indicates that all vehicles can navigate the site as necessary.

Appendix G contains the swept path analysis for the design vehicles.

## 7 Site Access Assessment

The planned site access is located on the south side of Hunsden Sideroad via a public road called Street 'A'. A secondary access via Street ' $B$ ' is also planned that would connect to Mount Pleasant Road. This link is not considered in this study because details are unconfirmed at the time of writing this report. The Hunsden Sideroad and Street ' $A$ ' intersection will be used as both an entry and exit point for the trips from the estate residential lots. The following section review this intersection to ensure that there no anticipated operational issues.

### 7.1 Corner Clearance

According to the Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (GDGCR) 2017, corner clearance is the measured distance between the near curb of an intersection and the near edge of a driveway throat. ${ }^{12}$ For the subject site, the distances were measured based on the corner clearance components shown in Figure 8.9.2 in the GDGCR. The distance from west end of the planned Street ' $A$ ' to the curb line of the nearest public road intersection (Mount Pleasant Road) was estimated for corner clearance calculations. The corner clearance for residential site requires a minimum tangent section (C) of two metres (measured from the end of the driveway/road curb radius) and a minimum driveway curb radius of three metres as shown in Figure 8.9.2 in the GDGCR.

Table 7.1 highlights the recommended values for the corner clearance in comparison to measurements of the existing road conditions.

[^10]TABLE 7.1: CORNER CLEARANCE

| Measurement | TAC Guide <br> Distance $(\mathbf{m})$ | Road <br> Measurement <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Requirement <br> Satisfied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tangent section | 2 | $\sim 410$ | Yes |
| Curb radius for <br> Hunsden Sideroad | 6 | $\sim 9$ | Yes |
| Site access curb radius | 3 | $\sim 7.5$ | Yes |

### 7.2 Access Spacing

The minimum spacing between driveways must be considered when considering the location of any given driveway. ${ }^{12}$ For adjacent low volume driveways for residential areas, a minimum spacing of one metre is defined. The subject site has access via Street ' $A$ ', which can be treated as the access driveway.

Table 7.2 highlights the recommended values for the minimum spacing in comparison to measurements of the existing road conditions.

TABLE 7.2: ACCESS SPACING

| Measurement | TAC Guide <br> Distance (m) | Road <br> Measurement <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Requirement <br> Satisfied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance between the <br> Planned Street 'A' and <br> adjacent driveway east <br> of the subject site | 1 | $\sim 349$ | Yes |

### 7.3 Throat Length

To increase operational efficiency for vehicle entering and exiting the driveway, a no conflict and storage zone is recommended within the driveway. The clear throat length or set-back distance is used to prevent frequent blocking of on-site circulation roads and the queueing of entering vehicles. Table 8.9.3 in the GDGCR provides a guideline for suggested minimum clear throat lengths for various developments. ${ }^{12}$ Table 7.3 highlights the recommended values for the minimum clear throat length for apartments with less than 100 units (similar land use as a residential development) connecting to a local road in comparison to measurements of the existing road conditions. A minimum clear throat length of eight metres is recommended for
apartments with less than 100 units. The subject site has access via Street 'A', which can be treated as the access driveway. The throat length of Street ' $A$ ' is planned to be around 240 metres, which satisfies this requirement.

TABLE 7.3: THROAT LENGTH

| Measurement | TAC Guide <br> Distance (m) | Street 'A' <br> Throat Length <br> Measurement <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Requirement <br> Satisfied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Driveway Throat <br> Length for Apartment <br> with <100 units <br> connecting to a Local <br> Road | 8 | $\sim 240$ | Yes |

### 7.4 Sight Distance Assessment

The necessary sight distances at the subject intersection was reviewed in accordance with the TAC GDGCR. ${ }^{12}$ The analyzed case was assumed to be an intersection with stop control on the minor road. The components for the sight distance are measured as shown in Figure 9.9.2 in the GDGCR. The recommended sight distance values were determined using Table 9.9.4 and Table 9.9.6.

The assessment uses the following parameters:

- Object Height (vehicle tail or brake light): 0.60 metres;
- Driver Eye Height: 1.08 metres; and
- Top of Car: 1.30 metres.

Paradigm staff completed a desktop review of the site access connections and confirmed the measurements using satellite imagery from Google StreetView and Google Earth.

The measurements for outbound traffic exiting the site were estimated at 4.4 metres from the existing edge of pavement, representing the typical position of a driver performing a turning movement. The measurements for inbound traffic were taken from the centre of the travel lane on Hunsden Sideroad from which the turning movement would occur.

Table 7.4 summarizes the recommended sight distances for the Hunsden Sideroad and Street 'A' intersection based on an 80 km/h
design speed ( $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the posted speed limit) for the major road and $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ design speed for Street ' $A$ '.

TABLE 7.4: SITE ACCESS SIGHT DISTANCE ASSESSMENT

| Measurement | TAC Guide <br> Distance (m) | Road <br> Measurement <br> (m) | Requirement <br> Satisfied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Stopping <br> Sight Distance <br> (Westbound) - Driver <br> Approaching the Site <br> Access from the East | 130 | $\sim 178$ | Yes |
| Minimum Stopping <br> Sight Distance <br> (Eastbound) - Driver <br> Approaching the Site <br> Access from the West | 130 | $\sim 102$ | No |
| Departure Sight <br> Distance (Left Turn <br> from Stop) - Driver <br> Facing North and <br> Looking West | 170 | $\sim 86$ | No |
| Departure Sight <br> Distance (Left Turn <br> from Stop) - Driver <br> Facing North and <br> Looking East | 170 | $\sim 173$ | Yes |
| Departure Sight <br> Distance (Right Turn <br> from Stop) - Driver <br> Facing North and <br> Looking West | 145 | $\sim 86$ | No |

It was observed that there are currently some obstructions in the form of vegetation at the northeast and northwest corners of the planned Hunsden Sideroad and Street ' $A$ ' intersection. It is expected that the vegetation would be cleared at the time of construction, thereby providing appropriate sight distances at the intersection for the departure movements.

Results of the assessment indicate that all but one sight distance requirement are achieved under the current road geometry and
intersection configuration. The only exception includes the departure sight distance for vehicles exiting the site looking west. The vertical alignment of Hunsden Sideroad is not completely level. There is a slight elevation west of the planned Hunsden Sideroad and Street ' $A$ ' intersection that impacts departure sight distance. If there are plans to upgrade Hunsden Sideroad in the near future, it is recommended that adjustments to the vertical alignment be considered to address this sight distance. In the absence of modifications to the vertical alignment, the departure sight distance issue can be rectified by installing a Wa-13R intersection warning sign ( $60 \mathrm{~cm} \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) along with a Wa-18t hidden intersection tab sign ( $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) on Hunsden Sideroad, 225 metres west of the planned Street ' $A$ ' intersection. ${ }^{13}$

### 7.5 Conclusions

The results of the site access assessment indicate that the Hunsden Sideroad and Street 'A' intersection, as currently planned, does not conflict with TAC GDGCR guidance. Aspects such as corner clearance, access spacing, throat length and most sight distances, exceed minimum requirements. The only exception includes the departure sight distance for vehicles exiting the site, which can be resolved through the recommended signage.
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## 8 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 8.1 Conclusions

Based on the investigations carried out, it is concluded that:

- Existing Traffic Conditions: The study intersections operate with acceptable levels of service and within capacity during both the AM and PM peak hours.
- Development Trip Generation: The development is estimated to generate 16 trips in the AM peak hour and 21 trips in the PM peak hour. The addition of new trips from the site will, in part, be applying trips that were generated by the previous residential houses.
- Roadway Improvements: No roadway improvements are identified.
- Background Traffic Conditions: The study area intersections are forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and within capacity under both 2024 and 2029 analysis scenarios.
- Total Traffic Conditions: The redevelopment of the subject site is forecast to have a negligible impact on traffic operations. The study intersections are forecast to operate at very similar levels of service as under background traffic conditions. All traffic movements are forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and within capacity.
- Site Circulation: The site circulation assessment indicates that a TAC Heavy Single Unit truck, Pumper Firetruck and a Town of Caledon Snow Plough can enter, exit, and traverse Street ' $A$ ' without conflict.
- Sight Access Assessment: The site access assessment indicates adequate corner clearance, access spacing and throat length at the intersection of Street ' $A$ ' and Hunsden Sideroad. Clear unimpeded sight distances are available and provided at each approach. The exception includes the departure sight distance for vehicles exiting the site, which can be addressed by installing a Wa-13R intersection sign along with a Wa-18t hidden intersection tab sign on Hunsden Sideroad, 225 metres west of Street ' $A$ '.


### 8.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that:

- The contents of the report be considered;
- A Wa-13R intersection sign be installed 225 metres west of the planned Street 'A'; and
- The development proceeds without further updates to the studied transportation network at this time.


## Appendix A

## Pre-Study Consultation

From: Jillian Britto < Jillian.Britto@caledon.ca>
Sent: October 20, 2022 3:31 PM
To: Josh de Boer < jdeboer@ptsl.com>
Cc: Arash Olia < Arash.Olia@caledon.ca>
Subject: RE: 10249 Hunsden Sideroad Residential Development - Terms of Reference

Hi Josh,

Thank you for providing us an opportunity to review the terms of reference for a TIS for the above-noted development.

As discussed over the phone this morning, the scope of work noted in the TOR is generally acceptable with the following additions and comments:

- Please confirm the discussions regarding a Traffic Impact Memo and TIS submission with the Town 's lead planner on the file, Adam Wendland. The comments provided below apply to the scope of work required for the comprehensive study.
- Office: 905.584.2272 x.4024; Email: adam.wendland@caledon.ca
- The Town does not have any data in this area; please obtain 2022 TMCs for the proposed study intersections.
- The proposed $2 \%$ annual growth rate is acceptable.
- There are no background developments in the area that will add significant traffic to the proposed study intersections.
- There are currently no planned roadway improvements within the study area.
- Please also include the following items in the TIS:
- Active Transportation Provisions and Network Connections:
- Identify existing and future planned active transportation within the study area, the proposed connections from the site to the future network, and all active transportation provisions within the site. Please note that all cycling facilities should comply with OTM Book 18.
- Please see Town 's Trails and Cycling Routes map: https://maps.caledon. ca/h5/index.html?viewer=Trails.Trails
- Road Network Review:
- Road design adheres to the Town 's Development Standards Manual ( https://www.caledon.ca/en/town-services/standards-policies-and-guidelines.aspx\#Development-Standards-Manual );
- Curb radii are noted throughout the development; and
- Sightline assessment for Street A at Hunsden Sideroad.
- Circulation Review using AutoTURN software for:
- Fire and garbage trucks; and
- Snow ploughs (please see attached dimensions for Town's snow plough vehicles and template below).


Snowplow vehicle
meters

| Width | $: 4.33$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Track | $: 2.95$ |
| Lock to Lock Time | $: 6.0$ |
| Steering Angle | $: 37.2$ |



Please let me know if you have any questions or require any further information.

Regards,

Jillian Britto, P.Eng.
Transportation Engineer
Engineering Services

Office: $905.584 .2272 \times 4108$
Email: Jillian.Britto@caledon.ca

Town of Caledon \| www.caledon.ca | www.visitcaledon.ca | Follow us @YourCaledon

From: Josh de Boer < jdeboer@ptsl.com >
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2022 11:10 AM
To: Arash Olia < Arash.Olia@caledon.ca >
Cc: Jillian Britto < Jillian.Britto@caledon.ca >
Subject: RE: 10249 Hunsden Sideroad Residential Development - Terms of Reference

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the contents to be safe.
Thanks
Josh de Boer, M.Eng., P.Eng., PTOE

## paradigm

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited<br>c: 905.807.2420<br>p: 416.479.9684 x505

*** Paradigm is now operating on a 4-day workweek. Our offices are closed Fridays. ***

From: Arash Olia < Arash.Olia@caledon.ca >
Sent: October 20, 2022 11:08 AM
To: Josh de Boer < jdeboer@ptsl.com >
Cc: Jillian Britto < Jillian.Britto@caledon.ca >
Subject: Re: 10249 Hunsden Sideroad Residential Development - Terms of Reference

Hi Josh,

Thanks for reaching out. Jillian from my team will review and advise.

Regards,
Arash Olia, P.Eng. , Ph.D.
Manager, Transportation Engineering
Engineering Services Department
Office: $905.584 .2272 \times .4073$
Email: arash.olia@caledon.ca
Town of Caledon \| www.caledon.ca \| www.visitcaledon.ca | Follow us @YourCaledon
From: Josh de Boer < jdeboer@ptsl.com >
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2022 10:58:03 AM
To: Arash Olia < Arash.Olia@caledon.ca >
Subject: 10249 Hunsden Sideroad Residential Development - Terms of Reference

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the contents to be safe.
Good morning Arash,
I 'm not sure if you remember me, but I believe we went to school together about 10 years ago. Hope all is well in Caledon. Paradigm has a client that is looking to development some property in northeast section of the Town. I believe he 's already been in discussions with the Town regarding transportation needs, with the result being that he needs to provide a traffic letter in relatively shorter order, and a TIS to follow. My office has prepared the following terms of reference below for your review. Please let us know of any feedback.

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited (Paradigm) has been retained by Hillview Estates Limited to prepare a Traffic Impact Memo and Transportation Impact Study (TIS) for a residential development at 10249 Hunsden Sideroad in the Town of Caledon. The TIS will be preceded by the Traffic Impact Memo that will be submitted as a separate
document. The Memo and TIS are required to satisfy the 2017 Town of Caledon Transportation Impact Studies Terms of Reference and Guidelines.

The purpose of this email is to establish a Terms of Reference (ToR) for both the Memo and TIS. We are seeking confirmation on our proposed scope of work that is discussed below.

## Background

The subject site is located at 10249 Hunsden Sideroad in the Town of Caledon. The property owner proposes to develop 19 detached residential lots. The existing property is a wooded lot with low density residential and farmland. Vehicle access is proposed via a local road network that would connect to the Town 's existing road network. Plans include vehicle parking at each individual lot.

## Analysis

- The study area intersection traffic operations will be analyzed using standard Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodologies and Synchro software.
- The analysis will determine if there are any operational deficiencies during the AM and PM peak hours and the subsequent mitigation measures required.
- The study will follow the 2017 Town of Caledon Transportation Impact Studies Terms of Reference and Guidelines.
- Trip generation will be based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition), land use code (LUC) 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing) .
- Trip distribution will be based on current travel patterns.
- The weekday AM and PM peak hours will be analyzed.
- The build-out year is estimated to be 2024. In addition to analyzing the 2024 buildout year, a 5 -year horizon from the build-out year will be analyzed as well.


## Study Intersections

- Hunsden Sideroad and Mt Wolfe Road (unsignalized)
- Hunsden Sideroad and Mt Pleasant Road (unsignalized)
- Hunsden Sideroad and Street 'A ' (proposed unsignalized)


## Requested Information

1. Trip volume data. Any data that may be pertinent to the analysis, including TMCs, AADTs, ATRs, PCSs, pedestrian studies and/or cycling counts.
2. Growth rate. A growth rate of $2 \%$ will be applied to through movement volumes on all study roadways. If an alternate rate is required, please advise.
3. Background developments. Information on planned background developments that will impact the identified road network.
4. Future road improvements. Information on future roads and/or network improvements that will impact the identified road network.

Thank you for reviewing project details and providing information where available. If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. We look forward to your response.

Regards,

Josh de Boer, M.Eng., P.Eng., PTOE

Project Manager, Associate
(he/him)

## paradigm

## Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

5A-150 Pinebush Road, Cambridge ON N1R 8J8
c: 905.807.2420
p: 416.479.9684 $\times 505$
e: jdeboer@ptsl.com
w: www.ptsl.com
*** Paradigm is now operating on a 4-day workweek. Our offices are closed Fridays. ***

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the sender immediately. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited. Finally, the recipient should check this e-mail and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.
"This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The content of the message is the property of the Corporation of the Town of Caledon. The message may contain information that is privileged, confidential, subject to copyright and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or modification of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, advising of the error and delete this message without making a copy. (Information related to this email is automatically monitored and recorded and the content may be required to be disclosed by the Town to a third party in certain circumstances). Thank you."
This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the sender immediately. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited. Finally, the recipient should check this e-mail and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Paradigm
Transportation Solutions Limited accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.
"This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The content of the message is the property of the Corporation of the Town of Caledon. The message may contain information that is privileged, confidential, subject to copyright and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or modification of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, advising of the error and delete this message without making a copy. (Information related to this email is automatically monitored and recorded and the content may be required to be disclosed by the Town to a third party in certain circumstances). Thank you."




## Appendix B

Traffic Data

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 5A-150 Pinebush Rd

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Hunsden Sideroad \& Mt Pleasan Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 1

| Start Time | Hunsden Sideroad Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Turning Movement Data  <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { Hunsden Sideroad } \\ \text { Westbound }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\text { Mt Pleasant Road } \\ \text { Northbound }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mt Pleasant Road Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 17 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 65 |
| 8:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 22 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 22 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 20 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 73 |
| 9:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 |
| 9:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 |
| 9:45 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 58 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 |
| 11:45 AM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 59 |
| 1:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 |
| 1:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 16 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 28 |
| 3:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 26 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 41 |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 35 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 24 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |


| Hourly Total | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 34 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 24 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 |
| Hourly Total | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 114 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 22 |
| Grand Total | 31 | 23 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 69 | 15 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 17 | 230 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 257 | 8 | 193 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 598 |
| Approach \% | 44.9 | 33.3 | 21.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 32.6 | 50.0 | 17.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 6.6 | 89.5 | 3.5 | 0.4 | - | - | 3.5 | 85.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 5.2 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 | - | 11.5 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | 7.7 | 2.8 | 38.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | 43.0 | 1.3 | 32.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | - | 37.8 | - |
| Motorcycles | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 7 |
| \% Motorcycles | 3.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 2.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | - | $\cdots$ | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 |  | - | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 25 | 21 | 11 | 0 | - | 57 | 12 | 18 | 4 | 0 | - | 34 | 14 | 220 | 7 | 1 | - | 242 | 7 | 182 | 21 | 0 | - | 210 | 543 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Cars \& Light } \\ \text { Goods } \end{gathered}$ | 80.6 | 91.3 | 73.3 | - | - | 82.6 | 80.0 | 78.3 | 50.0 | - | - | 73.9 | 82.4 | 95.7 | 77.8 | 100.0 | - | 94.2 | 87.5 | 94.3 | 84.0 | . | - | 92.9 | 90.8 |
| Buses | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | - | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 19 |
| \% Buses | 12.9 | 0.0 | 13.3 | - | - | 8.7 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 37.5 |  | - | 10.9 | 11.8 | 0.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | - | 1.6 | 12.5 | 0.5 | 8.0 | - | - | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | - | 7 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | - | 10 | 23 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Single-Unit } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 4.3 | 13.3 | - | - | 4.3 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 6.5 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 11.1 | 0.0 | - | 2.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.0 | - | - | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 12.5 | - | - | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Bicycles on Road | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | . | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | . | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - |  | - | - | 3 | - | - | $-$ | - | - | 2 | $\cdots$ | - | $-$ | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | $-$ | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |



Turning Movement Data Plot

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited
$5 \mathrm{~A}-150$ Pinebush Rd
Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Hunsden Sideroad \& Mt Pleasan Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 4

| Start Time | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:30 AM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mt Pleasant Road Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunsden Sideroad Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Hunsden Sideroad Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Mt Pleasant Road Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right U-Turn |  | Peds | App. | Int. Total |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| 8:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 22 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 22 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 81 |
| Approach \% | 60.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 4.3 | 87.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | - | - | 0.0 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | - | 6.2 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 4.9 | 1.2 | 24.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | - | 28.4 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 | - | 60.5 | - |
| PHF | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.000 | - | 0.625 | 0.750 | 0.250 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.500 | 0.250 | 0.833 | 0.250 | 0.250 | - | 0.719 | 0.000 | 0.750 | 0.500 | 0.000 | - | 0.766 | 0.920 |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | - | 23 | 0 | 44 | 3 | 0 | - | 47 | 75 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Cars \& Light } \\ & \text { Goods } \end{aligned}$ | 66.7 | . | 0.0 | . | - | 40.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | - | . | - | 75.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 97.8 | 75.0 | - | - | 95.9 | 92.6 |
| Buses | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 6 |
| \% Buses | 33.3 | - | 100.0 | - | - | 60.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 2.2 | 25.0 | - | - | 4.1 | 7.4 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Single-Unit } \\ \text { Trucks } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Bicycles on } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited
5A-150 Pinebush Rd
Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 5


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:30 AM)

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 5A-150 Pinebush Rd

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8 J 8
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022

| Start Time | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunsden Sideroad Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Hunsden Sideroad <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Mt Pleasant Road Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Mt Pleasant Road Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \hline \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 59 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 4.0 | 80.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | - | 8.5 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 8.5 | 5.1 | 35.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 40.7 | 1.7 | 33.9 | 6.8 | 0.0 | - | 42.4 | - |
| PHF | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.000 | - | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.625 | 0.375 | 0.656 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.750 | 0.250 | 0.714 | 0.500 | 0.000 | - | 0.694 | 0.819 |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |
| \% Motorcycles | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | - | - | - | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | - | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | - | 21 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 0 | - | 21 | 49 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Cars \& Light } \\ \text { Goods } \end{gathered}$ | . | 75.0 | 100.0 | . | - | 80.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | - | - | . | 60.0 | 100.0 | 85.7 | . | - | . | 87.5 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 | . | - | 84.0 | 83.1 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Buses | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | 4 | 7 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | - | 25.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 20.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | - | . | . | 20.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | - | . | - | 4.2 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 16.0 | 11.9 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | . | - | - | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - |  | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)
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Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited
5A-150 Pinebush Rd
Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Road
Site Code: 220678
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (5:00 PM)

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 5A-150 Pinebush Rd

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8 J 8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Hunsden Sideroad \& Mt Wolfe Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 1

| Start Time | Turning Movement Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunsden Sideroad Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe RoadNorthbound |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 105 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 137 |
| 7:30 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 142 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 109 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 373 | 493 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 112 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 115 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 95 |
| 8:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 83 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 293 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 294 | 405 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 57 |
| 9:15 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 97 |
| 9:30 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 68 |
| 9:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 55 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 160 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 277 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 57 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 117 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 52 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 63 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 64 |
| 12:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 54 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 116 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 233 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 53 |
| 1:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 62 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 115 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 120 |
| 3:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 138 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 258 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 106 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 133 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 115 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 121 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 155 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 475 |


| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 120 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 118 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 124 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 356 | 127 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 490 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 111 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 85 |
| Grand Total | 18 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 21 | 1493 | 0 | 0 | 1514 | 1488 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1507 | 3059 |
| Approach \% | 47.4 | 52.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.4 | 98.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 98.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | $\cdots$ | 1.2 | 0.7 | 48.8 | 0.0 | - | 49.5 | 48.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | 49.3 | - |
| Motorcycles | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | - | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 7 |
| \% Motorcycles | 5.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 2.6 | 4.8 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 16 | 17 | 0 | - | 33 | 13 | 1446 | 0 | - | 1459 | 1460 | 17 | 0 | - | 1477 | 2969 |
| \% Cars \& Light Goods | 88.9 | 85.0 | - | - | 86.8 | 61.9 | 96.9 | - | - | 96.4 | 98.1 | 89.5 | - | - | 98.0 | 97.1 |
| Buses | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | - | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | 4 | 16 |
| \% Buses | 0.0 | 10.0 | - | - | 5.3 | 19.0 | 0.4 | - | $\cdots$ | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 2 | 33 | 0 | - | 35 | 20 | 1 | 0 | - | 21 | 58 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 5.6 | 5.0 | - | - | 5.3 | 9.5 | 2.2 | - | $\cdots$ | 2.3 | 1.3 | 5.3 | - | - | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 7 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | 5.3 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 2 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | $\cdots$ | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 3


Turning Movement Data Plot

| Start Time | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:15 AM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunsden Sideroad <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe Road |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 137 |
| 7:30 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 142 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 109 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 112 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 | 500 |
| Approach \% | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 99.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | - | 0.6 | 0.2 | 21.0 | 0.0 | - | 21.2 | 78.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 78.2 | - |
| PHF | 0.500 | 0.250 | 0.000 | - | 0.375 | 0.250 | 0.772 | 0.000 | - | 0.779 | 0.850 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.850 | 0.880 |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 2 | 1 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 101 | 0 | - | 101 | 384 | 0 | 0 | - | 384 | 488 |
| \% Cars \& Light Goods | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 0.0 | 96.2 | - | - | 95.3 | 98.2 | - | - | - | 98.2 | 97.6 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 3 |
| \% Buses | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | - | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | 9 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | - | - | 3.8 | 1.3 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:15 AM)

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8

Count Name: Hunsden Sideroad \& Mt Wolfe Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 6

| Start Time | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:45 AM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunsden Sideroad <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe RoadNorthbound |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 57 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 52 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 63 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 64 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 113 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 236 |
| Approach \% | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.7 | 98.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 97.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.4 | 0.8 | 49.6 | 0.0 | - | 50.4 | 47.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | 49.2 | - |
| PHF | 0.250 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.886 | 0.000 | - | 0.875 | 0.883 | 0.375 | 0.000 | - | 0.879 | 0.922 |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 2 | 108 | 0 | - | 110 | 108 | 1 | 0 | - | 109 | 220 |
| \% Cars \& Light Goods | 100.0 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.3 | - | - | 92.4 | 95.6 | 33.3 | - | - | 94.0 | 93.2 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Buses | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | - | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | - | 4 | 12 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | - | - | 6.7 | 2.7 | 33.3 | - | - | 3.4 | 5.1 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 2 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | - | - | 0.8 | 0.0 | 33.3 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Road
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:45 AM)

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 5A-150 Pinebush Rd

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Hunsden Sideroad \& Mt Wolfe Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 8

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (3:30 PM)

| Start Time | Hunsden Sideroad Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Mt Wolfe Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 120 |
| 3:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 138 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 106 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 133 |
| Total | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 323 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 160 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 497 |
| Approach \% | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.6 | 99.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 98.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | 1.8 | 0.4 | 65.0 | 0.0 | - | 65.4 | 32.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | 32.8 | - |
| PHF | 0.500 | 0.417 | 0.000 | - | 0.563 | 0.500 | 0.868 | 0.000 | - | 0.864 | 0.833 | 0.375 | 0.000 | - | 0.849 | 0.900 |
| Motorcycles | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 2 |
| \% Motorcycles | 25.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 3 | 3 | 0 | - | 6 | 2 | 315 | 0 | - | 317 | 157 | 3 | 0 | - | 160 | 483 |
| \% Cars \& Light Goods | 75.0 | 60.0 | - | - | 66.7 | 100.0 | 97.5 | - | - | 97.5 | 98.1 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.2 | 97.2 |
| Buses | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | - | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 6 |
| \% Buses | 0.0 | 40.0 | - | - | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | - | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 6 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | - | $\checkmark$ | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N1R 8J8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Road
Site Code: 220678
Start Date: 11/01/2022
Page No: 9


Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (3:30 PM)

## Appendix C

## Existing Traffic Operations Reports



HCM 6th AWSC
1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad
2022 Existing AM Peak

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh Intersection LOS | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conficicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |


| Lanes, Volumes, Timings <br> 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 Existing AM Peak $\begin{array}{r}200678\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 105 | 391 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 105 | 391 | 0 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 0.955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1722 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1722 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 60 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) | 944.5 |  |  | 435.8 | 462.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 56.7 |  |  | 26.1 | 27.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 114 | 425 | 0 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 425 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width ( $m$ ) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | her |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 30.6\% Analysis Period (min) 15A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

22067

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



HCM 6th TWSC
3: Driveway \& Hunsden Sideroad
2022 Existing AM Peak



HCM 6th AWSC
1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh Intersection LOS | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 26 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 26 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 28 |  |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficiting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conficicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conficicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | , |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.2 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |



| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 Existing PM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 220678 |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 4 | 5 | 2 | 323 | 160 | 3 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 4 | 5 | 2 | 323 | 160 | 3 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 0.925 |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1685 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1859 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sata. Flow (perm) | 1685 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1859 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 60 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) | 944.5 |  |  | 435.8 | 462.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 56.7 |  |  | 26.1 | 27.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 4 | 5 | 2 | 351 | 174 | 3 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 177 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width( $m$ ) | 3.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 28.6\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



HCM 6th TWSC
3: Driveway \& Hunsden Sideroad
2022 Existing PM Peak


## Appendix D

## ITE Trip Generation Reports



## ITE Trip Generation ReportAM Peak



## ITE Trip Generation ReportPM Peak

## Appendix E

## Future Background Traffic Operations Reports



HCM 6th AWSC
1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh Intersection LOS | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heary Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |



| Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2024 Background AM Peak 220678 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 109 | 407 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 109 | 407 | 0 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (khh) | 60 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) | 1389.1 |  |  | 435.8 | 462.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 83.3 |  |  | 26.1 | 27.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 118 | 442 | 0 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 442 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width ( $m$ ) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 31.4\% Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

?



HCM 6th AWSC
1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | A |  |  | ¢ |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 27 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 27 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 29 |  |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conficicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficiting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conficting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.2 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  | 7.4 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |



| Lanes, Volumes, Timings <br> 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2024 Background PM Peak 220678 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 5 | 1 | 336 | 166 | 2 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 5 | 1 | 336 | 166 | 2 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 0.916 |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1676 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1861 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1676 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1861 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 60 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) | 1389.1 |  |  | 435.8 | 462.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 83.3 |  |  | 26.1 | 27.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 5 | 1 | 365 | 180 | 2 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 366 | 182 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width ( $m$ ) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 28.5\% Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

?



HCM 6th AWSC
1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh Intersection LOS | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{\dagger}$ |  |  | A |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 5 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | , |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |


| Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2029 Background AM Peak 220678 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 449 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 449 | 0 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 60 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) | 1389.1 |  |  | 435.8 | 462.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 83.3 |  |  | 26.1 | 27.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 132 | 488 | 0 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 488 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width ( $m$ ) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.6\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Lanes, Volumes, 1: Mt Pleasant Road | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ings } \\ & \text { \& Hul } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Peak <br> 220678 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 7 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.977 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| FIt Protected |  | 0.978 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1780 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1850 | 0 | 0 | 1855 | 0 |
| FIt Permitted |  | 0.978 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1780 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1850 | 0 | 0 | 1855 | 0 |
| Link Speed (kh) |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) |  | 524.5 |  |  | 1389.1 |  |  | 522.9 |  |  | 756.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 31.5 |  |  | 83.3 |  |  | 31.4 |  |  | 45.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Adj. Flow (vph) } & 8 & 7 & 3 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 7 & 71 & 2 & \\ \text { Shared Lane Traffic (\%) } & & & & & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
|  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Wiath(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type:Contro Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contro Itype: UnsignalizedIntersection Capacity Utilization 22.1\%2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM 6th AWSC
1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 7 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 30 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 30 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 33 |  |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conficicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conficiting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conficting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.2 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  | 7.4 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |



| Lanes, Volumes, Timings <br> 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2029 Background PM Peak 220678 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{1}{5}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 6 | 1 | 371 | 184 | 2 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 6 | 1 | 371 | 184 | 2 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 0.905 |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1861 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1861 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) | 60 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| Link Distance ( $m$ ) | 1389.1 |  |  | 435.8 | 462.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 83.3 |  |  | 26.1 | 27.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 7 | 1 | 403 | 200 | 2 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 10 | 0 | 0 | 404 | 202 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) | 3.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width ( $m$ ) | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 25 | 15 | 25 |  |  | 15 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 30.3\% Analysis Period (min) 15 ISU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Appendix F

## Future Total Traffic Operations Reports



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2024 Total AM Peak 1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |

Sign Control
Future Volume (vph)
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrrrrr}\text { Future Volume (vph) } & 3 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 21 & 1 & 0 & 47 & 4 \\ \text { Peak Hour Factor } & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 & 0.92 \\ \text { Hourly flow rate (vph) } & 3 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 23 & 1 & 0 & 51 & 4\end{array}$
Hourly flow rate (vph

| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 5 | 6 | 25 | 55 |

Volume Total (vph)
Volume Right (vph)
Hadj (s)

|  | -0.09 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.01 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Degree Utilization, } \mathrm{x} & 0.01 & 4.1 & 4.0 & 3.9\end{array}$

| Capacity (veh/h) | 879 | 848 | 884 | 0.06 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 7.01 | 870 |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Control Delay (s) } & & 7.0 & 7.2 & 7.1 & 7.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { Approach Delay (s) } & 7.0 & 7.2 & 7.1 & 7.2 \\ \text { Approach LOS } & \text { A } & \text { A } & \text { A } & \text { A }\end{array}$
ction Summa
Delay
Level of Service

| Level of Service | 7.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Antersection Capacity Utilation | A |

Analysis Period (min) Utilization
13.3\%

ICU Level of Service
A
Analysis Period (min)
15 A A A A A

HCM 6th AWSC
1: Mt Pleasant Road \& Hunsden Sideroad
2024 Total AM Peak


Lanes, Volumes, Timings
2024 Total AM Peak 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad


Intersection Capacity Utiliz
Analysis Period (min) 15

| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Mt Wolfe Road \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \text { Peak } \\ 220678 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rangle$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | A | F |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 3 | 8 | 2 | 109 | 407 | 3 |  |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 3 | 8 | 2 | 109 | 407 | 3 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 3 | 9 | 2 | 118 | 442 | 3 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conficicting volume | 566 | 444 | 445 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 566 | 444 | 445 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| po queue free \% | 99 | 99 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 485 | 614 | 1115 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 12 | 120 | 445 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 9 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 576 | 1115 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( m ) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 31.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Driveway \& Hunsden Sideroad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2024 Total AM Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | \% |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 10 |  |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 10 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conficticting volume |  |  | 1 |  | 12 | 1 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 1 |  | 12 | 1 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 99 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1622 |  | 1005 | 1084 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 1 | 7 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1622 | 1071 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( m ) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 4.1 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 4.1 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13.7\% |  | ICU Level | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Appendix G

## AutoTURN Vehicle Turning Diagrams



THIS AUTOTURN SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS HAS BEEN PREPARED USING BASE PLANS PROVIDED BY OTHERS. THE PRACTITIONER HAS NOT INSPECTED THE ACCURACY FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS WHICH MAY BE INCORPORATED HEREIN AS A RESULT.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| NO. | DATE | INITIAL | REVISION DETAIL |

design vehicle:


| AUTOTURN ASSESSMENT 10249 HUNSDEN SIDEROAD PEEL REGION, ON |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCALE: 1:750 | DATE: OCTOEER 2023 | dws |
| DRAWN: WL DESIGN: WL | PROJECT: 220678 | 02 |

## AVVE


THIS AUTOTURN SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS HAS BEEN PREPARED USING BASE PLANS PROVIDED BY OTHERS. THE PRACTITIONER HAS NOT INSPECTED THE ACCURACY
AND/OR THE COMPLETENESS OF THESE BASE PLANS AND SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS WHICH MAY BE INCORPORATED HEREIN AS A RESULT.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| NO. | DATE | INITIAL | REVISION DETAIL |
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